

- 1) The EMC and DFS both mention 2 models and cite one is a client and one is a master. Then the DFS report appears to only show that the device was tested as a client. Please explain as any device that operates as a master device under DFS definitions must be sent to the FCC for review and can not be completed by a TCB. Processing can not continue unless this device (both models) are considered as a client under DFS definitions. Please explain (i.e. is the application only for the client version/has the AP version been applied for separately as a master to the FCC?)
- 2) FYI....In the future, please ensure that the authorization letter is signed by the FCC contact given on the FCC site. For this instance, this would be Shinji Ueno.
- 2) Please provide top and bottom photographs of the motherboard contained in the device (with TX removed). These do not appear to be provided.