

FCC SAR TEST REPORT

Shenzhen Jingwah Information Technology Co., Ltd. APPLICANT

Tablet PC PRODUCT NAME

MODEL NAME ST7150, P7100, EM795, T7000, M710R :

TRADE NAME Smartab, Polaroid, Emerson, Packard Bell

N/A BRAND NAME

FCC ID RBD-M710R2

47 CFR 2.1093 STANDARD(S) IEEE 1528-2013

ISSUE DATE 2017-07-17

SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.





DIRECTORY

1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT	TEST REPORT DECLARATION	5
1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT		
1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT	1.TECHNICAL INFORMATION	5
1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF MANUFACTURER		
1.3 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)	1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT	6
1.3.1 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT	1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF MANUFACTURER	6
1.3.2 IDENTIFICATION OF ALL USED EUT	1.3 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)	6
1.4 APPLIED REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	1.3.1 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT	6
1.5 DEVICE CATEGORY AND SAR LIMITS	1.3.2 IDENTIFICATION OF ALL USED EUT	7
2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR) 8 2.1 INTRODUCTION 8 2.2 SAR DEFINITION 8 3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP 9 3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM 99 3.2 PROBE 99 3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS 11 3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 11 3.5 UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15 5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST 15		
2.1 INTRODUCTION	1.5 DEVICE CATEGORY AND SAR LIMITS	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION		
2.2 SAR DEFINITION 8 3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP 9 3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM 9 3.2 PROBE 9 3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS 11 3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15	2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)	<u>8</u>
2.2 SAR DEFINITION 8 3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP 9 3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM 9 3.2 PROBE 9 3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS 11 3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15		
3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP		
3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM 9 3.2 PROBE 9 3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS 11 3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15	2.2 SAR DEFINITION	8
3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM 9 3.2 PROBE 9 3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS 11 3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15	3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	g
3.2 PROBE 9 3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS 11 3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15 5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST 15		
3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS 11 3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 12 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15 5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST 15	3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM-	9
3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE	3.2 PROBE	9
3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15	3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS	11
3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 11 3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15	3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE	11
3.4 PHANTOM 12 3.5 DEVICE HOLDER 12 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS 13 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 15 5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST 15	3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE	11
3.5 DEVICE HOLDER	3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE	11
4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS		
5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT15	3.5 DEVICE HOLDER	12
5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT15	4 TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	13
5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST ·······15	7. HISSOL SIMULATING LIQUIDS	13
	5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT	·····15
	5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST	15



6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION18
6.1 SYSTEM SETUP18
6.2 VALIDATION RESULTS······19
7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST20
7.1 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATIONS20
7.2 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE20
7.3 DESCRIPTION OF INTERPOLATION/EXTRAPOLATION SCHEME······21
8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION22
9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER23
10. TEST RESULTS LIST24
11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT26
12. MULTIPLE TRANSMITTERS EVALUATION27
13 ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS28
14 ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION28
15 ANNEX C SYSTEM CHECK DATA28
16 ANNEX D SETUP PHOTOS28
ANNEX AGENERAL INFORMATION29
ANNEX B SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA31
ANNEX C SETUP PHOTOS33
ANNEX D PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS35



Change History			
Issue Date Reason for change			
1.0 2017-07-17 First edition			



TEST REPORT DECLARATION

Applicant	Shenzhen Jingwah Information Technology Co., Ltd.		
Applicant Address	4F, Bldg 4, Jinghua Square, No.1 Huafa North Road, Futian District, Shenzhen, China		
Manufacturer	Shenzhen Jingwal	n Information Tech	nnology Co., Ltd.
Manufacturer Address	4F, Bldg 4, Jinghua Square, No.1 Huafa North Road, Futian District, Shenzhen, China		
Product Name	Tablet PC		
Model Name	ST7150, P7100, EM795, T7000, M710R		
Brand Name	N/A		
HW Version	F86NS		
SW Version	Android 7.1		
Test Standards	47 CFR 2.1093; IEEE 1528-2013;		
Test Date	2017-07-13 to 2017-07-14		
The Highest Reported 1g-SAR(W/kg)	Body-worn	1.358W/kg	Limit(W/kg): 1.6W/kg

Tested by	: <u> </u>	leng runes
·		Peng Fuwei (Test engineer)

Approved by :

Peng Huarui (Supervisor)





1.TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Note: the Following data is based on the information by the applicant.

