





FCC DFS Test Report

FCC ID : SQG-SSD45N

Equipment : Radio module

Model No. : SSD45N

Brand Name : Laird Connectivity

Applicant : Laird Connectivity LLC

Address : W66N220 Commerce Court, Cedarburg, WI

53012 United States Of America

Standard : 47 CFR FCC Part 15.407

Received Date : Mar. 07, 2022 Tested Date : Mar. 25, 2022

Operating Mode : Client Without Radar Detection

We, International Certification Corporation, would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated and in compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test results contained in this report refer exclusively to the product. It shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of our laboratory.

Reviewed by: Approved by:

Along Cheld/ Assistant Manager Gary Chang / I

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page : 1 of 18



Table of Contents

1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	5
1.1	Information	5
1.2	Support Equipment List	
1.3	The Equipment List	
1.4	Testing Condition	
1.5	Testing Facility	
1.6	Test Standards	
1.7	Deviation from Test Standard and Measurement Procedure	7
1.8	Measurement Uncertainty	7
2	TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DFS	8
2.1	Applicability of DFS Requirements	8
2.2	DFS Detection Thresholds and Response Requirement	9
2.3	Radar Test Waveforms	
3	DFS TEST RESULT	14
3.1	DFS Detection Threshold levels	14
3.2	In-Service Monitoring	15
4	TEST LABORATORY INFORMATION	18



Release Record

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
FZ442904-09	Rev. 01	Initial issue	May 18, 2022

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 3 of 18



Summary of Test Results

FCC Rules		Description of Test	Result
FCC 15.407	KDB 905462 D02	Non-Occupancy Period	Pass
FCC 15.407	KDB 905462 D02	Channel Closing Transmission Time	Pass
FCC 15.407	KDB 905462 D02	Channel Move Time	Pass

Declaration of Conformity:

The test results with all measurement uncertainty excluded are presented in accordance with the regulation limits or requirements declared by manufacturers.

Comments and Explanations:

The declared of product specification for EUT presented in the report are provided by the manufacturer, and the manufacturer takes all the responsibilities for the accuracy of product specification.

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 4 of 18



1 General Description

1.1 Information

This report is issued as a supplementary report to original ICC report no. FZ442904. The difference is concerned with following item:

- ♦ New applicant name & address.
- ♦ New brand name.
- Power Amplifer, Diplexer and RF Shielding Can changed.

In this report, all tests had been re-tested and presented in the following sections.

1.1.1 Specification of the Equipment under Test (EUT)

Frequency Range (GHz)	5.15~5.25, 5.25~5.35, 5.47~5.725, 5.725~5.85
Wireless Function	11a / HT20
Operating Mode at DFS Band	Client without radar detection and ad hoc function
Firmware / Software Version	23.3.3.5

1.1.2 Antenna Details

Ant.	Brand	Type	Connector -	Antenna (Gain (dBi)
No.	Dialiu	Туре		5250~5350 MHz	5470~5725 MHz
1	MAG.LAYERS/EDA-1513-25GR 2-B2-CY	Dipole	SMA Jack Reverse	2	2
2	Laird/NanoBlade-IP04	PCB Dipole	UFL	3.9	4
3	Laird/MAF95310 Mini NanoBlade Flex	PCB Dipole	UFL	3.38	3.38
4	Ethertronics/WLAN_1000146	PIFA	UFL	3.5	3.5
5	SAA / MG7018-41-000-R	Dipole	UFL	0.6	0.94
6	SAA / MG7324-41-000-R	Dipole	UFL	1.6	2.75
7	EMF2449A1-33UFL	PCB Dipole	UFL	3.3	3.3

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 5 of 18



1.2 Support Equipment List

Support Equipment List						
No.	No. Equipment Brand Name Model Name Remarks					
1	AP	Netgear	R6100	PY312400225		
2	2 Notebook DELL LATITUDE-E6430 9ZFB4X1					

