

# **FCC SAR Test Report**

Report No. : SA170315C40

Applicant : Fossil Group, Inc.

Address : 901 S. Central Expressway Richardson, Texas 75080, USA

Product : Smart Watch

FCC ID : UK7-DW4A

Standards : FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093) / IEEE C95.1:1992 / IEEE Std 1528:2013

KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 / KDB 865664 D02 v01r02

IEC 62209-2:2010 / KDB 248227 D01 v02r02 / KDB 447498 D01 v06

Sample Received Date : Mar. 15, 2017

Date of Testing : Mar. 31, 2017 ~ Apr. 06, 2017

Lab Address : No. 47-2, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Vil., Lin Kou Dist., New Taipei City, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Test Location : No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd, Wen Hwa Vil, Kwei Shan Dist., Taoyuan City 33383, Taiwan (R.O.C)

**CERTIFICATION:** The above equipment have been tested by **Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch – Lin Kou Laboratories**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's SAR characteristics under the conditions specified in this report. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by TAF or any government agencies.

Prepared By :

Evonne Liu / Specialist

Approved By

Gordon Lin / Assistant Manager



This report is for your exclusive use. Any copying or replication of this report to or for any other person or entity, or use of our name or trademark, is permitted only with our prior written permission. This report sets forth our findings solely with respect to the test samples identified herein. The results set forth in this report are not indicative or representative of the quality or characteristics of the lot from which a test sample was taken or any similar or identical product unless specifically and expressly noted. Our report includes all of the tests requested by you and the results thereof based upon the information that you provided to us. You have 60 days from date of issuance of this report to notify us of any material error or omission caused by our negligence, provided, however, that such notice shall be in writing and shall specifically address the issue you wish to raise. A failure to raise such issue within the prescribed time shall constitute your unqualified acceptance of the completeness of this report, the tests conducted and the correctness of the report contents. Unless specific mention, the uncertainty of measurement has been explicitly taken into account to declare the compliance or non-compliance to the specification.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 1 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017





Page No.

: 2 of 29

Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017

# **Table of Contents**

Kel		Control Record				
1.	Summary of Maximum SAR Value4					
2.	Description of Equipment Under Test					
3.						
	3.1	Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)				
	3.2	SPEAG DASY52 System				
		3.2.1 Robot				
		3.2.2 Probes				
		3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)				
		3.2.4 Phantoms				
		3.2.5 Device Holder				
		3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles				
		3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids				
	3.3	SAR System Verification				
	3.4	SAR Measurement Procedure				
		3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure				
		3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure	15			
		3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring				
		3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation				
		3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods				
4.	SAR I	Measurement Evaluation				
	4.1	EUT Configuration and Setting	17			
	4.2	EUT Testing Position	18			
		4.2.1 SAR Test Exclusion Evaluations	19			
	4.3	Tissue Verification	20			
	4.4	System Validation				
	4.5	System Verification	2			
	4.6	Maximum Output Power	22			
		4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power	22			
		4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result	22			
	4.7	SAR Testing Results	23			
		4.7.1 SAR Test Reduction Considerations	23			
		4.7.2 SAR Results for Extremity Exposure Condition (Separation Distance is 0 cm Gap)				
		4.7.3 SAR Results for Face Exposure Condition (Separation Distance is 1.0 cm Gap)				
		4.7.4 SAR Measurement Variability	26			
5.	Calibr	ration of Test Equipment				
6.	Meas	urement Uncertainty	28			
7.		mation on the Testing Laboratories				

Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement Appendix C. Calibration Certificate for Probe and Dipole

Appendix D. Photographs of EUT and Setup



# **Release Control Record**

Report No.	Reason for Change	Date Issued
SA170315C40	Initial release	Apr. 19, 2017

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 3 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 1. Summary of Maximum SAR Value

Equipment Class	· · · Niode	Highest Reported Face SAR <sub>1g</sub> (1.0 cm Gap) (W/kg)	Highest Reported Extremity SAR <sub>10g</sub> (0 cm Gap) (W/kg)
DTS	2.4G WLAN	0.05	0.22
DSS	Bluetooth	N/A	0.04

#### Note:

1. The SAR limit (Head & Body: SAR<sub>1g</sub> 1.6 W/kg, Extremity: SAR<sub>10g</sub> 4.0 W/kg) for general population / uncontrolled exposure is specified in IC RSS-102 Issue 5:2015.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 4 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 2. <u>Description of Equipment Under Test</u>

EUT Type	Smart Watch
FCC ID	UK7-DW4A
Tx Frequency Bands	WLAN : 2412 ~ 2472
(Unit: MHz)	Bluetooth : 2402 ~ 2480
	802.11b: DSSS
Uplink Modulations	802.11g/n : OFDM
Opinik Woddiations	Bluetooth : GFSK, π/4-DQPSK, 8-DPSK
	Bluetooth LE: GFSK
Maximum Tune-up Conducted Power	WLAN 2.4G : 15.5
(Unit: dBm)	Bluetooth: 12.5
Antenna Type	Loop Antenna
Antenna Type	(Peak Antenna Gain : -5.77 dBi for 2.4GHz)
EUT Stage	Production Unit

