

SAR TEST REPORT

REPORT NO.: SA950125L05

MODEL NO.: BUB-211

RECEIVED: Jan. 25, 2006

TESTED: Feb. 09, 2006

ISSUED: Feb. 16, 2006

APPLICANT: U-MEDIA Communications, Inc.

ADDRESS: 9F, No. 1, Jin-shan 7th St., Hsinchu 300,

Taiwan, R.O.C.

ISSUED BY: Advance Data Technology Corporation

LAB ADDRESS: No. 47, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Tsuen, Lin Kou

Hsiang 244, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

TEST LOCATION: No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd., Kwei Shan Hsiang,

Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C.

This test report consists of 36 pages in total except Appendix. It may be duplicated completely for legal use with the approval of the applicant. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product endorsement by CNLA, A2LA or any government agencies. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.







No.: 2177-01



TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. GENERAL INFORMATION	1.	CERTIFICATION	3
2.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS 5 2.3 GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM 5 2.4 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION 8 3. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS 12 4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS 13 4.1. DESCRIPTION OF ANTENNA LOCATION 13 4.2. DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT POSITION 14 4.3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE 15 4.4 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS 15 5. TEST RESULTS 16 5. TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTY	2.		
2.3 GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM 5 2.4 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION 8 3. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS 12 4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS 13 4.1. DESCRIPTION OF ANTENNA LOCATION 13 4.2. DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT POSITION 14 4.3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE 15 4.4. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS 15 5. TEST RESULTS 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7.1 PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.2 ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 3	2.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT	4
2.4 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION 8 3. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS 12 4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS 13 4.1. DESCRIPTION OF ANTENNA LOCATION 13 4.2. DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT POSITION 14 4.3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE 15 4.4. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS 15 5. TEST RESULTS 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 25 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY	2.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS	5
3. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS 12 4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS 13 4.1. DESCRIPTION OF ANTENNA LOCATION. 13 4.2. DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT POSITION 14 4.3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE 15 4.4. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS 15 5. TEST RESULTS 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7.1 PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.2 ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3 BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5 </td <td>2.3</td> <td>GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM</td> <td>5</td>	2.3	GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM	5
4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS 13 4.1. DESCRIPTION OF ANTENNA LOCATION	2.4	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION	8
4.1. DESCRIPTION OF ANTENNA LOCATION. 13 4.2. DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT POSITION 14 4.3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE 15 4.4. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS 15 5. TEST RESULTS 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONIER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE	3.	DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS	.12
4.2. DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT POSITION 14 4.3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE 15 4.4. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS 15 5. TEST RESULTS 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4 PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35	4.	DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS	.13
4.3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE 15 4.4. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS 15 5. TEST RESULTS 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35<	4.1.	DESCRIPTION OF ANTENNA LOCATION	.13
4.4. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS 15 5. TEST RESULTS 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA	4.2.	DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT POSITION	.14
5. TEST RESULTS 16 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA	4.3.	DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE	. 15
5.1 TEST PROCEDURES 16 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS 18 5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6 SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7 MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1 PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.2 ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3 BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4 PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5 READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6 RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7 INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8 PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9 PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10 PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 <	4.4.	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	. 15
5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS	5.	TEST RESULTS	.16
5.3 SAR LIMITS 20 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES	5.1	TEST PROCEDURES	.16
5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 21 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIO	5.2	MEASURED SAR RESULTS	.18
5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY 23 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1 PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM <td>5.3</td> <td>SAR LIMITS</td> <td>.20</td>	5.3	SAR LIMITS	.20
6. SYSTEM VALIDATION 24 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1 PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	5.4	RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	.21
6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT 24 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	5.5	TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY	.23
6.2 TEST PROCEDURE 25 6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS 27 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7 MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1 PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.2 ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3 BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4 PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5 READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6 RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7 INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8 PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9 PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10 PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11 DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8 INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	6.	SYSTEM VALIDATION	.24
6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS	6.1	TEST EQUIPMENT	.24
6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES 28 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	6.2	TEST PROCEDURE	.25
7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES 29 7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY 29 7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY 30 7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	6.3	VALIDATION RESULTS	.27
7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY	6.4	SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES	.28
7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY307.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY307.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY317.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY317.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY317.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY327.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE337.9. PROBE POSITIONING337.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY347.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET358. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES36APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATAAPPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM			
7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY 30 7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY 31 7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY 31 7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	7.1.	PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY	.29
7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY.317.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY.317.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY.317.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY.327.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE.337.9. PROBE POSITIONING.337.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY.347.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET.358. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES.36APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATAAPPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	7.2.	ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY	.30
7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY	7.3.	BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY	.30
7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY 31 7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY 32 7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY 34 7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 35 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES 36 APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM			
7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY327.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE337.9. PROBE POSITIONING337.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY347.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET358. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES36APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATAAPPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM			
7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE 33 7.9. PROBE POSITIONING 33 7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY	7.6.	RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY	.31
7.9. PROBE POSITIONING			
7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY	7.8.	PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE	.33
7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET			
8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES	_		
APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM			
APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	8.	INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES	. 36
	APPI	ENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA	
APPENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION	APPI	ENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
	APPI	ENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION	
APPENDIX D. SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIRDATION	APPI	ENDIX D: SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIBRATION	
ALLENIALE OLO HENCH IN LA INCALE OLO ALIBRATION	/ 11 1		



