

## **Environmental Assessment**

for

#### Mobiles/Fixed Base Station

FCC ID: FCC ID: ROJEXPLORER-500 Model: Explorer 500

to

#### **Federal Communications Commission**

47 CFR 1.1310 (MPE)

Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

Date Of Report: August 23, 2005

On the Behalf of the Applicant:

Thrane & Thrane A/S

At the Request of: P.O. ATSJUNOS-1

Thrane & Thrane A/S Lundtoftegardsvej 93D DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

Attention of: Morten Becker Saul

+45 39 55 8209

Email: mbs@thrane.com

Supervised By: David E. Lee, Quality Assurance Manager

M. Flom Associates, Inc.3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107Chandler, Arizona 85225-7176(480) 926-3100 phone, fax (480) 926-3598

FCC ID: ROJEXPLORER-500 MFA p0580015, d0580059



# **Table of Contents**

Rule	Description	Page	
	Test Report	1	
	Identification of the Equipment Under Test	2	
	Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices	4	
1.1310	Environmental Assessment	5	



Required information per ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990, paragraph 13.2:

a) Test Report (Supplemental)

b) Laboratory: M. Flom Associates, Inc.

(FCC: 31040/SIT) 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107

(Canada: IC 2044) Chandler, AZ 85225

c) Report Number: d0580059

d) Client: Thrane & Thrane A/S

Lundtoftegardsvej 93D DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

e) Identification: Explorer 500

FCC ID: ROJEXPLORER-500

Description: Immarsat Terminal

f) EUT Condition: Not required unless specified in individual tests.

g) Report Date: August 23, 2005 EUT Received: August 22, 2005

h, j, k): As indicated in individual tests.

i) Sampling method: No sampling procedure used.

I) Uncertainty: In accordance with MFA internal quality manual.

m) Supervised by:

David E. Lee, Quality Assurance Manager

n) Results: The results presented in this report relate only to the item tested.

o) Reproduction: This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without written

permission from this laboratory.



## Identification of the Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Name and	Address	of	<b>Applicar</b>	ıt:
----------	---------	----	-----------------	-----

Thrane & Thrane A/S Lundtoftegardsvej 93D DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

			•				
Λ	/12	nı	ufa	n ti	ıır	Δr	•
ı١	Иα		ula		uı	CI.	

Thrane & Thrane A/S Lundtoftegardsvej 93D DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

FCC ID:	ROJEXPLORER-500		
Model Number:	Explorer 500		
Description:	Immarsat Terminal		
Type of Emission:	16QAM, QPSK		
Frequency Range, MHz:	1626.5 - 1660.5		
Power Rating, Watts (EIRP): Switchable X Variable	15.0 N/A		
Modulation:	AMPS TDMA CDMA OTHER		
Antenna:	Helical Monopole Whip X Other		

**Note:** For RF Safety test antenna gain taken at the upper range of expected gain (i.e. 11 dBi) and RF Power set to highest nominal power across all channels.





#### A2LA

"A2LA has accredited M. Flom Associates, Inc. Chandler, AZ for technical competence in the field of Electrical Testing. The accreditation covers the specific tests and types of tests listed on the agreed scope of accreditation. This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 - 1999 'General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories' and any additional program requirements in the identified field of testing."

Certificate Number: 2152-01



September 15, 1999

Mr. Mortou Fleer M. Flore Associates Inc. 3356 N. San Marcon Place, Saire 107 Chandler, AZ 85224

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been validated by the Chinese Taipei Bureau of Standards, Methology, and Inspection (BSSM) under the Asia Teorific Resonetic Cooperation Musical Recognition Armagement (APRC MRA). Your laboratory in row formuly designated to set as a Confirmity Assessment Boy (CAB) under Appendix S, Phane I Proceedings, of the APRC MRA between the American Institute in Taiwa (AIT) and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office (TECRI) in the United States, conving equipment subject to Electro-Magnetic Compatibility (EMC) requirements. The names of all validated and constituting continuous and the California Compatibility (EMC) requirements. The names of all validated and continuous under the "Asia" category.

