Statement of Compliance

TÜVRheinland®
Precisely Right.

Emissions Test Report

EUT Name: Gemini

Model Nos.: Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400

CFR 47 Part 15.247 2011 and RSS 210: 2010

Prepared for:

Reed Nelson
Fluke Corporation.
6920 Seaway Blvd.
Everett, WA, USA 98203
Tel: (425) 446-5928

Prepared by:

TUV Rheinland of North America, Inc.
1279 Quarry Lane, Ste. A
Pleasanton, CA 94566
Tel: (925) 249-9123
Fax: (925) 249-9124
http://www.tuv.com/

Report/Issue Date:	September 13, 2013
Report Number:	31362328.001
Revision Number:	0
Job Number:	0000111527

Statement of Compliance

Manufacturer:	Fluke Corporation. 6920 Seaway Blvd. Everett, WA 98203 U.S.A.
Requester / Applicant:	Reed Nelson
Name of Equipment:	Gemini
Model No.	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400
Type of Equipment:	Intentional Radiator
Application of Regulations:	CFR 47 Part 15.247 2011 and RSS 210: 2010
Test Dates:	August 9 to September 11, 2013

Guidance Documents:

Emissions: ANSI C63.10-2009

Test Methods:

Emissions: ANSI C63.10-2009

The electromagnetic compatibility test and documented data described in this report has been performed and recorded by TUV Rheinland, in accordance with the standards and procedures listed herein. As the responsible authorized agent of the EMC laboratory, I hereby declare that the equipment described above has been shown to be compliant with the EMC requirements of the stated regulations and standards based on these results. If any special accessories and/or modifications were required for compliance, they are listed in the Executive Summary of this report.

This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by A2LA. This report contains data that are not covered by A2LA accreditation. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written authorization of TUV Rheinland of North America.

Digitally signed by Conan Boyle
DN: cn=Conan Boyle, o=TUV Rheinland of North America, ou=SFR EMC, email=cboyle@us.tuv.com, c=US
Date: 2013.09.13 09:29:48 -07'00'

Suresh Kondapalli	September 12, 2013	Conan Boyle	September 13, 2013
Test Engineer	Date	A2LA Signatory	Date

Testing Cert #3331.02 **US5254** **2932M-1**

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Scope

This report is intended to document the status of conformance with the requirements of the CFR 47 Part 15.247 2011 and RSS 210: 2010 based on the results of testing performed on August 09 to September 13, 2013 on the Gemini Model: Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400 manufactured by *Fluke Corporation.* This report only applies to the specific samples tested under the stated test conditions. It is the responsibility of the manufacturer to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical or EMI equivalent electrical and mechanical components. This report is further intended to document changes and modifications to the EUT throughout its life cycle. All documentation will be included as a supplement.

1.2 Purpose

Testing was performed to evaluate the EMC performance of the EUT in accordance with the applicable requirements, procedures, and criteria defined in the application of regulations and application of standards listed in this report.

1.3 Summary of Test Results

Table 1: Summary of Test Results

Test	Test Method ANSI C63.4	Test Parameters (from Standard)	Result
2400 MHz to 2483.5 MHz Band			
Spurious Emission in Received Mode	CFR47 15.109, RSS-GEN Sect.7.2.3	Class B	**Complied**
Spurious Emission in Transmitted Mode	CFR47 15.209, RSS-GEN Sect.7.2.3	Class B	**Complied**
Restricted Bands of Operation	CFR47 15.205, RSS 210 Sect.2.6	Class B	**Complied**
AC Power Conducted Emission	CFR47 15.207, RSS-GEN Sect.7.2.2	Class A	**Complied**
Occupied Bandwidth	CFR47 15.247 (a2), RSS GEN Sect.4.4.1	≥ 500 kHz	**Complied**
Maximum Transmitted Power	CFR47 15.247 (b3), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.4	30 dBm	**Complied**
Peak Power Spectral Density	CFR47 15.247 (e), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.2	8 dBm/ 3 kHz.	**Complied**
Bandedge Measurement	CFR47 15.247 (d), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.5	20 dBr	**Complied**

Note: Since EUT is portable device where the end user will have the direct contact, RF Exposure/ SAR test requirements are evaluated separately

1.3.1 Measured values of key parameters

Zigbee Mode

Test	Test Method ANSI C63.4	Measured value	Result
2400 MHz to 2483.5 MHz Band 802.15.4 Zigbee mode			
Maximum Transmitted Power	CFR47 15.247 (b3), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.4	-9.91 dBm	Complied
Occupied Bandwidth	CFR47 15.247 (a2), RSS GEN Sect.4.4.1	1.79 MHz	Complied
Peak Power Spectral Density	CFR47 15.247 (e), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.2	-34.34 dBm	Complied
Spurious Emission in Transmitted Mode	CFR47 15.209, RSS-GEN Sect.7.2.3	52.86 dBuV at 2483.5 MHz	Complied

B Mode

Test	Test Method ANSI C63.4	Measured value	Result
2400 MHz to 2483.5 MHz Band 802.11 b mode			
Maximum Transmitted Power	CFR47 15.247 (b3), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.4	15.51 dBm	Complied
Occupied Bandwidth	CFR47 15.247 (a2), RSS GEN Sect.4.4.1	13.80 MHz	Complied
Peak Power Spectral Density	CFR47 15.247 (e), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.2	See plots	Complied
Spurious Emission in Transmitted Mode	CFR47 15.209, RSS-GEN Sect.7.2.3	See plots	Complied

G Mode

Test	Test Method ANSI C63.4	Measured value	Result
2400 MHz to 2483.5 MHz Band 802.11 g mode			
Maximum Transmitted Power	CFR47 15.247 (b3), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.4	15.03 dBm	Complied
Occupied Bandwidth	CFR47 15.247 (a2), RSS GEN Sect.4.4.1	16.39 MHz	Complied
Peak Power Spectral Density	CFR47 15.247 (e), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.2	See plots	Complied
Spurious Emission in Transmitted Mode	CFR47 15.209, RSS-GEN Sect.7.2.3	See plots	Complied

1.4 Special Accessories

No special accessories were necessary in order to achieve compliance.

1.5 Equipment Modifications

None

2 Laboratory Information

2.1 Accreditations & Endorsements

2.1.1 US Federal Communications Commission

TUV Rheinland of North America EMC test facilities located at 1279 Quarry Lane, Ste. A, Pleasanton, CA, 94566, and 2305 Mission College Blvd, Ste. 105, Santa Clara, CA 95054, are recognized by the Commission for performing testing services for the general public on a fee basis. These laboratory test facilities have been fully described in reports submitted to and accepted by the FCC (Pleasanton Registration No. US5254, Santa Clara Registration No. US5251). The laboratory Scopes of Accreditation include Title 47 CFR Parts 15, 18 and 90. The accreditations are updated every three years.

2.1.2 A2LA

TUV Rheinland of North America EMC test facilities are accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA). The laboratories have been assessed and accredited by A2LA in accordance with ISO Standard 17025:2005 (Testing Certificate #3331.02). The Scope of Laboratory Accreditation includes emission and immunity testing. The accreditations are updated annually.

2.1.3 Industry Canada

The Pleasanton 5-meter Semi-Anechoic Chamber, Registration No. 2932M-1, has been accepted by Industry Canada to perform testing to 3 and 5 meters based on the test procedures described in ANSI C63.4-2009. The Santa Clara 10-meter Semi-Anechoic Chamber, Registration No. 2932D-1, has been accepted by Industry Canada to perform testing to 3 and 10 meters based on the test procedures described in ANSI C63.4-2009.

2.1.4 Japan – VCCI

The Voluntary Control Council for Interference by Information Technology Equipment (VCCI) is a group that consists of Information Technology Equipment (ITE) manufacturers and EMC test laboratories. The purpose of the Council is to take voluntary control measures against electromagnetic interference from Information Technology Equipment, and thereby contribute to the development of a socially beneficial and responsible state of affairs in the realm of Information Technology Equipment in Japan. TUV Rheinland of North America EMC test facilities located at 1279 Quarry Lane, Ste. A, Pleasanton, CA, 94566, and 2305 Mission College Blvd, Ste. 105, Santa Clara, CA 95054, have been assessed and approved in accordance with the Regulations for Voluntary Control Measures.

2.2 Test Facilities

All of the test facilities are located at 1279 Quarry Lane, Pleasanton, California 94566, USA. The 2305 Mission College, Santa Clara, 95054, USA location is considered a Pleasanton annex.

2.2.1 Emission Test Facility

The Semi-Anechoic chamber and AC Line Conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated and conducted data has been constructed in accordance with ANSI C63.7:TUV192. The site has been measured in accordance with and verified to comply with the theoretical normalized site attenuation requirements of ANSI C63.4-2009, at a test distance of 3 and 5 meters. The site is listed with the FCC and accredited by A2LA (Testing Cert #3331.02). The 3/5-meter semi-anechoic chamber used to collect the radiated data has been verified to comply with the theoretical normalized site attenuation requirements of ANSI C63.4-2009, at a test distance of 3 meter and 5 meters. A report detailing this site can be obtained from TUV Rheinland of North America.