1. CERTIFICATION

PRODUCT: Class 1 Bluetooth v2.0 EDR USB Adapter

MODEL: BUB-211

BRAND: U-MEDIA

APPLICANT: U-MEDIA Communications, Inc.

TESTED: Feb. 09, 2006

TEST SAMPLE: ENGINEERING SAMPLE

STANDARDS: FCC Part 2 (Section 2.1093), RSS-102

FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01)

The above equipment has been tested by **Advance Data Technology Corporation**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's EMC characteristics under the conditions specified in this report.

PREPARED BY: Reb. 16, 2006

Rennie Wang

TECHNICAL

APPROVED BY : (, **DATE**: Feb. 16, 2006

Gary Chang / Supervisor



2. GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT

PRODUCT	Class 1 Bluetooth v2.0 EDR USB Adapter
	'
MODEL NO.	BUB-211
FCC ID	SI5BUB211
POWER SUPPLY	5Vdc from host equipment
CLASSIFICATION	Portable device, production unit
MODULATION TYPE	GFSK, π /4-DQPSK, 8DPSK
RADIO TECHNOLOGY	FHSS
TRANSFER RATE	1/2/3Mbps
FREQUENCY RANGE	2400 ~ 2483.5 MHz
NUMBER OF CHANNEL	79
CHANNEL FREQUENCIES UNDER	40.272mW / Ch0: 2402MHz
TEST AND ITS CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	41.400mW / Ch39: 2441MHz
(FOR GFSK)	40.644mW / Ch78: 2480MHz
CHANNEL FREQUENCIES UNDER	30.690mW / Ch0: 2402MHz
TEST AND ITS CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	31.769mW / Ch39: 2441MHz
(FOR 8DPSK)	34.277mW / Ch78: 2480MHz
AVERAGE SAR (1g) (FOR GFSK)	1.38W/kg
AVERAGE SAR (1g) (FOR 8DPSK)	1.24W/kg
DATA CABLE	NA
I/O PORTS	USB
ASSOCIATED DEVICES	NA

- 1. Normal operating condition of the EUT shall be plugged into the notebook. Then the property of the EUT shall be complied with the portable device according to the FCC 2.1093.
- 2. The above EUT information was declared by manufacturer and for more detailed features description, please refer to the manufacturer's specifications or User's Manual.



2.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS

According to the specifications of the manufacturer, this product must comply with the requirements of the following standards:

FCC Part 2 (2.1093)
FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01)
RSS-102

IEEE 1528-2003

All test items have been performed and recorded as per the above standards.

2.3 GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM

DASY4 (software 4.6 Build 23) consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY4 software defined. The DASY4 software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.

ET3DV6 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE

CONSTRUCTION Symmetrical design with triangular core.

Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system.

Built-in shielding against static charges.

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents,

e.g., glycolether).

FREQUENCY 10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

DYNAMIC RANGE 5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: \pm 0.2 dB

OPTICAL SURFACE

DETECTION

± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse

reflecting surfaces

DIMENSIONS Overall length: 330 mm (Tip Length: 16 mm)

Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body diameter: 12 mm)



Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

APPLICATION General dosimetric measurements up to 3 GHz

Compliance tests of mobile phones

Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms (ET3DV6)

NOTE

1. The Probe parameters have been calibrated by the SPEAG. Please reference "APPENDIX D" for the Calibration Certification Report.

- 2. For frequencies above 800 MHz, calibration in a rectangular wave-guide is used, because wave-guide size is manageable.
- 3. For frequencies below 800 MHz, temperature transfer calibration is used because the waveguide size becomes relatively large.

TWIN SAM V4.0

CONSTRUCTION The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific

Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-2003, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.

SHELL THICKNESS 2 ± 0.2 mm

FILLING VOLUME Approx. 25 liters

DIMENSIONS Height: 810 mm; Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm

SYSTEM VALIDATION KITS:

CONSTRUCTION Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun enables measurement of feedpoint

impedance with NWA matched for use near flat phantoms filled with

brain simulating solutions.

Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor

CALIBRATION Calibrated SAR value for specified position and input power at the flat

phantom in brain simulating solutions

FREQUENCY 2450 MHz

RETURN LOSS > 20 dB at specified validation position

POWER CAPABILITY > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)



OPTIONS

Dipoles for other frequencies or solutions and other calibration conditions upon request

DEVICE HOLDER FOR SAM TWIN PHANTOM

CONSTRUCTION

The device holder for the mobile phone device is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles. The holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity ε =3 and loss tangent δ =0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered. The device holder for the portable device makes up of the polyethylene foam. The dielectric parameters of material close to the dielectric parameters of the air.

DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS

CONSTRUCTION

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplex, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe is mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



2.4 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION

The DASY4 post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the micro-volt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity Norm_i, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}

Conversion factor ConvF_i
 Diode compression point dcp_i

Device parameters: - Frequency F

- Crest factor Cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity σ

- Density ρ

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \bullet \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

 V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z) U_i = input signal of channel I (i = x, y, z)

Cf =crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
dcp_i =diode compression point (DASY parameter)



From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-fieldprobes:
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_1}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H-fieldprobes:
$$H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

 V_i =compensated signal of channel I (i = x, y, z)

Norm_i = sensor sensitivity of channel i μ V/(V/m)2 for E- (i = x, y, z)

field Probes

ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

a_{ii} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

F = carrier frequency [GHz]

E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m H_i = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

 σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm3



Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid. The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the Post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1 g and 10 g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- 1. The extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- 2. The calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- 3. The generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- 4. The interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- 5. The extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- 6. The calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1 g and 10 g.

The probe is calibrated at the center of the dipole sensors that is located 1 to 2.7mm away from the probe tip. During measurements, the probe stops shortly above the phantom surface, depending on the probe and the surface detecting system. Both distances are included as parameters in the probe configuration file. The software always knows exactly how far away the measured point is from the surface. As the probe cannot directly measure at the surface, the values between the deepest measured point and the surface must be extrapolated. The angle between the probe axis and the surface normal line is less than 30 degree.

In the Area Scan, the gradient of the interpolation function is evaluated to find all the extreme of the SAR distribution. The uncertainty on the locations of the extreme is less than 1/20 of the grid size. Only local maximum within -2 dB of the global maximum are searched and passed for the Cube Scan measurement. In the Cube Scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the lowest measurement points to the inner phantom surface (the extrapolation distance). The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5mm.



The maximum search is automatically performed after each area scan measurement. It is based on splines in two or three dimensions. The procedure can find the maximum for most SAR distributions even with relatively large grid spacing. After the area scanning measurement, the probe is automatically moved to a position at the interpolated maximum. The following scan can directly use this position for reference, e.g., for a finer resolution grid or the cube evaluations. The 1g and 10g peak evaluations are only available for the predefined cube 7 x 7 x 7 scans. The routines are verified and optimized for the grid dimensions used in these cube measurements. The measured volume of 30 x 30 x 30mm contains about 30g of tissue. The first procedure is an extrapolation (incl. boundary correction) to get the points between the lowest measured plane and the surface. The next step uses 3D interpolation to get all points within the measured volume in a 1mm grid (42875 points). In the last step, a 1g cube is placed numerically into the volume and its averaged SAR is calculated. This cube is the moved around until the highest averaged SAR is found. If the highest SAR is found at the edge of the measured volume, the system will issue a warning: higher SAR values might be found outside of the measured volume. In that case the cube measurement can be repeated, using the new interpolated maximum as the center.



3. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS

The EUT has been tested as an independent unit together with other necessary accessories or support units. The following support units or accessories were used to form a representative test configuration during the tests.

NO.	PRODUCT	BRAND	MODEL NO.	SERIAL NO.	FCC ID
1	NOTEBOOK	Compaq	N800C	470048-515	FCC DoC Approved
2	NOTEBOOK	DELL	C600	N1-020002	FCC DoC Approved

NO.	SIGNAL CABLE DESCRIPTION OF THE ABOVE SUPPORT UNITS
1	NA
2	NA

NOTE: All power cords of the above support units are non shielded (1.8m).



4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS

4.1. DESCRIPTION OF ANTENNA LOCATION





4.2. DESCRIPTION OF ASSESSMENT POSITION

The following test configurations have been applied in this test report:



A: NOTEBOOK MODEL: N800C

The bottom of the EUT face to the phantom with 0mm-separation distance.



B: NOTEBOOK MODEL: C600

The edge of the EUT face to the phantom with 5mm-separation distance.

NOTE: The bottom of the notebook contacts the bottom of the flat phantom with 0mm-separation distance.



