HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY RF EMISSIONS TEST REPORT

FCC ID	**: RF41539B**
Equipment	**: Handheld Terminal**
Brand Name	**: KEYENCE**
Model Name	**: DX-A600**
M-Rating	**: M4**
Applicant	**: KEYENCE CORPORATION** **1-3-14 HIGASHI-NAKAJIMA,** **HIGASHI-YODOGAWA-KU, OSAKA, JAPAN**
Manufacturer	**: KEYENCE CORPORATION** **1-3-14 HIGASHI-NAKAJIMA,** **HIGASHI-YODOGAWA-KU, OSAKA, JAPAN**
Standard	**: FCC 47 CFR §20.19** **ANSI C63.19-2011**

The product was received on Oct. 04, 2023 and testing was started from Oct. 23, 2023 and completed on Oct. 23, 2023. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., would like to declare that the tested sample provide by manufacturer and the test data has been evaluated in accordance with the test procedures given in ANSI 63.19-2011 / 47 CFR Part 20.19 and has been pass the FCC requirement.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. Laboratory, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

_____

Approved by: Cona Huang / Deputy Manager

Sporton International Inc. Wensan Laboratory
No.58, Aly. 75, Ln. 564, Wenhua 3rd, Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City 333010, Taiwan

Table of Contents

History of this test report

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
HA182304-02A	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	Nov. 02, 2023

1. General Information

Product Feature & Specification	
Applicant Name	KEYENCE CORPORATION
Equipment Name	Handheld Terminal
Brand Name	KEYENCE
Model Name	DX-A600
FCC ID	RF41539B
EUT Stage	Identical Prototype
Frequency Band	WCDMA Band II: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz WCDMA Band V: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 2: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz LTE Band 4: 1710 MHz ~ 1755 MHz LTE Band 5: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 41: 2555 MHz ~ 2655 MHz WLAN 2.4GHz Band: 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz WLAN 5.2G Band: 5150 MHz ~ 5250 MHz WLAN 5.3G Band: 5250 MHz ~ 5350 MHz Bluetooth: 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz NFC: 13.56 MHz
Mode	RMC/AMR 12.2Kbps HSDPA HSUPA LTE: QPSK, 16QAM WLAN: 802.11a/b/g/n/ac HT20/HT40/VHT20/VHT40/VHT80 Bluetooth BR/EDR/LE NFC: ASK

Reviewed by: Jason Wang
Report Producer: Paula Chen

2. *Testing Location*

Sporton Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by Taiwan Accreditation Foundation (TAF code: 3786) and the FCC designation No. TW3786 under the FCC 2.948(e) by Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) in FCC test.

Testing Laboratory	
Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC.
Test Site Location	No.58, Aly. 75, Ln. 564, Wenhua 3rd, Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City 333010, Taiwan TEL:+886-3-327-0838 FAX: +886-3-327-0855
Test Site No.	Sporton Site No.: **SAR015-HY**

3. *Applied Standards*

- FCC CFR47 Part 20.19
- ANSI C63.19-2011
- FCC KDB 285076 D01 HAC Guidance v06r04
- FCC KDB 285076 D03 HAC FAQ v01r06

4. *RF Audio Interference Level*

FCC wireless hearing aid compatibility rules ensure that consumers with hearing loss are able to access wireless communications services through a wide selection of handsets without experiencing disabling radio frequency (RF) interference or other technical obstacles.

To define and measure the hearing aid compatibility of handsets, in CFR47 part 20.19 ANSI C63.19 is referenced. A handset is considered hearing aid-compatible for acoustic coupling if it meets a rating of at least M3 under ANSI C63.19, and A handset is considered hearing aid compatible for inductive coupling if it meets a rating of at least T3. According to ANSI C63.19 2011 version, for acoustic coupling, the RF electric field emissions of wireless communication devices should be measured and rated according to the emission level as below.

