

SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

RTX Hong Kong Ltd.

8/F Corporation Square,8 Lam Lok Street, Kowloon Bay, Hong Kong, China

FCC ID: T7HCT8830

Product Type: Report Type: Original Report DECT and BT IP65 handset Wilson then **Test Engineer:** Wilson Chen **Report Number:** RSZ140610006-20A **Report Date:** 2014-07-25 Bell Hu BeilHu **Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer Prepared By: Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the equipment described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

Attestation of Test Results					
	Company Name	Name RTX Hong Kong Ltd.			
	EUT Description	DECT and BT IP65 handset			
EUT Information	FCC ID	T7HCT8830			
	Model Number	RTX8830 handset			
	Test Date	2014-07-20			
Frequency	I	Max. SAR Level(s) Reported	Limit(W/Kg)		
1921.536-1928.448	0.037 W/kg 1g Head Tissue 0.034 W/kg 1g Body Tissue				
Simultaneous	0.224 W/kg 1g Head SAR 0.221 W/kg 1g Body SAR				
	ANSI / IEEE C95.1: 2005 IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fileds, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.				
ANSI / IEEE C95.3: 2002 IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Rad Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields,10 GHz.					
Applicable Standards	IEEE1528:2013 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques				
	5r02: Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Projuipment Authorization Policies. 1r03: SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz. 1r02: SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless				

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 52

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	7
FACILITIES	8
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	16
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	17
Liquid Verification	17
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION	
SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
RATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
EAR/TILT POSITION	
TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS	25
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	
TEST METHODOLOGY	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
TEST PROCEDURE	27
TEST RESULTS:	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	29
SAR TEST DATA	
TEST RESULT	
SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION	30
SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES)	32
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	34
APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	35
APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	45
Liquid depth ≥ 15cm	45
BODY-WORN-BACK-HEADSET	45
LEFT HEAD TOUCH SETUP PHOTO	
LEFT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTO	
RIGHT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTO	
APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS	48
EUT – Front View	
EUT – BACK VIEW	
EUT – LEFT SIDE VIEW	
EUT – RIGHT SIDE VIEWEUT – TOP VIEW	
EUT – TOP VIEW	
EUT – Battery off View	
APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	52

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
0	RSZ140610006-20A	Original Report	2014-07-25	

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 52

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of RTX Hong Kong Ltd. and their product, FCC ID: T7HCT8830, Model: RTX8830 handset or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Technical Specification

Product Type	Portable
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	Headset
Face-Head Accessories:	None
Evaguanay Panda	DECT:1921.536-1928.448 MHz;
Frequency Band:	Bluetooth: 2402MHz-2480MHz
Conducted RF Power:	DECT : 20.42dBm
Conducted RF Fower:	Bluetooth: 6.46dBm
Dimensions (L*W*H):	155mm (L)×47mm (W)×25mm (H)
Power Source:	2.4VDC Rechargeable Battery
Normal Operation:	Head and Body-worn

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 52

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to 447498 D03 Supplement C Cross-Reference v01 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 52

SAR Limits

FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 52

FACILITIES

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 52

DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

ALSAS-10U System Description

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

Applications

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 52

ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty


The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

Isotropic E-Field Probe

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 52

Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification

Calibration Method Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide		
Sensitivity	$0.70 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$ to $0.85 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$	
Dynamic Range	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg	
Isotropic Response	Better than 0.1 dB	
Diode Compression Point (DCP)	Calibration for Specific Frequency	
Probe Tip Diameter	< 2.9 mm	
Sensor Offset	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)	
Probe Length	289 mm	
Video Bandwidth	@ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB	
Boundary Effect	Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm	
Spatial Resolution	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe	

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from $5\mu V$ to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

ADC	12 Bit	
Amplifier Range	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV	
Field Integration	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms	
Number of Input Channels	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare	
Communication	Packet data via RS232	

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 52

Axis Articulated Robot

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A



Robot/Controller Manufacturer	Thermo CRS
Number of Axis	Six independently controlled axis
Positioning Repeatability	0.05 mm
Controller Type	Single phase Pentium based C500C
Robot Reach	710 mm
Communication	RS232 and LAN compatible

ALSAS Universal Workstation

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

Universal Device Positioner

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 52




Phantom Types

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

APREL SAM Phantoms

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.




SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 52

APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 800MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 52

Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	45	0	83	35	91	15	19	00	24	50
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (Nacl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton x-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (s/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head	Tissue	Body Tissue		
(MHz)	Er	O (S/m)	£r	O'(S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 52

EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Equipments List & Calibration Information


Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2013-10-08	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	ALS-E-020	2013-10-08	500-00283
Dipole, 1900MHz	ALS-D-1900-S-2	2011-08-25	210-00710
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	170-00510
Left ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-L	N/A	130-00311
Right ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-R	N/A	140-00359
UniPhantom	ALS-P-UP-1	N/A	150-00413
Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Head	ALS-TS-1900-H	Each Time	295-01103
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	71377
Directional couple	DC6180A	2013-11-12	0325849
Attenuator	3dB	2014-05-08	5402
Network analyzer	8752C	2014-06-13	3410A02356
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2014-06-13	N/A
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2014-05-08	2624A00116
UNIVERSAL RADIO COMMUNICATION TESTER	CMU200	2013-11-23	106891
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2013-11-12	101120

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 52

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results

Frequency	Liquid	Liquid	Parameter	Targ	et Value	Del	Tolerance	
(MHz)	Type	ε _r	O'(S/m)	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O'(S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	△O' (S/m)	(%)
1021 526	Head	40.17	1.40	40.00	1.40	0.425	0.000	±5
1921.536	Body	53.71	1.47	53.30	1.52	0.769	-3.289	±5
1024 002	Head	40.17	1.40	40.00	1.40	0.425	0.000	±5
1924.992	Body	53.69	1.48	53.30	1.52	0.732	-2.632	±5
1928.448	Head	40.17	1.41	40.00	1.40	0.425	0.714	±5
1928.448	Body	53.68	1.48	53.30	1.52	0.713	-2.632	±5

^{*}Liquid Verification was performed on 2014-7-20. Please refer to the following tables.


1900 MHz Head				1900 MHz Body			
Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''		Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''	
1915.4	40.1632	13.1339		1915.4	53.7415	13.7377	
1916.6	40.1654	13.1039		1916.6	53.7355	13.7447	
1917.8	40.1657	13.0712		1917.8	53.7295	13.7517	
1919.0	40.1659	13.0946		1919.0	53.7235	13.7587	
1920.2	40.1662	13.0885		1920.2	53.7175	13.7577	
1921.4	40.1664	13.1126		1921.4	53.7116	13.7726	
1922.6	40.1667	13.1525		1922.6	53.7056	13.7796	
1923.8	40.1669	13.0487		1923.8	53.6996	13.7866	
1925.0	40.1672	13.1026		1925.0	53.6936	13.7936	
1926.2	40.1674	13.1040		1926.2	53.6876	13.8006	
1927.4	40.1677	13.1205		1927.4	53.6816	13.8076	
1928.6	40.1679	13.1329		1928.6	53.6756	13.8166	
1929.8	40.1682	13.1779		1929.8	53.6696	13.8276	
1931.0	40.1684	13.1725		1931.0	53.6636	13.8346	
1932.2	40.1687	13.1613		1932.2	53.6576	13.8366	
1933.4	40.1690	13.1798		1933.4	53.6516	13.8625	

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 52

System Accuracy Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



Probe and dipole antenna List and Detail

Manufacturer	Description	Description Model		Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
APREL	Probe	ALS-E-020	500-00283	2013-10-08	2014-10-07
APREL	Dipole antenna(1900MHz)	ALS-D-1900-S-2	210-00710	2011-08-25	2014-08-24

