Dear Tim-san,

Thank you very much for your comment.

We would like to reply to your comments as below.

3) In order for us to evaluate the DFS from previous questions, you have responded that this is a client only device. Note that this only answers part of the concern. In order for a TCB to evaluate a client only, it must also be a client that does not have radar detection capability itself. Please confirm if this device has radar detection capability. Note that if the client utilizes radar detection capabilities itself, the FCC would be required to evaluate.

=>This device is a client which doesn't have radar detection function itself.

4) Please explain if any DFS settings can be accessed by user. Note that FCC has stated that the user should not be allowed to access these settings.
=>See additional Info Provided

5) Please explain how DFS software security is maintained from any user adjustment (i.e. firmware updates, etc.). Any changes/updates by the user should not affect DFS capabilities/options, etc. =>See additional Info Provided

6) While data shows compliance, to the Channel Closing Transmission Time (<200 msec) procedures as required by the rules. However, per Feb 2007 training additional plots supporting this are required with sweep times not to exceed 600 msec. Please note that we have received several RT← \$B!G← (Bs from the FCC for other DFS reports that do not provide this as well. Please provide. =>According to the below i)-iii), we can judge there's enough resolution.

i) The plot on page 17 has a resolution of 2 msec per bin. The 200 msec period encompasses 100 bins. There are 800 bins per major horizontal graticule, therefore 100 bins spans one-eigth of a major graticule.

ii) The timing of End of Burst, End of Burst+200msec, End of Transmission is calculated by taking 8001 datas from Plot on page 17.

iii) Channel Move Time, Channel Closing Transmission Time is calculated by taken datas.

We will provide the related documents for 4) and 5) separately, but our client's expected date is already behind. Therefore, we would like to obtain the certificate immediately.

If you have any other additional comments, please let us know it immediately. If not, will you please issue the certificate with your fastest possibility?

We are terribly sorry for push you, but we hope your kind understanding.

Best regards,

UL Japan, Inc. Yoshinobu Asai