1.1 Identification of Applicant

Company Name:	pany Name: Shenzhen Jingwah Information Technology Co., Ltd.	
Address: 4F, Bldg 4, Jinghua Square, No.1 Huafa North Road, Futian		
	Shenzhen, China	

1.2 Identification of Manufacturer

Company Name:	Shenzhen Jingwah Information Technology Co., Ltd.	
Address:	4F, Bldg 4, Jinghua Square, No.1 Huafa North Road, Futian	
	District, Shenzhen, China	

1.3 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Model Name:	ST7150, P7100, EM795, T7000, M710R	
Trade Name:	Smartab, Polaroid, Emerson, Packard Bell	
Brand Name:	N/A	
Hardware Version:	F86NS	
Software Version:	Android 7.1	
Tx Frequency Bands:	802.11 b/g/n: 2412-2462 MHz;	
	Bluetooth;2.1+EDR;	
Uplink Modulations:	Wi-Fi 802.11b: DSSS; Wi-Fi 802.11g: OFDM;	
	Wi-Fi 802.11n:OFDM;	
	Bluetooth2.1+EDR: GFSK/π/4-DQPSK/8-DPSK;	
Antenna type:	Fixed Internal Antenna	
Development Stage:	Identical prototype	
Hotspot mode No support		

1.3.1 Photographs of the EUT

Please refer to the External Photos for the Photos of the EUT





1.3.2 Identification of all used EUT

The EUT identity consists of numerical and letter characters, the letter character indicates the test sample, and the Following two numerical characters indicate the software version of the test sample.

EUT Identity 1#		Hardware Version	Software Version
		F86NS	Android 7.1

1.4 Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

No.	Identity	Document Title	
		IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak	
1	IEEE 1528-2013	Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the	
'	IEEE 1320-2013	Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices:	
		Measurement Techniques	
2	KDB 447498 D01v06	General RF Exposure Guidance	
3	KDB 248227 D01v02r02	SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters	
4	KDB 865664 D01v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz	
5	KDB 865664 D02v01r02	SAR Reporting	
6	KDB 616217 D04v01r02	SAR for laptop and Tablets	

1.5 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.





2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

2.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are Low than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

2.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density. (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by,

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where C is the specific head capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and |E| is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

3.1 The Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the Following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The Following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

3.2 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with Following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter: 6.5 mm





- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm


 Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)

Probe linearity: <0.25 dBAxial Isotropy: <0.25 dBSpherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB

- Calibration range: 835to 2500MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antennessa proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annex technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4(P_{fw} - P_{bw})}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{-(2z/\delta)}$$

Where:

Pfw = Forward Power Pbw = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

ı = Skin depth





Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter = ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE = MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N)=SAR(N)/VIin(N)$$
 (N=1,2,3)

The linearised output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

$$Vlin(N)=V(N)^*(1+V(N)/DCP(N))$$
 (N=1,2,3)

Where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

3.3 Probe Calibration Process

3.3.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

3.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm².

3.3.3 Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

 $\delta t = \text{exposure time (30 seconds)},$





$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

 δT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

 σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

 ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

3.4 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

3.5 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is Low than 1°.



Device holder

System Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
Delrin	3.7	0.005





4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in below table.

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

Frequency Band (MHz)	2450	2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	
Ingredients (% by weight	ght)		
Deionised Water	62.70	73.20	
Salt(NaCl)	0.50	0.10	
Sugar	0.00	0.00	
Tween 20	0.00	0.00	
HEC	0.00	0.00	
Bactericide	0.00	0.00	
Triton X-100	36.80	0.00	
DGBE	0.00	26.70	
Diethylenglycol	0.00	0.00	
monohexylether	0.00	0.00	
Measured dielectric parameters			
Dielectric Constant	39.20	52.70	
Conductivity (S/m)	1.80	1.95	

Note: Please refer to the validation results for dielectric parameters of each frequency band.

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.



Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid

Temperature: 22.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.								
Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Meas.	Target	Delta(%)	Limit±(%)		
2017/07/12	Body 2450	Relative Permittivity(er):	52.48	52.70	-0.42	5		
2017/07/13		Conductivity(σ):	1.96	1.95	0.51	5		
2017/07/12	Hood 24F0	Relative Permittivity(er):	39.23	39.20	0.08	5		
2017/07/13 H	Head 2450	Conductivity(σ):	1.82	1.80	1.11	5		



5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The Following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528. The values are determined by Antennessa.

5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST

а	b	С	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+- %)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.7	8
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	8
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	8
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	8
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	8
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.0	8
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	8
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	8
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	8
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1 5	8
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8 9	∞
Test sample Related									
Test sample positioning	E.4.2.	0.03	N	1	1	1	0.03	0.0	N- 1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.	5.00	N	1	1	1	5.00	5.0	N-



	1	1		I	1	T	1	1	
	1							0	1
Output power Power drift -	6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3	∞
SAR drift measurement								3	
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1		0.0	8
(Shape and thickness							0.03	3	
tolerances)								3	
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	8
deviation from target value								3	
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.3	5.00	N	1	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.1	М
measurement uncertainty								5	
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0	8
deviation from target value								4	
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.3	10.0	N	1	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.9	М
measurement uncertainty		0						0	
Combined Standard			RSS				11.55	10.	
Uncertainty								67	
Expanded Uncertainty			K=2				23.11	21.	
(95% Confidence interval)								33	

5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

а	b	С	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+- %)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.7	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.0	∞