1.3 The Equipment List

Test Site	DF01-WS					
Tested Date	Mar. 25, 2022	Mar. 25, 2022				
Instrument	Brand	Model No.	Serial No.	Calibration Date	Calibration Until	
Spectrum Analyzer	R&S	FSV7	101607	Jan. 28, 2022	Jan. 27, 2023	
Horn Antenna 1G-18G	ETS-LINDGREN	3115	00149268	Sep. 27, 2021	Sep. 26, 2022	
RF Cable	HUBER+SUHNER	SUCOFLEX_104	MY15686/4	Oct. 12, 2021	Oct. 11, 2022	
RF Cable	HUBER+SUHNER	SUCOFLEX_104	296081/4	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
RF Cable	HUBER+SUHNER	SUCOFLEX_104	329023/4	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
RF Cable	HUBER+SUHNER	SUCOFLEX_104	329021/4	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
Vector signal generator	R&S	SMJ100A	100498	Feb. 13, 2022	Feb. 12, 2023	
Splitter (1X2)	WOKEN	2WAYDIV	12101200003	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
Splitter (1X4)	WOKEN	4WAYDIV	0120A042011010	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
Attenuator	woken	PE7013-10	10-1	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
Attenuator	woken	PE7013-10	10-2	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
Attenuator	woken	PE7013-20	20-1	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
Attenuator	woken	PE7013-20	20-2	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
20dB Attenuator	MVE	MVE2462-20	16050401	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
30dB Attenuator	MVE	MVE2462-30	16050401	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
Direction Coupler	Marvelous Microwave	MVE4514-20	20	Oct. 14, 2021	Oct. 13, 2022	
Measurement Software	ICC	DFS	V1.3.30	NA	NA	
Note: Calibration Inter-	val of instruments listed	l above is one year.				

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 6 of 18



1.4 Testing Condition

Test Item	Test Site	Ambient Condition	Tested By
DFS	DF01-WS	25°C / 65%	Jack Li

FCC Designation No.: TW2732FCC site registration No.: 181692

➤ ISED#: 10807A

➤ CAB identifier: TW2732

1.5 Testing Facility

Test Laboratory International Certification Corporation		
Test Site DF01-WS		
Address of Test Site	No.3-1, Lane 6, Wen San 3rd St., Kwei Shan Dist., Tao Yuan City 33381, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	

1.6 Test Standards

47 CFR FCC Part 15.407

FCC KDB 905462 D02 UNII DFS Compliance Procedures New Rules v02

FCC KDB 905462 D03 UNII Clients Without Radar Detection New Rules v01r02

FCC KDB 905462 D06 802 11 Channel Plans New Rules v02

1.7 Deviation from Test Standard and Measurement Procedure

None

1.8 Measurement Uncertainty

The measurement uncertainties given below are based on a 95% confidence level (based on a coverage factor (k=2)).

Measurement Uncertainty		
Parameters	Uncertainty	
Time	±0.1%	

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 7 of 18



2 Technical Requirements for DFS

2.1 Applicability of DFS Requirements

2.1.1 Applicability of DFS Requirements Prior to use of a Channel

		Operational Mode	
Requirement	Master	Client Without Radar Detection	Client With Radar Detection
Non-Occupancy Period	Yes	Not required	Yes
DFS Detection Threshold	Yes	Not required	Yes
Channel Availability Check Time	Yes	Not required	Not required
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	Yes	Not required	Yes

2.1.2 Applicability of DFS Requirements during Normal Operation

	Operatio	nal Mode
Requirement	Master or Client With Radar Detection	Client Without Radar Detection
DFS Detection Threshold	Yes	Not required
Channel Closing Transmission Time	Yes	Yes
Channel Move Time	Yes	Yes
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	Yes	Not required

Additional requirements for devices	Operational Mode			
Additional requirements for devices with multiple bandwidth modes	Master or Client With Radar Detection	Client Without Radar Detection		
U-NII Detection Bandwidth and Statistical Performance Check	All BW modes must be tested	Not required		
Channel Move Time and Channel Closing Transmission Time	Test using widest BW mode available	Test using the widest BW mode available for the link		
All other tests	Any single BW mode	Not required		

Note: Frequencies selected for statistical performance check should include several frequencies within the radar detection bandwidth and frequencies near the edge of the radar detection bandwidth. For 802.11 devices it is suggested to select frequencies in all 20 MHz channel blocks and a null frequencies between the bonded 20 MHz channel blocks.

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 8 of 18



2.2 DFS Detection Thresholds and Response Requirement

Below table provides the DFS Detection Thresholds for Master Devices as well as Client Devices incorporating In-Service Monitoring.

DFS Detection Thresholds for Master Devices and Client Devices With Radar Detection.

Maximum Transmit Power	Value (See Notes 1 , 2 and 3)
EIRP ≥ 200 milliwatt	-64 dBm
EIRP < 200 milliwatt and power spectral density < 10 dBm / MHz	-62 dBm
EIRP < 200 milliwatt and that do not meet the power spectral density requirement	-64 dBm

Note 1: This is the level at the input of the receiver assuming a 0 dBi receive antenna.

