

**PCTC****Product Compliance Test Center****2476 Swedesford Road, Malvern, PA 19355**

---

April 10, 2006

Tim Johnson  
American Telecommunications Certification Body, Inc.  
6731 Whittier Avenue  
McLean, VA 22101

Subject: Response to ATCB review comments – March 31, 2006  
Reference: Jett.XL Handheld (FCC ID: RYJJETTXL)

Dear Mr. Johnson,

With reference to the above, the Unisys Product Compliance Test Center (PCTC) would like to submit the following response/exhibits on behalf of the Two Technologies, Inc.:

1. Revised ATCB- Form 731 with correcting frequency range and the equipment code.
2. Revised Confidentiality Request with removing the confidentiality request for the internal photos.
3. The Two Technologies has clear understanding that the JETT.XL, when certified, can have only Bluetooth module. The schematics show the 802.11 module as possible option which only can be incorporated in future if and when they obtain certification for collocation of 802.11 module with the Bluetooth. Further it may be important to point out that on the Schematics (Page 2/3), there is a note (POP for Wi-Fi): "Wi-Fi not to be populated on this rev". To clarify the issue, earlier we have submitted two additional exhibits:
  - (1) JETT XL No Wi-Fi Configuration Board Layout
  - (2) JETT XL No Wi-Fi Pick-and-Place BOM.
4. The reference to the GPRS in the users manual was just to indicate future option. However, the Two Technologies has revised the manual with removing the reference to the GPRS.
5. Revised test report to include the required graphical data.
6. Revised test report to include 20 dB occupied bandwidth/bandedge measurements performed with proper modulation activated.
7. During the testing the TX/RX operation was continuous; therefore emissions were not considered pulsed emissions. Resolution settings used during the testing from 30 MHz – 1000 MHz and 1 GHz – 25 GHz are identified in the revised test report, Section 4.1.1.
8. Revised the users manual with adding required the RF exposure statement.
9. Noted for future submission.
10. See response item 6 above.
11. Revised ATCB-Form-IC-Application with providing emission designation.
12. Test report does documents Receiver emissions for spurious/AC power line conducted also. During the testing the EUT was operated in the continuous TX/RX mode of operation. All the emissions were compared to the applicable limit of FCC Part 15.209 or 15.207 (equivalent to FCC Class B limit) except only for those emissions identified as unintentional radiated emission generated by the digital circuit activity and not the TX/RX RF circuit activity. The identified unintentional radiated emissions were compared to the FCC Part 15.109 Class A limit for digital devices.

Two technologies has corrected the FCC ID/IC ID label by changing the letters from IC # to IC: on the label. The revised label has been submitted along with this response.

Please let me know me if you have any questions, at (610) 648-3758 or via Email at [dipak.patel@unisys.com](mailto:dipak.patel@unisys.com) .

Thank you very much.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dipak Patel". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underneath the name.

Dipak Patel, Technical Staff Engineer  
Unisys PCTC