2.2.2 Immunity Test Facility

ESD, EFT, Surge, and PQF: These tests are performed in an environmentally controlled room with a 3.7 m x 4.8 m x 3.175 mm thick aluminum floor connected to PE ground.

For ESD testing, tabletop equipment is placed on an insulated mat with a surface resistivity of 10^9 Ohms/square on a 1.6 m x 0.8 m x 0.8 m high non-conductive table with a 3.175 mm aluminum top (Horizontal Coupling Plane). The HCP is connected to the main ground plane via a low impedance ground strap through two 470-kΩ resistors. The Vertical Coupling Plane consists of an aluminum plate 50 cm x 50 cm x 3.175 mm thick. The VCP is connected to the main ground plane via a low impedance ground strap through two 470-kΩ resistors.

For EFT, Surge, PQF, the HCP and VCP are removed.

RF Field Immunity testing is performed in a 7.3m x 4.3m x 4.1m anechoic chamber.

RF Conducted and Magnetic Field Immunity testing is performed on a 4.8m x 3.7m x 3.175mm thick aluminum ground plane.

All test areas allow a minimum distance of 1 meter from the EUT to walls or conducting objects.

2.3 Measurement Uncertainty

Two types of measurement uncertainty are expressed in this report, per *ISO Guide To The Expression Of Uncertainty In Measurement*, 1st Edition, TUV195.

The Combined Standard Uncertainty is the standard uncertainty of the result of a measurement when that result is obtained from the values of a number of other quantities; it is equal to the positive square root of the sum of the variances or co-variances of these other quantities, weighted according to how the measurement result varies with changes in these quantities. The term *standard uncertainty* is the result of a measurement expressed as a standard deviation.

2.3.1 Sample Calculation – radiated & conducted emissions

The field strength is calculated by subtracting the Amplifier Gain and adding the Cable Loss and Antenna Correction Factor to the measured reading. The basic equation is as follows:

Field Strength (dBμV/m) = RAW - AMP + CBL + ACF

Where: RAW = Measured level before correction (dBμV)

AMP = Amplifier Gain (dB)

CBL = Cable Loss (dB)

ACF = Antenna Correction Factor (dB/m)

$$\mu V/m = 10^{\frac{dB\mu V/m}{20}}$$

Sample radiated emissions calculation @ 30 MHz

Measurement +Antenna Factor–Amplifier Gain+Cable loss=Radiated Emissions (dBuV/m)

25 dBuV/m + 17.5 dB – 20 dB + 1.0 dB = 23.5 dBuV/m

2.3.2

Per CISPR 16-4-2	**U_{lab}**	**U_{cispr}**
Radiated Disturbance @ 10 meters		
30 – 1,000 MHz	2.25 dB	4.51 dB
Radiated Disturbance @ 3 meters		
30 – 1,000 MHz	2.26 dB	4.52 dB
1 – 6 GHz	2.12 dB	4.25 dB
6 – 18 GHz	2.47 dB	4.93 dB
Conducted Disturbance @ Mains Terminals		
150 kHz – 30 MHz	1.09 dB	2.18 dB
Disturbance Power		
30 MHz – 300 MHz	3.92 dB	4.3 dB

Voltech PM6000A

The estimated combined standard uncertainty for harmonic current and flicker measurements is ± 5.0%.	Per CISPR 16-4-2 Methods

2.3.3 Measurement Uncertainty Immunity

The estimated combined standard uncertainty for ESD immunity measurements is ± 8.2%.	Per IEC 61000-4-2
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for radiated immunity measurements is ± 4.10 dB.	Per IEC 61000-4-3
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for conducted immunity measurements with CDN is ± 3.66 dB	Per IEC 61000-4-6
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for power frequency magnetic field immunity is ± 2.9%.	Per IEC 61000-4-8

Thermo KeyTek EMC Pro

The estimated combined standard uncertainty for EFT fast transient immunity measurements is ± 2.6%.
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for surge immunity measurements is ± 2.6%.
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for voltage variation and interruption measurements is ± 1.74%.

The expanded uncertainty at a level of 95% confidence is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor of 2. Compliance criteria are not based on measurement uncertainty.

2.4 Calibration Traceability

All measurement instrumentation is traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Measurement method complies with ANSI/NCSL Z540-1-1994 and ISO Standard 17025:2005. Equipment calibration records are kept on file at the test facility.

2.5 Calibration Traceability

All measurement instrumentation is traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Measurement method complies with ANSI/NCSL Z540-1-TUV194 and ISO Standard 17025:2005. Equipment calibration records are kept on file at the test facility.

3 Product Information

3.1 Product Description

Gemini is Thermal Imager with Wi-fi, Blue tooth and Zigbee radios and is used as Portable measuring device.

Fluke Thermal Imagers (sometimes referred to as infrared cameras, thermal cameras, or infrared imagers) capture images of infrared energy or temperature. Using patent-pending IR-Fusion technology, Fluke Thermal Imagers combine the power of infrared images with visible light images on the same display.

Thermal imaging or thermography, detects heat patterns or temperature changes in objectsThermal imaging or thermography, detects heat patterns or temperature changes in objects.

The Thermal Imagers have three Models: Ti200, Ti300, and Ti400. The model differences are with Infrared Resolution, Temperature Measurements Ranges, and Thermal Sensitivity. The models use the same hardware. Software settings are used to configure the models

The protocol used will be similar to Zigbee but tailored to meet Fluke proprietary requirements.

3.2 Equipment Configuration

A description of the equipment configuration is given in the Test Plan Section. The EUT was tested as called for in the test standard and was configured and operated in a manner consistent with test standards. The EUT was programed to rated power and allowed to reach intended operating conditions. The placement of the EUT system components was guided by the test standard and selected to represent typical installation conditions.

In the case of EUT that can operate in more than one configuration, preliminary testing was performed to determine the configuration that produced maximum radiation.

The final configuration was selected to produce the worst case radiation for emissions testing and to place the EUT in the most susceptible state for immunity testing.

3.3 Operating Mode

A description of the operation mode is given in the Test Plan Section. In the case of an EUT that can operate in more than one state, preliminary testing was performed to determine the operating mode that produced maximum radiation.

EUT was programed to operate at > 99% duty for the purpose of testing. This operating mode was selected to produce the worst case radiation for emissions testing and to place the EUT in the most susceptible state for immunity testing.

3.4 Duty Cycle:

None

3.5 Unique Antenna Connector

An intentional radiator shall be designed to ensure that no antenna other than that furnished by the responsible party shall be used with the device. The use of a permanently attached antenna or of an antenna that uses a unique coupling to the intentional radiator shall be considered sufficient to comply with the provisions of this Section. The manufacturer may design the unit so that a broken antenna can be replaced by the user, but the use of a standard antenna jack or electrical connector is prohibited. This requirement does not apply to carrier current devices or to devices operated under the provisions of CFR47 Parts 15.211, 15.213, 15.217, 15.2TUV1, or 15.221.

3.5.1 **Results**

The Gemini has one internal antenna. EUT is compliant. Antenna details are provided as separate exhibit.

4 Emission Requirements – 2400 MHz to 2483.5 MHz Band

Testing was performed in accordance with CFR 47 Part 15.247: 2011 and RSS 210 Annex 8: 2010. These test methods are listed under the laboratory's A2LA Scope of Accreditation. This test measures the levels emanating from the EUT, thus evaluating the potential for the EUT to cause radio frequency interference to other electronic devices. Procedures described in section 8 of the standard were used.

4.1 Output Power Requirements

The maximum output power requirement is the maximum equivalent isotropic radiated power delivering at the transmitting antenna under specified conditions of measurements in the presence of modulation.

The maximum output power and harmonics shall not exceed CFR47 Part 15.247 (b3):2009 and RSS 210 A.8.4: 2010

The maximum transmitted power is +30 dBm or 1 Watt.

4.1.1 **Test Method**

The conducted method was used to measure the channel power output according to ANSI C63.10:2009 Section 6.10.3.1. The measurement was performed with modulation per CFR47 Part 15.247 (b3):2011 and RSS 210 A.8.4. The worst mode result indicated below.

Test Setup:



Method #1 of "Measurement of Digital Transmission Systems Operating under Section 15.247"applies since the EUT continuously transmit; where T, Transmission Duration Pulse, is greater than analyzer sweep time.

4.1.2 Results

As originally tested, the EUT was found to be compliant to the requirements of the test standard(s).