Emission Categories	E-field emissions	
	<960Mhz	**>960Mhz**
M1	50 to 55 dB (V/m)	40 to 45 dB (V/m)
M2	45 to 50 dB (V/m)	35 to 40 dB (V/m)
M3	40 to 45 dB (V/m)	30 to 35 dB (V/m)
M4	<40 dB (V/m)	<30 dB (V/m)

Table 5.1 Telephone near-field categories in linear units

5. *Air Interface and Operating Mode*

Air Interface	Band MHz	Type	C63.19 Tested	Simultaneous Transmitter	Name of Voice Service	Power Reduction
WCDMA	Band 2	VO	No[1]	WLAN, BT	CMRS Voice	No
	Band 4			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 5			WLAN, BT		No
	HSPA	VD	No[1]	WLAN, BT	Google Meet	No
LTE (FDD)	Band 2	VD	No[1]	WLAN, BT	VoLTE / Google Meet	No
	Band 4			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 5			WLAN, BT		No
LTE (TDD)	Band 41	VD	Yes	WLAN, BT		No
Wi-Fi	2450	VD	No[1]	WCDMA, LTE, 5G WLAN	VoWiFi / Google Meet	No
	5200			WCDMA, LTE, 2.4GHz WLAN, BT		No
	5300			WCDMA, LTE, 2.4GHz WLAN, BT		No
BT	2450	DT	No	WCDMA, LTE, 5G WLAN	NA	No

Type Transport:
VO= Voice only
DT= Digital Transport only (no voice)
VD= CMRS and IP Voice Service over Digital Transport

Remark:
1. The air interface is exempted from testing by low power exemption that its average antenna input power plus its MIF is ≤17 dBm, and is rated as M4.

6. *Measurement System Specification*

Fig 5.1 System Configurations

6.1 *E-Field Probe System*

E-Field Probe Specification
<ER3DV6>

Construction	One dipole parallel, two dipoles normal to probe axis Built-in shielding against static charges	
Calibration	In air from 100 MHz to 3.0 GHz (absolute accuracy ±6.0％, k=2)	
Frequency	100 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 2.0 dB (100 MHz to 3 GHz)	
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in air (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in air (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	2 V/m to 1000 V/m (M3 or better device readings fall well below diode compression point)	
Linearity	± 0.2 dB	
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.5 mm	**Fig 5.2 Photo of E-field Probe**

Probe Tip Description:
HAC field measurements take place in the close near field with high gradients. Increasing the measuring distance from the source will generally decrease the measured field values (in case of the validation dipole approx. 10％per mm).

6.2 Data Storage and Evaluation

The DASY software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files.

Probe parameters：	- Sensitivity	$Norm_i$, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}
	- Conversion factor	$ConvF_i$
	- Diode compression point	dcp_i
Device parameters：	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters：	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

The formula for each channel can be given as：

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with　V_i = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)

　　　U_i = input signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)

　　　cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)

　　　dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals, the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated：

$$\text{E-field Probes：} E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

with　V_i = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)

　　　$Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i, (i = x, y, z), $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E-field Probes

　　　ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

　　　f = carrier frequency [GHz]

　　　E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude)：

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

7. *RF Emissions Test Procedure*

Referenced from ANSI C63.19 -2011 section 5.5.1

a. Confirm the proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, and other instrumentation and the positioning system.
b. Position the WD in its intended test position.
c. Set the WD to transmit a fixed and repeatable combination of signal power and modulation characteristic that is representative of the worst case (highest interference potential) encountered in normal use. Transiently occurring start-up, changeover, or termination conditions, or other operations likely to occur less than 1% of the time during normal operation, may be excluded from consideration.
d. The center sub-grid shall be centered on the T-Coil mode perpendicular measurement point or the acoustic output, as appropriate. Locate the field probe at the initial test position in the 50 mm by 50 mm grid, which is contained in the measurement plane, refer to illustrated in Figure 8.2. If the field alignment method is used, align the probe for maximum field reception.
e. Record the reading at the output of the measurement system.
f. Scan the entire 50 mm by 50 mm region in equality spaced increments and record the reading at each measurement point, The distance between measurement points shall be sufficient to assure the identification of the maximum reading.
g. Identify the five contiguous sub-grids around the center sub-grid whose maximum reading is the lowest of all available choices. This eliminates the three sub-grids with the maximum readings. Thus, the six areas to be used to determine the WD's highest emissions are identified.
h. Identify the maximum reading within the non-excluded sub-grids identified in step g).
i. Indirect measurement method
j. The RF audio interference level in dB (V/m) is obtained by adding the MIF (in dB) to the maximum steady-state rms field-strength reading, in dB (V/m)
k. Compare this RF audio interference level with the categories in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 8 and record the resulting WD category rating.
l. For the T-Coil perpendicular measurement location is ≥5.0 mm from the center of the acoustic output, then two different 50 mm by 50 mm areas may need to be scanned, the first for the microphone mode assessment and the second for the T-Coil assessment.
m. The second for the T-Coil assessment, with the grid shifted so that it is centered on the perpendicular measurement point. Record the WD category rating.

