TEST REPORT
FROM
RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD

Test of: Datalogic Mobile SRL
Kyman Module

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Test Report Serial No:
RFI/SARE3/RP49622JD01A

Supersedes Test Report Serial No:
RFI/SARE2/RP49622JD01A

This Test Report Is Issued Under The Authority Of Steve Flooks, Service Leader RPG:  **pp**	
Checked By: Joe Lomako 	**Report Copy No: PDF01**
Issue Date: 24 January 2008	**Test Dates: 10 December 2007**

This report is issued in Adobe Acrobat portable document format (PDF). It is only a valid copy of the report if it is being viewed in PDF format with the following security options not allowed: Changing the document, Selecting text and graphics, Adding or changing notes and form fields.

This report may be copied in full. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.

RFI Global Services Ltd
Pavilion A, Ashwood Park, Ashwood Way, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG23 8BG
Telephone: +44 (0)1256 312000 Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001
Email: info@rfi-global.com Website: www.rfi-global.com

Registered in England and Wales. Company number:2117901

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Test of: Datalogic Mobile SRL
Kyman Module
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Table of Contents

1. Customer Information

Company Name:	Datalogic Mobile SRL
Address:	Via Candini, 2 Lippo di Calderara di Reno Bologna Italy 40012
Contact Name:	Mr. M DeGirolami

2. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

The following information (with the exception of the date of receipt) has been supplied by the customer:

2.1. Description of EUT

The equipment under test is a DL-KYMAN 721-902 Mobile Computer with WiFi (802.11b/g) Radio card with Tri-band GSM / GPRS class10 capabilities and *Bluetooth* capabilities.

2.2. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Description:	KYMAN Mobile Computer
Brand Name:	Datalogic
Model Name or Number:	DL-KYMAN 721-902
Serial Number:	D07G00296
IMEI Number:	Not Applicable
Hardware Version Number:	Operating System Microsoft Windows CE Version 5.00
Software Version Number:	Operating System Microsoft Windows CE Version 5.00; Device Ver.: 5.45.Pro[1.50.51.20070608]
FCC ID Number:	U4G0016
Country of Manufacture:	Italy
Date of Receipt:	6th December 2007

2.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

There was no modification made to the EUT during testing

2.4. Accessories

The following accessories were supplied with the EUT during testing:

Description:	Belt Clip
Brand Name:	Datalogic
Model Name or Number:	None Stated
Serial Number:	None Stated
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Country of Manufacture:	None Stated
Connected to Port	Connector Unique To Manufacturer

2.5. Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	Test Software
Brand Name:	SDCFCC
Model Name or Number:	v1.01.12
Serial Number:	Not Applicable
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Connected to Port:	Not Applicable

2.6. Additional Information Related to Testing

Equipment Category	WiFi		
Type of Unit	Portable (Standalone Battery Powered)		
Intended Operating Environment:	Within WiFi Coverage		
Transmitter Maximum Output Power Characteristics:	WiFi	20 dBm	
Transmitter Maximum Output Power Measured:	WiFi	14.3 dBm	
Transmitter Frequency Range:	WiFi	(2412 to 2462) MHz	
Transmitter Frequency Allocation of EUT When Under Test:	**Channel Number**	**Channel Description**	**Frequency (MHz)**
	6	Middle	2437
Modulation(s):	0 Hz		
Modulation Scheme (Crest Factor):	1 (Continuous Transmit)		
Antenna Type:	Internal (main antenna)		
Antenna Length:	Unknown		
Number of Antenna Positions:	1 Fixed (TX diversity set to main antenna only)		
Power Supply Requirement:	7.4 V / 2200mAh		
Battery Type(s):	Li-Ion		

3. Test Specification, Methods and Procedures

3.1. Test Specification

Reference:	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)
Title:	Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields.
Purpose of Test:	To determine whether the equipment met the basic restrictions as defined in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01) using the SAR averaging method as described in the test specification above.

3.2. Methods and Procedures Reference Documentation

The methods and procedures used were as detailed in:

Federal Communications Commission, “Evaluating compliance with FCC Guidelines for human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields”, OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C, FCC, Washington, D.C, 20554, 2001.

Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger and Neils Kuster, “Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments”, IEEE Transaction on microwave theory and techniques,
Vol. 44, pp. 105-113, January 1996.

