

# **SAR TEST REPORT (15.407)**

**REPORT NO.:** SA980729H05-1

MODEL NO.: MC3190

**RECEIVED:** Mar. 13, 2009

**TESTED:** Sep. 04 ~ Sep. 08, 2009

**ISSUED:** Sep. 10, 2009

**APPLICANT:** Motorola Inc.

ADDRESS: One Motorola Plaza Holtsville NY 11742-1300

**USA** 

**ISSUED BY:** Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services

(H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch

LAB ADDRESS: No. 47, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Tsuen, Lin Kou

Hsiang, Taipei Hsien 244, Taiwan, R.O.C.

**TEST LOCATION:** No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd, Wen Hwa Tsuen, Kwei

Shan Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C.

This test report consists of 44 pages in total except Appendix. It may be duplicated completely for legal use with the approval of the applicant. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product endorsement by TAF or any government agencies. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.





Report No.: SA980729H05-1 1 Report Format Version 3.0.0



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	CERTIFICATION	3
2.	GENERAL INFORMATION	4
2.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT	4
2.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS	8
2.3	GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM	9
2.4	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION	13
	DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS	
4.	DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS	17
4.1.	DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE	17
4.2.	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	19
5.	TEST RESULTS	20
	TEST PROCEDURES	
5.2	MEASURED SAR RESULTS	22
	SAR LIMITS	
5.4	RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	26
5.5	TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY	31
6.	SYSTEM VALIDATION	32
6.1	TEST EQUIPMENT	32
	TEST PROCEDURE	
6.3	VALIDATION RESULTS	35
6.4	SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES	36
	MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES	
	PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY	
	ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY	
	BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY	
	PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY	
	READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY	
	RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY	
	INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY	
	PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE	
	PROBE POSITIONING	
	PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY	
	DASY5 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET	
-	INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES	44
APPE	ENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA	
APPE	ENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
APPE	ENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION	
APPE	ENDIX D: SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIBRATION	



# 1. CERTIFICATION

Responsible for RF

**PRODUCT:** Mobile Computer

MODEL: MC3190

**BRAND: MOTOROLA** 

APPLICANT: Motorola Inc.

**TESTED:** Sep. 04 ~ Sep. 08, 2009

**TEST SAMPLE:** ENGINEERING SAMPLE

STANDARDS: FCC Part 2 (Section 2.1093)

FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01)

**RSS-102 Issue 3 (June 2009)** 

The above equipment (model: MC3190) has been tested by **Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's EMC characteristics under the conditions specified in this report.

PREPARED BY : , DATE : Sep. 10, 2009

Andrea Hsia / Specialist

TECHNICAL

ACCEPTANCE: James Jam, DATE: Sep. 10, 2009

APPROVED BY : Gay Charg , DATE: Sep. 10, 2009

Gary Chang / Assistant Manager



# 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

# 2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT

PRODUCT	Mobile Computer			
MODEL NO.	MC3190			
FCC ID	UZ7MC3190			
POWER SUPPLY	DC 12V to cradle, DC 5.4V from power adapter or DC 3.7V from battery			
MODULATION TECHNOLOGY	DSSS, OFDM			
TRANSFER RATE	802.11b: 11 / 5.5 / 2 / 1Mbps 802.11g: 54 / 48 / 36 / 24 / 18 / 12 / 9 / 6Mbps 802.11a: 54 / 48 / 36 / 24 / 18 / 12 / 9 / 6Mbps			
	For 15.407: 5.18 ~ 5.32GHz			
OPERATING FREQUENCY	<b>For 15.247:</b> 802.11b & 802.11g: 2412 ~ 2462MHz 802.11a: 5.745 ~ 5.825GHz			
	For <b>15.407</b> : 19			
NUMBER OF CHANNEL	For 15.247: 11 for 802.11b, 802.11g			
	5 for 802.11a			
	Main antenna	Aux. antenna		
CHANNEL FREQUENCIES UNDER TEST AND ITS CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	12.52dBm / Ch36: 5180MHz 12.47dBm / Ch40: 5200MHz 12.62dBm / Ch48: 5240MHz 14.55dBm / Ch52: 5260MHz 11.62dBm / Ch60: 5300MHz 11.65dBm / Ch64: 5320MHz 11.57dBm / Ch100: 5500MHz 13.50dBm / Ch120: 5600MHz 8.31dBm / Ch140: 5700MHz			
AVERAGE SAR (1g)	0.551W/kg			
ANTENNA TYPE	Please see note 4			
DATA CABLE	RS232 Cable x 1 (Part No.: 25-67866-03R) USB Cable x 1 (Part No.: 25-67868-03R) (only for test, not for sale together)			
I/O PORTS	USB port x 1, SD slot port x	1, Audio port x 1		
ASSOCIATED DEVICES	Battery x 1			

- 1. There are Bluetooth technology and WLAN technology used for the EUT. <the Bluetooth test data please refer "SA980729H05-2>
- 2. There are different types in MC3190, which with identical WLAN module and Bluetooth module in inside.



3. There are seven antennas provided to this EUT, please refer to the following table:

For	WLAN							
No.	Brand	Model	Antenna Type	Gain (dBi)	nin (dBi) Connecter Type Frequency range (MHz)		Cable Loss(dB)	Cable Length
1	Laird (R Type)	Rot main	PIFA	0.37(2.4G) 4.81(5G)	Hirose U.FL	2400~2850 4900~5850	0.1~0.15	25 ± 0.5mm
2	Laird (R Type)	Rot aux	PIFA	1.63(2.4G) 4.93(5G)	Hirose U.FL	2400~2850 4900~5850	0.1~0.15	61 +2/-1mm
3	Laird (S Type)	Str main	PIFA	0.89(2.4G) 4.34(5G)	Hirose U.FL	2400~2850 4900~5850	0.1~0.15	25 ± 0.5mm
4	Laird (S Type)	Str aux	PIFA	1.09(2.4G) 4.52(5G)	Hirose U.FL	2400~2850 4900~5850	0.1~0.15	61 +2/-1mm
5	Laird (G Type)	Gun main	PIFA	2.16(2.4G) 5.83(5G)	Hirose U.FL	2400~2850 4900~5850	0.1~0.15	25 ± 0.5mm
6	Laird (G Type)	Gun aux	PIFA	2.46(2.4G) 5.69(5G)	Hirose U.FL	2400~2850 4900~5850	0.1~0.15	61 +2/-1mm

#### Note

1. For 2.4G: The antenna 6 was selected as representative antenna for the test.

2. For 5G: The antenna 5 was selected as representative antenna for the test.

## For Bluetooth

No.	Brand	Model	Antenna Type	Gain (dBi)	Connecter Type	Frequency range (MHz)	Cable Loss(dB)	Cable Length
1	Motorola	Rot type	PIFA	3.080	Hirose U.FL	2400~2480	0.1~0.15	35 ± 0.5mm
2	Motorola	Str type	PIFA	2.481	Hirose U.FL	2400~2480	0.1~0.15	35 ± 0.5mm
3	Motorola	Gun type	PIFA	2.885	Hirose U.FL	2400~2480	0.1~0.15	35 ± 0.5mm

4. For EUT with 3 working type and with different keypad & Scan Engine:

	S	Straight typ	е	Gun Type			Rotating type		
Keypad	48keys 38keys <b>28keys</b>			48keys	38keys	28keys	48keys	38keys	28keys
Scan Engine	2D imaging	1D laser scanning	-	2D imaging	1D laser scanning	-	1D laser scanning		ning

<sup>\*\*</sup>For the final test, worst case had been boldface.