System Accuracy Check Results

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2014-07-20	1000	Head	1g	41.153	39.648	3.796	±10
2014-07-20	1900	Body	1g	41.295	39.769	3.837	±10

^{*}All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 52

SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

System Performance Check 1900 MHz Head Liquid

Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 1900MHz Serial No. : 210-00710 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-1900-S-2

Frequency Band : 1900

Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W

Drift Time : 3 min(s)

Power Drift-Start : 41.025 W/kg

Power Drift-Finish : 40.758 W/kg

Power Drift (%) : -0.859

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Tissue Data

: Head Type Serial No. : 295-01103 : 1900.00 MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 20-Jul-2014 : 20.00 °C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C Humidity : 56.00 RH% : 40.15 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 1.39 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. M

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 08-Oct-2013

Frequency Band : 1900 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 4.8

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 20.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 20.00 °C

Area Scan : 7x9x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 52

1 gram SAR value : 41.153 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 21.069 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 44.158 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 88.257W/kg



1900 MHz System Validation with Head Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 52

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

System Performance Check 1900 MHz Body Liquid

Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 1900MHz Serial No. : 210-00710 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-1900-S-2

Frequency Band : 1900.00

Max. Transmit Pwr
Drift Time : 3 min(s)

Power Drift-Start : 40.110 W/kg

Power Drift-Finish
Power Drift (%) : 2.366

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Tissue Data

Type : Body 295-02102 Serial No. : 1900 MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 20-Jul-2014 Temperature : 20.00 °C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 52.76 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 1.47 S/m

Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle
Serial No. : 500-00283
Last Calib. Date : 08-Aug-2012
Frequency Band : 1900.00

Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 20.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 8x10x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 52

1 gram SAR value : 41.295 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 22.169 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 51.298 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 82.373 W/kg



1900 MHz System Validation with Body Tissue


SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 52


RATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 52

Cheek/Touch Position

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


• When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

Cheek / Touch Position



Ear/Tilt Position


With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 52

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

Ear /Tilt 15° Position



Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 52

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
 - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

Test methodology

IEEE1528:2013 KDB 447498 D01 v05r02 KDB 648474 D04 v01r02 KDB 865664 D01v01r03

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 52

CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT


Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Test Receiver through sufficient attenuation.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A



Maximum Output Power among production units

Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm)									
Mada/Dand		Channel							
Mode/Band	Low		High						
GFSK/1900MHz	6.50	6.50	6.50						
Bluetooth/2.4G	6.50	6.50	6.50						

Test Results:

		Conducted Output Power							
Mode	Frequency	Peak		Average					
(MHz)		(dBm)	(dBm)	(mW)	Turn-up Limit (dBm)				
	1921.536	20.10	5.91	3.899	6.50				
GFSK	1924.992	19.90	5.71	3.723	6.50				
	1928.448	20.42	6.23	4.197	6.50				

Note:

1. Rohde & Schwarz Radio Communication Tester (CMD60) was used for the measurement of DECT peak output power.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{2.} & \text{Duty Cycle=T_{on}/T_p*100\%} \\ & \text{T_{on}=0.383ms/T_p=10.044ms} \\ & \text{T_p=$Duty Cycle=$3.81\%} \end{array}$

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 52

Bluetooth

Mode	Channel frequency	Conducted Ou	tput Power
Mode	(MHz)	(dBm)	(mw)
	2402	4.75	2.985
BDR(GFSK)	2441	6.46	4.426
	2480	6.19	4.159
	2402	2.79	1.901
EDR(4-DQPSK)	2441	5.23	3.334
	2480	4.78	3.006
	2402	2.93	1.963
EDR-8DPSK	2441	5.43	3.491
	2480	4.75	2.985

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 52

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

SAR Test Data

Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	21-24 °C
Relative Humidity:	50-53 %
ATM Pressure:	1001-1002 mbar

Testing was performed by Wilson Chen on 2014-07-20.