As of August 1, 1999, you may submit test task to BSMI to verify that the equipment to be imposed into Chinero Tajed swintles the applicable BMC requirement. New assigned #85MI samble in BAG-14N-6-48HI, you must asset this number when sending test reports to BSMI. Your disligation will remain in force as long as your NVLAF and/or AZLA and/or BSMI surrelitation remain ratio for the CMS 13MI.

Please note that BSMI requires that the entity making application for the remore sets that those in requires that the entry making application for the approval of regulated equipment must make used application in parses at their Taipul office. SEMF also requires the gatest of the atthribed rigidations whe are authorized to ego the note reports. Yet one need this information via fact of Taipul CAS Response Winnager of 301/975/5414. I am also enclusing a copy of the cutow these that, according to BSMI requirements, must average years test report.

NIST

If you have any questions, please contact Robert Gladkill at 391-975-4273 or Joe Dhillon at 301-975-5528. We appreciate your continued interest in our international conformity assessment activities.

plik Rallin Hollinda L. Collins, 75.D. Director, Office of Standards Services

## NIST

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been validated by the Chinese Taipei Bureau of Standards, Metrology and Inspection (BSMI) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Mutual Recognition Agreement (APEC MRA). Your laboratory is now formally designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, Phase I Procedures, of the APEC MRA between the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT) and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office (TECRO) in the United States, covering equipment subject to Electro-Magnetic Compatibility (EMC) requirements. The names of all validated and nominated laboratories will be posted on the NIST website at http://ts.nist.gov/mra under the 'Asia' category."

BSMI Number: SL2-IN-E-041R

M. Flom Associates, Inc. 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107 Chandler, Arizona 85225-7176 (480) 926-3100 phone, fax (480) 926-3598



#### Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.4-1992/2000, section 6.1.9, and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of 10° to 40°C (50° to 104 °F) unless the particular equipment requirements specify testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of 10% to 90% relative humidity.

Prior to testing, the EUT was tuned up in accordance with the manufacturer's alignment procedures. All external gain controls were maintained at the position of maximum and/or optimum gain throughout the testing.

Measurement results, unless otherwise noted, are worst-case measurements.



Name of Test: Environmental Assessment

**Specification**: FCC: 47 CFR 1.1310

Measurement Guide: ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992

**Test Equipment**: Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) measurement system, consisting of:

Amplifier Research FP6001 Electric Field Probe Kit

(Calibrated July 2005)

**Measurement Procedure**: 1. The following measurements were performed with a probe using

ANSI/IEEE C95.1 as a guide.

2. Prior to making any measurements, the measurements system was

calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.

3. The EUT's radiating element (antenna) was placed on a 1 m tall table for ease of testing. For equipment normally operated on a metal surface,

a ground plane was used.

4. The remaining equipment necessary to operate the EUT was

maintained at a distance from the measurement arrangement suitable to

minimize interference with the measurements.

5. The minimum safe distance was calculated from the formula Power

Density = EIRP /  $4\pi R^2$  (Peak Watts/m<sup>2</sup>). The calculation is shown with the

measurement data.

6. With the EUT operating at maximum power, a search was initiated for worst case emissions with the probe raised and lowered over a range of

0.2 to 2 meters in height and over a horizontal plane of  $0^{\circ}$  to  $360^{\circ}$ .

7. Average values were calculated for the whole body (0.2-2.0m), lower

body (0.2-0.8m) and upper body (1.0-2.0m).

Results: Attached.



Test Setup:

# Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)





Name of Test: R.F. Radiation Exposure

FCC Rules: 1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091 Description, EUT: See page 2 of Test Report

Limits: Uncontrolled Exposure 0.3-1.234 MHz: Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = 100$ 47 CFR 1.1310 Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = (180/f^2)$ 1.34-30 MHz: Table 1, (B) Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = 0.2$ 30-300 MHz: 300-1500 MHz Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = f/1500$ Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = 1.0$ 1500-100,000 MHz:

Test Frequencies, MHz 1626.5 1643.5 1660.5

Power, Conducted, W = 1.29 (15.0W EIRP)

Antenna Gain = 11.1 dBi (Integral Antenna)

Antenna Model Directional Panel

Note: The unit contains a Class 1 Bluetooth transmitter. All tests were carried out with the Bluetooth operating at full power.