Note 2: Throughout these test procedures an additional 1 dB has been added to the amplitude of the test transmission waveforms to account for variations in measurement equipment. This will ensure that the test signal is at or above the detection threshold level to trigger a DFS response.

Note3: EIRP is based on the highest antenna gain. For MIMO devices refer to KDB Publication 662911 D01.

DFS Response Requirement Values

Parameter	Value	
Non-occupancy period	Minimum 30 minutes.	
Channel Availability Check Time	60 seconds.	
Channel Move Time	10 seconds. (See Note 1.)	
Channel Closing Transmission Time	200 milliseconds + an aggregate of 60 milliseconds over remaining 10 second period. (See Notes 1 and 2.)	
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	Minimum 100% of the U- NII 99% transmission power bandwidth. (See Note 3.)	

Note 1: Channel Move Time and the Channel Closing Transmission Time should be performed with Radar Type 0. The measurement timing begins at the end of the Radar Type 0 burst.

Note 2: The Channel Closing Transmission Time is comprised of 200 milliseconds starting at the beginning of the Channel Move Time plus any additional intermittent control signals required to facilitate a Channel move (an aggregate of 60 milliseconds) during the remainder of the 10 second period. The aggregate duration of control signals will not count quiet periods in between transmissions.

Note 3: During the U-NII Detection Bandwidth detection test, radar type 0 should be used. For each frequency step the minimum percentage of detection is 90 percent. Measurements are performed with no data traffic.

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 9 of 18



2.3 Radar Test Waveforms

This section provides the parameters for required test waveforms, minimum percentage of successful detections, and the minimum number of trials that must be used for determining DFS conformance. Step intervals of 0.1 microsecond for Pulse Width, 1 microsecond for PRI, 1 MHz for chirp width and 1 for the number of pulses will be utilized for the random determination of specific test waveforms.

2.3.1 Short Pulse Radar Test Waveforms

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (μsec)	Number of Pulses	Minimum Percentage of Successful Detection	Minimum Number of Trials
0	1	1428	18	See Note1	See Note1
1		Test A: 15 unique PRI values randomly selected from the list of 23 PRI values in Table 5a	Roundup $ \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{1}{360}\right)}{\left(\frac{19 \cdot 10^6}{\text{PRI}_{\mu \text{sec}}}\right)} \right\} $	60%	30
		Test B: 15 unique PRI values randomly selected within the range of 518-3066 \$\mu\$ sec, with a minimum increment of 1 \$\mu\$sec, excluding PRI values selected in Test A			
2	1-5	150-230	23-29	60%	30
3	6-10	200-500	16-18	60%	30
4	11-20	200-500	12-16	60%	30
Aggregate	(Radar Types 1-4)			80%	120

Note 1: Short Pulse Radar Type 0 should be used for the detection bandwidth test, channel move time, and channel closing time tests.

A minimum of 30 unique waveforms are required for each of the Short Pulse Radar Types 2 through 4. If more than 30 waveforms are used for Short Pulse Radar Types 2 through 4, then each additional waveform must also be unique and not repeated from the previous waveforms. If more than 30 waveforms are used for Short Pulse Radar Type 1, then each additional waveform is generated with Test B and must also be unique and not repeated from the previous waveforms in Tests A or B.

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 10 of 18



Pulse Repetition Intervals Values for Test A

Pulse Repetition Frequency Number	Pulse Repetition Frequency (Pulses Per Second)	Pulse Repetition Interval (Microseconds)
1	1930.5	518
2	1858.7	538
3	1792.1	558
4	1730.1	578
5	1672.2	598
6	1618.1	618
7	1567.4	638
8	1519.8	658
9	1474.9	678
10	1432.7	698
11	1392.8	718
12	1355	738
13	1319.3	758
14	1285.3	778
15	1253.1	798
16	1222.5	818
17	1193.3	838
18	1165.6	858
19	1139	878
20	1113.6	898
21	1089.3	918
22	1066.1	938
23	326.2	3066

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 11 of 18



2.3.2 Long Pulse Radar Test Waveform

ı	Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	Chirp Width (MHz)	PRI (µsec)	Number of Pulses per Burst	Number of Bursts	Minimum Percentage of Successful Detection	Minimum Number of Trials
	5	50-100	5-20	1000-2000	1-3	8-20	80%	30

The parameters for this waveform are randomly chosen. Thirty unique waveforms are required for the Long Pulse Radar Type waveforms. If more than 30 waveforms are used for the Long Pulse Radar Type waveforms, then each additional waveform must also be unique and not repeated from the previous waveforms.