### Note:

1. The detail information of model names and the differences of four samples are as below.

EUT Config.	Sample	Antenna Gain (dBi)	Difference	
1	Α	-6.74		
2	В	-5.77		
3	С	-7.1	The EUT Config.1~4 are different in the appearance and antenna only.	
4	D	-6.52		

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 5 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 3. SAR Measurement System

## 3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (p). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where:  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

## 3.2 SPEAG DASY52 System

DASY52 system consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY52 software defined. The DASY52 software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 6 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017







Fig-3.1 SPEAG DASY52 System Setup

#### 3.2.1 Robot

The DASY52 system uses the high precision robots from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version of CS8c from Stäubli is used. The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability ±0.035 mm)
- · High reliability (industrial design)
- · Jerk-free straight movements
- · Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)



Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 7 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



### 3.2.2 Probes

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency.

Model	EX3DV4	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE).	-
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	10 μW/g to 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μW/g)	///
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm	

Model	ES3DV3	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Interleaved sensors. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE).	P
Frequency	10 MHz to 4 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	N.
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.3 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	A STATE OF THE STA
Dynamic Range	5 μW/g to 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	AGF
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 3.9 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.0 mm	

Model	ET3DV6		200
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system.  Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)		
Frequency	10 MHz to 2.3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB		
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)		
Dynamic Range	5 μW/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	_	
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm		

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 8 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

Model	DAE4	
Construction	Signal amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter and control logic. Serial optical link for communication with DASY embedded system (fully remote controlled). Two step probe touch detector for mechanical surface detection and emergency robot stop.	
Measurement	-100 to +300 mV (16 bit resolution and two range settings: 4mV,	
Range	400mV)	The little was a second
Input Offset	< 5µV (with auto zero)	
Voltage	< 5µV (With auto Zero)	
Input Bias Current	< 50 fA	
Dimensions	60 x 60 x 68 mm	

### 3.2.4 Phantoms

Model	Twin SAM	
Construction	The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.	
Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)	
Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm (6 ± 0.2 mm at ear point)	
Dimensions	Length: 1000 mm Width: 500 mm Height: adjustable feet	
Filling Volume	approx. 25 liters	

Model	ELI	
Construction	Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles.	
Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)	
Shell Thickness	2.0 ± 0.2 mm (bottom plate)	
Dimensions	Major axis: 600 mm Minor axis: 400 mm	
Filling Volume	approx. 30 liters	

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 9 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



### 3.2.5 Device Holder

Model	Mounting Device	-
Construction	In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom or ELI4, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter device in spherical coordinates. Rotation point is the ear opening point. Transmitter devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked for positioning at different phantom sections (left head, right head, flat).	
Material	POM	

Model	Laptop Extensions Kit	
Construction	Simple but effective and easy-to-use extension for Mounting Device that facilitates the testing of larger devices according to IEC 62209-2 (e.g., laptops, cameras, etc.). It is lightweight and fits easily on the upper part of the Mounting Device in place of the phone positioner.	
Material	POM, Acrylic glass, Foam	

## 3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles

Model	D-Serial	
Construction	Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun. Enables measurement of feed point impedance with NWA. Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with tissue simulating solutions.	
Frequency	750 MHz to 5800 MHz	
Return Loss	> 20 dB	
Power Capability	> 100 W (f < 1GHz), > 40 W (f > 1GHz)	


 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 10 of 29

 Report No.: SA170315C40
 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



#### 3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in Table-3.1.



The dielectric properties of the head tissue simulating liquids are defined in IEEE 1528, and KDB 865664 D01 Appendix A. For the body tissue simulating liquids, the dielectric properties are defined in KDB 865664 D01 Appendix A. The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using a dielectric assessment kit and a network analyzer.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 11 of 29
Report No. : SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



**Table-3.1 Targets of Tissue Simulating Liquid** 

Frequency	Target	Range of	Target	Range of
(MHz)	Permittivity	±5%	Conductivity	±5%
		For Head		
750	41.9	39.8 ~ 44.0	0.89	0.85 ~ 0.93
835	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6	0.90	0.86 ~ 0.95
900	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02
1450	40.5	38.5 ~ 42.5	1.20	1.14 ~ 1.26
1640	40.3	38.3 ~ 42.3	1.29	1.23 ~ 1.35
1750	40.1	38.1 ~ 42.1	1.37	1.30 ~ 1.44
1800	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
1900	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
2000	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
2300	39.5	37.5 ~ 41.5	1.67	1.59 ~ 1.75
2450	39.2	37.2 ~ 41.2	1.80	1.71 ~ 1.89
2600	39.0	37.1 ~ 41.0	1.96	1.86 ~ 2.06
3500	37.9	36.0 ~ 39.8	2.91	2.76 ~ 3.06
5200	36.0	34.2 ~ 37.8	4.66	4.43 ~ 4.89
5300	35.9	34.1 ~ 37.7	4.76	4.52 ~ 5.00
5500	35.6	33.8 ~ 37.4	4.96	4.71 ~ 5.21
5600	35.5	33.7 ~ 37.3	5.07	4.82 ~ 5.32
5800	35.3	33.5 ~ 37.1	5.27	5.01 ~ 5.53
		For Body		
750	55.5	52.7 ~ 58.3	0.96	0.91 ~ 1.01
835	55.2	52.4 ~ 58.0	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02
900	55.0	52.3 ~ 57.8	1.05	1.00 ~ 1.10
1450	54.0	51.3 ~ 56.7	1.30	1.24 ~ 1.37
1640	53.8	51.1 ~ 56.5	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
1750	53.4	50.7 ~ 56.1	1.49	1.42 ~ 1.56
1800	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
1900	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
2000	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
2300	52.9	50.3 ~ 55.5	1.81	1.72 ~ 1.90
2450	52.7	50.1 ~ 55.3	1.95	1.85 ~ 2.05
2600	52.5	49.9 ~ 55.1	2.16	2.05 ~ 2.27
3500	51.3	48.7 ~ 53.9	3.31	3.14 ~ 3.48
5200	49.0	46.6 ~ 51.5	5.30	5.04 ~ 5.57
5300	48.9	46.5 ~ 51.3	5.42	5.15 ~ 5.69
5500	48.6	46.2 ~ 51.0	5.65	5.37 ~ 5.93
5600	48.5	46.1 ~ 50.9	5.77	5.48 ~ 6.06
5800	48.2	45.8 ~ 50.6	6.00	5.70 ~ 6.30