4.3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE

TEST MODE		ASSESSMENT POSTITION	TESTED CHANNEL	REMARK
1	GFSK	Α	L, M, H	-
2	8DPSK	Α	L, M, H	-
3	GFSK	В	L, M, H	-
4	8DPSK	В	L, M, H	-

4.4. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

MODULATION TECHNOLOGY	GF	sk	8DPSK				
MEASURED VALUE OF 1g SAR (W/kg)							
CHANNEL	ASSESSMEN	T POSTITION	ASSESSMEN	T POSTITION			
CHANNEL	Α	В	A	В			
LOW	1.380	1.200	0.788	1.190			
MIDDLE	MIDDLE 1.070		0.612	1.210			
HIGH	0.924	1.250	0.607 1.240				

NOTE: The worst value has been marked by boldface.



5. TEST RESULTS

5.1 TEST PROCEDURES

The EUT (Class 1 Bluetooth v2.0 EDR USB Adapter) plugged into the notebook. Use the software to control the EUT channel and transmission power. Then record the conducted power before the testing. Place the EUT to the specific test location. After the testing, must writing down the conducted power of the EUT into the report. The SAR value was calculated via the 3D spline interpolation algorithm that has been implemented in the software of DASY4 SAR measurement system manufactured and calibrated by SPEAG. According to the IEEE 1528 standards, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- Power reference measurement
- Verification of the power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power reference measurement

The area scan was performed for the highest spatial SAR location. The zoom scan with 30mm x 30mm x 30mm volume was performed for SAR value averaged over 1g and 10g spatial volumes.



In the zoom scan, the distance between the measurement point at the probe sensor location (geometric center behind the probe tip) and the phantom surface is 4.0 mm and maintained at a constant distance of ± 1.0 mm during a zoom scan to determine peak SAR locations. The distance is 4mm between the first measurement point and the bottom surface of the phantom. The secondary measurement point to the bottom surface of the phantom is with 9mm separation distance. The cube size is 7 x 7 x 7 points consist of 343 points and the grid space is 5mm.

The measurement time is 0.5 s at each point of the zoom scan. The probe boundary effect compensation shall be applied during the SAR test. Because of the tip of the probe to the Phantom surface separated distances are longer than half a tip probe diameter.

In the area scan, the separation distance is 4mm between the each measurement point and the phantom surface. The scan size shall be included the transmission portion of the EUT. The measurement time is the same as the zoom scan. At last the reference power drift shall be less than $\pm 5\%$.



5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS

	RONMENTA DITION		Air Temperature : 21.8°C, Liquid Temperature : 20.6°C Humidity : 50%RH							
TEST	ED BY	S	Sam (Onn			DATE		Feb. 09, 2	006
Chan.	Freq. (MHz)	Modul		Conducte (m	ed Power W)	Power	Device	Device Test	Antenna	Measured
	,	Techn	nique	Begin Test	After Test	Drift (%)	Use Power	Position	Position	(W/kg)
0	2402 (Low)	GF	sk	40.272	39.748	-1.30	Standard Battery from host	1	Internal Fixed	1.380
39	2441 (Mid.)	GF	sk	41.400	40.717	1.65	Standard Battery from host	1	Internal Fixed	1.070
78	2480 (High)	GF	SK	40.644	39.904	-1.82	Standard Battery from host	1	Internal Fixed	0.924
0	2402 (Low)	8DP	sk	30.690	30.251	-1.43	Standard Battery from host	2	Internal Fixed	0.788
39	2441 (Mid.)	8DP	sk	31.769	31.299	-1.48	Standard Battery from host	2	Internal Fixed	0.612
78	2480 (High)	8DP	esk	34.277	33.753	-1.53	Standard Battery from host	2	Internal Fixed	0.607

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



	ENVIRONMENTAL Air Temperature : 21.8°C, Liquid Temperature : 20.6°C Humidity : 50%RH									
TEST	ED BY		Sam	Onn			DATE		Feb. 09, 2	006
Chan.	Freq. (MHz)	Mod	ulation		ed Power	Power	Device	Device Test	Antenna	Measured 1g SAR
Gilaii.	rreq. (MITZ)	Tec	hnique	Begin Test	After Test	Drift (%)	Use Power	Position	Position	(W/kg)
0	2402 (Low)	G	FSK	40.272	39.757	-1.28	Standard Battery from host	3	Internal Fixed	1.200
39	2441 (Mid.)	G	FSK	41.400	40.849	-1.33	Standard Battery from host	3	Internal Fixed	1.230
78	2480 (High)	G	FSK	40.644	40.083	-1.38	Standard Battery from host	3	Internal Fixed	1.250
0	2402 (Low)	80	PSK	30.690	30.230	-1.50	Standard Battery from host	4	Internal Fixed	1.190
39	2441 (Mid.)	80	PSK	31.769	31.378	-1.23	Standard Battery from host	4	Internal Fixed	1.210
78	2480 (High)	80	PSK	34.277	33.811	-1.36	Standard Battery from host	4	Internal Fixed	1.240

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6 W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



5.3 SAR LIMITS

	SAR (W/kg)					
HUMAN EXPOSURE	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / controlled Exposure Environment)				
Spatial Average (whole body)	0.08	0.4				
Spatial Peak (averaged over 1 g)	1.6	8.0				
Spatial Peak (hands / wrists / feet / ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0				

- 1. This limits accord to 47 CFR 2.1093 Safety Limit.
- 2. The EUT property been complied with the partial body exposure limit under the general population environment.