2.3.3 Frequency Hopping Radar Test Waveform

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (µsec)	Pulses per Hop	Hopping Rate (kHz)	Hopping Sequence Length (msec)	Minimum Percentage of Successful Detection	Minimum Number of Trials
6	1	333	9	0.333	300	70%	30

For the Frequency Hopping Radar Type, the same *Burst* parameters are used for each waveform. The hopping sequence is different for each waveform and a 100-length segment is selected from the hopping sequence defined by the following algorithm:

The first frequency in a hopping sequence is selected randomly from the group of 475 integer frequencies from 5250 - 5724 MHz. Next, the frequency that was just chosen is removed from the group and a frequency is randomly selected from the remaining 474 frequencies in the group. This process continues until all 475 frequencies are chosen for the set. For selection of a random frequency, the frequencies remaining within the group are always treated as equally likely


2.3.4 Radar waveform generation

A Signal Generator is used for the DFS signal generation. This instrument is capable of generating all the above waveforms with Pulse Sequencer Software. The R&S Pulse Sequencer Software comes as a stand-alone PC based software with preconfigured project files for DFS. It simplifies the generation of all required waveforms and offers a one box solution


Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 12 of 18




2.3.5 DFS Test Setup



2.3.6 Channel Loading/Data Streaming





Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 13 of 18




3 DFS test result

3.1 DFS Detection Threshold levels

Master DFS Threshold Level

DFS Threshold level: -60.1dBm

The Interference **Radar Detection Threshold Level** is (-64dBm) + ([2.9dBi]) + {1 dB}= -60.1 dBm. That had been taken into account the master output power range and antenna gain.



Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 14 of 18



3.2 In-Service Monitoring

3.2.1 In-service Monitoring Limit

In-service Monitoring Limit		
Channel Move Time	10 sec	
Channel Closing Transmission Time	200 ms + an aggregate of 60 ms over remaining 10 sec periods.	
Non-occupancy period	Minimum 30 minutes	

3.2.2 Test Procedures


Test Method

- Refer as FCC KDB 905462 D02, clause 7.8.3 verified during In-Service Monitoring; Channel Closing Transmission Time, Channel Move Time. Client Device will associate with the EUT. Observe the transmissions of the EUT at the end of the radar Burst on the Operating Channel for duration greater than 10 seconds. Measure and record the transmissions from the EUT during the observation time (Channel Move Time). Compare the Channel Move Time and Channel Closing Transmission Time limits.
- Refer as FCC KDB 905462 D02, clause 7.8.3 verified during In-Service Monitoring; Non-Occupancy Period. Client Device will associate with the EUT. Observe the transmissions of the EUT at the end of the radar Burst on the Operating Channel for duration greater than 10 seconds. Measure and record the transmissions from the EUT during the observation time (Non-Occupancy Period). Compare the Non-Occupancy Period limits.

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 15 of 18



3.2.3 Test Result of Channel Closing Transmission and Channel Move Time




Report No.: FZ442904-09

Page: 16 of 18



3.2.4 Test Result of Non-Occupancy



Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 17 of 18



4 Test laboratory information

Established in 2012, ICC provides foremost EMC & RF Testing and advisory consultation services by our skilled engineers and technicians. Our services employ a wide variety of advanced edge test equipment and one of the widest certification extents in the business.

International Certification Corporation (EMC and Wireless Communication Laboratory), it is our definitive objective is to institute long term, trust-based associations with our clients. The expectation we set up with our clients is based on outstanding service, practical expertise and devotion to a certified value structure. Our passion is to grant our clients with best EMC / RF services by oriented knowledgeable and accommodating staff.

Our Test sites are located at Linkou District and Kwei Shan District. Location map can be found on our website http://www.icertifi.com.tw.

Linkou

Tel: 886-2-2601-1640 No.30-2, Ding Fwu Tsuen, Lin Kou District, New Taipei City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

Kwei Shan

Tel: 886-3-271-8666
No.3-1, Lane 6, Wen San 3rd
St., Kwei Shan Dist., Tao Yuan
City 33381, Taiwan (R.O.C.)
No.2-1, Lane 6, Wen San 3rd
St., Kwei Shan Dist., Tao Yuan
City 33381, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

Kwei Shan Site II

Tel: 886-3-271-8640 No.14-1, Lane 19, Wen San 3rd St., Kwei Shan Dist., Tao Yuan City 333, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

If you have any suggestion, please feel free to contact us as below information.

Tel: 886-3-271-8666 Fax: 886-3-318-0345

Email: ICC Service@icertifi.com.tw

==END==

Report No.: FZ442904-09 Page: 18 of 18