## **Reply to an OET Inquiry Response**

**Currently Displaying Inquiry Tracking Number: 852212** 

Ina	uir	<b>/</b> :	Dear	FCC.
9	<b>u</b>	, .		

There is a certified module will be installed in the 3 hosts and enabled the DFS Master function.

For the master function, the DFS test and PAG procedure will be required then.

However, there are a bit differences on the mainboard (not the RF module) as attached.

As you can see the attached pdf file, the differences of the host AWK-3131-M12-RCC, AWK-3131-M12-RTG and AWK-3131A-SSC-RTG are listed.

After evaluation, we think AWK-3131-M12-RCC is required full DFS test, and we will do partial check for AWK-3131A-SSC-RTG. Is it acceptable to evaluate in this way due to the small difference on the mainboard, or full DFS test for the three hosts are required?

We need to have your response and confirmation to proceed the following. Thank you.

BR

Valentina

## FCC Response on 07/23/2018:

What is the FCC ID of the certified module?

Reply from Customer on 07/23/2018
Dear FCC,
The FCC ID of the certified module is SLE-WAPN008. Thank you.
BR Valentina
FCC Response on 07/25/2018:
Is the software/firmware identical for all 3 devices?
Reply from Customer on 07/25/2018
Dear FCC,
Exactly. The software and firmware is identical.
BR
Valentina
FCC Response on 07/25/2018:
Your test plan is acceptable as long as all 3 models are getting the same FCC ID and all have the same antenna(s).

---Reply from Customer on 08/08/2018---

Dear FCC,

After confirmation, the SW and FW version of the first model, AWK-3131-M12-RCC is different from the other two hosts since AWK-3131-M12-RCC supports additional giga LAN function.

In this condition, is our test plan still acceptable?

Attached the updated file for your reference. Thank you.

BR

Valentina

## FCC Response on 08/08/2018:

It is still acceptable. However, the differences will need to be identified prominently and clearly in the filing.