5. The EUT could be supplied with the a charge, power adapter and Li-ion battery as below:

THE LOT COURT DE SUPE	med with the a charge, power adapter and Li-ion batter						
Cradle 1 (1-slot) (only	Cradle 1 (1-slot) (only for test, not for sale together)						
Brand:	SYMBOL						
Part No.:	CRD3000-1001RR						
Input power :	+12V3.3A						
I/O Porte	USB Port x 1 RJ-45(console) Port x 1						
Associated devices:	JSB cable x 1 (Part No.: 25-68596-01R) 1.6m, Unshielded without core) RJ-45(console) cable x 1 (Part No.: 25-63852-01R) 1.8m, Unshielded without core) Adapter x 1 (Part No.: 50-14000-148R)						
Cradle 2 (4-slot) (only	y for test, not for sale together)						
Brand:	SYMBOL						
Part No.:	CRD3000-4001ER						
Input power :	+12V9A						
I/O Ports:	RJ-45(LAN) Port x 2						
Associated devices:	Power cable x 2 (Part No.: 50-16002-042R) (1.8m, Shielded with two cores) Adapter x 2 (Part No.: 50-14000-241R)						
Adapter 1 (only for C	radle 1 use, not for sale together)						
Brand:	HIPRO						
Model No.:	HP-O2040D43						
Part No.:	50-14000-148R						
Input power :	100-240V, 50-60Hz, 1.5A						
Output power :	+12V3.33A DC output cable (1.8m, Unshielded)						
	radle 2 use, not for sale together)						
Brand	SYMBOL						
Model No.	:: SYM04-1						
Part No.	: 50-14000-241R						
Input power	: 100-120/200-240V, 50-60Hz, 3.0/1.5A						
Output power	: +12V9.0A						



Adapter 1 (only for test, not for sale together)							
Brand:	DELTA						
Model No.:	ADP-16GB A						
Part No.:	50-14000-147						
Input power :	100-240V, 50-60Hz, 0.4A						
Output power :	+5.4V3.0A DC output cable (1.8m, Unshielded, with one core)						
Adapter 2 (only for tes	st, not for sale together)						
Brand:	MOTOROLA						
Model No.:	EADP-16BB A						
Part No.:	50-14000-249R						
Input power :	100-240V, 50-60Hz, 0.4A						
Output power :	+5.4V3.0A DC output cable (1.8m, Unshielded)						
Li-ion Battery 1 for MC	C3190 (S & G)						
Brand:	MOTOROLA						
Model No.:	82-127909-02						
RATING:	3.7V, 4800mAh						
Li-ion Battery 2 for MC	C3190(R)						
Brand:	MOTOROLA						
Model No.:	82-127912-01						
RATING:	3.7V, 2740mAh						

- 6. The EUT operates in both the 5GHz and 2.4GHz Bands and compatibility with 802.11a, 802.11b, 802.11g and Bluetooth technology.
- 7. The above EUT information was declared by manufacturer and for more detailed features description, please refer to the manufacturer's specifications or user's manual.



# 2.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS

According to the specifications of the manufacturer, this product must comply with the requirements of the following standards:

FCC Part 2 (2.1093)
FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01- 01)
RSS-102 Issue 3 (June 2009)
IEEE 1528-2003

All test items have been performed and recorded as per the above standards.



#### 2.3 GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM

DASY4 (software 4.7 Build 80) consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY4 software defined. The DASY4 software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.

DASY5 (software 5.0 Build 125) consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY5 software defined. The DASY5 software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.



#### **EX3DV3 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE**

Symmetrical design with triangular core CONSTRUCTION

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

10 MHz to > 6 GHz **FREQUENCY** 

Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)

± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) DIRECTIVITY

± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)

10  $\mu$  W/a to > 100 mW/a DYNAMIC RANGE

Linearity:  $\pm$  0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1  $\mu$  W/g)

Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) **DIMENSIONS** Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm)

Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm

High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario **APPLICATION** 

(e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables

compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better

30%.

#### NOTE

1. The Probe parameters have been calibrated by the SPEAG. Please reference "APPENDIX D" for the Calibration Certification Report.

2. For frequencies above 800MHz, calibration in a rectangular wave-quide is used, because wave-quide size is manageable.

3. For frequencies below 800MHz, temperature transfer calibration is used because the wave-guide size becomes relatively large.

#### **EX3DV4 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE**

Symmetrical design with triangular core CONSTRUCTION

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

10 MHz > 6 GHz **FREQUENCY** 

Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)

± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) DIRECTIVITY

 $\pm$  0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)

10  $\mu$  W/g to > 100 mW/g **DYNAMIC RANGE** 

Linearity:  $\pm$  0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1  $\mu$  W/g)

Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) **DIMENSIONS** Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm)

Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm

High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario **APPLICATION** 

(e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables

compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better

30%.

#### NOTE

1. The Probe parameters have been calibrated by the SPEAG. Please reference "APPENDIX D" for the Calibration Certification Report.

2. For frequencies above 800MHz, calibration in a rectangular wave-guide is used, because wave-guide size is manageable.

3. For frequencies below 800MHz, temperature transfer calibration is used because the wave-guide size becomes relatively large.



#### **TWIN SAM V4.0**

**CONSTRUCTION** The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific

Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE

1528-2003, EN 62209-1 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually

teaching three points with the robot.

SHELL THICKNESS 2 ± 0.2mm

FILLING VOLUME Approx. 25liters

**DIMENSIONS** Height: 810mm; Length: 1000mm; Width: 500mm

#### **SYSTEM VALIDATION KITS:**

**CONSTRUCTION** Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun enables measurement of

feedpoint impedance with NWA matched for use near flat

phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions. Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor

**CALIBRATION** Calibrated SAR value for specified position and input power at

the flat phantom in brain simulating solutions

FREQUENCY 5200MHz, 5500MHz

**RETURN LOSS** > 20dB at specified validation position

**POWER CAPABILITY** > 100W (f < 1GHz); > 40W (f > 1GHz)

**OPTIONS** Dipoles for other frequencies or solutions and other calibration

conditions upon request



# **DEVICE HOLDER FOR SAM TWIN PHANTOM**

#### CONSTRUCTION

The device holder for the mobile phone device is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles. The holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\varepsilon$  =3 and loss tangent  $\delta$  =0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered. The device holder for the portable device makes up of the polyethylene foam. The dielectric parameters of material close to the dielectric parameters of the air.

#### **DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS**

#### CONSTRUCTION

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplex, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe is mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



# 2.4 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION

The DASY5 post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the micro-volt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity Norm<sub>i</sub>, a<sub>i0</sub>, a<sub>i1</sub>, a<sub>i2</sub>

- Conversion factor ConvF<sub>i</sub>

- Diode compression point dcpi

Device parameters: - Frequency F

- Crest factor Cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity  $\sigma$ 

- Density

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_{i} = U_{i} + U_{i}^{2} \bullet \frac{cf}{dcp_{i}}$$

 $V_i$  = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)  $U_i$  = input signal of channel I (i = x, y, z)

Cf =crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
dcp<sub>i</sub> =diode compression point (DASY parameter)



From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-fieldprobes: 
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_1}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H-fieldprobes: 
$$H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

 $V_i$  =compensated signal of channel I (i = x, y, z)

Norm<sub>i</sub> = sensor sensitivity of channel i  $\mu V/(V/m)2$  for (i = x, y, z)

E-field Probes

ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

a<sub>ii</sub> = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

F = carrier frequency [GHz]

E<sub>i</sub> = electric field strength of channel i in V/mH<sub>i</sub> = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E<sub>tot</sub> = total field strength in V/m

 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

 $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm3



Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid. The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the Post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- 1. The extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- 2. The calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- 3. The generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- 4. The interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- 5. The extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- 6. The calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

The probe is calibrated at the center of the dipole sensors that is located 1 to 2.7mm away from the probe tip. During measurements, the probe stops shortly above the phantom surface, depending on the probe and the surface detecting system. Both distances are included as parameters in the probe configuration file. The software always knows exactly how far away the measured point is from the surface. As the probe cannot directly measure at the surface, the values between the deepest measured point and the surface must be extrapolated. The angle between the probe axis and the surface normal line is less than 30 degree.



The maximum search is automatically performed after each area scan measurement. It is based on splines in two or three dimensions. The procedure can find the maximum for most SAR distributions even with relatively large grid spacing. After the area scanning measurement, the probe is automatically moved to a position at the interpolated maximum. The following scan can directly use this position for reference, e.g., for a finer resolution grid or the cube evaluations. The 1g and 10g peak evaluations are only available for the predefined cube 7 x 7 x 7 scans. The routines are verified and optimized for the grid dimensions used in these cube measurements. The measured volume of 30 x 30 x 30mm contains about 30g of tissue. The first procedure is an extrapolation (incl. boundary correction) to get the points between the lowest measured plane and the surface. The next step uses 3D interpolation to get all points within the measured volume in a 1mm grid (42875 points). In the last step, a 1g cube is placed numerically into the volume and its averaged SAR is calculated. This cube is the moved around until the highest averaged SAR is found. If the highest SAR is found at the edge of the measured volume, the system will issue a warning: higher SAR values might be found outside of the measured volume. In that case the cube measurement can be repeated, using the new interpolated maximum as the center

## 3. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS

The EUT has been tested as an independent unit.



## 4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS

#### 4.1. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE

Test tool is CEcTxRx v1.5.0.0 provided by client. It can control EUT to transmit continuously at specific channel, output power level, data rates and 100 % duty signal.

"Per KDB 248277, for each frequency band, testing at higher data rates and higher order modulations is not required when the maximum average output power for each of these configurations is less than 1/4 dB higher than those measured at the lowest data rate."

Comparing output power of all modulations and data rates of each mode can find the lowest data rates has max output power. Therefore, EUT will set under lowest data rates to test.