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 12 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017





The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids.

**Table-3.2 Recipes of Tissue Simulating Liquid** 


Tissue Type	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	Triton X-100	Water	Diethylene Glycol Mono- hexylether
H750	0.2	-	0.2	1.5	56.0	-	42.1	-
H835	0.2	-	0.2	1.5	57.0	-	41.1	-
H900	0.2	-	0.2	1.4	58.0	-	40.2	-
H1450	-	43.3	-	0.6	-	-	56.1	-
H1640	-	45.8	-	0.5	-	-	53.7	-
H1750	-	47.0	-	0.4	-	-	52.6	-
H1800	-	44.5	-	0.3	-	-	55.2	-
H1900	-	44.5	-	0.2	-	-	55.3	-
H2000	-	44.5	-	0.1	-	-	55.4	-
H2300	-	44.9	-	0.1	-	-	55.0	-
H2450	-	45.0	-	0.1	-	-	54.9	-
H2600	-	45.1	-	0.1	-	-	54.8	-
H3500	-	8.0	-	0.2	-	20.0	71.8	-
H5G	-	-	-	•	-	17.2	65.5	17.3
B750	0.2	-	0.2	0.8	48.8	-	50.0	-
B835	0.2	-	0.2	0.9	48.5	-	50.2	-
B900	0.2	-	0.2	0.9	48.2	-	50.5	-
B1450	-	34.0	-	0.3	-	-	65.7	-
B1640	-	32.5	-	0.3	-	-	67.2	-
B1750	-	31.0	-	0.2	-	-	68.8	-
B1800	-	29.5	-	0.4	-	-	70.1	-
B1900	-	29.5	-	0.3	-	-	70.2	-
B2000	-	30.0	-	0.2	-	-	69.8	-
B2300	-	31.0	-	0.1	-	-	68.9	-
B2450	-	31.4	-	0.1	-	-	68.5	-
B2600	-	31.8	-	0.1	-	-	68.1	-
B3500	-	28.8	-	0.1	-	-	71.1	-
B5G	-	-	-	-	-	10.7	78.6	10.7

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 13 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



### 3.3 SAR System Verification

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below.



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The spectrum analyzer measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz, 100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to 6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter.

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No. : 14 of 29

 Report No. : SA170315C40
 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



#### 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure

According to the SAR test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- (a) Power reference measurement
- (b) Area scan
- (c) Zoom scan
- (d) Power drift measurement

The SAR measurement procedures for each of test conditions are as follows:

- (a) Make EUT to transmit maximum output power
- (b) Measure conducted output power through RF cable
- (c) Place the EUT in the specific position of phantom
- (d) Perform SAR testing steps on the DASY system
- (e) Record the SAR value

#### 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. According to KDB 865664 D01, the resolution for Area and Zoom scan is specified in the table below.

Items	<= 2 GHz	2-3 GHz	3-4 GHz	4-5 GHz	5-6 GHz
Area Scan (Δx, Δy)	<= 15 mm	<= 12 mm	<= 12 mm	<= 10 mm	<= 10 mm
Zoom Scan (Δx, Δy)	<= 8 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 4 mm	<= 4 mm
Zoom Scan (Δz)	<= 5 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 4 mm	<= 3 mm	<= 2 mm
Zoom Scan Volume	>= 30 mm	>= 30 mm	>= 28 mm	>= 25 mm	>= 22 mm

#### Note:

When zoom scan is required and report SAR is <= 1.4 W/kg, the zoom scan resolution of  $\Delta x / \Delta y$  (2-3GHz: <= 8 mm, 3-4GHz: <= 7 mm, 4-6GHz: <= 5 mm) may be applied.

