

American Telecommunications Certification Body Inc. 6731 Whittier Ave, McLean, VA 22101

July 7, 2007 RE: Netcore Technology Inc. FCC ID: T58NW6062007M1

After a review of the submitted information, I have a few comments on the above referenced Application. Depending on your responses, kindly understand there may be additional comments.

1) The contact person listed on the FCC database for Netcore (Gao Qi) does not match who signed the authorization letter. The FCC expects the listed applicant to sign this form otherwise there is no way to assure who's authority is being utilized on the letter. Please review/correct. Corrected to From 731 Rev1, Authorization Letter Rev1and Confidentiality letter Rev1

2) The power adapter show in the photographs would normally not be used in the U.S. What adapter will be used in the U.S. Even though the adapter shown may use 120 VAC, the U.S. version may not be identical electronics and would have a different plug as well. Note that appropriate digital device emissions (both radiated and AC powerline conducted) should be performed with the appropriate adapter and voltage (120 VAC/60 Hz). Please review/correct all exhibits as necessary (i.e. external photos, test report, etc.).

Corrected to Test Report Rev1

3) It does not appear that a true sample label was provided. Please provide. The actual process for production is : the true Lable will be printed after complete all certification, there is only a label sample before issued FCC ID.

4) This device appears to be considered a PC peripheral device (in addition to the TX requirements, i.e. Part 15B, etc.) and is subject to either a Certification or DoC as a PC peripheral. Labeling appears to suggest a DoC is performed. However currently to qualify to perform DoC applications, the test lab must be accredited (i.e. NVLAP or A2LA) to perform testing under the DoC procedure and located in an MRA accepted country. Note that currently labs in China are not able to do DoC due to limitations given under Part 2 of the rules and the status of MRA's. Please comment on how and/or where DoC testing was performed.

## 15B test report is issued by Taiwan Lab.

5) Kindly update the test photographs (separate exhibit) to include AC powerline conducted photographs as well.

## Corrected to Test Report Rev1

6) 731 form should cite maximum conducted power (i.e. 0.024 W). Please adjust. Solved, Still cite 0.035w

7) FYI...For spectral density, it is hard to determine if the maximum peak across all of the emissions (i.e. 10 MHz for 802.11b, 16 MHz for 802.11g) was obtained, given the highest results shown are at the edge of the plots. Please take care in the future to use a wider span and/or center the highest emissions found.

8) Users manual cites highest power as 15 dBm + 3 dB. Note the FCC expects all results to be tested at highest and worse case output power. It appears that the reports maximum output power was only 15.45 dBm. Please review/correct all necessary exhibits.

The 15dbm+3db is not a accurate value, the value have been corrected as 15dbm+0.5dm in user manual Rev1.

9) Users manual appears to be missing RF exposure statements (i.e. no-colocation and 20 cm statements. Please correct.

Corrected to page2 within User manual Rev1

10) FYI...Users manual appears to be missing 2.1077 information required by DoC. This information is expected to be presented on a single page as well.

Corrected to page2 within User manual Rev1