

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: UL-RPT-RP81268JD12A V2.0

Manufacturer : Paxton Ltd.

Model No. : Proximity panel mount HID reader/390-530

FCC ID : USE390530

Technology : RFID – 117 kHz

Test Standard(s) : FCC Part 15.207, Part 15.209 and Part 15.215(c)

1. This test report shall not be reproduced in full or partial, without the written approval of UL VS LTD.

- 2. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.
- The sample tested is in compliance with the above standard(s).
- 4. The test results in this report are traceable to the national or international standards.
- 5. Version 2.0 supersedes Test Report Serial Number RFI-RPT-RP81268JD12A. The original test report was issued under the previous company name of RFI Global Services Ltd

pp

Date of Issue: 15 July 2015

Checked by:

Ian Watch Senior Engineer, Radio Laboratory

Issued by:

John Newell Quality Manager,

UL VS LTD



This laboratory is accredited by UKAS. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its terms of accreditation.

Telephone: +44 (0)1256 312000 Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001

SERIAL NO: UL-RPT-RP81268JD12A V2.0

VERSION 1.0 ISSUE DATE: 15 JULY 2015

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Page 2 of 19 UL VS LTD

Table of Contents

1. Customer Information	
2. Summary of Testing	. 5 5 5 5
3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.2. Description of EUT 3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT 3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing 3.5. Support Equipment	6 6 6 6 6
4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing	. 7 7 7
 5.1. General Comments 5.2. Test Results 5.2.1. Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions 5.2.2. Transmitter Fundamental Field Strength 5.2.3. Transmitter Radiated Spurious Emissions 	. 8 9 12 14
6. Measurement Uncertainty	17
7. Report Revision History	18
Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used	19

UL VS LTD Page 3 of 19

1. Customer Information

Company Name:	Paxton Ltd.
Address:	Paxton House Home Farm Brighton Sussex BN1 9HU United Kingdom

Page 4 of 19 UL VS LTD

2. Summary of Testing

2.1. General Information

Specification Reference:	47CFR15.207, 47CFR15.209 and 47CFR15.215			
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications) 2010: Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) - Sections 15.207 and 15.209			
Site Registration:	FCC: 209735			
Location of Testing:	UL VS LTD, Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom			
Test Dates:	8 June 2011 to11 June 2011			

2.2. Summary of Test Results

FCC Reference (47CFR)	Measurement	Result
Part 15.207	Transmitter AC Conducted Emissions	②
Part 15.209	Transmitter Fundamental Field Strength	②
Part 15.209	Transmitter Radiated Emissions	②
Part 15.215(c)	Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth	②
Key to Results		•

2.3. Methods and Procedures

Reference:	ANSI C63.4 (2009)
Title:	American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz

2.4. Deviations from the Test Specification

For the measurements contained within this test report, there were no deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specification identified above.

UL VS LTD Page 5 of 19

3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Brand Name:	Paxton
Model Name or Number:	Proximity panel mount HID reader 390-530
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated
Hardware Version Number:	z-hd01 rev 2
Software Version Number:	Not stated
FCC ID:	USE390530

3.2. Description of EUT

The equipment under test was a Proximity panel mount HID reader with 117 kHz carrier frequency.

3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

No modifications were applied to the EUT during testing.

3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing

Tested Technology:	RFID	
Power Supply Requirement:	Nominal	12 V
Type of Unit:	Transceiver	
Modulation:	AM	
Transmit Frequency:	117 kHz	

3.5. Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	Net2 1 door ACU with 2A PSU in plastic cabinet	
Brand Name:	Paxton	
Model Name or Number:	411-501	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	

Page 6 of 19

4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing

4.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

Constantly transceiving at maximum power.

4.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):

 Connected via a 5 metre multicore cable to a Net2 ACU reader port contained inside a 2A PSU cabinet. Net2 1 door ACU was connected to a 120 VAC 60 Hz supply for all tests.

UL VS LTD Page 7 of 19

5. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

5.1. General Comments

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to Section 6 Measurement Uncertainty for details.

