Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was received with a damaged connection for a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

Primary Measurement Standards

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 71 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 162.2 mm **Height:** 89.4 mm

Electrical Specification

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	835 MHz	1.066 U	-30.344 dB	49.001 Ω
Body	835 MHz	1.089 U	-28.118 dB	53.117 Ω

System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	835 MHz	9.773	6.174	14.713
Body	835 MHz	9.736	6.297	14.513



3

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 72 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 180-00558. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528:2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was repaired prior to this calibration. The repair reliability depends upon correct usage of the dipole.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 73 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
161.0 mm	89.8 mm	162.2 mm	89.4 mm

Electrical Verification

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-30.344 dB	1.066 U	49.001Ω
Body	-28.118 dB	1.089 U	53.117 Ω 🗆

Tissue Validation

	Dielectric constant, ε _r	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 835MHz	43.42	0.94
Body Tissue 835MHz	55.77	1.01

5

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 74 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.


The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss

Head Tissue: Frequency Range 0.817 to 0.848 GHz



Body Tissue: Frequency Range 0.823 to 0.851 GHz



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 75 of 97

6


Report No: RSZ141201006-20

Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR



Body




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 76 of 97


7

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 77 of 97

8

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014.

9

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 78 of 97

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

Calibration File No: DC-1601 Project Number: BAC-dipole -cal-5779

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2 Frequency: 1900 MHz Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 9th October, 2014 Released on: 9th October, 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

te 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Division of APREL Lab.
Kanata, ONTARIO TEL: (613) 435-8300
CANADA K2K 3J1 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 79 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

Primary Measurement Standards

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 80 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 67.1 mm **Height:** 38.9 mm

Electrical Specification

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.084 U	-27.92 dB	52.247 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.128 U	-24.40 dB	52.618 Ω

System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	1900 MHz	39.481	20.44	73.364
Body	1900 MHz	39.715	20.552	73.565



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 81 of 97

3

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528:2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 82 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	67.1mm	38.9 mm

Electrical Validation

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.084 U	-27.92 dB	52.247 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.128 U	-24.40 dB	52.618 Ω

Tissue Validation

	Dielectric constant, ε _r	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 1900MHz	40.20	1.38
Body Tissue 1900MHz	52.63	1.46

5


Report No: RSZ141201006-20


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 83 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 84 of 97


6

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 85 of 97

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 86 of 97

8

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014


9

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 87 of 97

APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS





Body-worn Back Setup Photo (10mm)




SAR Evaluation Report 88 of 97

Body-worn Left Setup Photo (10mm)




Body-worn Right Setup Photo (10mm)




SAR Evaluation Report 89 of 97

Body-worn Bottom Setup Photo (10mm)




Left Head Touch Setup Photo




SAR Evaluation Report 90 of 97

Left Head Tilt Setup Photo




Right Head Touch Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 91 of 97

Report No: RSZ141201006-20



SAR Evaluation Report 92 of 97

EUT – Front View

Report No: RSZ141201006-20



EUT – Back View



SAR Evaluation Report 93 of 97

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

EUT –Left Side View



EUT – Right Side View



SAR Evaluation Report 94 of 97

Report No: RSZ141201006-20



EUT – Bottom View



SAR Evaluation Report 95 of 97

EUT – Uncover View



SAR Evaluation Report 96 of 97

APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ141201006-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 97 of 97 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

***** END OF REPORT *****

SAR Evaluation Report 97 of 97