#### 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD postprocessor can combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 15 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



#### 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASY measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drift more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

#### 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- (a) Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- (b) Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- (c) Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- (d) Interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- (e) Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- (f) Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g

#### 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods

In DASY, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 16 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation

## 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting

#### <Considerations Related to WLAN for Setup and Testing>

In general, various vendor specific external test software and chipset based internal test modes are typically used for SAR measurement. These chipset based test mode utilities are generally hardware and manufacturer dependent, and often include substantial flexibility to reconfigure or reprogram a device. A Wi-Fi device must be configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor supported by the test mode tools for SAR measurement. The test frequencies established using test mode must correspond to the actual channel frequencies. When 802.11 frame gaps are accounted for in the transmission, a maximum transmission duty factor of 92 - 96% is typically achievable in most test mode configurations. A minimum transmission duty factor of 85% is required to avoid certain hardware and device implementation issues related to wide range SAR scaling. In addition, a periodic transmission duty factor is required for current generation SAR systems to measure SAR correctly. The reported SAR must be scaled to 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance at the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

According to KDB 248227 D01, this device has installed WLAN engineering testing software which can provide continuous transmitting RF signal. During WLAN SAR testing, this device was operated to transmit continuously at the maximum transmission duty with specified transmission mode, operating frequency, lowest data rate, and maximum output power.

#### **Initial Test Configuration**

An initial test configuration is determined for OFDM transmission modes in 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz bands according to the channel bandwidth, modulation and data rate combination(s) with the highest maximum output power specified for production units in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. When the same maximum power is specified for multiple transmission modes in a frequency band, the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation, lowest data rate and lowest order 802.11a/g/n/ac mode is used for SAR measurement, on the highest measured output power channel in the initial test configuration, for each frequency band.

## **Subsequent Test Configuration**

SAR measurement requirements for the remaining 802.11 transmission mode configurations that have not been tested in the initial test configuration are determined separately for each standalone and aggregated frequency band, in each exposure condition, according to the maximum output power specified for production units. Additional power measurements may be required to determine if SAR measurements are required for subsequent highest output power channels in a subsequent test configuration. When the highest reported SAR for the initial test configuration according to the initial test position or fixed exposure position requirements, is adjusted by the ratio of the subsequent test configuration to initial test configuration specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for that subsequent test configuration.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 17 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



#### **SAR Test Configuration and Channel Selection**

When multiple channel bandwidth configurations in a frequency band have the same specified maximum output power, the initial test configuration is using largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation, lowest data rate, and lowest order 802.11 mode (i.e., 802.11a is chosen over 802.11n then 802.11ac or 802.11g is chosen over 802.11n). After an initial test configuration is determined, if multiple test channels have the same measured maximum output power, the channel chosen for SAR measurement is determined according to the following.

- 1) The channel closest to mid-band frequency is selected for SAR measurement.
- 2) For channels with equal separation from mid-band frequency; for example, high and low channels or two mid-band channels, the higher frequency (number) channel is selected for SAR measurement.

#### <Considerations Related to Bluetooth for Setup and Testing>

This device has installed Bluetooth engineering testing software which can provide continuous transmitting RF signal. During Bluetooth SAR testing, this device was operated to transmit continuously at the maximum transmission duty with specified transmission mode, operating frequency, lowest data rate, and maximum output power.

#### **4.2 EUT Testing Position**

Transmitters that are built-in within a wrist watch or similar wrist-worn devices typically operate in speaker mode for voice communication, with the device worn on the wrist and positioned next to the mouth. Next to the mouth exposure requires 1-g SAR, and the wrist-worn condition requires 10-g extremity SAR. The 10-g extremity and 1-g SAR test exclusions may be applied to the wrist and face exposure conditions. When SAR evaluation is required, next to the mouth use is evaluated with the front of the device positioned at 10 mm from a flat phantom filled with head tissue-equivalent medium. The wrist bands should be strapped together to represent normal use conditions. SAR for wrist exposure is evaluated with the back of the devices positioned in direct contact against a flat phantom fill with body tissue-equivalent medium. The wrist bands should be unstrapped and touching the phantom. The space introduced by the watch or wrist bands and the phantom must be representative of actual use conditions.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 18 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



#### 4.2.1 SAR Test Exclusion Evaluations

According to KDB 447498 D01, the SAR test exclusion condition is based on source-based time-averaged maximum conducted output power, adjusted for tune-up tolerance, and the minimum test separation distance required for the exposure conditions. The SAR exclusion threshold is determined by the following formula.

1. For the test separation distance <= 50 mm

$$\frac{\text{Max. Tune up Power}_{(mW)}}{\text{Min. Test Separation Distance}_{(mm)}} \times \sqrt{f_{(GHz)}} \leq 3.0 \text{ for SAR-1g,} \leq 7.5 \text{ for SAR-10g}$$

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

2. For the test separation distance > 50 mm, and the frequency at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz

$$\left[ \text{(Threshold at 50 mm in Step 1)} + \text{(Test Separation Distance} - 50 mm) \times \left( \frac{f_{\text{(MHz)}}}{150} \right) \right]_{\text{(mW)}}$$

3. For the test separation distance > 50 mm, and the frequency at > 1500 MHz to 6 GHz  $[ (Threshold at 50 mm in Step 1) + (Test Separation Distance - 50 mm) \times 10 ]_{(mW)}$ 

	Max.	Max.		Front Face			
Mode	Tune-up Power (dBm)	Tune-up Power (mW)	Ant. to Surface (mm)	Calculated Result	Require SAR Testing?		
BT	12.5	17.78	10	2.8	No		

#### Note:

- 1. When separation distance <= 50 mm and the calculated result shown in above table is <= 3.0 for SAR-1g exposure condition, or <= 7.5 for SAR-10g exposure condition, the SAR testing exclusion is applied.
- 2. When separation distance > 50 mm and the device output power is less than the calculated result (power threshold, mW) shown in above table, the SAR testing exclusion is applied.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 19 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



## 4.3 Tissue Verification

The measuring results for tissue simulating liquid are shown as below.