Table 2: RF Output Power at the Antenna Port – Test Results

Test Conditions: Conducted Measurement, Normal Temperature				
Antenna Type: Internal		**Power Setting:** See test plan		
Max. Antenna Gain: +1.5 dBi				
Ambient Temp.: 21 °C		**Relative Humidity:**39%		
802.15.4 Mode				
Operating Channel	**Limit [dBm]**	**[dBm]**	**Power [mWatts]**	**Margin [dB]**
2405 MHz	+30.00	-9.91	0.102	-39.91
2440 MHz	+30.00	-10.51	0.088	-40.51
2480 MHz	+30.00	-10.72	0.084	-40.71
Note: EUT has duty cycle EUT was modified to transmit continuously for test purpose. EUT normal data rate is1Mbps. No duty was applied				

802.11 b Mode					
Operating Channel	**Power Setting**	**Limit [dBm]**	**[dBm]**	**Power [mWatts]**	**Margin [dB]**
2412 MHz	20	+30.00	12.59	18.15	-17.41
2117 MHz	22	+30.00	13.75	23.71	-16.25
2437 MHz	26	+30.00	15.51	35.56	-14.49
2462 MHz	26	+30.00	14.01	25.17	-15.99
Note: EUT has duty cycle EUT was modified to transmit continuously for test purpose. EUT normal data rate is 1Mbps. No duty was applied					

.

802.11 g Mode					
Operating Channel	**Power Setting**	**Limit [dBm]**	**[dBm]**	**Power [mWatts]**	**Margin [dB]**
2412 MHz	1D	+30.00	11.19	13.15	-18.81
2427 MHz	22	+30.00	15.03	31.84	-14.97
2437 MHz	27	+30.00	13.39	21.82	-16.61
2457 MHz	22	+30.00	14.13	25.88	-15.87
2462 MHz	1D	+30.00	10.66	11.64	-19.34
Note: EUT has duty cycle EUT was modified to transmit continuously for test purpose. EUT normal data rate is 6MBps. No duty was applied					

.



Figure 1: Maximum Transmitted Power, 2405 MHz



Figure 2: Maximum Transmitted Power, 2440 MHz



Figure 3: Maximum Transmitted Power, 2480 MHz, 1 Mbps

B Mode



Figure 4: Maximum Transmitted Power, 2412 MHz, B mode 1 Mbps



Figure 5: Maximum Transmitted Power, 2417 MHz, B mode 1 Mbps



Figure 6: Maximum Transmitted Power, 2437 MHz, B mode 1 Mbps



Figure 7: Maximum Transmitted Power, 2462 MHz, B mode 1 Mbps

4.2 Occupied Bandwidth

The occupied bandwidth is measured at an amplitude level reduced from the reference level by a specified ratio. The reference level is the level of the highest amplitude signal observed from the transmitter at the fundamental frequency.

The 99% bandwidth is the bandwidth in which 99% of the transmitted power occupied.

The 6 dB bandwidth is defined the bandwidth of 6 dBr from highest transmitted level of the fundamental frequency.

The bandwidth shall be at least 500 kHz per Section CFR47 15.247(a2) 2011and RSS Gen Sect. 4.4.1: 2010.

4.2.1 **Test Method**

The conducted method was used to measure the occupied bandwidth. The measurement was performed with modulation per CFR47 15.247(a2) 2011and RSS Gen Sect. 4.4.1:2010. I The worst sample result indicated below.

Test Setup:



4.2.2 **Results**

As originally tested, the EUT was found to be compliant to the requirements of the test standard(s).

Table 3: Occupied Bandwidth – Test Results

Test Conditions: Conducted Measurement, Normal Temperature and Voltage only				
Antenna Type: Internal		**Power Setting:** See test plan		
Max. Antenna Gain: +1.5 dBi		**Signal State:** Modulated		
Ambient Temp.: 21 °C		**Relative Humidity:**33%		
Bandwidth (MHz) for 802.15.1 Zigbee mode				
Freq. (MHz)	**Limit (kHz)**	**99% BW (MHz)**	**6 dB BW (MHz)**	**Results**
2405	500	2.64	1.79	Pass
2440	500	2.64	1.77	Pass
2480	500	2.64	1.76	Pass

Test Conditions: Conducted Measurement, Normal Temperature and Voltage only				
Antenna Type: Internal		**Power Setting:** See test plan		
Max. Antenna Gain: +1.5 dBi		**Signal State:** Modulated		
Ambient Temp.: 21 °C		**Relative Humidity:**33%		
Bandwidth (MHz) for 802.11 b mode				
Freq. (MHz)	**Limit (kHz)**	**99% BW (MHz)**	**6 dB BW (MHz)**	**Results**
2412	500	13.66	9.05	Pass
2417	500	13.66	9.05	Pass
2437	500	13.75	9.05	Pass
2462	500	13.80	9.05	Pass

Test Conditions: Conducted Measurement, Normal Temperature and Voltage only				
Antenna Type: Internal		**Power Setting:** See test plan		
Max. Antenna Gain: +1.5 dBi		**Signal State:** Modulated		
Ambient Temp.: 21 °C		**Relative Humidity:**33%		
Bandwidth (MHz) for 802.11 g mode				
Freq. (MHz)	**Limit (kHz)**	**99% BW (MHz)**	**6 dB BW (MHz)**	**Results**
2412	500	16.32	15.80	Pass
2422	500	16.32	15.79	Pass
2437	500	16.34	15.79	Pass
2457	500	16.39	15.83	Pass
2462	500	16.36	15.81	Pass

Note: Plots for b and g modes are not placed in the report. Plots are available for verification



Figure 8: 6 dB Bandwidth at – Operating Channel 2405 MHz



Figure 9: 6 dB Bandwidth at – Operating Channel 2440 MHz



Figure 10: 6 dB Bandwidth at– Operating Channel 2480 MHz

4.3 Band-edge Requirements

The setup was identical to RF output power measurement. Intentional radiators operating under the alternative provisions to the general emission limits, must be designed to ensure that the 20 dB bandwidth of the emission, or whatever bandwidth may otherwise be specified in the specific rule section under which the equipment operates, is contained within the frequency band designated in the rule section under which the equipment is operated. The requirement to contain the designated bandwidth of the emission within the specified frequency band includes the effects from frequency sweeping, frequency hopping and other modulation techniques that may be employed as well as the frequency stability of the transmitter over expected variations in temperature and supply voltage. If the frequency stability is not specified in the regulations, it is recommended that the fundamental emission be kept within at least the central 80% of the permitted band in order to minimize the possibility of out-of-band operation.

Any frequency outside the band of 2400 MHz to 2483.5 MHz, the power output level must be below 20 dB from the in-band transmitting signal; CFR 47 Part 15.215, 15.247(d) and RSS 210 A8.5

4.3.1 **Results**

The Out of band emission was performed on the conducted test Sample.

As originally tested, the EUT was found to be compliant to the requirements of the test standard(s).

Table 4: Band-Edge Requirements – Test Results

Test Conditions: Conducted Measurement, Normal Temperature and Voltage only				
Antenna Type: Internal		**Power Setting:** See test plan		
Max. Antenna Gain: +1.5 dBi		**Signal State:** Modulated		
Ambient Temp.: 21 °C		**Relative Humidity:**39%		
-20 dB Band-Edge Results				
Operating Freq.	**Mode**	**Limit (dBm)**	**Measured Value (dBm)**	**Result**
2405 MHz	1Mbps	-37.70	-66.73	Pass
2440 MHz	1Mbps	-40.14	-67.18	Pass
2480 MHz	1Mbps	-40.27	-66.07	Pass
Note: The stated limits for 30 dBr are relative to each individual output per KDB 662911 Method.				

Note: All bandedge measurements were performed as indicated in the above table. Only worst case/ limited number of plots are placed in the report.

Table 5: Out of band Conducted Emission – Test Results

Operating Freq.	Mode	Result
2405 MHz	1Mbps	Pass
2440 MHz	1Mbps	Pass
2480 MHz	1Mbps	Pass



Figure 11: Band-edge Requirement at Operating Channel 2405 MHz



Figure 12: Band-edge Requirement at Operating Channel 2440 MHz



Figure 13: Band-edge Requirement at Operating Channel 2480 MHz



Figure 14: Out of band emissions at Operating Channel 2405 MHz



Figure 15: Out of band emissions at Operating Channel 2440 MHz



Figure 16: Out of band emissions at Operating Channel 2480 MHz

4.4 Peak Power Spectral Density

According to the CFR47 Part 15.247 (e) and RSS 210 (A8.2), the spectral power density output of the antenna port shall be less than 8 dBm in any 3 kHz band during any time interval of continuous transmission.

4.4.1 **Test Method**

The conducted method was used to measure the channel power output per ANSI C63.10:2009 Section 6.11.2

The measurement was performed with modulation per CFR47 Part 15.247 (e) and RSS 210 (A8.2). The worst sample result indicated below.

Test Setup:



4.4.2 **Results**

As originally tested, the EUT was found to be compliant to the requirements of the test standard(s).