Page 8 of 19 UL VS LTD

SERIAL NO: UL-RPT-RP81268JD12A V2.0

VERSION 1.0 ISSUE DATE: 15 JULY 2015

5.2. Test Results

5.2.1. Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Patrick Jones	Test Date:	08 June 2011
Test Sample:	Not stated		

FCC Part:	15.207
Test Method Used:	As detailed in ANSI C63.10 Section 6.2 referencing ANSI C63.4

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	23

Results: Live/Quasi Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBμV)	Limit (dBμV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.154500	Live	47.0	65.8	18.8	Complied
0.181500	Live	42.9	64.4	21.5	Complied
0.429000	Live	38.0	57.3	19.3	Complied
0.433500	Live	37.1	57.2	20.1	Complied
22.240500	Live	28.9	60.0	31.1	Complied
24.342000	Live	31.4	60.0	28.6	Complied

Results: Live/Average

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBµV)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.154500	Live	30.8	55.8	25.0	Complied
0.159000	Live	31.8	55.5	23.7	Complied
0.267000	Live	23.1	51.2	28.1	Complied
0.429000	Live	30.3	47.3	17.0	Complied
22.218000	Live	25.0	50.0	25.0	Complied
24.792000	Live	25.6	50.0	24.4	Complied

UL VS LTD Page 9 of 19

Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions (continued)


Results: Neutral/Quasi Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBµV)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.154500	Neutral	46.3	65.8	19.5	Complied
0.159000	Neutral	46.3	65.5	19.2	Complied
0.163500	Neutral	43.8	65.3	21.5	Complied
0.186000	Neutral	42.3	64.2	21.9	Complied
0.424500	Neutral	34.6	57.4	22.8	Complied
0.429000	Neutral	35.3	57.3	22.0	Complied
10.333500	Neutral	16.2	60.0	43.8	Complied
16.129500	Neutral	21.8	60.0	38.2	Complied
25.246500	Neutral	28.9	60.0	31.1	Complied

Results: Neutral/Average

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dB _µ V)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.159000	Neutral	31.3	55.5	24.2	Complied
0.186000	Neutral	30.6	54.2	23.6	Complied
0.429000	Neutral	27.1	47.3	20.2	Complied
4.672500	Neutral	13.8	46.0	32.2	Complied
10.329000	Neutral	12.5	50.0	37.5	Complied
16.363500	Neutral	17.3	50.0	32.7	Complied
24.544500	Neutral	24.3	50.0	25.7	Complied

Page 10 of 19 UL VS LTD



Note: These plots are pre-scans and for indication purposes only. For final measurements, see accompanying tables.

UL VS LTD Page 11 of 19

5.2.2. Transmitter Fundamental Field Strength

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Andrew Edwards	Test Date:	11 June 2011
Test Sample Serial No:	Not Stated		

FCC Part:	15.209
Test Method Used:	As detailed in ANSI C63.10 Section 6.4

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	27
Relative Humidity (%):	22

Results: Quasi Peak

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit at 300 m	Margin	Result
(kHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
116.765	90° to EUT	3.8	26.3	22.5	Complied

Note(s):


- The limit is specified at a test distance of 300 metres. However, as specified by FCC Part 15.31 (f)(2),
 measurements may be performed at a closer distance and the measured level corrected to the specified
 measurement distance by using the square of an inverse linear distance extrapolation factor
 (40dB/decade).
- 2. A transducer factor on the measuring instrument was used to extrapolate the results at 3 metres to a distance of 30 metres. The transducer factor has a 40 dB extrapolation at a distance of 30 metres (1 decade). Measurements below 490 kHz should be performed at a distance of 300 metres (2 decades) therefore another 40 dB was subtracted from the measured value. The quasi peak level was measured as $23.8 \ dB\mu V/m 40 = -16.2 \ dB\mu V/m$.