Test Date	Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Temp. (℃)	Measured Conductivity (σ)	Measured Permittivity (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Target Conductivity (σ)	Target Permittivity $(\epsilon_r)$	Conductivity Deviation (%)	Permittivity Deviation (%)
Mar. 31, 2017	Head	2450	23.3	1.872	39.899	1.80	39.2	4.00	1.78
Apr. 02, 2017	Head	2450	23.5	1.871	38.915	1.80	39.2	3.94	-0.73
Mar. 31, 2017	Body	2450	23.5	2.044	53.606	1.95	52.7	4.82	1.72
Apr. 06, 2017	Body	2450	23.2	2.021	50.593	1.95	52.7	3.64	-4.00

#### Note:

- 1. The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid must be measured within 24 hours before the SAR testing and within ±5% of the target values. Liquid temperature during the SAR testing must be within ±2 °C.
- 2. Since the maximum deviation of dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid is within 5%, SAR correction is evaluated in the measurement uncertainty shown on section 6 of this report.

## 4.4 System Validation

The SAR measurement system was validated according to procedures in KDB 865664 D01. The validation status in tabulated summary is as below.

Tool	Drobo			Measured Meas				Va	lidation for C	:W	Validation for Modulation		
Test Date	Probe S/N	Calibrati	on Point	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Sensitivity Range	Probe Linearity	Probe Isotropy	Modulation Type	Duty Factor	PAR		
Mar. 31, 2017	3661	Head	2450	1.872	39.899	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		
Apr. 02, 2017	3661	Head	2450	1.871	38.915	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		
Mar. 31, 2017	3661	Body	2450	2.044	53.606	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		
Apr. 06, 2017	3661	Body	2450	2.021	50.593	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass		

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 20 of 29
Report No. : SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 4.5 System Verification

The measuring result for system verification is tabulated as below.

Test Date	Mode	Frequency (MHz)	1W Target SAR-1g (W/kg)	Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	Normalized to 1W SAR-1g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	DAE S/N
Mar. 31, 2017	Head	2450	52.60	13.60	54.40	3.42	737	3661	1277
Apr. 02, 2017	Head	2450	52.60	12.90	51.60	-1.90	737	3661	1277

Test Date	Mode	Frequency (MHz)	1W Target SAR-10g (W/kg)	Measured SAR-10g (W/kg)	Normalized to 1W SAR-10g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	DAE S/N
Mar. 31, 2017	Body	2450	24.10	5.82	23.28	-3.40	737	3661	1277
Apr. 06, 2017	Body	2450	24.10	5.65	22.60	-6.22	737	3661	1277

#### Note:

Comparing to the reference SAR value provided by SPEAG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %. The result indicates the system check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix A of this report.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 21 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 4.6 Maximum Output Power

#### 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power

The maximum conducted average power (Unit: dBm) including tune-up tolerance is shown as below.

Mode	2.4G WLAN
802.11b	15.5
802.11g	10.5
802.11n HT20	10.5

Mode	2.4G Bluetooth
Bluetooth	12.5

### 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result

The measuring conducted average power (Unit: dBm) is shown as below.

#### <WLAN 2.4G>

Mode			802.11b		
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)	12 (2467)	13 (2472)
Average Power	14.98	14.69	15.17	14.56	14.85
Mode			802.11g		
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)	12 (2467)	13 (2472)
Average Power	9.76	10.34	9.81	10.17	9.84
Mode			802.11n (HT20)		
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)	12 (2467)	13 (2472)
Average Power	9.76	10.39	9.89	10.17	10.06

#### <Bluetooth>

Mode	Bluetooth								
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	0 (2402)	0 (2402) 39 (2441) 78 (2480)							
Average Power	12.47	12.43	12.32						

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 22 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



#### 4.7 SAR Testing Results

#### 4.7.1 SAR Test Reduction Considerations

#### <KDB 447498 D01, General RF Exposure Guidance>

Testing of other required channels within the operating mode of a frequency band is not required when the reported SAR for the mid-band or highest output power channel is:

- (1) ≤ 0.8 W/kg or 2.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is ≤ 100 MHz
- (2) ≤ 0.6 W/kg or 1.5 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is between 100 MHz and 200 MHz
- (3) ≤ 0.4 W/kg or 1.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is ≥ 200 MHz