Test Instructions



Figure 8.1 RF Emissions Flow Chart

**Fig 8.2 EUT reference and plane for HAC RF emission measurements**

Fig. 8.3 Gauge block with E-field probe

8. Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	2600Mhz Calibration Dipole	CD2600V3	1010	Apr. 20, 2022	Apr. 18, 2024
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	699	Feb. 22, 2023	Feb. 21, 2024
SPEAG	Isotropic E-Field Probe	EF3DV3	4047	Jan. 17, 2023	Jan. 16, 2024
Testo	Hygro meter	608-H1	45196600	Nov. 02, 2022	Nov. 01, 2023
R&S	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	CMW500	115793	Nov. 30, 2022	Nov. 29, 2023
SPEAG	Test Arch Phantom	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
Anritsu	Signal Generator	MG3710A	6201502524	Sep. 27, 2023	Sep. 26, 2024
Anritsu	Power Meter	ML2496A	2119003	Jul. 19, 2023	Jul. 18, 2024
Anritsu	Power Sensor	MA2411B	1911334	Jul. 19, 2023	Jul. 18, 2024
ATM	Dual Directional Coupler	C122H-10	P610410z-02	NCR	NCR
Woken	Attenuator	WK0602-XX	N/A	NCR	NCR
Anritsu	Spectrum Analyzer	MS2830A	6201396378	Jul. 10, 2023	Jul. 09, 2024
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZVE-8G+	479102029	Sep. 14, 2023	Sep. 13, 2024
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZHL-42W+	715701915	May. 19, 2023	May. 18, 2024

Note:

1. NCR: "No-Calibration Required"
2. The dipole calibration interval can be extended to 3 years with justification according to KDB 865664 D01. The dipoles are also not physically damaged, or repaired during the interval. The justification data in appendix C can be found which the return loss is < -20dB, within 20% of prior calibration, the impedance is within 5 ohm of prior calibration for each dipole.

9. *Measurement System Validation*

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the test Arch and a corresponding distance holder.

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal HAC measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

<Test Setup>

1. In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator.
2. The center point of the probe element(s) is 15mm from the closest surface of the dipole elements.
3. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the arch phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:
4. The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 20dBm (100mW) before dipole is connected.


Fig. 7.1 Setup Diagram

<Validation Results>

Comparing to the original E-field value provided by SPEAG, the verification data should be within its specification of 25 %. Table 6.1 shows the target value and measured value. The table below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to appendix A of this report.

Deviation = ((Average E-field Value) - (Target value)) / (Target value) * 100%

Frequency (MHz)	Input Power (dBm)	Target Value (V/m)	E-Field 1 (V/m)	E-Field 2 (V/m)	Average Value (V/m)	Deviation (%)	Date
2600	20	82.9	87.63	88.5	88.065	6.23	Oct. 23, 2023

10. *Modulation Interference Factor*

The HAC Standard ANSI C63.19-2011 defines a new scaling using the Modulation Interference Factor (MIF). For any specific fixed and repeatable modulated signal, a modulation interference factor (MIF, expressed in dB) may be developed that relates its interference potential to its steady-state rms signal level or average power level. This factor is a function only of the audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristics of the signal and is the same for field-strength and conducted power measurements. It is important to emphasize that the MIF is valid only for a specific repeatable audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristic. Any change in modulation characteristic requires determination and application of a new MIF

The Modulation Interference factor (MIF, in dB) is added to the measured average E-field (in dBV/m) and converts it to the RF Audio Interference level (in dBV/m). This level considers the audible amplitude modulation components in the RF E-field. CW fields without amplitude modulation are assumed to not interfere with the hearing aid electronics. Modulations without time slots and low fluctuations at low frequencies have low MIF values, TDMA modulations with narrow transmission and repetition rates of few 100 Hz have high MIF values and give similar classifications as ANSI C63.19-2011.