Note: An additional 20 dB has been added to attain the final value shown in the table; this is to account for a transducer factor that was not included during the original measurement.

i.e.: -16.2 dBuV/m + 20 dB = 3.8 dBuV/m

Page 12 of 19 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Fundamental Field Strength (continued)



UL VS LTD Page 13 of 19

5.2.3. Transmitter Radiated Spurious Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineer: Andre Edwards		Test Date:	11 June 2011
Test Sample Serial No:	Not Stated		

FCC Part:	15.209
Test Method Used:	As detailed in ANSI C63.10 Section 6.3 and 6.5 referencing ANSI C63.4
Frequency Range:	30 MHz to 1000 MHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	28
Relative Humidity (%):	22

Results: Quasi Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
30.687	Vertical	30.6	40.0	9.4	Complied
49.251	Vertical	29.3	40.0	10.7	Complied
67.824	Vertical	24.6	40.0	15.4	Complied
86.945	Vertical	25.7	40.0	14.3	Complied
127.930	Horizontal	28.6	43.5	14.9	Complied
195.967	Horizontal	14.8	43.5	28.7	Complied
210.305	Horizontal	23.4	43.5	20.1	Complied
951.860	Vertical	23.0	46.0	23.0	Complied


Note(s):


- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table above incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. All other emissions shown on the pre-scan plot were investigated and found to be ambient or >20 dB below the applicable limit or below the measurement system noise floor.
- 3. Measurements below 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (RFI Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.
- 4. Limits below 30 MHz are specified at a test distance of 30 metres, whilst below 0.49 MHz they are specified at a test distance of 300 metres. However, as specified by FCC Section 15.31 (f)(2), measurements may be performed at a closer distance and the measured level corrected to the specified measurement distance by using the square of an inverse linear distance extrapolation factor (40dB/decade).
- 5. A transducer factor on the measuring instrument was used to extrapolate the results at 3 metres to a distance of 30 metres where required. A distance extrapolation factor of 40 dB was used.
- 6. The emission shown at approximately 117 kHz is the fundamental.

Page 14 of 19 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Radiated Spurious Emissions (continued)







Note: This plot is a pre-scan and for indication purposes only. For final measurements, see accompanying table.

UL VS LTD Page 15 of 19

5.2.4. Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Andrew Edwards	Test Date:	11 June 2011
Test Sample Serial No:	Not Stated		


FCC Part:	2.1049
Test Method Used:	As detailed in ANSI C63.10 Section 6.9.1

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	27
Relative Humidity (%):	22

Results:

20 dB Bandwidth (Hz)				
787				



Page 16 of 19 UL VS LTD

6. Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

Measurement Type	Range	Confidence Level (%)	Calculated Uncertainty
AC Conducted Spurious Emissions	0.15 MHz to 30 MHz	95%	±3.25 dB
20 dB Bandwidth	117 kHz	95%	±0.92 ppm
Radiated Spurious Emissions	9 kHz to 30 MHz	95%	±3.53 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	30 MHz to 1000 MHz	95%	±2.94 dB

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

UL VS LTD Page 17 of 19

7. Report Revision History

Version Number	Revision Details		
	Page No(s)	Clause	Details
1.0	-	-	Initial Version
2.0	12	-	Corrected previously reported emission level by +20 dB. Corrected limit and included 30 to 300 metre distance extrapolation.

Page 18 of 19 UL VS LTD

Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used

UL No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval
A1830	Pulse Limiter	Rhode & Schwarz	ESH3-Z2	100668	05 Mar 2012	12
A1834	Attenuator	Hewlett Packard	8491B	10444	30 Jun 2011	12
A649	LISN	Rohde & Schwarz	ESH3-Z5	825562/008	05 Apr 2012	12
A553	Antenna	Chase	CBL6111A	1593	26 Mar 2012	12
K0001	5m Semi-Anechoic Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	29 May 2012	12
M1263	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB7	100265	28 Jun 2011	12
M1273	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB 26	100275	04 Feb 2012	12
M1568	Antenna	Rohde & Schwarz	HFH2-Z2	879284/2	27 Jan 2012	12

NB In accordance with UKAS requirements all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule.

--- END OF REPORT ---

UL VS LTD Page 19 of 19