#### <KDB 248227 D01, SAR Guidance for Wi-Fi Transmitters>

- (1) For handsets operating next to ear, hotspot mode or mini-tablet configurations, the initial test position procedures were applied. The test position with the highest extrapolated peak SAR will be used as the initial test position. When the reported SAR of initial test position is <= 0.4 W/kg, SAR testing for remaining test positions is not required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR positions until the reported SAR result is <= 0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.
- (2) For WLAN 2.4 GHz, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. When the reported SAR is <= 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel. For OFDM modes (802.11g/n), SAR is not required when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and it is <= 1.2 W/kg.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 23 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017





## 4.7.2 SAR Results for Extremity Exposure Condition (Separation Distance is 0 cm Gap)

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Ch.	EUT Config.	Phantom	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Measured Conducted Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Measured SAR-10g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR-10g (W/kg)
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	11	1	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	15.17	1.08	-0.1	0.042	0.05
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	1	1	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	14.98	1.13	-0.05	0.038	0.04
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	6	1	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	14.69	1.21	0.05	0.031	0.04
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	11	2	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	15.17	1.08	0.19	0.016	0.02
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	1	2	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	14.98	1.13	-0.09	0.011	0.01
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	6	2	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	14.69	1.21	0.02	0.00804	0.01
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	11	3	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	15.17	1.08	-0.14	0.142	0.15
01	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	1	3	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	14.98	1.13	0.08	0.197	0.22
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	6	3	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	14.69	1.21	-0.03	0.177	0.21
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	11	4	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	15.17	1.08	-0.15	0.0377	0.04
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	1	4	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	14.98	1.13	-0.07	0.058	0.07
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	6	4	SAM Phantom-Flat	15.5	14.69	1.21	-0.09	0.074	0.09
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	11	1	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	15.17	1.08	-0.16	0.052	0.06
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	1	1	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	14.98	1.13	0.06	0.1	0.11
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	6	1	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	14.69	1.21	0.01	0.039	0.05
02	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	11	2	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	15.17	1.08	0	0.128	<mark>0.14</mark>
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	1	2	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	14.98	1.13	0.1	0.063	0.07
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	6	2	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	14.69	1.21	-0.08	0.052	0.06
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	11	4	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	15.17	1.08	0.08	0.09	0.10
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	1	4	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	14.98	1.13	-0.03	0.099	0.11
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Rear Face	6	4	SAM Phantom-Neck	15.5	14.69	1.21	-0.1	0.094	0.11

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 24 of 29

 Report No.: SA170315C40
 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# FCC SAR Test Report

Plot No.	Band	Test Position	Ch.	EUT Config.	Phantom	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Measured Conducted Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Measured SAR-10g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR-10g (W/kg)
	Bluetooth	Rear Face	0	1	SAM Phantom-Flat	12.5	12.47	1.01	0.07	0.00298	0.00
	Bluetooth	Rear Face	0	2	SAM Phantom-Flat	12.5	12.47	1.01	0.01	0.000641	0.00
03	Bluetooth	Rear Face	0	3	SAM Phantom-Flat	12.5	12.47	1.01	-0.09	0.037	<mark>0.04</mark>
	Bluetooth	Rear Face	0	4	SAM Phantom-Flat	12.5	12.47	1.01	-0.1	0.00203	0.00
	Bluetooth	Rear Face	0	1	SAM Phantom-Neck	12.5	12.47	1.01	0.01	0.009	0.01
04	Bluetooth	Rear Face	0	2	SAM Phantom-Neck	12.5	12.47	1.01	0	0.01	<mark>0.01</mark>
	Bluetooth	Rear Face	0	4	SAM Phantom-Neck	12.5	12.47	1.01	-0.04	0.00702	0.01

# 4.7.3 SAR Results for Face Exposure Condition (Separation Distance is 1.0 cm Gap)

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Ch.	EUT Config.	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Measured Conducted Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR-1g (W/kg)
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	1	1	15.5	14.98	1.13	0	0.014	0.02
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	6	1	15.5	14.69	1.21	-0.17	0.024	0.03
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	11	1	15.5	15.17	1.08	-0.18	0.016	0.02
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	1	2	15.5	14.98	1.13	0.01	0.000285	0.00
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	6	2	15.5	14.69	1.21	0.04	0.00222	0.00
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	11	2	15.5	15.17	1.08	0.12	0.01	0.01
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	1	3	15.5	14.98	1.13	0.03	0.035	0.04
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	6	3	15.5	14.69	1.21	0.06	0.034	0.04
05	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	11	3	15.5	15.17	1.08	-0.16	0.043	0.05
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	1	4	15.5	14.98	1.13	-0.08	0.021	0.02
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	6	4	15.5	14.69	1.21	0.01	0.029	0.03
	2.4G WLAN	802.11b	Front Face	11	4	15.5	15.17	1.08	0.19	0.037	0.04

Plot No.	Band	Test Position	Ch.	EUT Config.	Phantom	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Measured Conducted Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Measured SAR-10g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR-10g (W/kg)
	Bluetooth	Front Face	0	1	SAM Phantom-Flat	12.5	12.47	1.01	0	0.00	0.00
	Bluetooth	Front Face	0	2	SAM Phantom-Flat	12.5	12.47	1.01	0	0.00	0.00
	Bluetooth	Front Face	0	3	SAM Phantom-Flat	12.5	12.47	1.01	0	0.00	0.00
	Bluetooth	Front Face	0	4	SAM Phantom-Flat	12.5	12.47	1.01	0	0.00	0.00

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 25 of 29

 Report No. : SA170315C40
 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



#### 4.7.4 SAR Measurement Variability

According to KDB 865664 D01, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media are required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures should be applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. Alternatively, if the highest measured SAR for both head and body tissue-equivalent media are  $\leq 1.45$  W/kg and the ratio of these highest SAR values, i.e., largest divided by smallest value, is  $\leq 1.10$ , the highest SAR configuration for either head or body tissue-equivalent medium may be used to perform the repeated measurement. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

Since all the measured SAR are less than 0.8 W/kg, the repeated measurement is not required.