Table 6: Peak Power Spectral Density – Test Results

Test Conditions: Conducted Measurement, Normal Temperature and Voltage only				
Antenna Type: *Internal*		**Power Setting:** See test plan		
Max. Antenna Gain: 3.0 dBi		**Signal State:** Modulated		
Ambient Temp.: 21 °C		**Relative Humidity:**39%		
Peak Power Spectral Density				
Freq. (MHz)	**Mode**	**Max. PPSD [dBm]**	**Limit [dBm]**	**Margin [dB]**
2405	1Mbps	-34.34	8.00	-42.34
2430	1Mbps	-35.73	8.00	-43.73
2480	1Mbps	-36.19	8.00	-44.19



Figure 17: Peak Power Spectral Density for Operating Channel 2405 MHz



Figure 18: Peak Power Spectral Density for Operating Channel 2440 MHz



Figure 19: Peak Power Spectral Density for Operating Channel 2480 MHz

4.5 Transmitter Spurious Emissions

Transmitter spurious emissions are emissions outside the frequency range of the equipment when the equipment is in transmitting mode; per requirement of CFR47 15.205, 15.209, 15.247(d), RSS 210 Sect. A.8.5

4.5.1 Test Methodology

4.5.1.1 *Preliminary Test*

A test program that controls instrumentation and data logging was used to automate the preliminary RF emission test procedure. The frequency range of interest was divided into sub-ranges to yield a frequency resolution of approximately 120 kHz and provide a reading at each frequency for no more than 12° of turntable rotation. For each frequency sub-range the turntable was rotated 360° while peak emission data was recorded and plotted over the frequency range of interest in horizontal and vertical antenna polarization's.

Preliminary emission profile testing was performed inside the anechoic chamber. The EUT was placed on a 1.0m x 1.5m non-conductive table 80cm above the floor. The EUT was positioned as shown in the setup photographs. The receiving antenna was placed at a distance of 3m at a fixed height of 1m. Measurement equipment was located outside of the chamber. A video camera was placed inside the chamber to view the EUT.

4.5.1.2 *Final Test*

For each frequency measured, the peak emission was maximized by manipulating the receiving antenna from 1 to 4 meters above the ground plane and placing it at the position that produced the maximum signal strength reading. The turntable was then rotated through 360° while observing the peak signal and placing the EUT at the position that produced maximum radiation. The six highest emissions relative to the limit were measured unless such emissions were more than 20 dB below the limit. If less than six emissions are within 20 dB of the limit, than the noise level of the receiver is measured at frequencies where emissions are expected. Multiples of all oscillator and microprocessor frequencies were also checked.

Final testing was performed on an NSA compliant test site. The EUT was placed on a 1.0m x 1.5m non-conductive table 80cm above the ground plane. The placement of EUT and cables were the same as for preliminary testing and is shown in the setup photographs.

The final scans performed on the worst axis, Y-Axis, for three operating channels;

2405 MHz, 2430 MHz, and 2480 MHz at 1Mbit/s

4.5.1.3 *Deviations*

None.

4.5.2 **Transmitter Spurious Emission Limit**

The spurious emissions of the transmitter shall not exceed the values in CFR47 Part 15.205, 15.209: 2011 and RSS 210 A1.1.2 2010.

Frequency (MHz)	Field strength (microvolts/meter)	Measurement distance (meters)
0.009-0.490.....	2400/F(kHz)	300
0.490-1.705.....	24000/F (kHz)	30
1.705-30.0.....	30	30
30-88.....	100 **	3
88-216.....	150 **	3
216-960.....	200 **	3
Above 960.....	500	3

All harmonics and spurious emission which are outside of the restricted band shall be 20 dB below the in-band emission.

4.5.3 **Test Results**

The final measurement data was taken under the worst case operating modes, configurations, and/or cable positions. It also reflects the results including any modifications and/or special accessories listed in Sections 1.4 and Test Plan.

As originally tested, the EUT was found to be compliant to the requirements of the test standard(s).

Table 7: Transmit Spurious Emission at Band-Edge Requirements

Test Conditions: Radiated Measurement, at 3 meters								
Antenna Type: Internal				**Power Setting:** See test plan				
Max. Antenna Gain: + 1.5 dBi				**Signal State:** Modulated at 99%				
Ambient Temp.: 22 °C				**Relative Humidity:**34%				
Band-Edge Results 8.15.4 Zigbee mode								
Operating Channel MHz	**Polarity**	**Peak Field Strength Measured dBuV**	**Peak Limit dBuV**	**Margin dB**	**Avg Field Strength Measured dBuV**	**Avg Limit dBuV**	**Margin dB**	**Result**
2405	H	48.28	74.0	-25.72	37.53	54.00	-16.47	Pass
2405	V	47.13	74.0	-26.87	37.86	54.00	-16.14	Pass
2480	H	61.16	74.0	-12.84	52.76	54.00	-1.24	Pass
2480	V	53.71	74.0	-20.29	44.35	54.00	-9.65	Pass

Power Setting used: All Channels for 802.15.4 Zibee mode 8

Test Conditions: Radiated Measurement at 3 meters								
Antenna Type: Internal				**Power Setting:** See test plan				
Max. Antenna Gain: + 1.5 dBi				**Signal State:** Modulated at 99%				
Ambient Temp.: 22 °C				**Relative Humidity:**34%				
Band-Edge Results 802.11 b mode								
Operating Channel MHz	**Polarity**	**Peak Field Strength Measured dBuV**	**Peak Limit dBuV**	**Margin dB**	**Avg Field Strength Measured dBuV**	**Avg Limit dBuV**	**Margin dB**	**Result**
2412	H	60.11	74.0	-9.89	52.76	54.00	-1.24	Pass
2412	V	51.92	74.0	-22.08	42.30	54.00	-11.70	Pass
2417	H	60.07	74.0	-13.93	46.62	54.00	-7.38	Pass
2417	V	59.99	74.0	-14.01	42.30	54.00	-11.70	Pass
2422	H	58.75	74.0	-15.25	43.72	54.00	-10.28	Pass
2422	V	59.79	74.0	-14.21	40.36	54.00	-13.34	Pass
2462	H	59.90	74.0	-14.10	45.76	54.00	-8.24	Pass
2462	V	58.42	74.0	-15.58	39.06	54.00	-14.94	Pass

Power settings used: 802.11b 20 (Ch1), 22(Ch2), and 26 for the rest of FCC channels.

G mode

Test Conditions: Radiated Measurement, at 3 meters								
Antenna Type: Internal				**Power Setting:** See test plan				
Max. Antenna Gain: + 1.5 dBi				**Signal State:** Modulated at 99%				
Ambient Temp.: 22 °C				**Relative Humidity:**34%				
Band-Edge Results 802.11 g mode								
Operating Channel MHz	**Polarity**	**Peak Field Strength Measured dBuV**	**Peak Limit dBuV**	**Margin dB**	**Avg Field Strength Measured dBuV**	**Avg Limit dBuV**	**Margin dB**	**Result**
2412	H	70.60	74.0	-3.40	53.26	54.00	-0.74	Pass
2412	V	57.64	74.0	-16.36	43.21	54.00	-10.79	Pass
2422	H	66.85	74.0	-7.15	49.41	54.00	-4.59	Pass
2422	V	63.24	74.0	-10.76	42.86	54.00	-11.14	Pass
2427	H	72.05	74.0	-1.95	48.51	54.00	-5.49	Pass
2427	V	62.01	74.0	-11.99	44.65	54.00	-9.35	Pass
2457	H	68.36	74.0	-5.64	49.74	54.00	-4.26	Pass
2457	V	60.82	74.0	-13.18	43.04	54.00	-10.96	Pass
2462	H	66.62	74.0	-7.38	46.38	54.00	-7.62	Pass
2462	V	58.82	74.0	-15.18	43.55	54.00	-10.45	Pass

Power setting used: 802.11g - mode 1D (Ch1), 1D(Ch2), 22(Ch3) and 27(Ch4 to Ch9), 22 (Ch10), 1D(Ch11).