5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom, the phantom must be filled with 25 litters of tissue simulation liquid.

The following ingredients are used:

• WATER- Deionized water (pure H20), resistivity _16 M - as basis for the liquid

• **SUGAR-** Refined sugar in crystals, as available in food shops - to reduce relative

permittivity

• SALT- Pure NaCl - to increase conductivity

• CELLULOSE- Hydroxyethyl-cellulose, medium viscosity (75-125 mPa.s, 2% in water,

20_C),

CAS # 54290 - to increase viscosity and to keep sugar in solution

• PRESERVATIVE- Preventol D-7 Bayer AG, D-51368 Leverkusen, CAS # 55965-84-9 - to

prevent the spread of bacteria and molds

• **DGMBE-** Diethylenglycol-monobuthyl ether (DGMBE), Fluka Chemie GmbH,

CAS # 112-34-5 - to reduce relative permittivity

THE RECIPES FOR 2450MHz SIMULATING LIQUID TABLE

INGREDIENT	HEAD SIMULATING LIQUID 2450MHz (HSL-2450)	MUSCLE SIMULATING LIQUID 2450MHz (MSL-2450)
Water	45%	69.83%
DGMBE	55%	30.17%
Salt	NA	NA
Dielectric Parameters at 22°C	f= 2450MHz ε= 39.2 ± 5% σ = 1.80 ± 5% S/m	f= 2450MHz ε= 52.7 ± 5% σ= 1.95 ± 5% S/m



Testing the liquids using the Agilent Network Analyzer E8358A and Agilent Dielectric Probe Kit 85070D. The testing procedure is following as

- 1. Turn Network Analyzer on and allow at least 30 min. warm up.
- 2. Mount dielectric probe kit so that interconnecting cable to Network Analyzer will not be moved during measurements or calibration.
- 3. Pour de-ionized water and measure water temperature (±1°).
- 4. Set water temperature in Agilent-Software (Calibration Setup).
- 5. Perform calibration.
- 6. Validate calibration with dielectric material of known properties (e.g. polished ceramic slab with >8mm thickness ϵ '=10.0, ϵ "=0.0). If measured parameters do not fit within tolerance, repeat calibration (±0.2 for ϵ ': ±0.1 for ϵ ").
- 7. Conductivity can be calculated from ε'' by $\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon'' = \varepsilon'' f [GHz] / 18.$
- 8. Measure liquid shortly after calibration. Repeat calibration every hour.
- 9. Stir the liquid to be measured. Take a sample (~50ml) with a syringe from the center of the liquid container.
- 10. Pour the liquid into a small glass flask. Hold the syringe at the bottom of the flask to avoid air bubbles.
- 11. Put the dielectric probe in the glass flask. Check that there are no air bubbles in front of the opening in the dielectric probe kit.
- 12. Perform measurements.
- 13. Adjust medium parameters in DASY4 for the frequencies necessary for the measurements ('Setup Config', select medium (e.g. Brain 900 MHz) and press 'Option'-button.
- 14. Select the current medium for the frequency of the validation (e.g. Setup Medium Brain 900 MHz).



FOR 2.4GHz BAND SIMULATING LIQUID

LIQUID 1	ГҮРЕ	HSL	-2450	MSL-2450		
SIMULAT	TING LIQUID	N	IA	20	0.6	
TEST DA	ATE	N	IA	Feb. 0	9, 2006	
TESTED	BY	N	IA	Sam	n Onn	
FREQ. (MHz)	LIQUID PARAMETER	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE	
2402		NA	NA	52.76	51.30	
2441	Permitivity	NA	NA	52.71	51.10	
2450	(ε)	NA	NA	52.70	51.10	
2480		NA	NA	52.66	51.00	
2402	Conductivity	NA	NA	1.904	1.950	
2441		NA	NA	1.941	2.000	
2450	(σ) S/m	NA	NA	1.950	2.010	
2480		NA	NA	1.992	2.040	
Dielectric Parameters Required at 22°℃		ε= 39.	50MHz 2 ± 5% ± 5% S/m	ε= 52.	50MHz 7 ± 5% ± 5% S/m	

5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY

ITEM	NAME	BAND	TYPE	SERIES NO.	CALIBRATED UNTIL
1	Network Analyzer	Agilent	E8358A	US41480539	May 05, 2006
2	Dielectric Probe	Agilent	85070D	US01440176	NA

- 1. Before starting, all test equipment shall be warmed up for 30min.
- 2. The tolerance (k=1) specified by Agilent for general dielectric measurements, deriving from inaccuracies in the calibration data, analyzer drift, and random errors, are usually ±2.5% and ±5% for measured permittivity and conductivity, respectively. However, the tolerances for the conductivity is smaller for material with large loss tangents, i.e., less than ±2.5% (k=1). It can be substantially smaller if more accurate methods are applied.