Test Result

EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)	Power Drift	Meas. Avg. Power	Max. Rated Power	1 g SAR Value (W/Kg)				
1 osition	(MIIIZ)	(%)	(dBm)	(dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot	
	1921.536	-1.538	5.91	6.50	1.146	0.026	0.030	/	
Left Head Cheek	1924.992	-3.684	5.71	6.50	1.199	0.029	0.035	/	
Спск	1928.448	1.997	6.23	6.50	1.064	0.035	0.037	1#	
	1921.536	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Left Head Tilt	1924.992	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
1111	1928.448	1.734	6.23	6.50	1.064	0.022	0.023	/	
Did III I	1921.536	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Right Head Cheek	1924.992	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Check	1928.448	-1.194	6.23	6.50	1.064	0.032	0.034	/	
	1921.536	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Right Head Tilt	1924.992	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
1111	1928.448	1.256	6.23	6.50	1.064	0.020	0.021	/	
D 1 11	1921.536	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Body-Up Headset	1924.992	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Headset	1928.448	-0.725	6.23	6.50	1.064	0.032	0.034	2#	

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

- When the 1-g SAR is ≤ 0.8W/Kg, testing for other channels are optional.
 When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.
- 3. When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is $> \frac{1}{2}$ dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel must be used.

29 of 52 SAR Evaluation Report

SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION

BT&WiFi and GSM&3G Antennas Location:





Simultaneous Transmission:

Description of Simultaneous Transmit	Antonnas Distanas (mm)	
Transmitter Combination	Simultaneous?	Antennas Distance (mm)
BT + Dect	√	24

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Head Position:

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	P_{avg} (dBm)	P _{avg} (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (1-g)	SAR Test Exclusion
Dect	1900	6.50	4.47	0	1.23	3.0	Yes
Bluetooth	2450	6.50	4.47	0	1.40	3.0	Yes

Body Position:

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	P _{avg} (dBm)	P _{avg} (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (1-g)	SAR Test Exclusion
Dect	1900	6.50	4.47	0.00	1.23	3.0	Yes
Bluetooth	2450	6.50	4.47	0.00	1.40	3.0	Yes

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* \leq 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] $\cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$ for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR, where

- 1. f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz.
- 2. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation.

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 52

- 3. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison.
- 4. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion.
- 5. As a Low transmission duty factor device, SAR is required for dect

Standalone SAR Estimation:

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Position	Distance (mm)	P _{avg} (dBm)	P _{avg} (mW)	Estimated 1-g (W/kg)
BT	2450	Head	0	6.50	4.47	0.187
BT	2450	Body	0	6.50	4.47	0.187

When standalone SAR test exclusion applies to an antenna that transmits simultaneously with other antennas, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

1. [(max. power of channel, including **tune-up tolerance**, mW)/(min. test separation distance,mm)]·[$\sqrt{f(GHz)/x}$] W/kg for test separation distances ≤ 50 mm; where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR.

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion

Simultaneous SAR test exclusion considerations:

Dect with BT:

Mode	Danisia	Reported S	AR (W/kg)	ΣSAR	
	Position	DECT	ВТ	< 1.6W/kg	
DECT	Left Head Cheek	0.037	0.187	0.224	
	Left Head Tile	0.023	0.187	0.210	
	Right Head Cheek	0.034	0.187	0.221	
	Right Head Tilt	0.021	0.187	0.208	
	Body-Headset-Back	0.034	0.187	0.221	

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 52

SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Left Head Cheek (1928.448 MHz High Channel);

Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 24 Scan Type : Complete Area Scan : 11x8x1 : N

Area Scan : 11x8x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.010 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.010 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -0.536

Tissue Data

Type : Head

Frequency : 1928.448 MHz
Epsilon : 40.17 F/m
Sigma : 1.41 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 1900 Duty Cycle Factor : 24 Conversion Factor : 4.8

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)2$

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.035 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.018 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.036W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.050 W/kg