Figure 20: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2405 MHz at 1Mbps – Horizontal (Peak)



Figure 21: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2405 MHz at 1Mbps – Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 22: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2405 MHz at 1Mbps – Vertical (Pk)



Figure 23: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2405 MHz at 1Mbps – Vertical (Avg)



Figure 24: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2480 MHz at 1Mbps – Horizontal (Pk)



Figure 25: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2480 MHz at 1Mbps – Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 26: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2480 MHz at 1Mbps – Vertical (Pk)



Figure 27: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2480 MHz at 1Mbps – Vertical (Avg)



Figure 28: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2412 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Horizontal (Peak)



Figure 29: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2412 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 30: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2412 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 31: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2412 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Vertical (Avg)



Figure 32: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2417 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Horizontal (Pk)



Figure 33: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2417 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 34: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2417 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 35: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2417 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Vertical (Avg)



Figure 36: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2422 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Horizontal (Pk)



Figure 37: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2422 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 38: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2422 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 39: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2422 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Vertical (AVG)



Figure 40: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2462 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Horizontal (Pk)



Figure 41: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2462 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 42: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2462 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 43: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2462 MHz at 1Mbps b mode– Vertical (Avg)

G mode



Figure 44: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2412 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Pk)



Figure 45: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2412 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 46: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2412 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 47: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2412 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Avg)



Figure 48: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2422 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Pk)



Figure 49: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2422 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 50: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2422 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 51: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2422 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Avg)



Figure 52: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2427 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 53: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2427 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 54: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2427 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 55: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2427 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Avg)



Figure 56: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2462 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Pk)



Figure 57: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2462 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 58: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2462 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 59: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2462 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Avg)



Figure 60: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2457 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Pk)



Figure 61: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2457 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Avg)



Figure 62: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2457 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Vertical (Pk)



Figure 63: Radiated Emission at the Edge for Channel 2457 MHz at 6Mbps g mode– Horizontal (Avg)

Zigbee Mode

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 1 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Aug 13, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltgae**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Above 1 GHz Plots for ZigbeeTransmit Mode at 2405 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: 1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 2 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	August 30, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

ZigbeeTransmit Mode at 2405 MHz, 1Mbit/s

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1200.32	57.91	1.82	-8.48	51.24	Pk	V	105	80	74	-22.76	Pass
1200.32	42.33	1.82	-8.48	35.66	Avg	V	105	80	54	-18.34	Pass
1234.85	43.32	1.84	-8.53	36.64	Pk	V	153	-7	74	-37.36	Pass
1234.85	28.28	1.84	-8.53	21.59	Avg	V	153	-7	54	-32.41	Pass
1305.76	45.80	1.89	-8.61	39.08	Pk	V	199	155	74	-34.92	Pass
1305.76	37.26	1.89	-8.61	30.54	Avg	V	199	155	54	-23.46	Pass
1343.93	51.13	1.92	-8.58	44.47	Pk	H	164	136	74	-29.53	Pass
1343.93	47.69	1.92	-8.58	41.02	Avg	H	164	136	54	-12.98	Pass
1920.04	50.97	2.29	-6.01	47.26	Pk	H	110	85	74	-26.74	Pass
1920.04	46.98	2.29	-6.01	43.27	Avg	H	110	85	54	-10.73	Pass
8213.94	41.18	4.74	4.79	50.71	Pk	H	131	224	74	-23.29	Pass
8213.94	28.20	4.74	4.79	37.73	Avg	H	131	224	54	-16.27	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 MHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 3 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	August 30, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

ZigbeeTransmit Mode at 2440 MHz 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 4 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	August 13, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Above 1 GHz Plots for ZigbeeTransmit Mode at 2440 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions		Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 5 of 31	
EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	August 13, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

18 to 26 GHz Plots for ZigbeeTransmit Mode at 2440 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 6 of 13

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	August 30, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions all channels 30 to 1000 MHz

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
107.89	57.28	1.16	-14.91	43.53	QP	H	292	266	43.5	0.03	Pass
108.69	56.63	1.16	-14.75	43.04	QP	V	102	327	43.5	-0.46	Pass
109.51	56.37	1.17	-14.58	42.96	QP	H	311	86	43.5	-0.54	Pass
110.30	57.88	1.17	-14.45	44.60	QP	H	323	280	43.5	1.10	Note1
111.10	55.81	1.18	-14.36	42.63	QP	H	306	88	43.5	-0.87	Pass
380.00	57.36	2.28	-11.53	48.11	QP	H	100	330	46	2.11	Note1
420.01	54.08	2.41	-10.74	45.76	QP	H	183	42	46	-0.24	Pass
600.01	54.01	2.94	-8.72	48.23	QP	V	99	76	46	2.23	Note1
624.00	50.46	3.00	-8.23	45.23	QP	V	99	86	46	-0.77	Pass
768.01	47.06	3.37	-6.22	44.21	QP	H	103	124	46	-1.80	Pass

Note1: These emissions were confirmed to be from Digital parts. EUT passed Class A from digital Parts

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 7 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	August 30, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions TX on 2440 MHz

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measure-ment	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1199.22	54.28	1.81	-8.49	47.61	Pk	V	200	191	54.00	-6.40	Pass
1200.02	43.60	1.80	-8.50	36.90	Ave	V	206	220	54.00	-17.10	Pass
1345.31	48.97	1.92	-8.58	42.30	Pk	H	100	206	54.00	-11.70	Pass
1345.08	49.33	1.92	-8.58	42.66	Ave	H	109	204	54.00	-11.34	Pass
1419.69	50.25	1.97	-8.48	43.74	Pk	H	100	198	54.00	-10.26	Pass
1420.66	49.08	1.97	-8.48	42.58	Ave	H	99	203	54.00	-11.43	Pass
1844.69	46.92	2.25	-6.15	43.02	Pk	V	200	263	54.00	-10.98	Pass
1843.09	45.86	2.25	-6.15	41.95	Ave	V	207	266	54.00	-12.05	Pass
1919.06	48.19	2.29	-6.01	44.47	Pk	H	200	319	54.00	-9.53	Pass
1919.93	47.58	2.29	-6.01	43.86	Ave	H	197	308	54.00	-10.14	Pass
1996.09	46.48	2.34	-5.91	42.91	Pk	H	150	193	54.00	-11.09	Pass
1996.86	46.99	2.34	-5.91	43.42	Ave	H	102	194	54.00	-10.58	Pass
3239.22	41.64	2.98	-1.95	42.66	Pk	H	200	256	54.00	-11.34	Pass
3239.91	38.67	2.98	-1.95	39.70	Ave	H	200	257	54.00	-14.30	Pass

@ These emissions were confirmed to be from Digital parts. EUT passed Class A from digital Parts

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 8 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	August 13, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Above 1 GHz Plots for Transmit Mode at 2480 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions		Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 9 of 31	
EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	August 30, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions for Transmit Mode at 2480 MHz, 1Mbit/s

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1000.00	61.04	1.66	-9.8	52.9	Pk	H	100	0	74	-21.10	Pass
1000.00	45.85	1.66	-9.8	37.71	Avg	H	172	322	54	-16.29	Pass
1347.19	69.92	1.92	-8.58	63.26	Pk	H	150	0	74	-10.74	Pass
1342.18	29.14	1.92	-8.59	22.47	Avg	H	154	175	54	-31.53	Pass
1964.93	55.18	2.32	-5.96	51.54	Pk	H	100	0	74	-22.46	Pass
1963.70	28.42	2.32	-5.96	24.78	Avg	H	267	53	54	-29.22	Pass
1987.47	54.92	2.33	-5.92	51.33	Pk	H	100	0	74	-22.67	Pass
1973.32	28.08	2.33	-5.94	24.47	Avg	H	211	314	54	-29.53	Pass
2118.23	55.71	2.41	-5.61	52.51	Pk	H	100	0	54	-21.49	Pass
2120.29	27.51	2.41	-5.6	24.33	Avg	V	224	64	54	-29.67	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

B Mode

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 10 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, B mode , 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 b at 2412 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 11 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, B mode 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions 2412Mz

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Av	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1000.00	62.5	1.66	-9.8	54.36	Pk	H	210	258	74	-19.64	Pass
1000.00	48.32	1.66	-9.8	40.18	Avg	H	210	258	54	-13.82	Pass
1199.75	55.61	1.82	-8.48	48.95	Pk	V	203	284	74	-25.06	Pass
1199.75	41.02	1.82	-8.48	34.35	Avg	V	203	284	54	-19.65	Pass
1420.78	51.52	1.97	-8.48	45.02	Pk	H	193	218	74	-28.98	Pass
1420.78	49.26	1.97	-8.48	42.76	Avg	H	193	218	54	-11.24	Pass
1804.77	47.06	2.22	-6.24	43.05	Pk	H	155	230	74	-30.95	Pass
1804.77	43.97	2.22	-6.24	39.95	Avg	H	155	230	54	-14.05	Pass
8974.38	32.44	4.96	5.14	42.54	Pk	V	187	306	74	-31.46	Pass
8974.38	20.85	4.96	5.14	30.95	Avg	V	187	306	54	-23.05	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 12 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, B mode , 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 b at 2437 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 13 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions 30 to 1000 MHz all Channels B mode

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
108.9578	55.92	1.16	-14.70	42.38	QP	H	332	292	43.5	-1.12	Pass
379.9984	56.22	2.28	-11.53	46.97	QP	H	100	184	46	0.97	Note1
419.9909	56.37	2.41	-10.74	48.04	QP	H	201	18	46	2.04	Note1
499.9913	54.30	2.65	-9.86	47.09	QP	H	166	202	46	1.09	Note1
599.7066	40.08	2.94	-8.72	34.30	QP	V	210	262	46	-11.7	Pass
624.0156	52.30	3.00	-8.23	47.07	QP	V	101	94	46	1.07	Note1
767.9913	48.50	3.37	-6.22	45.65	QP	H	102	62	46	-0.35	Pass