6. SYSTEM VALIDATION

The system validation was performed in the flat phantom with equipment listed in the following table. Since the SAR value is calculated from the measured electric field, dielectric constant and conductivity of the body tissue, and the SAR is proportional to the square of the electric field. So, the SAR value will be also proportional to the RF power input to the system validation dipole under the same test environment. In our system validation test, 250mW RF input power was used.

6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT

ITEM	NAME	BAND	TYPE	SERIES NO.	CALIBRATED UNTIL
1	SAM Phantom	S&P	QD000 P40 CA	PT-1150	NA
2	Signal Generator	Anritsu	68247B	984703	May 04, 2006
3	E-Field Probe	S&P	ET3DV6	1790	Dec. 19, 2006
4	DAE	S&P	DAE3 V1	579	Mar. 22, 2006
5	Robot Positioner	Staubli Unimation	NA	NA	NA
6	Validation Dipole	S&P	D2450V2	737	Mar. 15, 2006

NOTE: 1. Before starting the measurement, all test equipment shall be warmed up for 30min.

2. System validation was performed to check the condition during each test. According to this situation, calibrated period for the validation dipole back to the original factory is one year, and the others will be two.



6.2 TEST PROCEDURE

Before the system performance check, we need only to tell the system which components (probe, medium, and device) are used for the system performance check; the system will take care of all parameters. The dipole must be placed beneath the flat section of the SAM Twin Phantom with the correct distance holder in place. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking (little cross) and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. Accurate positioning is not necessary, since the system will search for the peak SAR location, except that the dipole arms should be parallel to the surface. The device holder for mobile phones can be left in place but should be rotated away from the dipole.

- 1.The "Power Reference Measurement" and "Power Drift Measurement" jobs are located at the beginning and end of the batch process. They measure the field drift at one single point in the liquid over the complete procedure. The indicated drift is mainly the variation of the amplifier output power. If it is too high (above ±0.1 dB), the system performance check should be repeated; some amplifiers have very high drift during warm-up. A stable amplifier gives drift results in the DASY system below ±0.02 dB.
- 2.The "Surface Check" job tests the optical surface detection system of the DASY system by repeatedly detecting the surface with the optical and mechanical surface detector and comparing the results. The output gives the detecting heights of both systems, the difference between the two systems and the standard deviation of the detection repeatability. Air bubbles or refraction in the liquid due to separation of the sugar-water mixture gives poor repeatability (above ±0.1mm). In that case it is better to abort the system performance check and stir the liquid. The difference between the optical surface detection and the actual surface depends on the probe and is specified with each probe. (It does not depend on the surface reflectivity or the probe angle to the surface within ±30°.) However, varying breaking indices of different liquid compositions might also influence the distance. If the indicated difference varies from the actual setting, the probe parameter "optical surface



- 3. The "Area Scan" job measures the SAR above the dipole on a plane parallel to the surface. It is used to locate the approximate location of the peak SAR. The proposed scan uses large grid spacing for faster measurement; due to the symmetric field, the peak detection is reliable. If a finer graphic is desired, the grid spacing can be reduced. Grid spacing and orientation have no influence on the SAR result
- 4. The "Zoom Scan" job measures the field in a volume around the peak SAR value assessed in the previous "Area Scan" job (for more information see the application note on SAR evaluation).

About the validation dipole positioning uncertainty, the constant and low loss dielectric spacer is used to establish the correct distance between the top surface of the dipole and the bottom surface of the phantom, the error component introduced by the uncertainty of the distance between the liquid (i.e., phantom shell) and the validation dipole in the DASY4 system is less than ±0.1mm.

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times (\frac{(a+d)^2}{a^2} - 1)$$

As the closest distance is 10mm, the resulting tolerance SAR $_{\rm tolerance}$ [%] is <2%.



6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS

2450MHz SYSTEM VALIDATION TEST IN THE MUSCLE SIMULATING LIQUID								
TEST FREQUENCY (MHz)	REQUIRED SAR (mW/g)	MEASURED SAR (mW/g)	DEVIATION (%)	SEPARATION DISTANCE	TEST DATE			
MSL2450	13.4 (1g)	13.40	0	10mm	Feb. 09, 2006			
TESTED BY	Sam Onn							

NOTE: Please see Appendix for the photo of system validation test.



6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES

In the table below, the system validation uncertainty with respect to the analytically assessed SAR value of a dipole source as given in the IEEE 1528 standard is given. This uncertainty is smaller than the expected uncertainty for mobile phone measurements due to the simplified setup and the symmetric field distribution.

Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(C _i)		Standard Uncertainty (±%)		(v _i)	
	(= /3)			(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)		
	Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	4.8	Normal	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞	
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞	
Hemispherical Isotropy	0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0	0	∞	
Boundary effect	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞	
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	8	
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	8	
Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞	
Response Time	0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0	0	∞	
Integration Time	0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0	0	∞	
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	8	
Probe Positioner	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	8	
Probe positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	8	
Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞	
	Dipole								
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞	
Input power and SAR drift measurement	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞	
	Ph	nantom and Tiss	ue Param	eters	_				
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	8	
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	8	
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	8	
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	∞	
Combined Standard Uncertainty						8.4	8.1	∞	
Coverage Factor for 95%							kp=2		
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)						16.8	16.2		

NOTE: About the system validation uncertainty assessment, please reference the section 7.



7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES

The assessment of spatial peak SAR of the hand handheld devices is according to IEEE 1528. All testing situation shall be met below these requirements.

- The system is used by an experienced engineer who follows the manual and the guidelines taught during the training provided by SPEAG.
- The probe has been calibrated within the requested period and the stated uncertainty for the relevant frequency bands does not exceed 4.8% (k=1).
- The validation dipole has been calibrated within the requested period and the system performance check has been successful.
- The DAE unit has been calibrated within the within the requested period.
- The minimum distance between the probe sensor and inner phantom shell is selected to be between 4 and 5mm.
- The operational mode of the DUT is CW, CDMA, FDMA or TDMA (GSM, DCS, PCS, IS136 and PDC) and the measurement/integration time per point is >500 ms.
- The dielectric parameters of the liquid have been assessed using Agilent 85070D dielectric probe kit or a more accurate method.
- The dielectric parameters are within 5% of the target values.
- The DUT has been positioned as described in section 3.

7.1. PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY

SPEAG conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE 1528, EN50361, IEC 62209, etc.) under ISO17025. The uncertainties are stated on the calibration certificate. For the most relevant frequency bands, these values do not exceed 4.8% (k=1). If evaluations of other bands are performed for which the uncertainty exceeds these values, the uncertainty tables given in the summary have to be revised accordingly.



7.2. ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY

The axial isotropy tolerance accounts for probe rotation around its axis while the hemispherical isotropy error includes all probe orientations and field polarizations. These parameters are assessed by SPEAG during initial calibration. In 2001, SPEAG further tightened its quality controls and warrants that the maximal deviation from axial isotropy is ± 0.20 dB, while the maximum deviation of hemispherical isotropy is ± 0.40 dB, corresponding to $\pm 4.7\%$ and $\pm 9.6\%$, respectively. A weighting factor of cp equal to 0.5 can be applied, since the axis of the probe deviates less than 30 degrees from the normal surface orientation.

7.3. BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY

The effect can be estimated according to the following error approximation formula

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = SAR_{be}[\%] \times \frac{(d_{be} + d_{step})^2}{2d_{step}} \frac{e^{\frac{-d_{be}}{\delta/2}}}{\delta/2}$$

$$d_{be} + d_{step} < 10mm$$

The parameter d_{be} is the distance in mm between the surface and the closest measurement point used in the averaging process; d_{step} is the separation distance in mm between the first and second measurement points; δ is the minimum penetration depth in mm within the head tissue equivalent liquids (i.e., δ = 13.95 mm at 3GHz); SAR_{be} is the deviation between the measured SAR value at the distance d_{be} from the boundary and the wave-guide analytical value SAR_{ref}.DASY4 applies a boundary effect compensation algorithm according to IEEE 1528, which is possible since the axis of the probe never deviates more than 30 degrees from the normal surface orientation. SAR_{be}[%] is assessed during the calibration process and SPEAG warrants that the uncertainty at distances larger than 4mm is always less than 1%.In summary, the worst case boundary effect SAR tolerance[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is < \pm 0.8%.



7.4. PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY

Field probe linearity uncertainty includes errors from the assessment and compensation of the diode compression effects for CW and pulsed signals with known duty cycles. This error is assessed using the procedure described in IEEE 1528. For SPEAG field probes, the measured difference between CW and pulsed signals, with pulse frequencies between 10 Hz and 1 kHz and duty cycles between 1 and 100, is $< \pm 0.20$ dB ($< \pm 4.7\%$).

7.5. READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties related to the probe readout electronics (DAE unit), including the gain and linearity of the instrumentation amplifier, its loading effect on the probe, and accuracy of the signal conversion algorithm, have been assessed accordingly to IEEE 1528. The combination (root-sum-square RSS method) of these components results in an overall maximum error of ±1.0%.