Pre-test  $Power_{[W EIRP]} = P_{[conducted]} \times G_{[antenna]}$ 15.0 Calculations  $Limit_{[mW/cm2]}$ 1.0  $Limit_{[W/m2]} = 10 x Limit_{[mW/cm2]} =$ 10.0  $R_{[m]} = [P_{[W EIRP]} / (4\pi x Limit_{[W/m2]})]^{1/2}$ 0.345

Results at	Power Density, mW/cm <sup>2</sup>				
tested	Probe Height, m	Freq. 1626.5 MHz	Freq. 1643.5 MHz	Freq. 1660.5 MHz	
distances		(Bluetooth 2402 MHz)	(Bluetooth 2441 MHz)	(Bluetooth 2481 MHz)	
		Distance 60 cm	Distance 60 cm	Distance 60 cm	
	2.0	0.0330	0.0455	0.0455	
	1.8	0.0797	0.0626	0.0966	
	1.6	0.1313	0.1654	0.1520	
	1.4	0.2099	0.2740	0.2636	
	1.2	0.3842	0.4130	0.3800	
	1.0	0.5657	0.5808	0.5048	
	0.8	0.5487	0.5393	0.4790	
	0.6	0.3628	0.3337	0.3282	
	0.4	0.1766	0.1828	0.1675	
	0.2	0.1025	0.1030	0.0588	

Power Density The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided Calculations: by the number of readings to calculate the average.

	1626.5 MHz	1643.5 MHz	1660.5 MHz
Whole body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.2594	0.2700	0.2476
Lower body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.2977	0.2897	0.2584
Upper body average (1.0 - 2.0 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.2340	0.2569	0.2404

#### END OF TEST REPORT



FCC ID: ROJEXPLORER-500

MFA p0580015, d0580059

#### (The following will be placed in the Instruction Manual)

## **Mandatory Safety Instructions to Installers & Users**

Use only manufacturer supplied antennas.

Antenna Minimum Safe Distance: 60cm.

Antenna Gain: Directional, with maximum gain of 11.1dB reference to isotropic.

The Federal Communications Commission has adopted a safety standard for human exposure to RF (Radio Frequency) energy which is below the OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Act) limits.

**Antenna Mounting**: The antenna supplied by the manufacturer must not be located such that during radio transmission, any person or persons can come closer than the above indicated minimum safe distance to the antenna i.e. **60cm**.

To comply with current FCC RF Exposure limits, the antenna must be installed at or exceeding the minimum safe distance shown above, and in accordance with the requirements of the antenna manufacturer or supplier.

**Antenna Substitution**: Do not substitute any antenna for the models supplied or recommended by the manufacturer. You may be exposing person or persons to excess radio frequency radiation. You may contact the manufacturer for further instructions.

Warning: Maintain a separation distance from the antenna to a person(s) of at least 60cm.

You, as the qualified end-user of this radio device must control the exposure conditions of bystanders to ensure the minimum separation distance (above) is maintained between the antenna and nearby persons for satisfying RF Exposure compliance. The operation of this transmitter must satisfy the requirements of Occupational/Controlled Exposure Environment, for work-related use. Transmit only when person(s) are at least the minimum distance from the front face of the antenna.



# Testimonial and Statement of Certification

## This is to certify that:

- 1. **That** the application was prepared either by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned.
- 2. **That** the technical data supplied with the application was taken under my direction and supervision.
- 3. **That** the data was obtained on representative units, randomly selected.
- 4. **That**, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in the application and accompanying technical data are true and correct.

Certifying Engineer:

David E. Lee, Quality Assurance Manager