"Per KDB 447498, when the SAR procedures require multiple channels to be tested and the 1-g SAR for the highest output channel is less than 0.8 W/kg and peak SAR is less than 1.6w/kg, where the transmission band corresponding to all channels is ≤ 100 MHz, testing for the other channels is not required."

According to test data from table of section 4.2, SAR value of highest output power channel of 5180~5240 and 5260~5320MHz is less than 0.8 W / kg and Peak SAR values are less than 1.6W/kg. Therefore, testing for other channels is not required.

"Per KDB 447498, when the SAR procedures require multiple channels to be tested and the 1-g SAR for the highest output channel is less than 0.4 W/kg and peak SAR is less than 0.8W/kg, where the transmission band corresponding to all channels is ≤ 200 MHz, testing for the other channels is not required."

According to test data from table of section 4.2, SAR value of highest output power channel of 5500~5700MHz is less than 0.4 W / kg and Peak SAR values are less than 0.8W/kg. Therefore, testing for other channels is not required.



ITEM	TEST MODE	MODULATION	EUT TYPE	ASSESSMENT POSTITION	KEYPAD	TESTED CHANNEL	TX ANTENNA
1	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	52	Main
2	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	52	Aux.
3	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Front with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	52	Main
4	802.11a	BPSK	Α	Body / Front with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	52	Aux.
5	802.11a	BPSK	A	Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	48	Main
6	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	120	Main
7	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	38Keys	52	Main
8	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	48Keys	52	Main
9	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	52	Main
10	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	52	Aux.
11	802.11a	BPSK	В	Body / Back with leather & 2D scan engine	28Keys	52	Main
12	802.11a	BPSK	В	Body / Back with leather & 2D scan engine	28Keys	52	Aux.
13	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	48	Main
14	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Back with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	120	Main
15	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Right with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	48, 52, 120	Aux.
16	802.11a	BPSK	С	Body / Left with leather & 1D scan engine	28Keys	52	Main
17	802.11a	BPSK		Body / Right with leather & 2D scan engine	28Keys	52	Aux.

**NOTE:** EUT Type A: Rotate type, B: Straight type, C: Body Gun Type; please refer to the test set up photo.



# 4.2. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

ı	TEM	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
PART OF	ASSESSMENT		BODY POSITION							
TES	EST MODE 802.11a									
CHAN.	FREQ. (MHz)			MEASUF	RED VALUE	OF 1g SAF	R ( W/kg)			
48	5240	-	-	-	-	0.076	-	-	-	
52	5260	0.149	0.085	0.065	0.102	-	-	0.137	0.143	
120	5600	-	,	-	-	-	0.077	-	-	

	TEM	9	10	11	12	13	14
PART OF ASSESSMENT BODY POSITION							
TES	T MODE	802.11a					
CHAN.	FREQ. (MHz)		MEA	SURED VALUE	OF 1g SAR (V	V/kg)	
48	5240	-	-	-	-	0.071	-
52	5260	0.087 0.052 0.083 0.042					
120	5600	-	-	-	-	-	0.066

ı	ITEM	15	16	17			
PART OF	PART OF ASSESSMENT BODY POSITION						
TES	T MODE	802.11a					
CHAN.	FREQ. (MHz)	MEA	SURED VALUE OF 1g SAR ( V	V/kg)			
48	5240	0.286	-	-			
52	5260	<b>0.551</b> 0.406 0.520					
120	5600	0.394	0.394				

**NOTE:** The worst value has been marked by boldface.



# 5. TEST RESULTS

#### 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES

Use the software to control the EUT channel and transmission power. Then record the conducted power before the testing. Place the EUT to the specific test location. After the testing, must writing down the conducted power of the EUT into the report. The SAR value was calculated via the 3D spline interpolation algorithm that has been implemented in the software of DASY5 SAR measurement system manufactured and calibrated by SPEAG. According to the IEEE 1528 standards, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- Power reference measurement
- Verification of the power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power reference measurement

The area scan was performed for the highest spatial SAR location. The zoom scan with 30mm x 30mm x 30mm volume was performed for SAR value averaged over 1g and 10g spatial volumes.



In the zoom scan, the distance between the measurement point at the probe sensor location (geometric center behind the probe tip) and the phantom surface is 3mm and maintained at a constant distance of  $\pm 0.5$ mm during a zoom scan to determine peak SAR locations. The distance is 3mm between the first measurement point and the bottom surface of the phantom. The secondary measurement point to the bottom surface of the phantom is with 8mm separation distance. The cube size is 7 x 7 x 7 points consists of 343 points and the grid space is 5mm.

The measurement time is 0.5s at each point of the zoom scan. The probe boundary effect compensation shall be applied during the SAR test. Because of the tip of the probe to the Phantom surface separated distances are longer than half a tip probe diameter.

In the area scan, the separation distance is 3mm between the each measurement point and the phantom surface. The scan size shall be included the transmission portion of the EUT. The measurement time is the same as the zoom scan. At last the reference power drift shall be less than  $\pm 5\%$ .



# 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS

	RONMENT <i>A</i> DITION		Air Temperature:22.7°C, Liquid Temperature:22.0°C Humidity:60%RH						
TEST	ED BY	D BY Sam Onn DATE Sep. 04, 2009			009				
CHAN.	FREQ. (MHz)	TEST M	IODE	CONDUCTED POWER (dBm)  BEGIN TEST	₹	POWER DRIFT (dB)	DEVICE TEST POSITION MODE	MEASURED 1g SAR (W/kg)	
52	5260	802.1	1a	14.55		-0.105	1	0.149	
52	5260	802.11a		14.32		-0.092	2	0.085	
52	5260	802.11a		14.55		-0.103	3	0.065	
52	5260	802.1	1a	14.32		-0.026	4	0.102	
48	5240	802.1	∣1a	12.62		0.079	5	0.076	
120	5600	802.11a		13.50		0.163	6	0.077	
52	5260	802.11a		14.55		-0.133	7	0.137	
52	5260	802.1	  1a	14.55		-0.014	8	0.143	

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 4.1.
- $2. \ \ In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, \textbf{1.6 W/kg}, is applied.$
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



ENVIRONMENTAL Air Temperature : 23.1°C, Liquid Temperature : 22.0°C  Humidity : 58%RH									
TEST	ED BY		Sam	Onn	DATE			Sep. 05, 2009	
CHAN.	FREQ. (MHz)	TEST MODE		CONDUCTED POWER (dBm)  BEGIN TEST		POWER DRIFT (dB)	DEVICE TEST POSITION MODE		MEASURED 1g SAR (W/kg)
52	5260	802.11a		14.55	14.55			9	0.087
52	5260	802.11a		14.32		-0.102		10	0.052
52	5260	802.11a		14.55		-0.081		11	0.083
52	5260	802.11a		14.32		0.076		12	0.042
48	5240	802.11a		12.62		0.080		13	0.071
120	5600	802.	11a	13.50		-0.103		14	0.066

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 4.1.
- $2. \ \ In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, \textbf{1.6 W/kg}, is applied.$
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- ${\it 4. The \ variation \ of the EUT \ conducted \ power \ measured \ before \ and \ after \ SAR \ testing \ should \ not \ over \ 5\%.}$



ENVIRONMENTAL Air Temperature : 23.1°C, Liquid Temperature : 22.6°C Humidity : 61%RH								
TEST	ED BY		Dylan Chiou DATE Sep. 08, 2009		009			
CHAN.	FREQ. (MHz)	TEST MODE		CONDUCTED POWE (dBm) BEGIN TEST	R	POWER DRIFT (dB)	DEVICE TEST POSITION MODE	MEASURED 1g SAR (W/kg)
48	5240	802.11a		12.43		-0.105		0.286
52	5260	802.11a		1a 14.32		-0.168	15	0.551
120	5600	802.	11a	13.18		-0.196		0.394
52	5260	802.11a		14.55		-0.106	16	0.406
52	5260	802.	11a	14.32		-0.028	17	0.52

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 4.1.
- $2. \ \ In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, \textbf{1.6 W/kg}, is applied.$
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



# 5.3 SAR LIMITS

	SAR (W/kg)				
HUMAN EXPOSURE	(GENERAL POPULATION / UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE ENVIRONMENT)	(OCCUPATIONAL / CONTROLLED EXPOSURE ENVIRONMENT)			
Spatial Average (whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over 1 g)	1.6	8.0			
Spatial Peak (hands / wrists / feet / ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

- 1. This limits accord to 47 CFR 2.1093 Safety Limit.
- 2. The EUT property been complied with the partial body exposure limit under the general population environment.



#### 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom, the phantom must be filled with 25 litters of tissue simulation liquid.