Note1: These emissions were confirmed to be from Digital circuitary which passes class A

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 14 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, B mode , 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 b at 2412 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 15 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, B mode, 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1420.95	48.93	1.97	-8.47	42.43	Ave	H	102	206	54.00	-11.57	Pass
1996.85	46.03	2.34	-5.91	42.46	Ave	H	102	188	54.00	-11.54	Pass
3240.17	37.37	2.98	-1.95	38.40	Ave	H	201	254	54.00	-15.60	Pass
8307.49	31.40	4.80	4.90	41.00	Ave	H	180	160	54.00	-13.00	Pass
1199.91	49.50	1.80	-8.50	42.80	Ave	V	182	180	54.00	-11.20	Pass
1919.92	47.57	2.29	-6.01	43.85	Ave	V	192	250	54.00	-10.15	Pass
4883.87	35.22	3.65	-0.51	38.37	Ave	V	140	314	54.00	-15.63	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 16 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, B mode , 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 b at 2412 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 17 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, B mode , 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 b at 2462 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 18 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, Zigbee 1 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions Transmit Mode 802.11 b at 2462 MHz, 1Mbit/s

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1000.00	56.82	1.66	-9.80	48.68	Pk	H	105	308	74	-25.32	Pass
1000.00	47.91	1.66	-9.80	39.77	Avg	H	105	308	54	-14.23	Pass
1200.13	55.28	1.82	-8.48	48.61	Pk	H	221	280	74	-25.39	Pass
1200.13	41.81	1.82	-8.48	35.14	Avg	H	221	280	54	-18.86	Pass
1433.62	39.68	1.98	-8.42	33.25	Pk	H	139	22	74	-40.76	Pass
1433.62	28.58	1.98	-8.42	22.15	Avg	H	139	22	54	-31.86	Pass
1518.74	38.90	2.04	-8.07	32.88	Pk	H	244	348	74	-41.12	Pass
1518.74	25.77	2.04	-8.07	19.75	Avg	H	244	348	54	-34.26	Pass
9847.96	36.44	5.2	5.65	47.29	Pk	H	111	106	74	-26.71	Pass
9847.96	31.33	5.2	5.65	42.18	Avg	H	111	106	54	-11.83	Pass
14641.30	29.44	6.34	11.62	47.41	Pk	H	220	-8	74	-26.60	Pass
14641.30	17.36	6.34	11.62	35.32	Avg	H	220	-8	54	-18.68	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

G mode

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 19 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, g mode , 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 g at 2412 MHz, 6Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 20 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, g mode , 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions Transmit Mode 802.11 g at 2412 MHz, 6Mbit/s

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1000.00	58.82	1.66	-9.8	50.68	Pk	V	174	50	74	-23.32	Pass
1000.00	45.4	1.66	-9.8	37.27	Avg	V	174	50	54	-16.74	Pass
1100.45	49.82	1.74	-9.08	42.48	Pk	H	215	85	74	-31.52	Pass
1100.45	34.2	1.74	-9.08	26.86	Avg	H	215	85	54	-27.14	Pass
1199.85	51.91	1.82	-8.48	45.25	Pk	H	167	48	74	-28.75	Pass
1199.85	38.71	1.82	-8.48	32.05	Avg	H	167	48	54	-21.96	Pass
1804.83	48.46	2.22	-6.24	44.45	Pk	V	174	224	74	-29.55	Pass
1804.83	46.17	2.22	-6.24	42.16	Avg	V	174	224	54	-11.85	Pass
2412.36	44.76	2.57	-4.34	43	Pk	H	163	236	74	-31.00	Pass
2412.36	34.5	2.57	-4.34	32.73	Avg	H	163	236	54	-21.27	Pass
14638.13	29.68	6.34	11.61	47.64	Pk	H	151	158	74	-26.36	Pass
14638.13	17.36	6.34	11.61	35.32	Avg	H	151	158	54	-18.69	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 21 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 05, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, g mode , 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 g at 2437 MHz, 6Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 22 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	Sep 05, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, g mode 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions 30 to 1000 MHz all channels

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
420.0097	56.63	2.41	-10.74	48.3	QP	H	205	36	46	2.3	Note1
624.0028	52.73	3.00	-8.23	47.5	QP	V	101	90	46	1.5	Note1
380.0075	55.82	2.28	-11.53	46.57	QP	H	101	174	46	0.57	Note1
499.9972	53.63	2.65	-9.86	46.42	QP	H	192	200	46	0.42	Note1
109.5884	55.13	1.17	-14.57	41.73	QP	H	287	276	43.5	-1.77	Pass
600.7313	44.55	2.94	-8.71	38.79	QP	V	104	268	46	-7.21	Pass

Note1: These emissions were confirmed to be from Digital circuitary which passes class A

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 23 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, g mode , 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 g at 2437 MHz, 6Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 24 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, g mode 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1080.00	46.40	1.70	-9.20	38.90	Ave	H	265	164	54.00	-15.10	Pass
1343.65	48.20	1.92	-8.58	41.54	Ave	H	106	200	54.00	-12.47	Pass
1420.83	49.12	1.97	-8.47	42.62	Ave	H	101	204	54.00	-11.38	Pass
1919.94	49.68	2.29	-6.01	45.96	Ave	H	109	194	54.00	-8.04	Pass
1996.75	46.80	2.34	-5.91	43.23	Ave	H	100	192	54.00	-10.77	Pass
3240.01	38.68	2.98	-1.95	39.71	Ave	H	238	252	54.00	-14.29	Pass
4885.48	31.40	3.70	-0.50	34.50	Ave	H	217	74	54.00	-19.50	Pass
1200.06	45.80	1.80	-8.50	39.10	Ave	V	102	0	54.00	-14.90	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 25 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 09, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, g mode , 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 g at 2437 MHz, 1Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 26 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 05, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, g mode , 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 g at 2462 MHz, 6Mbit/s



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions — Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 27 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	Sep 09, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, g mode, 6 Mbps	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1001.744	51.58	1.66	-9.79	43.46	Pk	H	184	188	74	-30.55	Pass
1001.744	32.45	1.66	-9.79	24.32	Avg	H	184	188	54	-29.68	Pass
1804.649	49.29	2.22	-6.24	45.27	Pk	H	151	60	74	-28.73	Pass
1804.649	47.01	2.22	-6.24	42.99	Avg	H	151	60	54	-11.01	Pass
1919.97	50.67	2.29	-6.01	46.96	Pk	V	152	126	74	-27.05	Pass
1919.97	48.25	2.29	-6.01	44.53	Avg	V	152	126	54	-9.47	Pass
1996.901	48.71	2.34	-5.91	45.14	Pk	H	143	130	74	-28.86	Pass
1996.901	46.45	2.34	-5.91	42.88	Avg	H	143	130	54	-11.12	Pass
10695.23	31.96	5.42	6.21	43.59	Pk	H	197	280	74	-30.41	Pass
10695.23	19.49	5.42	6.21	31.12	Avg	V	108	18	54	-22.89	Pass
13517.24	29.25	6.1	8.5	43.85	Pk	V	99	166	74	-30.15	Pass
13517.24	17.21	6.1	8.5	31.81	Avg	V	99	166	54	-22.19	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

Simultaneous operation of Wifi and Blue tooth modes

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions		Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 28 of 31	
EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, B+ Bluetooth mode	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 b and Bluetooth



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 29 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, B+ Bluetooth mode	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1000.00	56.89	1.66	-9.8	48.76	Pk	H	100	306	74	-25.25	Pass
1000.00	47.56	1.66	-9.8	39.42	Avg	H	100	306	54	-14.58	Pass
1131.44	39.02	1.76	-8.89	31.89	Pk	H	189	142	74	-42.11	Pass
1131.44	26.6	1.76	-8.89	19.48	Avg	H	189	142	54	-34.53	Pass
1199.91	54.82	1.82	-8.48	48.15	Pk	V	180	74	74	-25.85	Pass
1199.91	41.2	1.82	-8.48	34.53	Avg	V	180	74	54	-19.47	Pass
2401.84	52.02	2.57	-4.37	50.21	Pk	H	201	251	74	-23.79	Pass
2401.84	49.86	2.57	-4.37	48.06	Avg	H	201	251	54	-5.95	Pass
9608.61	38.17	5.13	5.46	48.76	Pk	H	221	110	74	-25.24	Pass
9608.61	28.77	5.13	5.46	39.37	Avg	H	221	110	54	-14.63	Pass
14805.99	29.11	6.38	11.65	47.15	Pk	H	234	136	74	-26.85	Pass
14805.99	17.13	6.38	11.65	35.16	Avg	H	234	136	54	-18.84	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 30 of 31