Neils Kuster, Ralph Kastle and Thomas Schmid, “Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision”, IEICE Transactions of communications, Vol. E80-B, No.5, pp. 645-652, May 1997.

3.3. Definition of Measurement Equipment

The measurement equipment used complied with the requirements of the standards referenced in the methods & procedures section above. Appendix 1 contains a list of the test equipment used.

4. Deviations from the Test Specification

The EUT was tested in the WiFi band at 11Mbit/s and 54Mbit/s with *Bluetooth* enabled.

5. Operation and Configuration of the EUT during Testing

5.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s) unless otherwise stated:

- WiFi Test Mode Continuous Transmit with *Bluetooth* enabled.

The reason for choosing this configuration was that it has been defined by the customer as being typical of normal use and likely to be worst case.

5.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s) unless otherwise stated:

- Standalone Battery Powered Full Transmit, with and with out attachable belt clip.

Body Configuration

a) The EUT was placed in a normal operating position where the centre of EUT was aligned with the centre reference point on the flat section of the 'SAM' phantom.

b) With the EUT touching the phantom at an imaginary centre line. The EUT was aligned with a marked plane (X and Y axis) consisting of two lines.

c) For the touch-safe position the handset was gradually moved towards the flat section of the 'SAM' phantom until any point of the EUT touched the phantom.

d) For position(s) greater then 0mm separation the EUT was positioned as per the touch-safe position, and then the vertical height was decreased/adjusted as required.

e) SAR measurements were evaluated at maximum power and the unit was operated for an appropriate period prior to the evaluation in order to minimise the drift.

f) The device was keyed to operate continuously in the transmit mode for the duration of the test.

g) The location of the maximum spatial SAR distribution (hot spot) was determined relative to the handset and its antenna.

h) The EUT was transmitting at full power throughout the duration of the test powered by a fully charged battery.

6. Summary of Test Results

Test Name	Specification Reference	Compliancy Status
Specific Absorption Rate-WiFi & WLAN Body Configuration 1g	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)	Complied

6.1. Location of Tests

All the measurements described in this report were performed at the premises of
RFI Global Services Ltd, Ewhurst Park, Ramsdell, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG26 5RQ.

7. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

7.1. General Comments

This section contains test results only.

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to section 8 for details of measurement uncertainties.

7.2. Test Results

7.2.1.Specific Absorption Rate - WiFi & WLAN Body Configuration 1g

Test Summary:

Tissue Volume:	1g
Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.080300

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	23.0 to 23.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	23.0 to 23.0

Results:

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Level (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Margin (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Front of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	6	0.011800	1.600	1.588	1, 3	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	6	0.080300	1.600	1.520	1, 3	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	6	0.023600	1.600	1.576	1, 2, 3	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	6	0.022900	1.600	1.577	1, 2, 4	Complied

Note(s):

1. *SAR measurements were performed with the EUT at a separation distance of 0mm from the 'SAM' phantom flat section.*
2. *With Belt Clip attached*
3. *802.11b 11Mbit/s*
4. *802.11g 54Mbit/s*

7.2.2. EIRP/ERP Measurement

Channel Number	Frequency (MHZ)	TX Power before Test (dBm)	Note
6	2437	14.3	EIRP

7.2.3. Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently, the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor, such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document “approximately” is interpreted as meaning “effectively” or “for most practical purposes”.

Test Name	Confidence Level	Calculated Uncertainty
Specific Absorption Rate - WiFi & WLAN Body Configuration 1g	95%	±19.33

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty, the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

Measurement Uncertainty (Continued)

7.3. Specific Absorption Rate Uncertainty at WiFi & WLAN Body 1g, EGSM Modulation Scheme calculated in accordance with IEC 62209-1 & IEEE 1528