The following ingredients are used:

• WATER- Deionized water (pure H20), resistivity \_16 M - as basis for the liquid

• **SUGAR-** Refined sugar in crystals, as available in food shops - to reduce relative

permittivity

• **SALT-** Pure NaCl - to increase conductivity

• **CELLULOSE-** Hydroxyethyl-cellulose, medium viscosity (75-125mPa.s, 2% in water,

20\_C),

CAS # 54290 - to increase viscosity and to keep sugar in solution

• PRESERVATIVE- Preventol D-7 Bayer AG, D-51368 Leverkusen, CAS # 55965-84-9 - to

prevent the spread of bacteria and molds

• **DGMBE-** Diethylenglycol-monobuthyl ether (DGMBE), Fluka Chemie GmbH,

CAS # 112-34-5 - to reduce relative permittivity

# THE INFORMATION FOR 5GHz SIMULATING LIQUID

The 5GHz liquids was purchased from SPEAG.

Body liquid model: HSL 5800, P/N: SL AAH 5800 AA

Head liquid model: M 5800, P/N: SL AAM 580 AD

5GHz liquids contain the following ingredients:

Water 64 - 78%

Mineral Oil 11 - 18%

Emulsifiers 9 - 15%

Additives and Salt 2 - 3%



Testing the liquids using the Agilent Network Analyzer E8358A and Agilent Dielectric Probe Kit 85070D. The testing procedure is following as

- 1. Turn Network Analyzer on and allow at least 30min. warm up.
- 2. Mount dielectric probe kit so that interconnecting cable to Network Analyzer will not be moved during measurements or calibration.
- 3. Pour de-ionized water and measure water temperature (±1°).
- 4. Set water temperature in Agilent-Software (Calibration Setup).
- 5. Perform calibration.
- 6. Validate calibration with dielectric material of known properties (e.g. polished ceramic slab with >8mm thickness  $\epsilon$ '=10.0,  $\epsilon$ "=0.0). If measured parameters do not fit within tolerance, repeat calibration (±0.2 for  $\epsilon$ ': ±0.1 for  $\epsilon$ ").
- 7. Conductivity can be calculated from  $\varepsilon''$  by  $\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon'' = \varepsilon'' f [GHz] / 18.$
- 8. Measure liquid shortly after calibration. Repeat calibration every hour.
- 9. Stir the liquid to be measured. Take a sample (~ 50ml) with a syringe from the center of the liquid container.
- 10. Pour the liquid into a small glass flask. Hold the syringe at the bottom of the flask to avoid air bubbles.
- 11. Put the dielectric probe in the glass flask. Check that there are no air bubbles in front of the opening in the dielectric probe kit.
- 12. Perform measurements.
- 13. Adjust medium parameters in DASY5 for the frequencies necessary for the measurements ('Setup Config', select medium (e.g. Brain 900MHz) and press 'Option'-button.
- 14. Select the current medium for the frequency of the validation (e.g. Setup Medium Brain 900MHz).



# FOR WLAN 5GHz BAND SIMULATING LIQUID

LIQUID T	YPE	MSL-5800					
SIMULATING LIQUID 22.0							
TEST DA	TE		Sep. 04, 2009				
TESTED I	вү		Sam Onn				
FREQ. (MHz)	LIQUID PARAMETER	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE	ERROR PERCENTAGE (%)			
5200	Dormitivity	49.00	50.00	2.04			
5240		49.00	49.90	1.84			
5260	Permitivity ( $\varepsilon$ )	48.90	49.80	1.84			
5500		48.60	49.40	1.65			
5600		48.50	49.10	1.24			
5200		5.30	5.21	-1.70			
5240	Conductivity	5.35	5.27	-1.50			
5260	(σ)	5.37	5.30	-1.30			
5500	S/m	5.65	5.65	0.00			
5600		5.77	5.79	0.35			
		Dielectric Parameter	s Required at 22℃				



LIQUID T	YPE	MSL-5800					
SIMULAT TEMP.	ING LIQUID	22.0					
TEST DA	ΓΕ		Sep. 05, 2009				
TESTED I	ВҮ		Sam Onn				
FREQ. (MHz)	LIQUID PARAMETER	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE	ERROR PERCENTAGE (%)			
5200		49.00	50.50	3.06			
5240	Downsitiveite	49.00	50.40	2.86			
5260	Permitivity ( $\varepsilon$ )	48.90	50.30	2.86			
5500	(0)	48.60	49.90	2.67			
5600		48.50	49.60	2.27			
5200		5.30	5.19	-2.08			
5240	Conductivity	5.35	5.25	-1.87			
5260	(σ)	5.37	5.28	-1.68			
5500	S/m	5.65	5.63	-0.35			
5600		5.77	5.77	0.00			
		Dielectric Parameter	s Required at 22℃				



LIQUID TYPE			MSL-5800				
SIMULAT TEMP.	ING LIQUID	22.6					
TEST DA	TE		Sep. 08, 2009				
TESTED I	ВҮ		Dylan Chiou				
FREQ. (MHz)	LIQUID PARAMETER	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE	ERROR PERCENTAGE (%)			
5200		49.00	51.10	4.29			
5240	Permitivity	49.00	51.10	4.29			
5260	$(\varepsilon)$	48.90	51.00	4.29			
5500		48.60	50.70	4.32			
5600		48.50	50.40	3.92			
5200		5.30	5.37	1.32			
5240	Conductivity	5.35	5.43	1.50			
5260	(σ)	5.37	5.46	1.68			
5500	S/m	5.65	5.82	3.01			
5600		5.77	5.92	2.60			
		Dielectric Parameter	s Required at 22℃				



# 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY

ITEM	NAME	BRAND	TYPE	SERIES NO.	DATE OF CALIBRATION	DUE DATE OF CALIBRATION
1	Network Analyzer	Agilent	E8358A	US41480538	Nov. 27, 2008	Nov. 26, 2009
2	Dielectric Probe	Agilent	85070D	US01440176	NA	NA

- 1. Before starting, all test equipment shall be warmed up for 30min.
- 2. The tolerance (k=1) specified by Agilent for general dielectric measurements, deriving from inaccuracies in the calibration data, analyzer drift, and random errors, are usually ±2.5% and ±5% for measured permittivity and conductivity, respectively. However, the tolerances for the conductivity is smaller for material with large loss tangents, i.e., less than ±2.5% (k=1). It can be substantially smaller if more accurate methods are applied.



# 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION

The system validation was performed in the flat phantom with equipment listed in the following table. Since the SAR value is calculated from the measured electric field, dielectric constant and conductivity of the body tissue and the SAR is proportional to the square of the electric field. So, the SAR value will be also proportional to the RF power input to the system validation dipole under the same test environment. In our system validation test, 250mW RF input power was used.

## **6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT**

ITEM	NAME	BRAND	TYPE	SERIES NO.	DATE OF CALIBRATION	DUE DATE OF CALIBRATION
1	SAM Phantom	S & P	QD000 P40 CA	TP-1150	NA	NA
2	Signal Generator	Anritsu	68247B	984703	May 21, 2009	May 20, 2010
3	E-Field Probe	Field Probe S & P		3504	Jan. 21, 2009	Jan. 20, 2010
4	L-Fleiu Flobe	σατ	EX3DV4	3590	Apr. 28, 2009	Apr. 27, 2010
5	DAE	S&P	DAE	861	Sep. 22, 2008	Sep. 21, 2009
6	DAE	σατ	DAE	510	Jan. 21, 2009	Jan. 20, 2010
7	Robot Positioner	Staubli Unimation	NA	NA	NA	NA
8	Validation Dipole	S&P	D5GHzV2	1019	Feb. 20, 2009	Feb. 19, 2010

**NOTE:** Before starting the measurement, all test equipment shall be warmed up for 30min.



#### 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE

Before the system performance check, we need only to tell the system which components (probe, medium, and device) are used for the system performance check; the system will take care of all parameters. The dipole must be placed beneath the flat section of the SAM Twin Phantom with the correct distance holder in place. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking (little cross) and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. Accurate positioning is not necessary, since the system will search for the peak SAR location, except that the dipole arms should be parallel to the surface. The device holder for mobile phones can be left in place but should be rotated away from the dipole.

- 1. The "Power Reference Measurement" and "Power Drift Measurement" jobs are located at the beginning and end of the batch process. They measure the field drift at one single point in the liquid over the complete procedure. The indicated drift is mainly the variation of the amplifier output power. If it is too high (above ±0.1 dB), the system performance check should be repeated; some amplifiers have very high drift during warm-up. A stable amplifier gives drift results in the DASY system below ±0.02dB.
- 2. The "Surface Check" job tests the optical surface detection system of the DASY system by repeatedly detecting the surface with the optical and mechanical surface detector and comparing the results. The output gives the detecting heights of both systems, the difference between the two systems and the standard deviation of the detection repeatability. Air bubbles or refraction in the liquid due to separation of the sugar-water mixture gives poor repeatability (above ±0.1mm). In that case it is better to abort the system performance check and stir the liquid.



