



## Table of Contents

Report Cover Page.....	1
Table of Contents .....	2
Figures.....	3
<b>1. GENERAL INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.1 Verification of Compliance .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.2 Equipment Modifications.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.3 Product Information.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.4 Test Methodology.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.5 Test Facility .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.6 Test Equipment.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.7 Statement of the Document Use.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2. PRODUCT LABELING.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3.1 Justification .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3.2 Special Accessories.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3.3 Configuration of Tested System .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>5. RADIATED EMISSION DATA .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5.1 Field Strength Calculation .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5.2 Test Methods and Conditions .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5.3 Test Data.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>6. EUT RECEIVING MODE VERIFICATION.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>7. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT .....</b>	<b>19</b>

## Figures

<b>Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Figure 2.2 Location of Label on Back of the EUT .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 3.2 Conducted Test Setup .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Figure 4.1 EUT Schematics.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Figure 7.1-7.6 EUT Photos .....</b>	<b>20+</b>

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

### 1.1 Verification of Compliance

EUT: INTOUCH CARE STAR

Model: IT100

Applicant: REMOTE PLAY, INC.

Test Type: FCC Part 15C CERTIFICATION

Result: PASS

Tested by: ADVANCED COMPLIANCE LABORATORY


Test Date: July 11-20, 2007

Report Number: 0048-070710-03

The above equipment was tested by Compliance Laboratory, Advanced Technologies, Inc. for compliance with the requirement set forth in the FCC rules and regulations Part 15 subpart C. This said equipment in the configuration described in the report, shows the maximum emission levels emanating from equipment are within the compliance requirements.

The estimated uncertainty of the test result is given as following. The method of uncertainty calculation is provided in Advanced Compliance Lab. Doc. No. 0048-01-01.

	Prob. Dist.	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)
		30-1000MHz	1-6.5GHz	Conducted
Combined Std. Uncertainty $u_c$	norm.	$\pm 2.36$	$\pm 2.99$	$\pm 1.83$



Wei Li  
Lab Manager  
Advanced Compliance Lab

Date: July 24, 2007

## 1.2 Equipment Modifications

N/A

### 1.3 Product Information

#### System Configuration

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	FCC ID	CABLE
Product	Intouch Care Star IT100 <sup>(1)</sup>	ST2-IT100	
Housing	PLASTICS		
Power Supply	AC-DC adaptor: 3VDC Ethernet Power: 48VDC		
Operation Freq.	904MHz ~ 926MHz		
Receiver	IT100(RX)	Verification	

(1) EUT submitted for grant.

### 1.4 Test Methodology

Radiated tests were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4-2003 at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters.

### 1.5 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated and conducted data are located at Hillsborough, New Jersey. This site has been accepted by FCC to perform measurements under Part 15 or 18 in a letter dated May 19, 1997 (Refer to: 31040/PRV 1300F2). The NVLAP Lab code for accreditation of FCC EMC Test Method is: 200101-0.

### 1.6 Test Equipment


Manufacture	Model	Serial No.	Description	Last Cal dd/mm/y	Cal Due dd/mm/y
Hewlett-Packard	HP8546A	3625A00341	EMI Receiver	12/01/07	12/01/08
EMCO	3115	4945	Double Ridge Guide Horn Antenna	12/02/07	12/02/08
EMCO	3104C	9307-4396	20-300MHz Biconical Antenna	09/02/07	09/02/08
EMCO	3146	9008-2860	200-1000MHz Log-Periodic Antenna	11/08/06	11/08/07
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-0008	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	23/08/06	23/08/07
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-0009	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	23/08/06	23/08/07

All Test Equipment Used are Calibrated Traceable to NIST Standards.


**1.7 Statement for the Document Use**

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. And this report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government.

## 2. PRODUCT LABELING



**Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label  
(Only FCC ID shown on EUT)**



**Figure 2.2 FCC Label Location**



### **3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION**

#### **3.1 Justification**

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). And its antenna was permanently attached to the EUT with max length, 7.5”.

Testing was performed as EUT was continuously operated at the following frequency channels:

Low=904MHz, Middle= 914MHz, High=926MHz.

Fresh external battery was used for extended operating time.

#### **3.2 Special Accessories**

N/A

#### **3.3 Configuration of Tested System**

Figure 3.1&3.2 illustrate this system, which is tested standing along.



**Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup  
( Power option: AC/DC Adaptor or Power Ethernet)**



**Figure 3.2 Conducted Test Setup**  
( Power option: AC/DC Adaptor)

## **4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS**

**See Attachment.**

**Figure 4.1 System Schematics**

## 5. RADIATED EMISSION DATA

### 5.1 Field Strength Calculation

The corrected field strength is automatically calculated by EMI Receiver using following:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF + AG$$

where FS: Corrected Field Strength in dB $\mu$ V/m

RA: Amplitude of EMI Receiver before correction in dB $\mu$ V

AF: Antenna Factor in dB/m

CF: Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AG: Built-in Preamplifier Gain in dB (Stored in receiver as part of the calibration data)

THE "DUTY CYCLE CORRECTION FACTOR" FOR SPURIOUS RADIATED EMISSIONS IS;  
 $20 \log * (4 \text{ ms} / 100 \text{ ms}) = -28 \text{ dB}$ , WHICH WAS USED TO CORRECT THE AVERAGE RADIATED EMISSION READINGS.