EUT Name	Gemini	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Config.	Y-Axis, B+ Bluetooth mode	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz / 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m - EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Plot for Transmit Mode 802.11 b and Bluetooth



Notes: All Emissions 18 to 26 GHz were at least 20 dB below the limt
1 GHz – 25 GHz Setting: RBW = 1 MHz/ VBW = 3 MHz

SOP 1 Radiated Emissions

Tracking # 31362328.001 Page 31 of 31

EUT Name	Radio Module	**Date**	Sep 06, 2013
EUT Model	Ti200, Ti300 and Ti400	**Temp / Hum in**	23°C / 39%rh
EUT Serial	TUV1	**Temp / Hum out**	N/A
EUT Comfit.	Y-Axis, g+ Bluetooth mode	**Line Voltage**	15 Vdc
Standard	CFR47 Part 15 Subpart C	**RBW / VBW**	1 MHz/ 3 MHz
Dist/Ant Used	3m / EMCO3115 / 1m - RA42-K-F-4B-C	**Performed by**	Suresh Kondapalli

Sprurious Emissions

Freq	Raw	Cable	AF	Final Level	Measurement	Pol	Ant Hgt	Azt	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV	Pk/Avg	-	cm	Deg	dBuV	dB	
1000.01	61.64	1.66	-9.8	53.5	Pk	V	127	276	74	-20.5	Pass
1000.01	47.33	1.66	-9.8	39.19	Avg	V	127	276	54	-14.81	Pass
1171.25	40.07	1.79	-8.64	33.23	Pk	H	189	100	74	-40.77	Pass
1171.25	27.05	1.79	-8.64	20.21	Avg	H	189	100	54	-33.79	Pass
1200.11	55.3	1.82	-8.48	48.63	Pk	V	207	296	74	-25.37	Pass
1200.11	41.47	1.82	-8.48	34.81	Avg	V	207	296	54	-19.19	Pass
8508.56	32.23	4.83	5.21	42.27	Pk	V	116	12	74	-31.73	Pass
8508.56	20.17	4.83	5.21	30.21	Avg	V	116	12	54	-23.79	Pass
9767.94	36.72	5.18	5.51	47.41	Pk	H	210	92	74	-26.59	Pass
9767.94	30.01	5.18	5.51	40.69	Avg	H	210	92	54	-13.31	Pass
14780.78	29.36	6.38	11.71	47.44	Pk	V	243	122	74	-26.56	Pass
14780.78	17.44	6.38	11.71	35.53	Avg	V	243	122	54	-18.47	Pass

Spec Margin = E-Field QP - Limit, E-Field QP = FIM QP+ Total CF ± Uncertainty
Total CF= Amp Gain + Cable Loss + ANT Factor

Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y)$ = ± 3.2 dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = ku_c(y)$ k = 2 for 95% confidence

Notes: Worst case was observed on Y-axis, 1 Mbps. No duty cycle reduction was applied. Low, mid and high channels were evaluated for 30 MHz to 26 GHz, only worst case results are reported here.

4.5.4 **Sample Calculation**

The field strength is calculated by subtracting the Amplifier Gain and adding the Cable Loss and Antenna Correction Factor to the measured reading. The basic equation is as follows:

Field Strength (dBμV/m) = FIM - AMP + CBL + ACF

Where: FIM = Field Intensity Meter (dBμV)
AMP = Amplifier Gain (dB)
CBL = Cable Loss (dB)
ACF = Antenna Correction Factor (dB/m)

$$\mu V/m = 10^{\frac{dB\mu V/m}{20}}$$

4.6 AC Conducted Emissions

Testing was performed in accordance with ANSI C63.4-2009. These test methods are listed under the laboratory's A2LA Scope of Accreditation.

This test measures the levels emanating from the EUT's AC input port, thus evaluating the potential for the EUT to cause radio frequency interference to other electronic devices.

The AC conducted emissions of equipment under test shall not exceed the values in CFR47 Part 15.207: 2011 and RSS 210: 2010.

4.6.1 **Test Methodology**

A test program that controls instrumentation and data logging was used to automate the AC Power Line Conducted emission test procedure. The frequency range of interest was divided into sub-ranges such as to yield a frequency resolution of 9 kHz. Each phase and neutral of the AC power line were measured with respect to ground. Measurements were performed using a set of 50μH / 50Ω LISNs.

Testing is either performed inLab 5. The setup photographs clearly identify which site was used. The vertical ground plane used in the semi-anechoic chamber is a 2m x 2m solid aluminum frame and panel, and it is bonded to the horizontal ground plane.

In the case of tabletop equipment, the EUT is placed on a 1.0m x 1.5m non-conductive table 80cm above the ground plane and 40cm from a vertical ground reference plane. The rear of the EUT was positioned flush with the backside of the table and directly over the LISNs. The power and I/O cables were routed over the edge of the table and bundled approximately 40cm from the ground plane. Support equipment was powered from a separate LISN.

4.6.1.1 ***Deviations***

There were no deviations from this test methodology.

4.6.2 **Test Results**

NOTES:

Conducted Emissions @ 110 Vac/60 Hz Line



Frequency	Raw	Cable	Factors	Level	Measurement Type	Line	Limit	Margin	Pass /Fail
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV			dBuV	dB	
0.17	49.72	2.87	-0.09	52.5	QP	Live	79	-26.5	Pass
0.17	36.87	2.87	-0.09	39.65	Avg	Live	66	-26.35	Pass
0.58	43.91	2.9	-0.04	46.77	QP	Live	73	-26.23	Pass
0.58	33.1	2.9	-0.04	35.96	Avg	Live	60	-24.04	Pass
0.75	43.47	2.9	-0.04	46.33	QP	Live	73	-26.67	Pass
0.75	33.8	2.9	-0.04	36.66	Avg	Live	60	-23.34	Pass
0.99	43.2	2.87	-0.04	46.03	QP	Live	73	-26.97	Pass
0.99	32.29	2.87	-0.04	35.12	Avg	Live	60	-24.88	Pass
1.83	41.07	2.9	-0.04	43.93	QP	Live	73	-29.07	Pass
1.83	32.87	2.9	-0.04	35.73	Avg	Live	60	-24.27	Pass
1.87	41.26	2.91	-0.04	44.13	QP	Live	73	-28.87	Pass
1.87	31.81	2.91	-0.04	34.68	Avg	Live	60	-25.32	Pass

NOTES:

Conducted Emissions @ 110 Vac/60 Hz Neutral



Frequency	Raw	Cable	Factors	Level	Measurement Type	Line	Limit	Margin	Pass /Fail
MHz	dBuV	dB	dB	dBuV			dBuV	dB	
0.15	50.04	2.87	-0.1	52.81	QP	Neutral	79	-26.19	Pass
0.15	36.12	2.87	-0.1	38.89	Avg	Neutral	66	-27.11	Pass
0.55	42.04	2.90	-0.04	44.9	QP	Neutral	73	-28.1	Pass
0.55	32.88	2.90	-0.04	35.74	Avg	Neutral	60	-24.26	Pass
0.77	40.47	2.90	-0.04	43.33	QP	Neutral	73	-29.67	Pass
0.77	33.27	2.90	-0.04	36.13	Avg	Neutral	60	-23.87	Pass
1.03	41.32	2.87	-0.04	44.15	QP	Neutral	73	-28.85	Pass
1.03	33.71	2.87	-0.04	36.54	Avg	Neutral	60	-23.46	Pass
1.24	40.16	2.88	-0.04	43	QP	Neutral	73	-30.00	Pass
1.24	32.55	2.88	-0.04	35.39	Avg	Neutral	60	-24.61	Pass
1.25	40.82	2.88	-0.04	43.66	QP	Neutral	73	-29.34	Pass
1.25	33.66	2.88	-0.04	36.5	Avg	Neutral	60	-23.5	Pass

4.6.3 **Test Setup Photos**




TÜVRheinland
Precisely Right.


WARNING

5 Test Equipment Use List

5.1 Equipment List

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model #	Serial/Inst #	Last Cal mm/dd/yy	Next Cal mm/dd/yy
Bi-log Antenna	Sunol Science	JB3	A102606	05/15/2012	05/15/2014
Passive Loop Antenna	ETS-Lindgren	6511	66507	01/24/2013	01/24/2014
EMI Receiver	Hewlett Packard	8546A	3807A00445	01/18/2013	01/18/2014
Pre-selector	Hewlett Packard	85460A	3704A00407	01/18/2013	01/18/2014
Amplifier	Hewlett Packard	8447D	2944A07996	01/16/2013	01/16/2014
Spectrum Analyzer	Rohde-Schwarz	FSL6	100169	01/16/2013	01/16/2014
Spectrum Analyzer	Rohde-Schwarz	ESIB40	832427/002	1/16/2013	1/16/2014
Amplifier	Rohde-Schwarz	TS-PR18	3545.7008.03	9/29/2013	9/29/2013
Amplifier	Rohde-Schwarz	TS-PR26	100011	1/16/2013	1/16/2014
Signal Generator	Anritsu	MG3694A	42803	1/17/2013	1/17/2014
Notch Filter	Micro-Tronics	BRM50702	37	1/17/2013	1/17/2014
Notch Filter	Micro-Tronics	BRC50705	9	1/17/2013	1/17/2014
High Pass Filter (8.5 GHz)	Micro-Tronics	HPM50107	4	1/17/2013	1/17/2014
Digital Multimeter	Fluke	177	92780314	1/18/2013	1/18/2014
LISN	Com-Power	LI-215	24548	1/19/2012	1/19/2013
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	E4407B	SG43330468	09/06/2013	09/06/2014

* Calibration of equipment past due for re-calibration will be performed expeditiously. If any equipment is found to be out of tolerance at that time, affected customers will be notified accordingly.