Type	Source of uncertainty	+ Value	- Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	$c_{i\ (10g)}$	Standard Uncertainty		υ_i or υ_{eff}
							+ u (%)	- u (%)	
B	Probe calibration	11.800	11.800	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	5.900	5.900	∞
B	Axial Isotropy	0.500	0.500	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.250	0.250	∞
B	Hemispherical Isotropy	2.600	2.600	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	1.300	1.300	∞
B	Spatial Resolution	0.500	0.500	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.289	0.289	∞
B	Boundary Effect	0.769	0.769	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.444	0.444	∞
B	Linearity	0.600	0.600	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.346	0.346	∞
B	Detection Limits	0.200	0.200	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.115	0.115	∞
B	Readout Electronics	0.560	0.560	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.280	0.280	∞
B	Response Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞
B	Integration Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞
B	RF Ambient conditions	3.000	3.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.732	1.732	∞
B	Probe Positioner Mechanical Restrictions	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Probe Positioning with regard to Phantom Shell	2.850	2.850	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.645	1.645	∞
B	Extrapolation and integration/ Maximum SAR evaluation	5.080	5.080	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.933	2.933	∞
A	Test Sample Positioning	2.920	2.920	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	2.920	2.920	10
A	Device Holder uncertainty	0.154	0.154	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.154	0.154	10
B	Phantom Uncertainty	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Drift of output power	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞
B	Liquid Conductivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6400	1.848	1.848	∞
A	Liquid Conductivity (measured value)	3.930	3.930	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6400	2.515	2.515	5
B	Liquid Permittivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6000	1.732	1.732	∞
A	Liquid Permittivity (measured value)	3.940	3.940	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6000	2.364	2.364	5
	Combined standard uncertainty			t-distribution			9.86	9.86	>400
	Expanded uncertainty			k = 1.96			19.33	19.33	>400

Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used

RFI No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Last Calibrated	Cal. Interval (Months)
A034	Narda 20W Termination	Narda	374BNM	8706	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1094	Digital Camera	Sony	MVC - FD81	125805	-	-
A1097	SMA Directional Coupler	MiDISCO	MDC6223-30	None	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1137	3dB Attenuator	Narda	779	04690	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1174	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent Technologies	85070C	Us99360072	Calibrated before use	-
A1328	Handset Positioner	Schmid & Partners	Modification	SD 000 H01 DA	-	-
A1182	Handset Positioner	Schmid & Partners	V3.0	None	-	-
A1184	Data Acquisition Electronics	Schmid & Partner	DAE3	394	24 May 2007	12
A1378	Probe	Schmid & Partner	EX3 DV3	3508	16 November 2006	16
A1566	SAM Phantom	Schmid & Partners	SAM a	002	Calibrated before use	-
A1322	2450 MHz Dipole Kit	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	D2450V2	725	17 January 2007	24
A1497	Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	zhl-42w (sma)	e020105	Calibrated as part of system	-
A215	20 dB Attenuator	Narda	766-20	9402	Calibrated as part of system	-
C1144	Cable	Rosenberger MICRO-COAX	FA147AF00 1503030	41842-1	Calibrated as part of system	-
C1145	Cable	Rosenberger MICRO-COAX	FA147AF00 3003030	41843-1	Calibrated as part of system	-

Test Equipment Used (Continued)

RFI No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Last Calibrated	Cal. Interval (Months)
C1146	Cable	Rosenberger MICRO-COAX	FA147AF03 0003030	41752-1	Calibrated as part of system	-
G051	Signal Generator	Gigatronics	7100/.01-20	749472	Calibrated before use	-
G0528	Robot Power Supply	Schmid & Partner	DASY	None	Calibrated before use	-
G087	PSU	Thurlby Thandar	CPX200	100701	Calibrated before use	-
M010	NRV Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV	882 317/065	06 July 2007	12
M053	HP 8594A Spectrum Analyser	HP	8594A	3108U00205	23 February 2006 (Monitoring use only)	-
M1015	Network Analyser	Agilent Technologies	8753ES	US39172406	24 September 2007	12
M1047	Robot Arm	Staubli	RX908 L	F00/SD89A1/A/0 1	Calibrated before use	-
M1069	Diode Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z2	838824/010	19 April 2007	12
M1129	Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	URY-Z2	890242/16	12 June 2007	12
M136	Temperature/Humidity /Pressure Meter	RS Components	None	None	Internal Calibration	-
M509	Thermometer	Testo	110	40378800433	20 April 2007	12
A512	Double ridged Horn	EMCO	3115	3993	17 Sept 2004 (Monitoring use only)	-
M1270	Temperature/Humidity /Pressure Meter	RS Components	None	None	Internal Calibration	-
C1092	Cable	RS Components	293-334	1087200-3 3402	Internal Calibration	-
S256	SAR Lab	RFI	Site 56	N/A	Calibrated before use	-

NB In accordance with UKAS requirements, all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule.