7.6. RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY

The time response of the field probes is assessed by exposing the probe to a well-controlled electric field producing SAR larger than 2.0 W/kg at the tissue medium surface. The signal response time is evaluated as the time required by the system to reach 90% of the expected final value after an on/of switch of the power source. Analytically, it can be expressed as:

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times (\frac{T_m}{T_m + \tau e^{-T_m/\tau} - \tau} - 1)$$

where Tm is 500 ms, i.e., the time between measurement samples, and $_{\rm T}$ the time constant. The response time $_{\rm T}$ of SPEAG's probes is <5 ms. In the current implementation, DASY4 waits longer than 100 ms after having reached the grid point before starting a measurement, i.e., the response time uncertainty is negligible.



7.7. INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY

If the device under test does not emit a CW signal, the integration time applied to measure the electric field at a specific point may introduce additional uncertainties due to the discretization and can be assessed as follows

$$SAR_{tolerance} [\%] = 100 \times \sum_{all sub-frames} \frac{t_{frame}}{t_{\text{int egration}}} \frac{slot_{idle}}{slot_{total}}$$

The tolerances for the different systems are given in Table 7.1, whereby the worst-case $SAR_{tolerance}$ is 2.6%.

System	SAR _{tolerance} %			
CW	0			
CDMA*	0			
WCDMA*	0			
FDMA	0			
IS-136	2.6			
PDC	2.6			
GSM/DCS/PCS	1.7			
DECT	1.9			
Worst-Case	2.6			

TABLE 7.1



7.8. PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE

The mechanical tolerance of the field probe positioner can introduce probe positioning uncertainties. The resulting SAR uncertainty is assessed by comparing the SAR obtained according to the specifications of the probe positioner with respect to the actual position defined by the geometric enter of the probe sensors. The tolerance is determined as:

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times \frac{d_{ph}}{\delta/2}$$

The specified repeatability of the RX robot family used in DASY4 systems is $\pm 25 \,\mu\text{m}$. The absolute accuracy for short distance movements is better than $\pm 0.1 \,\text{mm}$, i.e., the SAR_{tolerance}[%] is better than 1.5% (rectangular).

7.9. PROBE POSITIONING

The probe positioning procedures affect the tolerance of the separation distance between the probe tip and the phantom surface as:

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times \frac{d_{ph}}{\delta/2}$$

where d_{ph} is the maximum deviation of the distance between the probe tip and the phantom surface. The optical surface detection has a precision of better than 0.2 mm, resulting in an SAR_{tolerance}[%] of <2.9% (rectangular distribution). Since the mechanical detection provides better accuracy, 2.9% is a worst-case figure for DASY4 system.



7.10. PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY

The SAR measurement uncertainty due to SPEAG phantom shell production tolerances has been evaluated using

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] \cong 100 \times \frac{2d}{a},$$
 $d << a$

For a maximum deviation d of the inner and outer shell of the phantom from that specified in the CAD file of ± 0.2 mm, and a 10mm spacing a between source and tissue liquid, the calculated phantom uncertainty is $\pm 4.0\%$.



7.11. DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET

Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution		(C _i)		Standard Uncertainty (±%)		(v _i)
	(/			(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)	
Measurement Equipment								
Probe Calibration	4.8	Normal	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary effect	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	0.8	Normal	1	1	1	0.8	0.8	∞
Integration Time	2.6	Normal	1	1	1	2.6	2.6	∞
Noise	0.0	Normal	1	0	0	0	0	∞
		Mechanical C	onstraints	;				
Scanning System	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Phantom Shell	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	875
		Physical Par	rameters					
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.5	2	1.4	∞
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	4.3	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.5	1.7	1.2	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	4.3	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.2	∞
Power Drift	5	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Post-Processing								
Extrapolation and Integration	1	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty							9.7	
Coverage Factor for 95%							kp=2	
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)							19.3	

TABLE 7.2

The table 7.2: Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY4 assessed according to CENELEC EN 50361. The budget is valid for the frequency range 300MHz - 3GHz and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerable smaller.



8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES

We, ADT Corp., were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved by the following approval agencies according to ISO/IEC 17025.

USA FCC, NVLAP, UL, A2LA

Germany TUV Rheinland

Japan VCCI Norway NEMKO

Norway NEMKO

Canada INDUSTRY CANADA, CSA

R.O.C. CNLA, BSMI, DGT

Netherlands Telefication

Singapore PSB , GOST-ASIA(MOU)

Russia CERTIS(MOU)

Copies of accreditation certificates of our laboratories obtained from approval agencies can be downloaded from our web site:

<u>www.adt.com.tw/index.5/phtml</u>. If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

Linko EMC/RF Lab:Hsin Chu EMC/RF Lab:Tel: 886-2-26052180Tel: 886-3-5935343Fax: 886-2-26052943Fax: 886-3-5935342

Hwa Ya EMC/RF/Safety/Telecom Lab:Linko RF Lab.Tel: 886-3-3183232Tel: 886-3-3270910Fax: 886-3-3185050Fax: 886-3-3270892

Web Site: www.adt.com.tw

The address and road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.