ER3D, EF3D and EU2D E-field probes have a bandwidth <10 kHz and can therefore not evaluate the RF envelope in the full audio band. DASY52 is therefore using the indirect measurement method according to ANSI C63.19-2011 which is the primary method. These near field probes read the averaged E-field measurement. Especially for the new high peak-to-average (PAR) signal types, the probes shall be linearized by PMR calibration in order to not overestimate the field reading. Probe Modulation Response (PMR) calibration linearizes the probe response over its dynamic range for specific modulations which are characterized by their UID and result in an uncertainty specified in the probe calibration certificate. The MIF is characteristic for a given waveform envelope and can be used as a constant conversion factor if the probe has been PMR calibrated.

The evaluation method for the MIF is defined in ANSI C63.19-2011 section D.7. An RMS demodulated RF signal is fed to a spectral filter (similar to an A weighting filter) and forwarded to a temporal filter acting as a quasi-peak detector. The averaged output of these filtering is scaled to a 1 kHz 80% AM signal as reference. MIF measurement requires additional instrumentation and is not well suited for evaluation by the end user with reasonable uncertainty. It may alliteratively be determined through analysis and simulation, because it is constant and characteristic for a communication signal. DASY52 uses well-defined signals for PMR calibration. The MIF of these signals has been determined by simulation and it is automatically applied.

The MIF measurement uncertainty is estimated as follows, declared by HAC equipment provider SPEAG, for modulation frequencies from slotted waveforms with fundamental frequency and at least 2 harmonics within 10 kHz:

1. 0.2 dB for MIF: -7 to +5 dB
2. 0.5 dB for MIF: -13 to +11 dB
3. 1 dB for MIF: > -20 dB

MIF values applied in this test report were provided by the HAC equipment provider of SPEAG, and the worst values for all air interface are listed below to be determine the Low-power Exemption.

UID	Communication System Name	MIF(dB)
10460	UMTS-FDD(WCDMA, AMR)	-25.43
10225	UMTS-FDD (HSPA+)	-20.39
10170	LTE-FDD(SC-FDMA,1RB,20MHz,16-QAM)	-9.76
10173	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, 16-QAM)	-1.44
10061	IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 11 Mbps)	-2.02
10077	IEEE 802.11g WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS/OFDM, 54 Mbps)	0.12
10427	IEEE 802.11n (HT Greeneld, 150 Mbps, 64-QAM)	-13.44
10069	IEEE 802.11a/h WiFi 5 GHz (OFDM, 54 Mbps)	-3.15
10616	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (40MHz, MCS0, 90pc duty cycle)	-5.57

11. Low-power Exemption

<WWAN Max Tune-up Limit>

Mode		Average Power (dBm)
WCDMA	Band II	19.5
	Band V	24.0
FDD LTE	Band 2	19.5
	Band 4	23.5
	Band 5	23.5
TDD LTE	Band 41	24.0

<Low Power Exemption>

Air Interface	Max Average Antenna Input Power (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Power + MIF(dB)	C63.19 test required
WCDMA	24.00	-25.43	-1.43	No
WCDMA - HSPA	24.00	-20.39	3.61	No
LTE - FDD	23.50	-9.76	13.74	No
LTE – TDD	24.00	-1.44	22.56	Yes

General Note:

1. According to ANSI C63.19 2011-version, for the air interface technology of a device is exempt from testing when its average antenna input power plus its MIF is ≤17 dBm for any of its operating modes.
2. HAC RF rating is M4 for the air interface which meets the low power exemption.