A.1.1. Calibration Certificates

This section contains the calibration certificates and data for the Probe(s) and Dipole(s) used, which are not included in the total number of pages for this report.

A1378

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client RFI

Certificate No: EX3-3508_Nov06

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

CAL DUE 16/11/07

Object	EX3DV3 - SN:3508
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v5 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	November 16, 2006
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00592)	Aug-07
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	4-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00558)	Apr-07
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00593)	Aug-07
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	2-Jan-06 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan06)	Jan-07
DAE4	SN: 654	21-Jun-06 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-654_Jun06)	Jun-07

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov-07
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-06)	In house check: Oct-07

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Niels Kuster	Quality Manager	

Issued: November 17, 2006

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
ConF	sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3 GHz), July 2001

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *NORMx,y,z:* Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not effect the E^2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- *NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response* (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- *DCPx,y,z:* DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- *ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters:* Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to *NORMx,y,z * ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- *Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- *Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe EX3DV3

SN:3508

Manufactured:	December 19, 2003
Last calibrated:	March 18, 2006
Recalibrated:	November 16, 2006

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV3 SN:3508

Sensitivity in Free Space[A] Diode Compression[B]

NormX	**0.780** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP X	**95** mV
NormY	**0.640** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Y	**96** mV
NormZ	**0.610** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Z	**97** mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect

TSL **2450 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		**2.0 mm**	**3.0 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	2.6	1.0
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.4

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	**1.0** mm

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

[A] The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E^2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).

[B] Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 0°







Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head})

(Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

Conversion Factor Assessment





f [MHz]	Validity [MHz][C]	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.33	1.00	8.00 ± 11.8% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.33	1.00	7.89 ± 11.8% (k=2)
2600	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.5 ± 5%	2.16 ± 5%	0.29	1.00	7.76 ± 11.8% (k=2)

[C] **The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.**

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ, ϑ), f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

A1378

27/04/07 NM

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client RFI

Certificate No: EX3-3508_Apr07

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	EX3DV3 - SN:3508
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v5 and QA CAL-14.v3 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	April 20, 2007
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00670)	Mar-08
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00670)	Mar-08
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00670)	Mar-08
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00592)	Aug-07
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00671)	Mar-08
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00593)	Aug-07
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	4-Jan-07 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan07)	Jan-08
DAE4	SN: 654	21-Jun-06 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-654_Jun06)	Jun-07

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov-07
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-06)	In house check: Oct-07

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Niels Kuster	Quality Manager	

Issued: April 21, 2007

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
ConF	sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *NORMx,y,z:* Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not effect the E^2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- *NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response* (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- *DCPx,y,z:* DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- *ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters:* Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to *NORMx,y,z * ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- *Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- *Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe EX3DV3

SN:3508

Manufactured:	December 19, 2003
Last calibrated:	November 16, 2006
Recalibrated:	April 20, 2007

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV3 SN:3508

Sensitivity in Free Space[A]

Diode Compression[B]

NormX	**0.780** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP X	**95** mV
NormY	**0.650** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Y	**96** mV
NormZ	**0.610** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Z	**97** mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect

TSL **900 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		**2.0 mm**	**3.0 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	3.3	1.2
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.0	0.0

TSL **1810 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		**2.0 mm**	**3.0 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	4.2	2.3
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.8	0.8

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	**1.0** mm

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

[A] The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E^2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).

[B] Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 0°







Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head})

(Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

Conversion Factor Assessment





f [MHz]	Validity [MHz][C]	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.53	0.80	9.64 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.16	1.29	8.69 ± 11.0% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Head	36.0 ± 5%	4.66 ± 5%	0.40	1.70	5.38 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5500	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.6 ± 5%	4.96 ± 5%	0.40	1.70	4.77 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.3 ± 5%	5.27 ± 5%	0.40	1.70	4.64 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Body	49.0 ± 5%	5.30 ± 5%	0.32	1.75	4.71 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5500	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.6 ± 5%	5.65 ± 5%	0.28	1.75	4.38 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.2 ± 5%	6.00 ± 5%	0.38	1.75	4.19 ± 13.1% (k=2)

[C] **The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.**

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ, ϑ), f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)