- 3. The "Area Scan" job measures the SAR above the dipole on a plane parallel to the surface. It is used to locate the approximate location of the peak SAR. The proposed scan uses large grid spacing for faster measurement; due to the symmetric field, the peak detection is reliable. If a finer graphic is desired, the grid spacing can be reduced. Grid spacing and orientation have no influence on the SAR result.
- 4. The "Zoom Scan" job measures the field in a volume around the peak SAR value assessed in the previous "Area Scan" job (for more information see the application note on SAR evaluation).

About the validation dipole positioning uncertainty, the constant and low loss dielectric spacer is used to establish the correct distance between the top surface of the dipole and the bottom surface of the phantom, the error component introduced by the uncertainty of the distance between the liquid (i.e., phantom shell) and the validation dipole in the DASY5 system is less than ±0.1mm.

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times (\frac{(a+d)^2}{a^2} - 1)$$

As the closest distance is 10mm, the resulting tolerance SAR $_{tolerance}$ [%] is <2%.



# **6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS**

	SYSTEM VALIDATION TEST OF SIMULATING LIQUID								
FREQUENCY (MHz)	REQUIRED SAR (mW/g)	MEASURED SAR (mW/g)	DEVIATION (%)	SEPARATION DISTANCE	TESTED DATE				
MSL5200	7.69 (1g)	7.31	-4.94	10mm	Sep. 04, 2009				
MSL5200	7.69 (1g)	7.20	-6.37	10mm	Sep. 05, 2009				
MSL5200	7.69 (1g)	8.13	5.72	10mm	Sep. 08, 2009				
MSL5500	8.30 (1g)	7.84	-5.54	10mm	Sep. 04, 2009				
MSL5500	8.30 (1g)	7.73	-6.87	10mm	Sep. 05, 2009				
MSL5500	8.30 (1g)	8.68	4.58	10mm	Sep. 08, 2009				
TESTED BY	Dylan Chiou								

**NOTE:** Please see Appendix for the photo of system validation test.



# 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES

In the table below, the system validation uncertainty with respect to the analytically assessed SAR value of a dipole source as given in the IEEE 1528 standard is given. This uncertainty is smaller than the expected uncertainty for mobile phone measurements due to the simplified setup and the symmetric field distribution.

Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(0	(C <sub>i</sub> )		dard tainty %)	(v <sub>i</sub> )
				(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)	
		Measuremen	t System					
Probe Calibration	6.55	Normal	1	1	1	6.55	6.55	8
Axial Isotropy	4.70	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.7	1.90	1.90	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.7	3.88	3.88	8
Boundary effects	2.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.15	1.15	8
Linearity	4.70	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.71	2.71	8
System Detection Limits	1.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.58	0.58	8
Readout Electronics	0.30	Normal	1	1	1	0.30	0.30	8
Response Time	0.80	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.46	0.46	8
Integration Time	2.60	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.50	1.50	8
RF Ambient Noise	3.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	8
RF Ambient Reflections	3.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	8
Probe Positioner	0.80	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.46	0.46	8
Probe Positioning	9.90	Rectangular	√3	1	1	5.72	5.72	8
Max. SAR Eval.	4.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	8
		Dipole Re	elated					
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.15	1.15	145
Input Power Drift	5.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.89	2.89	8
		Phantom and Tiss	ue paramet	ters				
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	8
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.00	Rectangular	√3	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24	8
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	3.63	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	2.32	1.56	$\infty$
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.00	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41	$\infty$
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	4.92	Normal	1	0.6	0.49	2.95	2.41	∞
	Combined S	Standard Uncertair	nty			12.36	12.01	
	Coverag	ge Factor for 95%					Kp=2	
	Expanded	I Uncertainty (K=2)				24.73	24.01	

**NOTE:** About the system validation uncertainty assessment, please reference the section 7.



### 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES

The assessment of spatial peak SAR of the hand handheld devices is according to IEEE 1528 / EN 62209-1. All testing situation shall be met below these requirements.

- The system is used by an experienced engineer who follows the manual and the guidelines taught during the training provided by SPEAG.
- The probe has been calibrated within the requested period and the stated uncertainty for the relevant frequency bands does not exceed 4.8% (k=1).
- The validation dipole has been calibrated within the requested period and the system performance check has been successful.
- The DAE unit has been calibrated within the within the requested period.
- The minimum distance between the probe sensor and inner phantom shell is selected to be between 4 and 5mm.
- The operational mode of the DUT is CW, CDMA, FDMA or TDMA (GSM, DCS, PCS, IS136 and PDC) and the measurement/integration time per point is >500 ms.
- The dielectric parameters of the liquid have been assessed using Agilent 85070D dielectric probe kit or a more accurate method.
- The dielectric parameters are within 5% of the target values.
- The DUT has been positioned as described in section 3.

#### 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY

SPEAG conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE 1528, EN 62209-1, IEC 62209, etc.) under ISO17025. The uncertainties are stated on the calibration certificate. For the most relevant frequency bands, these values do not exceed 4.8% (k=1). If evaluations of other bands are performed for which the uncertainty exceeds these values, the uncertainty tables given in the summary have to be revised accordingly.



#### 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY

The axial isotropy tolerance accounts for probe rotation around its axis while the hemispherical isotropy error includes all probe orientations and field polarizations. These parameters are assessed by SPEAG during initial calibration. In 2001, SPEAG further tightened its quality controls and warrants that the maximal deviation from axial isotropy is  $\pm 0.20$ dB, while the maximum deviation of hemispherical isotropy is  $\pm 0.40$ dB, corresponding to  $\pm 4.7\%$  and  $\pm 9.6\%$ , respectively. A weighting factor of cp equal to 0.5 can be applied, since the axis of the probe deviates less than 30 degrees from the normal surface orientation.

#### 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY

The effect can be estimated according to the following error approximation formula

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = SAR_{be}[\%] \times \frac{(d_{be} + d_{step})^2}{2d_{step}} \frac{e^{-\frac{d_{be}}{\delta/2}}}{\delta/2}$$

$$d_{be} + d_{step} < 10mm$$

The parameter  $d_{be}$  is the distance in mm between the surface and the closest measurement point used in the averaging process;  $d_{step}$  is the separation distance in mm between the first and second measurement points;  $\delta$  is the minimum penetration depth in mm within the head tissue equivalent liquids (i.e.,  $\delta$ = 13.95mm at 3GHz); SAR<sub>be</sub> is the deviation between the measured SAR value at the distance  $d_{be}$  from the boundary and the wave-guide analytical value SAR<sub>ref</sub>.DASY5 applies a boundary effect compensation algorithm according to IEEE 1528, which is possible since the axis of the probe never deviates more than 30 degrees from the normal surface orientation. SAR<sub>be</sub>[%] is assessed during the calibration process and SPEAG warrants that the uncertainty at distances larger than 4mm is always less than 1%.In summary, the worst case boundary effect SAR tolerance[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is <  $\pm$  0.8%.



#### 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY

Field probe linearity uncertainty includes errors from the assessment and compensation of the diode compression effects for CW and pulsed signals with known duty cycles. This error is assessed using the procedure described in IEEE 1528 / EN 62209-1. For SPEAG field probes, the measured difference between CW and pulsed signals, with pulse frequencies between 10Hz and 1kHz and duty cycles between 1 and 100, is  $< \pm 0.20$ dB ( $< \pm 4.7\%$ ).

### 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties related to the probe readout electronics (DAE unit), including the gain and linearity of the instrumentation amplifier, its loading effect on the probe, and accuracy of the signal conversion algorithm, have been assessed accordingly to IEEE 1528 / EN 62209-1. The combination (root-sum-square RSS method) of these components results in an overall maximum error of  $\pm 1.0\%$ .

#### 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY

The time response of the field probes is assessed by exposing the probe to a well-controlled electric field producing SAR larger than 2.0W/kg at the tissue medium surface. The signal response time is evaluated as the time required by the system to reach 90% of the expected final value after an on/of switch of the power source. Analytically, it can be expressed as:

$$SAR_{tolerance} [\%] = 100 \times (\frac{T_m}{T_m + \tau e^{-T_m/\tau} - \tau} - 1)$$

where Tm is 500 ms, i.e., the time between measurement samples, and  $_{\rm T}$  the time constant. The response time  $_{\rm T}$  of SPEAG's probes is <5ms. In the current implementation, DASY5 waits longer than 100 ms after having reached the grid point before starting a measurement, i.e., the response time uncertainty is negligible.