### 5.2 Test Methods and Conditions

The initial step in collecting radiated data is a EMI Receiver scan of the measurement range below 30MHz using peak detector and 9KHz IF bandwidth / 30KHz video bandwidth. For the range 30MHz - 1GHz, 120KHz IF bandwidth / 120KHz video bandwidth are used. Both bandwidths are 1MHz for above 1GHz measurement. Up to 10<sup>th</sup> harmonics were investigated.

### 5.3 Test Data

The following data lists the significant emission frequencies, polarity and position, peak reading of the EMI Receiver, the FCC limit, and the difference between the peak reading and the limit. Explanation of the correction and calculation are given in section 5.1. Worst case was recorded in which the AC/DC power was used.

Test Personnel:   
 \_\_\_\_\_

Typed/Printed Name: Edward Lee

Date: July 24, 2007

### Radiated Test Data (CH-904MHz/914MHz/926MHz)


Frequency (MHz)	Polarity (H or V)	Antenna Height (m)	Azimuth (Degree)	Peak Reading at 3m(2) (dBuV/m)	After Correction (dBuV/m)	FCC 3m Limit(1) (dBuV/m)	Difference (dBuV/m)
904	V	1.1	45	67.9	39.9	94	-54.1
1808	V	1.1	40	61.2	33.2	54	-20.8
2712	V	1.1	0	53.5	25.5	54	-28.5
904	H	1	45	61.8	33.8	94	-60.2
1808	H	1.05	350	64.2	36.2	54	-17.8
2712	H	1.05	0	52.5	24.5	54	-29.5
914	V	1.1	60	67.3	39.3	94	-54.7
1828	V	1.2	70	69.5	41.5	54	-12.5
2742	V	1.2	10	46.4	18.4	54	-35.6
914	H	1	45	62.8	34.8	94	-59.2
1828	H	1.2	90	67.1	39.1	54	-14.9
2742	H	1.2	45	47.9	19.9	54	-34.1
926	V	1.1	0	65.1	37.1	94	-56.9
1852	V	1.1	40	66.1	38.1	54	-15.9
2778	V	1.1	0	54.8	26.8	54	-27.2
926	H	1	45	68.2	40.2	94	-53.8
1852	H	1.2	140	66.2	38.2	54	-15.8
2778	H	1.2	0	53.4	25.4	54	-28.6

(1) The limit for emissions within the 902-928MHz band is 50mV(94dB) per Sec. 15.249. The limit for its harmonics is 500uV (54dB). Other spurious emissions shall be lower than either its fundamental by 50dB or the limit defined in Sec. 15.209, whichever is higher.


(2) If each peak reading is less than the FCC average limit, it'll be not necessary to show the measured/ calculated average reading.

#### Other Spurious outside of the band 902-928MHz

**No other significant spurious emissions were founded for outside of the band 902-928MHz.**  
i.e. Comparing to the limit defined in Sec. 15.209, emissions below the limit by 20dB were not recorded.



**20dB Bandwidth Plot**



**Duty Cycle Plot**

## 6. EUT RECEIVING MODE VERIFICATION

### Test Data for Radiated Emissions in Receiving Mode ( worst case: using Power Ethernet)

Frequency (MHz)	Polarity (H or V)	Antenna Height (m)	Azimuth (Degree)	Peak Reading at 3m(2) (dBuV/m)	FCC 3m Limit(1) (dBuV/m)	Difference (dBuV/m)
38	V	1.2	0	34	40	-6
52	V	1.2	0	34	40	-6
81	V	1.2	0	33	40	-7
208	V	1	0	28.6	43.5	-14.9
312	V	1	0	31.9	46	-14.1
364	V	1	0	33.4	46	-12.6
364	H	1	300	33.8	46	-12.2

(1) Receiving mode spurious emissions shall be lower than the limit defined in Sec. 15.209.

(2) If each peak reading is less than the FCC average limit, it'll be not necessary to show the measured/ calculated average reading.

### Test Data for Conducted Emissions in Receiving Mode ( using AC/DC Adaptor)

- **Test Methods and Conditions**


The EUT exercise program was loaded during the conducted emission test. EMI Receiver was scanned from 150KHz to 30MHz with maximum hold mode for maximum emission. The IF Bandwidth is 9KHz. Recorded data was sent to the plotter to generate output in linear format. At the input of the spectrum analyzer, a HP transient limiter is inserted for protective purpose. This limiter has a 10 dB attenuation in the range of 150KHZ to 30MHZ. That factor was automatically compensated by the receiver, so the readings are the corrected readings. The reference of the plots is using FCC Part 15 & CISPR22 Class B limit given as following:

Conducted Emission Technical Requirements				
Frequency Range	Class A		Class B	
	Quasi-Peak dBuV	Average dBuV	Quasi-Peak DBuV	Average dBuV
150kHz-0.5MHz	79 (8912uV)	66 (1995uV)	66-56	56-46
0.5MHz-30MHz	73 (4467uV)	60 (1000uV)	---	---
0.5MHz- 5MHz	---	---	56	46
5MHz-30MHz	---	---	60	50

Emissions that have peak values close to ( or over) the specification limit (if any) are also measured in the quasi-peak mode to determine the compliance.



- **Measurement Instrument Configuration for Conducted Emission**



- **Testing Data**


The following plots show the neutral and line conducted emissions for the typical operation condition. The conducted test data shows the worst case emissions still below the FCC Part 15/CISPR22 Class B limits.

Test Personnel:

Tester Signature *E. Lee*


Date 07/24/2007

Typed/Printed Name: Edward Lee



Date: 11.JUL.2007 19:24:55

Line



Date: 11.JUL.2007 19:26:15

Neutral