Plot 1#



SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 52

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Body-Worn-Back-Headset (1928.448 MHz High Channel);

Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 24 Scan Type : : Complete Area Scan : 9x11x1 : N

Area Scan : 9x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.001 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.001 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -0.725

Tissue Data

Type : Body

Frequency : 1928.448 MHz
Epsilon : 53.68 F/m
Sigma : 1.48 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 1900.00 MHz


Duty Cycle Factor : 24 Conversion Factor : 5.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20 $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.032 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.019 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.034 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.059 W/kg

Plot 2#



SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 52

APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Measurement Uncertainty for 300MHz to 3GHz

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c _i ¹ (1-g)	c _i ¹ (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %	
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5	
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	(1-cp) ¹	1.5	1.5	
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4	
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7	
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5	
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0	
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3	
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7	
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2	
Restriction								
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7	
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1	
Test Sample Positioning	2.3	normal	1	1	1	2.3	2.3	
Device Holder Uncertainty	6.215	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215	
Drift of Output Power	4.627	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67	
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty(shape & thickness tolerance)	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0	
Liquid Conductivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.5	2.0	1.4	
Liquid Conductivity(meas.)	1.938	normal	1	0.7	0.5	1.36	0.97	
Liquid Permittivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	
Liquid Permittivity(meas.)	3.093	normal	1	0.6	0.5	1.86	1.55	
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55	
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10	

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 52

APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

Calibration File No: DC-1331 Project Number: BAC-dipole –cal-5615

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2
Frequency: 1900 MHz
Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25th August, 2011 Released on: 25th August, 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 52

NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue: $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.

Stuart Nicol

C. Teodorian

Primary Measurement Standards Instrument Serial Number Cal due date Power meter Anritsu MA2408A 245025437 Nov.4, 2011 Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D 103555 Nov 4, 2011 Aug.8, 2012 Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1 944A10711 Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C 1334746J Feb. 8, 2012 Secondary Measurement Standards Signal Generator Agilent E4438C -506 MY55182336 June 7, 2012

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 52

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 67.1 mm **Height:** 38.9 mm

Electrical Specification

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.0417 U	-35.395dB	49.020 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.1177 U	-25.424dB	55.435 Ω

System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	1900 MHz	39.648	20.311	73.365
Body	1900 MHz	39.769	20.176	75.866



3

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 52

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average
Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless
Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was new taken from stock.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: $22 \degree C +/- 0.5 \degree C$ Temperature of the Tissue: $20 \degree C +/- 0.5 \degree C$

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 52

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	67.1mm	38.9 mm

Electrical Validation

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-29.360 dB	1.0732 U	47.869 Ω
Body	-22.799 dB	1.1566 U	48.022 Ω

Tissue Validation

	Dielectric constant, ε _r	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 1900MHz	38.4	1.43
Body Tissue 1900MHz	51.87	1.59

5


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 52

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


6

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 52


Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR

Head







7


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 52


Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance

Head



Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 52

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2011

9

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 52

1900MHz Dipole Calibration By BACL at 2013-12-20

Mechanical Verification


APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
68.0 mm	39.4 mm	68.3 mm	39.2 mm

Tissue Type	Measured Return Loss	Measured Impedance
Head	-28.083 dB	$47.477~\Omega$
Body	-22.022 dB	$48.076~\Omega$


Test Graphs:

Head Tissue

Return Loss:




Impedance:



Body Tissue

Return Loss:




Impedance:




SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 52

APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS






Body-Worn-Back-Headset



SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 52

Left Head Touch Setup Photo



Left Head Tilt Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 52

Right Head Touch Setup Photo



Right Head Tilt Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 52

APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS

EUT – Front View



EUT – Back View



SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 52

EUT – Left Side View



EUT – Right Side View



SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 52

EUT - Top View



EUT – Bottom View



SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 52

EUT – Battery off View



SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 52

APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ140610006-20A

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 52 of 52 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

***** END OF REPORT *****

SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 52