### 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY

If the device under test does not emit a CW signal, the integration time applied to measure the electric field at a specific point may introduce additional uncertainties due to the discretization and can be assessed as follows

$$SAR_{tolerance} \cite{Model} = 100 \times \sum_{all sub-frames} \frac{t_{frame}}{t_{integration}} \frac{slot_{idle}}{slot_{total}}$$

The tolerances for the different systems are given in Table 7.1, whereby the worst-case  $SAR_{tolerance}$  is 2.6%.

System	SAR <sub>tolerance</sub> %		
CW	0		
CDMA*	0		
WCDMA*	0		
FDMA	0		
IS-136	2.6		
PDC	2.6		
GSM/DCS/PCS	1.7		
DECT	1.9		
Worst-Case	2.6		

**TABLE 7.1** 



#### 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE

The mechanical tolerance of the field probe positioner can introduce probe positioning uncertainties. The resulting SAR uncertainty is assessed by comparing the SAR obtained according to the specifications of the probe positioner with respect to the actual position defined by the geometric enter of the probe sensors. The tolerance is determined as:

$$SAR_{tolerance}$$
[%] =  $100 \times \frac{d_{ph}}{\delta/2}$ 

The specified repeatability of the RX robot family used in DASY5 systems is  $\pm 25\mu m$ . The absolute accuracy for short distance movements is better than  $\pm 0.1 mm$ , i.e., the SAR<sub>tolerance</sub>[%] is better than 1.5% (rectangular).

### 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING

The probe positioning procedures affect the tolerance of the separation distance between the probe tip and the phantom surface as:

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times \frac{d_{ph}}{\delta/2}$$

where  $d_{ph}$  is the maximum deviation of the distance between the probe tip and the phantom surface. The optical surface detection has a precision of better than 0.2mm, resulting in an SAR<sub>tolerance</sub>[%] of <2.9% (rectangular distribution). Since the mechanical detection provides better accuracy, 2.9% is a worst-case figure for DASY5 system.



### 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY

The SAR measurement uncertainty due to SPEAG phantom shell production tolerances has been evaluated using

$$SAR_{tolerance}$$
[%]  $\cong 100 \times \frac{2d}{a}$ ,  $d << a$ 

For a maximum deviation d of the inner and outer shell of the phantom from that specified in the CAD file of  $\pm 0.2$ mm, and a 10mm spacing a between source and tissue liquid, the calculated phantom uncertainty is  $\pm 4.0\%$ .



### 7.11. DASY5 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET

Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(C <sub>i</sub> )		Standard Uncertainty (±%)		(v <sub>i</sub> )		
				(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)			
Measurement Equipment										
Probe Calibration	6.55	Normal	1	1	1	6.55	6.55	$\infty$		
Axial Isotropy	4.70	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.7	1.90	1.90	$\infty$		
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.7	3.88	3.88	$\infty$		
Boundary effects	2.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.15	1.15	$\infty$		
Linearity	4.70	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.71	2.71	$\infty$		
System Detection Limits	1.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.58	0.58	$\infty$		
Readout Electronics	0.30	Normal	1	1	1	0.30	0.30	$\infty$		
Response Time	0.80	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.46	0.46	8		
Integration Time	2.60	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.50	1.50	8		
RF Ambient Noise	3.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	8		
RF Ambient Reflections	3.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	$\infty$		
Probe Positioner	0.80	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.46	0.46	$\infty$		
Probe Positioning	9.90	Rectangular	√3	1	1	5.72	5.72	$\infty$		
Max. SAR Eval.	4.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	8		
Test Sample Related										
Device Positioning	0.89	Normal	1	1	1	0.89	0.89	9		
Device Holder	3.60	Normal	1	1	1	3.60	3.60	5		
Power Drift	5.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.89	2.89	$\infty$		
Phantom and Tissue parameters										
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	$\infty$		
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.00	Rectangular	√3	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24	∞		
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	3.63	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	2.32	1.56	∞		
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.00	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41	$\infty$		
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	4.92	Normal	1	0.6	0.49	2.95	2.41	∞		
Combined Standard Uncertainty						12.86	12.51			
Coverage Factor for 95%						Kp=2				
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)						25.71	25.03			

**TABLE 7.3** 



### 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES

We, Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch, were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved by the following approval agencies according to ISO/IEC 17025.

USA FCC, NVLAP
GERMANY TUV Rheinland

JAPAN VCCI NORWAY NEMKO

CANADA INDUSTRY CANADA, CSA

**R.O.C.** TAF, BSMI, NCC

**NETHERLANDS** Telefication

SINGAPORE GOST-ASIA (MOU)
RUSSIA CERTIS (MOU)

Copies of accreditation certificates of our laboratories obtained from approval agencies can be downloaded from our web site: <a href="www.adt.com.tw/index.5/phtml">www.adt.com.tw/index.5/phtml</a>. If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

 Linko EMC/RF Lab:
 Hsin Chu EMC/RF Lab:

 Tel: 886-2-26052180
 Tel: 886-3-5935343

 Fax: 886-2-26051924
 Fax: 886-3-5935342

### Hwa Ya EMC/RF/Safety/Telecom Lab:

Tel: 886-3-3183232 Fax: 886-3-3185050

Web Site: www.adt.com.tw

The address and road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.

44


---END---




## **APPENDIX A: TEST DATA**

## **Liquid Level Photo**

Tissue MSL5800MHz D=154mm



Tissue MSL5800MHz D=152mm





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 06:24:45

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M01-11a-Ch52 / Main

### DUT: MC3190; Type: Rotate

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.3$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.238 mW/g

## **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 6.85 V/m: Power Drift = -0.105 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.474 W/kg

 $SAR(1 g) = \frac{0.149}{mW/g}; SAR(10 g) = 0.044 mW/g$ 


# Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 6.85 V/m; Power Drift = -0.105 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.387 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.114 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.035 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.199 mW/g









Date/Time: 2009/9/4 08:09:57

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M02-11a-Ch52 / Aux DUT: MC3190 ; Type: Rotate

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.3$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.127 mW/g

## **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.85 V/m; Power Drift = -0.092 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.238 W/kg

 $SAR(1 g) = \frac{0.085}{0.085} mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.036 mW/g$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.118 mW/g


# **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.85 V/m; Power Drift = -0.092 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.118 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.039 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.012 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.064 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 10:51:43

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M03-Front-11a-Ch52 / Main

### DUT: MC3190; Type: Rotate

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.3$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The front side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186


# Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.102 mW/g

## **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.46 V/m: Power Drift = -0.103 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.211 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.065 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.019 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.109 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 11:37:52

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M04-Front-11a-Ch52 / Aux DUT: MC3190; Type: Rotate

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.3$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The front side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.112 mW/g

# **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.75 V/m; Power Drift = -0.026 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.341 W/kg

 $SAR(1 g) = \frac{0.102}{0.102} \text{ mW/g}; SAR(10 g) = 0.026 \text{ mW/g}$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.160 mW/g


# Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.75 V/m; Power Drift = -0.026 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.157 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.046 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.014 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.092 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 12:41:50

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M05-11a-Ch48 / Main DUT: MC3190; Type: Rotate

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5240 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5240 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.27$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **High Channel 48/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.100 mW/g

# **High Channel 48/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.53 V/m; Power Drift = 0.079 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.245 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.076 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.022 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.123 mW/g


## **High Channel 48/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.53 V/m; Power Drift = 0.079 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.257 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.071 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.020 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.127 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 14:05:34

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M06-11a-Ch120 / Main

### **DUT: MC3190 ; Type: Rotate**

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5600 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5600 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.79$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3504; ConvF(3.91, 3.91, 3.91); Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186


# **Mid Channel 120/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.115 mW/g

## **Mid Channel 120/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.46 V/m: Power Drift = 0.163 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.262 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.077 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.023 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.122 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 16:39:19

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M07-11a-Ch52 / Main / 38k DUT: MC3190 ; Type: Rotate

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.3$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.182 mW/g

# **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 5.40 V/m; Power Drift = -0.133 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.439 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.115 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.036 mW/g


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.202 mW/g

# **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 5.40 V/m; Power Drift = -0.133 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.476 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.137 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.041 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.222 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 17:43:32

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M08-11a-Ch52 / Main / 48k DUT: MC3190 ; Type: Rotate

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.3$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.230 mW/g

# **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 6.79 V/m; Power Drift = -0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.461 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.143 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.043 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.238 mW/g


# **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 6.79 V/m; Power Drift = -0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.464 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.131 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.040 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.226 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/5 07:06:18

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M09-11a-Ch52 / Main DUT: MC3190 ; Type: Straight

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.28$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.146 mW/g

## **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 5.23 V/m; Power Drift = -0.057 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.267 W/kg

 $SAR(1 g) = \frac{0.087}{mW/g}; SAR(10 g) = 0.025 mW/g$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.137 mW/g


# Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 5.23 V/m; Power Drift = -0.057 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.246 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.074 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.020 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.128 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/5 08:56:05