<WLAN Max Tune-up Limit>

Mode		Average Power (dBm)
2.4GHz WLAN	802.11b	13.0
	802.11g	14.5
	802.11n-HT20	14.5
	802.11n-HT40	14.5
5GHz WLAN	802.11a	14.5
	802.11n-HT20	14.5
	802.11n-HT40	14.5
	802.11ac-VHT20	14.5
	802.11ac-VHT40	14.5
	802.11ac-VHT80	14.0

<Low Power Exemption>

Air Interface	Max Average Antenna Input Power (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Power + MIF(dB)	C63.19 test required
802.11b	13.00	-2.02	10.98	No
802.11g	14.50	0.12	14.62	No
802.11n-HT20	14.50	-13.44	1.06	No
802.11n-HT40	14.50	-13.44	1.06	No
802.11a	14.50	-3.15	11.35	No
802.11n-HT20	14.50	-13.44	1.06	No
802.11n-HT40	14.50	-13.44	1.06	No
802.11ac-VHT20	14.50	-5.57	8.93	No
802.11ac-VHT40	14.50	-5.57	8.93	No
802.11ac-VHT80	14.00	-5.57	8.43	No

General Note:
1. According to ANSI C63.19 2011-version, for the air interface technology of a device is exempt from testing when its average antenna input power plus its MIF is ≤17 dBm for any of its operating modes.
2. HAC RF rating is M4 for the air interface which meets the low power exemption.

12. *HAC RF Emission Test Results*

Plot No.	Air Interface	BW (MHz)	Modulation / Mode	RB Size	RB offset	Channel	Average Antenna Input Power (dBm)	MIF	E-Field (dBV/m)	Margin to FCC M3 limit (dB)	E-Field M Rating
1	LTE Band 41	20M	QPSK	1	0	40340	23.38	-1.44	15.88	19.12	M4
2	LTE Band 41	20M	QPSK	1	0	40740	23.53	-1.44	15.21	19.79	M4
3	LTE Band 41	20M	QPSK	1	0	41140	23.6	-1.44	14.35	20.65	M4

Remark:
1. The HAC measurement system applies MIF value onto the measured RMS E-field, which is indirect method in ANSI C63.19 2011 version, and reports the RF audio interference level.
2. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume

Test Engineer：Henry Chou and Randy Lin

13. *Uncertainty Assessment*

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances. Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is showed in Table 12.1.

The judgment of conformity in the report is based on the measurement results excluding the measurement uncertainty.

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (E)	Standard Uncertainty (E)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	5.1	Normal	1	1	± 5.1 %
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7 %
Sensor Displacement	16.5	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 9.5 %
Boundary Effects	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.4 %
Phantom Boundary Effects	7.2	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 4.1 %
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7 %
Scaling with PMR Calibration	10.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.77 %
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6 %
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3 %
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.5 %
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.5 %
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7 %
RF Reflections	12.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 6.9 %
Probe Positioner	1.2	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.7 %
Probe Positioning	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7 %
Extrap. and Interpolation	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6 %
Test Sample Related					
Device Positioning Vertical	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7 %
Device Positioning Lateral	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6 %
Device Holder and Phantom	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.4 %
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.9 %
Phantom and Setup Related					
Phantom Thickness	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.4 %
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 16.30 %
Coverage Factor for 95 %					K = 2
Combined Std. Uncertainty					± 16.30 %
Coverage Factor for 95 %					K = 2
Expanded STD Uncertainty					± 32.6 %
Declaration of Conformity: The test results with all measurement uncertainty excluded are presented in accordance with the regulation limits or requirements declared by manufacturers.					
Comments and Explanations: The declared of product specification for EUT presented in the report are provided by the manufacturer, and the manufacturer takes all the responsibilities for the accuracy of product specification.					

Uncertainty Budget of HAC free field assessment

14. References

[1] ANSI C63.19-2011, "American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids", 27 May 2011.

[2] FCC KDB 285076 D01v06r04, "Equipment Authorization Guidance for Hearing Aid Compatibility", Sep. 2023.

[3] FCC KDB 285076 D03v01r06, "Hearing aid compatibility frequently asked questions", Jul. 2022

[4] SPEAG DASY System Handbook