6 EMC Test Plan

6.1 Introduction

This section provides a description of the Equipment Under Test (EUT), configurations, operating conditions, and performance acceptance criteria. It is an overview of information provided by the manufacturer so that the test laboratory may perform the requested testing.

6.2 Customer

Table 8: Customer Information

Company Name	Fluke Corporation.
Address	6920 Seaway Blvd.
City, State, Zip	Everett, WA 98203
Country	U.S.A.
Phone	(425) 446-5928
Fax	None

Table 9: Technical Contact Information

Name	Reed Nelson
E-mail	reed.nelson@fluke.com
Phone	(425) 446-5928

Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Table 10: EUT Specifications

EUT Specification	
Dimensions	10.5 x 6.5 x 4.5 Inches
Power	EUT is Battery Operated Battery 7.2 Vdc Model: D2038707_001 Input Voltage: 15 Vdc (15 Vdc at input of the device from wall charger) Input Current: 2 A
Environment	Portable
Operating Temperature Range:	-10 to +50 degrees C
Multiple Feeds:	☐ Yes and how many ☒ No
Hardware Version	None
Part Number	None
RF Software Version	None
Radio Module s 802.15.1 Bluetooth, 802.11 Wifi	
Operating Modes	EUT Operates on 802.15.4 (Zigbee), 802.11b, g (Wi-fi) and 802.15.1 Bluetooth
Transmitter Frequency Band	2.400 GHz to 2.4835 GHz
Max. Rated Power Output	See Channel Planning Table.
Power Setting @ Operating Channel	See Channel Planning Table.
Antenna Type	Internal Antenna 1.5 dBi
Modulation Type	☐ AM ☐ FM ☒ DSSS ☒ OFDM ☒Other describe: CCK, OQPSK
Data Rate	250 kbps to 55 Mbps EUT Operates on 802.11 protocol
TX/RX Chain (s)	1
Directional Gain Type	☒ Uncorrelated ☒ No Beam-Forming ☐ Other describe:
Type of Equipment	☐ Table Top ☐Wall-mount ☐ Floor standing cabinet ☒Other *Portable*

Table 11: EUT Channel Power Specifications

No.	Frequency (MHz)	802.15.4
1	2405	<5 mW
2	2440	<5 mW
3	2480	<5 mW
Note: 1. The power levels shown here are with 100% duty cycle. Duty cycle factor for a comparison with limits. 2. This report is only documented for frequency ranges, 2400-2483.5 MHz		

Table 12: Interface Specifications:

EUT Port	Connected To	Location	Cable Type				
			Length	Shielded Yes / No		Bead Yes / No	
HDMI (mini type C)	HDMI Monitor	Left side EUT	2.5m	☒	☐	☒	☐
USB (type mini-B)	Computer/ laptop	Right side of EUT	2.5m	☒	☐	☒	☐
DC Power	Wall adopter	Right side of EUT	2.0m	☐	☐	☒	☐

HDMI cable was not used during testing. USB is was used with Laptop for radio setup.

Table 13: Supported Equipment :

Reference Designation	Manufacturer	Model	Serial Number	Comments
Laptop	Lenovo	G560	CBU4508268	Used for radio set up

Table 14: Description of Sample used for Testing

Device	Serial	RF Connection	CFR47 Part 15 C
Gemini	TUV1 & 2	Internal Antenna	TX Emissions, Band Edges
	TUV 3 & 4	SMA Connector (This was setup by Fluke corporation for test purposes only)	RF Power Output, Out of Band Emission, Peak Power Spectral Density, Occupied Bandwidth

Table 15: Description of Test Configuration used for Radiated Measurement.

Device	Antenna	Mode	Setup Photo (X-Axis)	Setup Photo (Y-Axis)	Setup Photo (Z-Axis)
Gemini Themal Imager	Internal	* Transmit * Receive	 EUT on side	 EUT Flat on table	 EUT vertical
Note: Pre-scans were performed in 3orthogonal axes and **Y-Axis was worst case.**					

Table 16: Final Test Mode for The EUT

The Thermal Imagers have three Models: Ti200, Ti300, and Ti400. The model differences are with Infrared Resolution, Temperature Measurements Ranges, and Thermal Sensitivity. The models use the same hardware. Software settings are used to configure the models. Please see the following chart.

Model	Ti200	Ti300	Ti400
IR Resolution	200x150	240x180	320x240
Thermal Sensitivity	≤ 70mK	≤ 50 mK	≤ 50 mK
Temperature Measurement Range	-20 to 650°C	-20 to 650°C	-20 to 1200°C
IR Frame Rate	9 / 60 Hz		
Standard Lens	HFOV = 24°, VFOV = 18°		
Focus	Autofocus using laser rangefinder with manual focus override		
Optional Lens	Wide angle (48° x 36°), telephoto (12° x 9°)		
Display	3.5" color LCD (640x480) with multi-touch touchscreen		
Visible Light Camera	5 Mpixel with LED torch		
Wireless Interfaces	WIFI transceiver (801.11 g/n, power = TBD) Zigbee transceiver (802.15.4 Zigbee , power <5 mW) Bluetooth transceiver Headset Profile (802.15 BT ; class 2, power = 2.5 mW) GPS receiver (what is the standard?) Compass (magnetically susceptible)		
Other interfaces	HDMI video out (ATSC) USB A to thumb drive USB mini B for cabling to PC Micro SD removable storage Speaker Microphone Laser pointer 12 Vdc power jack		
Physical	Removable battery IP54 Ingress Protection 2 M drop Hand strap Size ~2.3 lbs.		
Accessories	AC / DC Adapter plugs into 15 Vdc power jack of Thermal Imager. External battery charger for charging removable batteries. One USB Cable < 3 meters in length : male mini-B to male standard-A One 6 ft. HDMI Cable < 3 meters in length: mini (type C) to std (type A Optional car adapter		

Zigbee does not use a module. Zigbee is implemented using circuitry on the Camera Management Board (CMB) internal to the Thermal Imager. Zigbee used the same components for Ti125 Camera, but the layout on the CMB is different. Ti125 was tested and approved

Blue tooth and WIFI use LS Research module PN: 450-0064R. See document D2043071_002_0_8405_4104329_R002.pdf. Attached to the signal between the module and the antenna is a U.FL coaxial connector and an external antenna pin

Blue tooth, WIFI, and Zigbee share same PCB mounted antenna. The antenna is different than the antennas mentioned in the module document. LS Research selected and approved the antenna.

Blue tooth and WIFI will operate simultaneously.

Zigbee never operates simultaneously with Blue tooth and WIFI

Test Plan for the device:

1) Zigbee radio will be evaluated all tests required for FCC 15.247.

2) No changes were made Blue tooth radio. Preliminary tests indicated no reduction power was required. Spurious emissions were lower than module approval. Test results of module approval are applicable

3) Limited evaluation of Wifi radio shall be performed. Band edges, power levels and Radiated spurious emissions shall be re-evalauted. EUT shall be evaluated for simultaneous operation of Wi-fi and Blue tooth radios. All conducted tests results of module are applicable.

Test	802.15.4
Occupied Bandwidth	2405, 2440, 2480 MHz @ 1 Mbps
Output Power	2405, 2440, 2480 MHz @ 1 Mbps
Peak Power Spectral Density	2405, 2440, 2480 MHz @ 1 Mbps
Out-of-Band (-20 dBr)	2405, 2440, 2480 MHz @ 1 Mbps
Band-Edge (Radiated)	2405, 2440, 2480 MHz @ 1 Mbps
Transmitted Spurious Emission	2405, 2440, 2480 MHz @ 1 Mbps
AC Conducted Emission	110 Vac, 60 Hz

6.3 Test Specifications

Testing requirements

Table 17: Test Specifications

Emissions and Immunity	
Standard	**Requirement**
CFR 47 Part 15.247:2011	All
RSS 210 Issue 8, 2010	All

7 Revision History

Revisions

Revision No.	Date MM/DD/YYYY	Reason for Change	Author
0	09/13/2013	Original Document	SK

Note: Latest revision report will replace all previous reports.

\

END OF REPORT