HPI Hong Kong Limited

Application
For
Certification
(FCC ID: ROC-MORPHLAMP)

RF Lighting Device

0318236
CKL/kl
December 11, 2003

FCC ID : ROC-MORPHLAMP

Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Ltd.

2/F., Garment Centre, 576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong.

Tel: (852) 2173 8888 Fax: (852) 2785 5487 Website: www.hk.intertek-etlsemko.com

LIST OF EXHIBITS

MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

HPI Hong Kong Limited - MODEL: MORPH LAMP I
FCC ID: ROC-MORPHLAMP

December 11, 2003

This report concerns (check one:) Original Grant X Class II Change _____

Equipment Type: RF Lighting

Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.457(d)(1)(ii)? Yes ___ No X

If yes, defer until: _____ date

Company Name agrees to notify the Commission by: _____ date

of the intended date of announcement of the product so that the grant can be issued on that date.

Report prepared by:

C. K. Lam
Intertek Testing Services
2/F., Garment Center,
576, Castle Peak Road,
HONG KONG
Phone: 852-2173-8512
Fax: 852-2742-6487

Table of Contents

List of attached file

Exhibit type	File Description	filename
Test Report	Test Report	report.pdf
Operation Description	Technical Description	descri.pdf
Test Setup Photo	Radiated Emission	radiated photos.pdf
Test Setup Photo	Conducted Emission	conducted photos.pdf
Test Report	Conducted Emission Test Result	conducted.pdf
External Photo	External Photo	external photos.pdf
Internal Photo	Internal Photo	internal photos.pdf
Block Diagram	Block Diagram	block.pdf
Schematics	Circuit Diagram	circuit.pdf
ID Label/Location	Label Artwork and Location	label.pdf
User Manual	User Manual	manual.pdf

EXHIBIT 1

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1.0 General Description

1.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is an Electronic Ballast. The EUT is operated with 35 KHz and powered by 120V AC.

The model: MORPH LAMP I A is the same as the model: MORPH LAMP I B except model: MORPH LAMP I A used thermostat whereas the model: MORPH LAMP I B used thermal fuse.

For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf

1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is a single application for certification of a consumer RF lighting equipment.

1.3 Test Methodology

Both AC mains line-conducted and radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in MP-5. All measurements were performed in Open Area Test Sites. Preliminary scans were performed in the Open Area Test Sites only to determine worst case modes. For each scan, the procedure for maximizing emissions in Appendices D and E were followed. All Radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "**Justification Section"** of this Application.

1.4 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the emission data is located at Garment Centre, 576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC.

1.5 Equipment List

1) Radiated Emission Test for FCC Part 18

Equipment	Registration No.	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	Calibration Due Date
EMI Test Receiver	EW-0014	R&S	ESVS30	842807/001	January 23, 2004
Antenna Set	EW-0447	Log Periodic Antenna	EMCO	3146	March 12, 2004
	EW-0954	Biconical Antenna	EMCO	3104C	June 18, 2004
	EW-1041	EMCO	3104C	34883	October 29, 2004
EMI Test Receiver	EW-0015	R&S	ESHS30	827128/009	January 23, 2004

2) Disturbance Voltage Tests for FCC Part 18

Equipment	Registration No.	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	Calibration Due Date
EMI Test Receiver	EW-0015	R&S	ESHS30	827128/009	January 23, 2004
Absorbing Clamp	EW-0019	R&S	MDS21	828228/006	March 3, 2004
LISN	EW-0090	R&S	ESH3-Z5	840731/0013	April 16, 2004

EXHIBIT 2

SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

2.0 System Test Configuration

2.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in MP-5.

The EUT was powered by 120V AC.

For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.0.

The unit was operated standalone and placed in the center of the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was placed on turntable, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

The worst case bit sequence was applied during test.

2.2 EUT Exercising Software

There was no special software to exercise the device. Once the EUT is turned on, it emits the RF noise.

2.3 Special Accessories

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

2.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by HPI Hong Kong Limited will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services.

2.5 Support Equipment List and Description

This product was tested in a standalone configuration.

All the items listed under section 2.0 of this report are

Confirmed by:

C. K. Lam
Technical Manager
Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Ltd.
Agent for HPI Hong Kong Limited



Signature

December 11, 2003 Date

EXHIBIT 3

EMISSION RESULTS

3.0 Emission Results

Data is included worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV

where FS = Field Strength in dBμV/m
RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dBμV
CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
AF = Antenna Factor in dB
AG = Amplifier Gain in dB
PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB
AV = Average Factor in -dB

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV

3.1 Field Strength Calculation (cont'd)

Example

Assume a receiver reading of 62.0 dBμV is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 dBμV/m. This value in dBμV/m was converted to its corresponding level in μV/m.

RA = 62.0 dBμV
AF = 7.4 dB
CF = 1.6 dB
AG = 29.0 dB
PD = 0 dB

AV = -10 dB

FS = 62 + 7.4 + 1.6 - 29 + 0 + (-10) = 32 dBμV/m

Level in mV/m = Common Antilogarithm [(32 dBμV/m)/20] = 39.8 μV/m

3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Radiated Emission
at
33.826 MHz

For electronic filing, the front view and back view of test configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos.pdf.

3.3 Radiated Emission Data

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by -14.6 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:



Signature

Billy Chow, Compliance Engineer
Typed/Printed Name

December 11, 2003
Date

Company: HPI Hong Kong Limited
Model: MORPH LAMP I

Date of Test: November 26, 2003

Table 1

Radiated Emissions

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBµV)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Net at 3m (dBµV/m)	Calculated at 30m (dBµV/m)	Limit at 30m (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)
V	33.826	31.4	10	16	25.4	5.4	20.0	-14.6
V	35.179	30.8	10	16	24.8	4.8	20.0	-15.2
V	45.320	30.5	10	16	24.5	4.5	20.0	-15.5
V	48.337	29.6	11	16	24.6	4.6	20.0	-15.4
V	53.028	28.8	11	16	23.8	3.8	20.0	-16.2
V	57.027	28.7	11	16	23.7	3.7	20.0	-16.3

Notes:
1. Peak Detector Data unless otherwise stated.
2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

Test Engineer: Billy Chow

3.4 Line Conducted Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Line-Conducted Configuration
at
0.495 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case line-conducted configuration photograph are saved with filename: conducted photos.pdf.

3.5 Line Conducted Emission Configuration Data

For electronic filing, the graph and data table of the worst case conducted emission is saved with filename: conducted.pdf.

Judgement: Passed by -15.6 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:

Signature

Billy Chow, Compliance Engineer
Typed/Printed Name

November 26, 2003
Date

EXHIBIT 4

EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

4.0 Equipment Photographs

For electronic filing, the photographs of the tested EUT are saved with filename: external photos.pdf and internal photos.pdf.

EXHIBIT 5

PRODUCT LABELLING

5.0 Product Labelling

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf

EXHIBIT 6

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

6.0 Technical Specifications

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

EXHIBIT 7

INSTRUCTION MANUAL

7.0 Instruction Manual

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.