American Telecommunications Certification Body Inc.
6731 Whittier Ave, McLean, VA 22101

February 11, 2004

RE: Athena Feminine Technologies

FCC ID: RMLE03946001

I have a few comments on the above referenced Application.

1) Photographs were provided for both the probe and remote. Note that the application being reviewed will only cover the remote TX portion of the system. Various items provide specific to the probe (Internal photographs, schematics, etc) are not being reviewed. Assuming the probe is strictly a RX, the probe should be approved as a RX under a separate DoC or Certification application as desired by the manufacturer.
2) It appears that a DoC is being applied as for the probe as a RX. However, the manual does not include the appropriate DoC information per 2.1077. See below:

   COMPLIANCE INFORMATION FOR DoC AUTHORIZATIONS (47CFR 2.1077)

   If a product is tested and authorized under a Declaration of Conformity, a compliance information statement shall be supplied with the product at the time of marketing or importation, containing the following information on a single page (in the users manual or as a separate sheet):

   (1) Identification of the product, i.e. name and model number.

   (2) A statement similar to that contained in Section 15.19(a)(3) that the product complies with Part 15 of the regulations.

   (3) The identification, by name, address and telephone number, of the responsible party. The responsible party is defined as either the manufacturer, or if the equipment is imported, the importer. The responsible party for a Declaration of Conformity must be located within the United States.

3) Please confirm if the remote is a transmitter or a transceiver.
4) Please provide external photographs of the back of the remote.
5) Please provide a clearer/closer photograph of the top of the main board in the remote.
6) Please note that a detailed technical operational description referenced in the confidentiality request letter does not appear to have been provided. The product brochure provided is not confidential information, therefore confidentiality can not be granted on this item. Is there another file provided that confidentiality was referencing? If not, please remove the request for confidentiality from the request letter.
7) The label provided shows "FCC ID: E03946001". However, from the 731 form, it is expected that the label would state "FCC ID: RMLE03946001". Please review and correct as necessary.
8) Please justify the use of the DoC labeling information (FCC Logo and associated text) on the remote. This labeling is reserved for particular approvals that do not appear to apply to this device (PC Peripheral, Stand alone RX, etc.).
9) The label should include the 2 part statement specified in 15.19 (a)(3). Please correct.
10) Please correct the spelling to the word "modifications" given in the FCC statements in the users manual on page 14.
11) Please confirm that all testing was performed with "fresh/brand new" batteries during the testing.

--- Continued on Next Page ---

12) Please explain the use of the 15.209 limit to the 868 MHz reading. It appears only the 15.231 limit should be applied to this.

Timothy R. Johnson
Examining Engineer

mailto: tjohnson@AmericanTCB.com

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information may result in application termination. Correspondence should be considered part of the permanent submission and may be viewed from the Internet after a Grant of Equipment Authorization is issued.

Please do not respond to this correspondence using the email reply button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must submit your documents through the AmericanTCB.com website. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.

Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the sender.