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M10-11a-Ch52 / Aux

### DUT: MC3190; Type: Straight

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.28$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186


# Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.081 mW/g

## **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 3.97 V/m: Power Drift = -0.102 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.141 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.052 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.022 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.079 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/5 09:59:52

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M11-11a-Ch52 / Main / 2D

DUT: MC3190; Type: Straight

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.28$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21

- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186


# Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.108 mW/g

## **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.74 V/m: Power Drift = -0.081 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.283 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.083 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.023 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.136 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/5 11:03:43

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M12-11a-Ch52 / Aux / 2D DUT: MC3190 ; Type: Straight

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5260 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.28$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **Mid Channel 52/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.066 mW/g

## **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 3.58 V/m; Power Drift = 0.076 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.239 W/kg

 $SAR(1 g) = \frac{0.042}{0.042} mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.018 mW/g$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.067 mW/g


# **Mid Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 3.58 V/m; Power Drift = 0.076 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.137 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.026 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00873 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.044 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/5 05:31:55

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M13-11a-Ch48 / Main DUT: MC3190 ; Type: Straight

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5240 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5240 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.25$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **High Channel 48/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.083 mW/g

# **High Channel 48/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.15 V/m; Power Drift = 0.080 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.233 W/kg

 $SAR(1 g) = \frac{0.071}{mW/g}; SAR(10 g) = 0.019 mW/g$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.112 mW/g


# **High Channel 48/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.15 V/m; Power Drift = 0.080 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.186 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.051 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.015 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.093 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/5 12:05:18

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M14-11a-Ch120 / Main DUT: MC3190 ; Type: Straight

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5600 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5600 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.77$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; DUT test position: Body; Modulation Type: BPSK Separation Distance: 0 mm (The back side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(3.91, 3.91, 3.91); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **Mid Channel 120/Area Scan (13x23x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.095 mW/g

# **Mid Channel 120/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.22 V/m; Power Drift = -0.103 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.237 W/kg

 $SAR(1 g) = \frac{0.066}{0.066} mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.024 mW/g$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.110 mW/g


# **Mid Channel 120/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 4.22 V/m; Power Drift = -0.103 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.169 W/kg

## SAR(1 g) = 0.056 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.017 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.078 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 00:29:22

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### System Validation Check-MSL 5GHz

### DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1019; Test Frequency: 5200 MHz

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Modulation type: CW

Medium: MSL5800; Medium parameters used: f = 5200 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.21$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>;

Liquid level: 154 mm

Phantom section: Flat Section; Separation distance: 10 mm (The feetpoint of the dipole to the

Phantom)Air temp.: 22.7 degrees; Liquid temp.: 22.0 degrees

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **f=5200, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 7.21 mW/g


## **f=5200, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 49.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.041 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 25.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.31 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.05 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.6 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/4 00:50:39

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### System Validation Check-MSL 5GHz

### DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1019; Test Frequency: 5500 MHz

Communication System: CW ; Frequency: 5500 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Modulation type: CW Medium: MSL5800;Medium parameters used: f=5500 MHz;  $\sigma=5.65$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r=49.4$ ;  $\rho=1000$  kg/m $^3$ ; Liquid level : 154 mm

Phantom section: Flat Section ; Separation distance : 10 mm (The feetpoint of  $\ \,$  the dipole to the

Phantom)Air temp.: 22.7 degrees; Liquid temp.: 22.0 degrees

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(3.98, 3.98, 3.98); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**f=5500, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Area Scan (7x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.3 mW/g

## **f=5500, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 48.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.021 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 31.2 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.84 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.19 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.6 mW/g

mW/g
11.6

9.28

6.96

4.64

2.32

0.002



Date/Time: 2009/9/5 03:33:42

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### System Validation Check-MSL 5GHz

### DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1019; Test Frequency: 5200 MHz

Communication System: CW ; Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Modulation type: CW Medium: MSL5800;Medium parameters used: f=5200 MHz;  $\sigma=5.19$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r=50.5$ ;  $\rho=1000$  kg/m³ ; Liquid level : 152 mm

Phantom section: Flat Section ; Separation distance : 10 mm (The feetpoint of  $\ \,$  the dipole to the

Phantom)Air temp.: 23.1 degrees; Liquid temp.: 22.0 degrees

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186


# **f=5200, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 7.96 mW/g

# **f=5200, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 48.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.017 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 25.3 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.03 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.8 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/5 03:53:01

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### System Validation Check-MSL 5GHz

### DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1019; Test Frequency: 5500 MHz

Communication System: CW ; Frequency: 5500 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Modulation type: CW Medium: MSL5800;Medium parameters used: f=5500 MHz;  $\sigma=5.63$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r=49.9$ ;  $\rho=1000$  kg/m³ ; Liquid level : 152 mm

Phantom section: Flat Section; Separation distance: 10 mm (The feetpoint of the dipole to the

Phantom)Air temp.: 23.1 degrees; Liquid temp.: 22.0 degrees

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 SN3504; ConvF(3.98, 3.98, 3.98); Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn510; Calibrated: 2009/1/21
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1202
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

# **f=5500, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Area Scan (7x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.0 mW/g

# **f=5500, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 47.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.067 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 30.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.73 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.16 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.3 mW/g

mWlg
12.0

9.61

7.21

4.82

2.43


0.037



## APPENDIX A: TEST DATA

## **Liquid Level Photo**







Date/Time: 2009/9/8 11:37:05

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M15-1D/Body Edge-R 11A Ch48/Aux Ant

### DUT: MC3190; Type: Gun

Communication System: 802.11a ; Frequency: 5240 MHz ; Duty Cycle: 1:1 ; Modulation type: BPSK Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5240 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.43$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section ; Separation distance : 0 mm (The Edge R side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)


### **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3590; ConvF(5.01, 5.01, 5.01); Calibrated: 2009/4/28
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2008/9/22
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1485
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

**Channel 48/Area Scan (9x25x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.408 mW/g

**Channel 48/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm Reference Value = 1.21 V/m; Power Drift = -0.105 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.754 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.286 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.122 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/8 12:34:37

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M15-1D/Body Edge-R 11A Ch52 /Aux Ant

### DUT: MC3190; Type: Gun

Communication System: 802.11a ; Frequency: 5260 MHz ; Duty Cycle: 1:1 ; Modulation type: BPSK Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.46$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section ; Separation distance : 0 mm (The Edge R side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### **DASY5** Configuration:


- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3590 ; ConvF(4.72, 4.72, 4.72) ; Calibrated: 2009/4/28
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2008/9/22
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1485
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

# **Channel 52/Area Scan (9x25x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.751 mW/g


**Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm Reference Value = 1.74 V/m; Power Drift = -0.168 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.54 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.551 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.223 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.761 mW/g









Date/Time: 2009/9/8 13:41:04

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M15-1D/Body Edge-R 11A Ch120 /Aux Ant

### DUT: MC3190; Type: Gun

Communication System: 802.11a ; Frequency: 5600 MHz ; Duty Cycle: 1:1 ; Modulation type: BPSK Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5600 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.92$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section ; Separation distance : 0 mm (The Edge R side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)


### **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3590; ConvF(4.07, 4.07, 4.07); Calibrated: 2009/4/28
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2008/9/22
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1485
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

# **Channel 120/Area Scan (9x25x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.554 mW/g

**Channel 120/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm Reference Value = 1.72 V/m; Power Drift = -0.196 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.15 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.394 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.163 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.557 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/8 15:32:29

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

## M16-1D/Body Edge-L 11A Ch52 /Main Ant

### DUT: MC3190; Type: Gun

Communication System: 802.11a ; Frequency: 5260 MHz ; Duty Cycle: 1:1 ; Modulation type: BPSK Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.46$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section ; Separation distance : 0 mm (The Edge R side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### **DASY5** Configuration:


- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3590 ; ConvF(4.72, 4.72, 4.72) ; Calibrated: 2009/4/28
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2008/9/22
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1485
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

# Channel 52/Area Scan (9x25x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.571 mW/g

**Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm Reference Value = 3.89 V/m; Power Drift = -0.106 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.18 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.406 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.174 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.551 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/8 16:39:01

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### M17-2D/Body Edge-R 11A Ch52 /Aux Ant

### DUT: MC3190; Type: Gun

Communication System: 802.11a ; Frequency: 5260 MHz ; Duty Cycle: 1:1 ; Modulation type: BPSK Medium: MSL5800 Medium parameters used: f = 5260 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.46$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section ; Separation distance : 0 mm (The Edge R side of the EUT with leather to the Phantom)

### **DASY5** Configuration:


- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3590 ; ConvF(4.72, 4.72, 4.72) ; Calibrated: 2009/4/28
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2008/9/22
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1485
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