Test Engineer: Austin Lin, and Willy Chang

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 26 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017





# 5. Calibration of Test Equipment

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	SN	Cal. Date	Cal. Interval
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	737	Aug. 26, 2016	1 Year
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3661	May. 11, 2016	1 Year
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE4	1277	Jul. 22, 2016	1 Year
ENA Series Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	MY46214281	Jun. 13, 2016	1 Year
MXG Analong Signal Generator	Agilent	N5181A	MY50143868	Jul. 07, 2016	1 Year
Power Meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1218009	Jul. 06, 2016	1 Year
Power Sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	1207252	Jul. 06, 2016	1 Year
Thermometer	YFE	YF-160A	150601220	May. 04, 2016	1 Year
Power Amplifier	AR	5S1G4	0339656	Sep. 21, 2016	1 Year
Attenuator	Woken	00800A1G01L-10	N/A	Sep. 21, 2016	1 Year
Directional Coupler	Woken	0110A05602O-10	11122702	Sep. 21, 2016	1 Year
Dielectric Assessment Kit	SPEAG	DAK-3.5	1151	Aug. 25, 2016	1 Year

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 27 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 6. Measurement Uncertainty

Source of Uncertainty	Uncertainty (± %)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (± %, 1g)	Standard Uncertainty (± %, 10g)	Vi
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	6.0	Normal	1	1	1	6.0	6.0	8
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	√0.5	√0.5	1.9	1.9	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	√0.5	√0.5	3.9	3.9	8
Boundary Effect	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	8
Detection Limits	0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.14	0.14	8
Probe Modulation Response	3.5	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.0	2.0	8
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	8
Response Time	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0	8
Integration Time	1.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.0	1.0	8
RF Ambient Conditions – Noise	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	8
RF Ambient Conditions – Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	8
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	8
Probe Positioning with Respect to Phantom	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	8
Post-processing	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2	8
Test Sample Related								
Test Sample Positioning	4.38 / 1.35	Normal	1	1	1	4.4	1.4	29
Device Holder Uncertainty	2.9 / 4.1	Normal	1	1	1	2.9	4.1	11
Power Drift of Measurement	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9	8
Power Scaling	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0	8
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and Thickness Tolerances)	7.2	Rectangular	√3	1	1	4.2	4.2	8
Liquid Conductivity ( Temperature Uncertainty)	3.24	Rectangular	√3	0.78	0.71	1.5	1.3	8
Liquid Conductivity (Measured)	2.88	Normal	1	0.78	0.71	2.2	2.0	43
Liquid Permittivity (Temperature Uncertainty)	1.13	Rectangular	√3	0.23	0.26	0.2	0.2	∞
Liquid Permittivity (Measured)	2.50	Normal	1	0.23	0.26	0.6	0.7	54
Combined Standard Uncertainty		± 11.8 %	± 11.3 %					
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)	± 23.6 %	± 22.6 %						

Body SAR Uncertainty Budget for Frequency Range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 28 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# 7. Information on the Testing Laboratories

We, Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch, were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved according to ISO/IEC 17025.

If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

#### Taiwan HwaYa EMC/RF/Safety/Telecom Lab:

Add: No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd, Wen Hwa Vil., Kwei Shan Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-3-318-3232 Fax: 886-3-327-0892

#### Taiwan LinKo EMC/RF Lab:

Add: No. 47-2, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Vil., Linkou Dist., New Taipei City 244, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-2-2605-2180 Fax: 886-2-2605-1924

#### Taiwan HsinChu EMC/RF Lab:

Add: No. 81-1, Lu Liao Keng, 9th Ling, Wu Lung Vil., Chiung Lin Township, Hsinchu County 307, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-3-593-5343 Fax: 886-3-593-5342

Email: service.adt@tw.bureauveritas.com

Web Site: www.adt.com.tw

The road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.

