

Lucy Tsai

From: jill.shiau [jill.shiau@tw.ccsemc.com] on behalf of application [application@tw.ccsemc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2008 7:39 PM
To: Lucy Tsai
Cc: landy.sung; celia.hsieh; julia.wei; jill.shiau
Subject: 回信： RE: 回信： PRO-NETS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, FCC ID: RXZ-WR850RL, Assessment NO.: AN08T8579, Notice#1
Attachments: WR850RL AntennaSpec WR850RL L 1126.pdf; WR850RL AntennaSpec WR850RL R 1126.pdf; WR850RL OperationDescription 1126.pdf; WR850RL TestReport 1126.pdf
Importance: High

Dear Lucy

Please see my reply.

Best regards,

Jill

From: jill.shiau [<mailto:jill.shiau@tw.ccsemc.com>] **On Behalf Of** application
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2008 9:16 PM
To: Lucy Tsai
Cc: landy.sung; celia.hsieh; julia.wei; jill.shiau
Subject: 回信： PRO-NETS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, FCC ID: RXZ-WR850RL, Assessment NO.: AN08T8579, Notice#1

Dear Lucy

See my reply as below, Thanks.

Jill

<lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com>

2008/11/12 02:12 AM

收件人： <application.2008@tw.ccsemc.com>
副本抄送： <lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com>
主旨： PRO-NETS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, FCC ID: RXZ-WR850RL, Assessment NO.: AN08T8579, Notice#1

Hi Celia,

Please address following issues.

Q#1: Please provide updated EUT external photos to cover each side of the EUT.

Ans#1: As we discussed this case, EUT external photos was not necessary updated.

Q#2: According to EUT photos, two dipole antennas and a printed antenna are applied but only one antenna specification was provided. Please provide other another dipole antenna specification.

Ans#2: Printed antenna is a RX antenna. Here is the another antenna specification, please see attached file.

Q#3: Is this a 2 by 3 MIMO device? Please confirm. According to the test report page 7, this device has cyclic delay diversity (CDD) mode but all test results do not cover them. Please address.

Ans#3: This is a 2TX by 3RX MIMO device. This device don't has CDD Mode, revised page 7 of test report, please see attached file.

Q#4: The operational description provided is not acceptable. It's a QA report of chip without any information about how this MIMO device operates. Please provide an updated operational description to include circuit design description, modulation and related information.

Ans#4: Client was provided new operation description, please see attached file.

Q#4: In test report, some test result indicated chain 1 and chain 2 are transmitter chain but some indicated chain 0 and chain 2 are transmitter chain. Besides, as indicated in schematic that chain 0 and chain1 are transmitter chains. Please clarify.

Ans#4: Revised the chain 0 and chain 1 description in test report.

Q#5: Test report page 47-48 of the test plots of b mode for high channel band edge are not correct. Please have a check and correct accordingly.

Ans#5: Revised the test plot of g mode for band edge in page 49-50 of test report.

Q#6: According to MIMO test requirement, power spectral density and RF conducted emission are also required to be tested with combiner for measuring MIMO mode. Please address.

Ans#6: Revised the test report for power spectral density and RF conducted emission in page 69-70, 73-74, 82-83, 86-87.

Q#7: The maximum peak output power was in HT-20 but not HT-40. Please check page 100-102 of test report and do the necessary correction.

Ans#7: Revised maximum peak output power of the Radio Frequency Exposure item in page 106-108 of test report.

Best Regards,

Lucy Tsai
CCS

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.