# **Channel 52/Area Scan (9x25x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.733 mW/g

**Channel 52/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm Reference Value = 1.66 V/m; Power Drift = -0.028 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.45 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.520 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.216 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.720 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/8 09:24:33

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

### System validation MSL 5200MHz

### DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1019; Test Frequency: 5200 MHz

Communication System: CW ; Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Modulation type: CW Medium: MSL5800;Medium parameters used: f = 5200 MHz;  $\sigma = 5.37$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$ 

kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Liquid level: 153 mm

Phantom section: Flat Section; Separation distance: 10 mm (The feetpoint of the dipole to the

Phantom)Air temp.: 23.1 degrees; Liquid temp.: 22.6 degrees

### **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3590; ConvF(5.01, 5.01, 5.01); Calibrated: 2009/4/28

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2008/9/22

- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1485

- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

**f=5200, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.45 mW/g


# **f=5200, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 49.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.044 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.13 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.3 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.8 mW/g





Date/Time: 2009/9/8 10:10:16

Test Laboratory: Bureau Veritas ADT

#### System validation MSL 5500MHz

#### DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1019; Test Frequency: 5500 MHz

Communication System: CW ; Frequency: 5500 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Modulation type: CW Medium: MSL5800;Medium parameters used: f=5500 MHz;  $\sigma=5.82$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r=50.7$ ;  $\rho=1000$ 

kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Liquid level: 153 mm

Phantom section: Flat Section; Separation distance: 10 mm (The feetpoint of the dipole to the

Phantom)Air temp.: 23.1 degrees; Liquid temp.: 22.6 degrees

#### **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3590; ConvF(4.42, 4.42, 4.42); Calibrated: 2009/4/28

- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2008/9/22

- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1485

- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

**f=5500, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Area Scan (7x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.4 mW/g


# **f=5500, d=10mm, Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm

Reference Value = 48.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 32 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.68 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.42 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.6 mW/g





# **APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM**






# **APPENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION**





# **APPENDIX B: BV ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM**







## **APPENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION**





**APPENDIX D: SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIBRATION** 

D1: PHANTOM



APPENDIX D: SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIBRATION

D1: SAM PHANTOM

# Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

#### Certificate of conformity / First Article Inspection

Item .	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0		
Type No	QD 000 P40 CA		
Series No	TP-1150 and higher	5	
Manufacturer / Origin -	Untersee Composites		
	Hauptstr. 69	•	
•	CH-8559 Fruthwilen	• •	
~	Switzerland		

#### Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles. Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series units (called samples).

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Shape	Compliance with the geometry according to the CAD model.	IT'IS CAD File (*)	First article, Samples
Material thickness	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	2mm +/- 0.2mm in specific areas	First article, Samples
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	200 MHz - 3 GHz Relative permittivity < 5 Loss tangent < 0.05.	Material sample TP 104-5
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards	Liquid type HSL 1800 and others according to the standard.	Pre-series, First article

#### Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE P1528-200x draft 6.5
- [3] IEC PT 62209 draft 0.9
- (\*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of [1] and [3].

#### Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standard [1] and draft standards [2] and [3].

Date

28.02.2002

Signature / Stamp

Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, CH-8004 Zurich
Tel. +41 1 245 97 00, Fex +41 1 245 97 79

Schmid & Partner

1. +13 1 245 97 00, Fox +41 12

F. Bambalt



Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland Phone ±41 1 245 9700 Fev ±41 1 245 9779 info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

#### **Certificate of Conformity / First Article Inspection**

Item	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0	
Type No	QD 000 P40 C	
Series No	TP-1150 and higher	
Manufacturer	SPEAG	
	Zeughausstrasse 43	
	CH-8004 Zürich	
	Switzerland	

#### Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles.

Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series items (called samples) or are tested at each item.

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Dimensions	Compliant with the geometry	IT'IS CAD File (*)	First article,
	according to the CAD model.		Samples
Material thickness	Compliant with the requirements	2mm +/- 0.2mm in flat	First article,
of shell	according to the standards	and specific areas of	Samples,
		head section	TP-1314 ff.
Material thickness	Compliant with the requirements	6mm +/- 0.2mm at ERP	First article,
at ERP	according to the standards		All items
Material	Dielectric parameters for required	300 MHz – 6 GHz:	Material
parameters	frequencies	Relative permittivity < 5,	samples
		Loss tangent < 0.05	
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be	DEGMBE based	Pre-series,
	compatible with the liquids defined in	simulating liquids	First article,
	the standards if handled and cleaned		Material
	according to the instructions.		samples
	Observe technical Note for material		
	compatibility.		
Sagging	Compliant with the requirements	< 1% typical < 0.8% if	Prototypes,
	according to the standards.	filled with 155mm of	Sample
	Sagging of the flat section when filled	HSL900 and without	testing
	with tissue simulating liquid.	DUT below	

#### Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE Std 1528-2003
- [3] IEC 62209 Part I
- [4] FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01
- (\*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of the other documents.

#### Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standards [1] to [4].

Date

07.07.2005

#### Signature / Stamp



D2: DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

#### Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

ADT (Auden)

Certificate No: EX3-3504\_Jan09

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

### **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object EX3DV3 - SN:3504

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-01.v6, QA CAL-14.v3 and QA CAL-23.v3

Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: January 21, 2009

Condition of the calibrated item In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID#	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	1-Jul-08 (No. 217-00865)	Jul-09
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	31-Mar-08 (No. 217-00787)	Apr-09
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	1-Jul-08 (No. 217-00866)	Jul-09
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	2-Jan-09 (No. ES3-3013_Jan09)	Jan-10
DAE4	SN: 660	9-Sep-08 (No. DAE4-660_Sep08)	Sep-09
	Ī		
Secondary Standards	ID#	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	S - 100
			1 / / /
Approved by:	Niels Kuster	Quality Manager	11/1

Issued: January 21, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: EX3-3504\_Jan09

#### **Calibration Laboratory of**

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

C Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura

Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

#### Glossary:

TSL NORMx,y,z tissue simulating liquid sensitivity in free space

ConvF

sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z

DCP Polarization φ diode compression point φ rotation around probe axis

Polarization 9

9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at

measurement center), i.e.,  $\vartheta = 0$  is normal to probe axis

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *NORMx,y,z:* Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not effect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z \* frequency\_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx,y,z \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Certificate No: EX3-3504\_Jan09

EX3DV3 SN:3504 January 21, 2009

# Probe EX3DV3

SN:3504

Manufactured: December 15, 2003 Last calibrated: August 30, 2007 Recalibrated: January 21, 2009

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

Certificate No: EX3-3504\_Jan09 Page 3 of 9

### DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV3 SN:3504

NormX	<b>0.60</b> ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP X	<b>94</b> mV
NormY	<b>0.62</b> ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Y	<b>94</b> mV
NormZ	<b>0.65</b> ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Z	<b>95</b> mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

#### **Boundary Effect**

TSL 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance			3.0 mm
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	10.0	6.0
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.7	0.3

#### TSL 1750 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor Center	2.0 mm	3.0 mm	
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	8.0	4.9
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.8	0.6

#### Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center 1.0 mm


The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.


# Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ),  $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$ 









Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

# Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>)

(Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)


# **Conversion Factor Assessment**

f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] <sup>C</sup>	TSL.	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.74	0.65	9.57 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.1 ± 5%	1.37 ± 5%	0.56	0.64	8.53 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.76	0.57	8.08 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.53	0.66	7.67 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2600	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.0 ± 5%	1.96 ± 5%	0.24	0.98	7.56 ± 11.0% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Head	36.0 ± 5%	4.66 ± 5%	0.42	1.70	4.65 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5300	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.9 ± 5%	4.76 ± 5%	0.42	1.70	4.49 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5500	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.6 ± 5%	4.96 ± 5%	0.42	1.70	4.39 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5600	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.5 ± 5%	5.07 ± 5%	0.42	1.70	4.29 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.3 ± 5%	5.27 ± 5%	0.42	1.70	4.40 ± 13.1% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.50	0.73	9.71 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.4 ± 5%	1.49 ± 5%	0.46	0.73	8.36 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.43	0.73	8.21 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.27	1.07	7.53 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2600	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.5 ± 5%	2.16 ± 5%	0.25	1.10	7.33 ± 11.0% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Body	49.0 ± 5%	5.30 ± 5%	0.45	1.75	4.38 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5300	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.9 ± 5%	5.42 ± 5%	0.45	1.75	4.06 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5500	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.6 ± 5%	5.65 ± 5%	0.42	1.75	3.98 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5600	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.5 ± 5%	5.77 ± 5%	0.42	1.75	3.91 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.2 ± 5%	6.00 ± 5%	0.42	1.75	3.98 ± 13.1% (k=2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

# **Deviation from Isotropy in HSL**

Error ( $\phi$ ,  $\vartheta$ ), f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)