

 <p>Ackred. Nr 1761 Provning ISO/IEC 17025</p>	<p>Rapport utfärdad av ackrediterat provningslaboratorium <i>Test report issued by an Accredited Testing Laboratory</i></p>
---	--

EMF Test Report: Ericsson RD 4442 B30

Document number:	BTEB-18:001718 Uen, Rev B	Date of report:	2018-11-14
Testing laboratory:	Ericsson EMF Research Laboratory Ericsson AB SE-164 80 Stockholm Sweden	Company/Client:	Denis Lalonde Ericsson Canada 349 Terry Fox Drive Ottawa ON K2K 2V6 Canada
Tests performed by:	Bo Xu	Dates of tests:	2018-11-07 (Rev B) This document replaces the BTEB-18:001718 Uen Rev A.
Manufacturer and market name(s) of device:	Ericsson RD 4442 B30		
Testing has been performed in accordance with:	FCC CFR title 47, part 1.1310, FCC OET Bulletin 65, FCC KDB447498 D01		
Test results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test.		
Additional information:	Testing was conducted for mobile exposure conditions		
Signature:	Test Engineer  <hr/> Bo Xu Experienced Researcher bo.xu@ericsson.com Tel: +46 10 713 13 84	Laboratory and Quality Manager  <hr/> Christer Törnevik Senior Expert – EMF and Health christer.tornevik@ericsson.com Tel: +46 10 714 1235	

1 Summary of EMF Test Report¹

Frequency Band [MHz]	2300
Modes	LTE
Supported	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Covered by report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Exposure environment	General public

1.1 Results

RF exposure assessment results for general public (uncontrolled) exposure applicable in USA [1] - [3] are given in the table below. The equipment under test (EUT) conforms to the requirements of the relevant standards when the combined exposure ratio is less than one.

RF exposure assessment results for general public (uncontrolled) exposure as obtained for RD 4442 B30 together with an assumed output power tolerance of 2 dB using procedures applicable for the US market [3].

3GPP band	Standard	Nominal output power from the radio	Test position	Test separation distance	Exposure ratio	Result
B30 (2300)	L	4 x 0.05 W	Direction of maximum gain	20 cm	0.43	PASSED

¹ This page contains a summary of the test results. The full report provides a complete description of all test details and results.

2 General information

The test results reported in this document have been obtained by simple calculations according to plane-wave equivalent conditions [3]. The purpose of the tests was to verify that the EUT is in compliance with the appropriate RF exposure standards, recommendations and limits [1]–[3].

3 Equipment under test

Table 1 summarizes the technical data for the EUT. Photographs of the EUT are presented in Appendix A.

Table 1 Technical data for the EUT.

Product name	RD 4442 B30		
Product tested	KRY 901 407/1		
Dimensions, Thickness x Diameter (mm)	52 x 140		
Configurations(s) covered by this report	LTE B30 (2300)		
Antenna(s)	Internal antennas	Product number	Maximum gain (dBi)
		KRE 101 2365/1	2.3
Transmitter frequency range (MHz)	LTE B30 (2300): 2350 – 2360		

In Table 2 nominal output power levels are given.

Table 2 Nominal output power levels.

Band / Mode	Nominal output power ² (dBm)	Tolerance, upper limit (dB)	Transmission loss (dB)	Maximum output power ³ (dBm)
LTE B30 (2300) 4 x 0.05 W	17	2	0	25

4 EMF exposure assessments

FCC procedures [3] specify exposure assessment methods to verify compliance with EMF exposure limits [1] of mobile devices. A minimum test separation distance of at least 20 cm is required between the device and nearby persons to apply mobile device exposure limits. The test separation distance for which the equipment is shown to comply with the exposure limits must be clearly provided in the operating and installation instructions.

² Nominal output power per port.

³ Conservative measure of the total maximum possible output power level delivered to the antennas including losses, tolerances, and maximum downlink/uplink ratio.

4.1 US market – field strength calculations

The maximum gain, G_{ANT} , of the four antenna ports used is 2.3 dBi (2), see Table 1. Assuming correlated transmit signals, the directional gain, G , may be taken as 8.3 dBi, according to [4]. This is most likely a very conservative assumption given the used transmission modes and antenna topology.

The total effective radiated power for the antennas is 1.3 W. As a consequence, the categorical exclusion provision of FCC CFR title 47, § 2.1091(c) applies [5] and the minimum test separation distance may be estimated by simple calculations according to plane-wave equivalent conditions [3].

The exposure ratio, ER , may be conservatively estimated as

$$ER = \frac{S_{est}}{S_{lim}} = \frac{P_{tot}G}{4\pi r^2 S_{lim}}$$

where

P_{tot} : Total conducted power for the cellular bands (25 dBm/0.32 W),

G : Directional gain (8.3 dBi),

r : Separation distance from antenna

S_{lim} : Power density exposure limit of 10 W/m².

Setting P_{tot} = 0.32 W, G = 6.76, r = 0.20 m and S_{lim} = 10 W/m² gives the result for a 20 cm test separation distance in Table 3.

Table 3 RF exposure assessment results for general public (uncontrolled) exposure as obtained for RD 4442 B30 using procedures applicable for the US market [3]

3GPP band	Standard	Nominal output power from the radio	Test position ⁴	Test separation distance ⁵	Exposure ratio	Result
B30 (2300)	L	4 x 0.05 W	Direction of maximum gain	20 cm	0.43	PASSED

The exposure ratio is well below one. Hence, the RF EMF exposure is below the relevant exposure limits [1] for the 20 cm test separation distance.

5 Conclusion

The results in Section 4 show that the plane-wave equivalent power density, estimated according to the requirements of FCC [3] is below the relevant MPE limits [1] at a separation distance of 20 cm between the equipment and any nearby person.

Consequently, the EUT is in compliance with the appropriate RF exposure standards and recommendations.

⁴ For a test separation distance of 20 cm, the exposure was found to be well below applicable exposure limits in the direction of maximum gain. Since this test position corresponds to the direction of maximum exposure and the RDS is classified as a mobile device with an intended separation distance to the user or nearby persons of at least 20 cm, other test positions were not considered.

⁵ The separation distance is measured from the EUT casing.

6 References

- [1] FCC, Code of Federal Regulations CFR title 47, part 1.1310 “Radiofrequency radiation exposure limits”, Federal Communications Commission (FCC), 2017.
- [2] FCC, OET Bulletin 65, “Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields”, 1997.
- [3] FCC KDB 447498 D01, “Mobile and Portable Devices RF exposure procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies”, 2015.
- [4] FCC KDB 662911 D01, “Emissions Testing of Transmitters with Multiple Outputs in the Same Band”, 2013.
- [5] FCC, Code of Federal Regulations CFR title 47, part 2.1091, “ Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: mobile devices”, Federal Communications Commission (FCC), 2017.

7 Revision History

Rev.	Date	Description
A	2018-11-13	First revision
B	2018-11-14	Corrected antenna model number