---END---

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 29 of 29
Report No.: SA170315C40 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017



# Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

The plots for system verification with largest deviation for each SAR system combination are shown as follows.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017

Report No.: SA170315C40

## System Check\_H2450\_170331

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; SN: 737** 

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: H19T27N2\_0331 Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.872$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.899$ ;  $\rho =$ 

Date: 2017/03/31

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature : 23.6 °C; Liquid Temperature : 23.3 °C

## DASY5 Configuration:


- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3661; ConvF(7.32, 7.32, 7.32); Calibrated: 2016/05/11;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1277; Calibrated: 2016/07/22
- Phantom: Twin SAM Phantom\_1822; Type: QD000P40;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7373)

**Pin=250mW/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 23.1 W/kg

**Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 107.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 29.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.6 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.19 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 23.3 W/kg



## System Check\_B2450\_170406

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; SN: 737** 

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B19T27N5\_0406 Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 2.021$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.593$ ;  $\rho = 1.021$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.021$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 1.021$  MHz;  $\epsilon_r = 1.021$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 1.021$  S/

Date: 2017/04/06

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C; Liquid Temperature : 23.2 °C

## DASY5 Configuration:


- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3661; ConvF(7.44, 7.44, 7.44); Calibrated: 2016/05/11;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1277; Calibrated: 2016/07/22
- Phantom: Twin SAM Phantom\_1485; Type: QD000P40;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7373)

**Pin=250mW/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 18.8 W/kg

**Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 97.97 V/m; Power Drift = -0.11 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 25.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.2 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.65 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.7 W/kg







# Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement

The SAR plots for highest measured SAR in each exposure configuration, wireless mode and frequency band combination, and measured SAR > 1.5 W/kg are shown as follows.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Issued Date : Apr. 19, 2017

Report No. : SA170315C40

# P01 2.4G WLAN\_802.11b\_Rear Face\_0cm\_Ch6\_EUT 3

#### **DUT: 170315C45**

Communication System: WLAN\_2.4G; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B19T27N5\_0331 Medium parameters used: f = 2437 MHz;  $\sigma = 2.029$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.643$ ;  $\rho = 1.02$  Medium:  $\epsilon_r = 53.643$ 


Date: 2017/03/31

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature : 23.6 °C; Liquid Temperature : 23.3 °C

## **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3661; ConvF(7.44, 7.44, 7.44); Calibrated: 2016/05/11;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1277; Calibrated: 2016/07/22
- Phantom: Twin SAM Phantom\_1654; Type: QD000P40;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7373)
- Area Scan (71x181x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.621 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 15.64 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.979 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.490 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.197 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.611 W/kg



# P02 2.4G WLAN\_802.11b\_Rear Face\_0cm\_Ch11\_EUT 2\_SAM Phantom-Neck

#### **DUT: 170315C45**

Communication System: WLAN\_2.4G; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B19T27N5\_0406 Medium parameters used: f = 2462 MHz;  $\sigma = 2.035$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.562$ ;  $\rho = 2.035$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.562$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 50.562$ 

Date: 2017/04/06

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 


Ambient Temperature: 23.4°C; Liquid Temperature: 23.2°C

## **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3661; ConvF(7.44, 7.44, 7.44); Calibrated: 2016/05/11;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1277; Calibrated: 2016/07/22

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.512 W/kg

- Phantom: Twin SAM Phantom\_1485; Type: QD000P40;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7373)
- Area Scan (91x71x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.588 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.05 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.395 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.128 W/kg



# P03 Bluetooth\_Rear Face\_0cm\_Ch0\_EUT 3\_SAM Phantom-Flat

#### **DUT: 170315C45**

Communication System: Bluetooth; Frequency: 2402 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B19T27N5\_0331 Medium parameters used: f = 2402 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.99$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 53.755$ ;  $\rho = 1.99$  Medium:  $\sigma = 1.99$  S/m;  $\sigma = 1.99$  S


Date: 2017/03/31

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature : 23.6 °C; Liquid Temperature : 23.3 °C

## **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3661; ConvF(7.44, 7.44, 7.44); Calibrated: 2016/05/11;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1277; Calibrated: 2016/07/22
- Phantom: Twin SAM Phantom\_1654; Type: QD000P40;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7373)
- Area Scan (71x181x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.105 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 6.390 V/m; Power Drift = -0.09 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.231 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.118 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.037 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.122 W/kg



# P04 Bluetooth Rear Face 0cm Ch0 EUT 2 SAM Phantom-Neck

#### **DUT: 170315C45**

Communication System: BT; Frequency: 2402 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B19T27N5\_0406 Medium parameters used: f = 2402 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.966$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.717$ ;  $\rho = 1.966$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.717$ 

Date: 2017/04/06

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 


Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C; Liquid Temperature : 23.2 °C

## **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3661; ConvF(7.44, 7.44, 7.44); Calibrated: 2016/05/11;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1277; Calibrated: 2016/07/22
- Phantom: Twin SAM Phantom 1485; Type: QD000P40;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7373)
- Area Scan (91x71x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0555 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0690 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.032 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.010 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0534 W/kg



# P05 2.4G WLAN\_802.11b\_Front Face\_1cm\_Ch11\_EUT 3

#### **DUT: 170315C45**

Communication System: WLAN\_2.4G; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: H19T27N2\_0331 Medium parameters used: f = 2462 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.885$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.869$ ;  $\rho = 1.885$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.869$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 39.869$ 

Date: 2017/03/31

 $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Ambient Temperature : 23.6 °C; Liquid Temperature : 23.3 °C

## **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3661; ConvF(7.32, 7.32, 7.32); Calibrated: 2016/05/11;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1277; Calibrated: 2016/07/22
- Phantom: Twin SAM Phantom\_1822; Type: QD000P40;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7373)
- Area Scan (71x191x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0387 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 3.400 V/m; Power Drift = -0.16 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0850 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.043 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.014 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0582 W/kg

