

Mike Kuo

From: Claire Hoque
Sent: February 26 2004 Thursday 10:51 AM
To: Mike Kuo
Cc: Thu Chan; Kathy Yao; Frank Ibrahim
Subject: RE: Cellphone-Mate Inc. , FCC ID:RSNCM1000, AN04T3638

Hi Mike,

Here are the answers.

Question #1: Please provide MPE information to address RF exposure compliance.

<Claire> pls see the attached MPE.



MPE Calculations
for Cellphone...

Question #2: In the antenna specification attachment, it provides 2 different antenna gain (3 or 5 dBi). Please provide antenna specification for each of antenna which apply to the frequency range of this amplifier and the cable lost with 2000 mm in cable length.

<Cellphone-Mate> two spec. are attached.

Cable loss:

The type of cable we used is RG-174, with loss of 31.7dB/100 feet, for 2000 mm, which is 6.56 feet, total cable loss will be: 2.08 dB.



5dBi antenna
spec.pdf



3dBi antenna
spec.pdf

Question #3: In the EMC test report, the antenna gain are listed as 5 dBi and 3.5 dBi which do not agree with antenna specification. Please explain.

<Cellphone-Mate>

3.5 dBi is a mistake, an over statement, please always use 3 dBi from now on for all FCC documents, and please make all the corresponding changes if you can.

Thu has revised the test report.



03U2456 FCC 24
Report(revised)...

Question #4: In the user manual, there is no instruction provided about the separation distance shall be maintained. Please provide revised user manual.

<Cellphone-Mate> revised manual is attached.

Based on our calculations, assuming a 2 watt power input to the antenna; a 5 dBi max antenna gain; and a power density limit of 12.34 w/m² at 1851 MHz, the distance limit to meet FCC MPE is 21.5 cm. To be conservative, I will put 25 cm in the user manual. .



CM1000 User
Manual-revised.pdf..

Question #5: By comparing the RF conducted output power and the EIRP measured data, the EIRP output power for 5 dBi antenna gain are about 4 dBi lower than 3dBi EIRP. Please explain why the higher antenna gain with lower EIRP readings.

<Frank>

the 5 dBi antenna had lower power even though it has higher gain than the other antenna is the fact that there is a permanent cable connected to it that has a loss.

Question #6: Please provide modification report signed the applicant to acknowledge the modification made during final

compliance tests will be incorporated in each unit sold in the U.S..
<Cellphone-Mate> signed report is attached.



signed modification
report.pdf...

Question #7: Is this device capable of amplifying CDMA modulation and GSM modulation in one box or different modulation will require to have different RF board ?

<Cellphone-Mate>

Yes, both in one box, that's why your lab did separate test for CDMA and GSM.

Thanks,

Claire