Reponse Time										
RF ambient Conditions	Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner E.6.2 2.0 R √3 1 1 1.15 1.1 ∞	Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	8
Mechanical Tolerance S Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell E.6.3 0.05 R √3 1 1 0.03 0.0 ∞ Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation E.5.2 5.0 R √3 1 1 2.89 2.8 ∞ Dipole Dipole Dipole axis to liquid 8,E.4. 1.00 N √3 1 1 0.58 0.5 ∞ Distance 2 4.04 R √3 1 1 2.33 2.3 ∞ Phantom and Tissue Parameters Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances) E.3.1 0.05 R √3 1 1 0.03 0.0 ∞ Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 4.57 R √3 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.2 M Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 3.69 R √3 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0	RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell E.6.3 0.05 R √3 1 1 0.03 0.0 ∞	Probe positioner	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
Extrapolation, E.5.2 5.0 R √3 1 1 2.89 2.8 ∞	Mechanical Tolerance								5	
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation Dipole Dipole Dipole Dipole Distance Distance Distance Dipole Dipole Distance Dipole Dipole Distance Dipole	Probe positioning with	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0	∞
interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation Image: Combined Standard Image: Co	respect to Phantom Shell								3	
integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation Image: Combined Standard Image: Combined Standard <td>Extrapolation,</td> <td>E.5.2</td> <td>5.0</td> <td>R</td> <td>$\sqrt{3}$</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2.89</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>∞</td>	Extrapolation,	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation Image: square problem of the proble	interpolation and								9	
Dipole Dipole axis to liquid 8,E.4. 1.00 N √3 1 1 0.58 0.5 ∞ Distance 2 4.04 R √3 1 1 2.33 2.3 ∞ Input power and SAR drift measurement 8,6.6. 4.04 R √3 1 1 2.33 2.3 ∞ Phantom and Tissue Parameters Phantom Uncertainty E.3.1 0.05 R √3 1 1 0.03 0.0 ∞ (Shape and thickness tolerances) E.3.2 4.57 R √3 0.64 0.43 1.69 1.1 ∞ Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value E.3.3 5.00 N √3 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.2 M Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 3.69 R √3 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0 ∞ Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.3 10.0 N √3	integration Algoritms for									
Dipole axis to liquid Distance 8,E.4. 1.00 N √3 1 1 0.58 0.5 ∞ Input power and SAR drift measurement 8,6.6. 4.04 R √3 1 1 2.33 2.3 ∞ Phantom and Tissue Parameters Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances) E.3.1 0.05 R √3 1 1 0.03 0.0 ∞ Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 4.57 R √3 0.64 0.43 1.69 1.1 ∞ Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.2 3.69 R √3 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.2 M Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 3.69 R √3 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0 ∞ Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 10.0 N √3 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M Combined Standard RSS 8.83	Max. SAR Evaluation									
Distance 2 4.04 R √3 1 1 2.33 2.3 ∞ Phantom and Tissue Parameters Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances) E.3.1 0.05 R √3 1 1 0.03 0.0 ∞ Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 4.57 R √3 0.64 0.43 1.69 1.1 ∞ Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value E.3.3 5.00 N √3 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.2 M Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 3.69 R √3 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0 ∞ Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.3 10.0 N √3 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.3 10.0 N √3 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M Combined Standard RSS B.83	Dipole									
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dipole axis to liquid	8,E.4.	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	8
measurement 2 Image: square	Distance	2							8	
Phantom and Tissue Parameters Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances) E.3.1 0.05 R √3 1 1 0.03 0.0 ∞ Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 4.57 R √3 0.64 0.43 1.69 1.1 ∞ Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 5.00 N √3 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.2 M Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 3.69 R √3 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0 ∞ Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 10.0 N √3 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M Combined Standard RSS 8.83 8.83 8.3	Input power and SAR drift	8,6.6.	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3	8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	measurement	2							3	
	Phantom and Tissue Para	meters								
tolerances) E.3.2 4.57 R $\sqrt{3}$ 0.64 0.43 1.69 1.1 ∞ deviation from target value E.3.3 5.00 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.2 M Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.2 3.69 R $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0 ∞ Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.3 10.0 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 10.0 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M Combined Standard RSS 8.83 8.3	Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0	8
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 4.57 R $\sqrt{3}$ 0.64 0.43 1.69 1.1 ∞ Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 5.00 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.2 M Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 3.69 R $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0 ∞ Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 10.0 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M Combined Standard RSS 8.83 8.83 8.3	(Shape and thickness								3	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	tolerances)									
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 5.00 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.64 0.43 1.85 1.2 M Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value E.3.2 3.69 R $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0 \propto Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 10.0 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M Combined Standard RSS 8.83 8.83 8.3	Liquid conductivity -	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	∞
measurement uncertainty $E.3.2$ 3.69 R $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 1.28 1.0 ∞ deviation from target value $E.3.3$ 10.0 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M measurement uncertainty 0 RSS 0.6 0.89 0.6 0.89 0.883 0.883	deviation from target value								3	
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value $\begin{bmatrix} E.3.2 \\ D.3.2 \\ D.42 \\ D.43 \\ D.54 \\ D.55 \\ D.$	Liquid conductivity -	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.2	М
deviation from target value	measurement uncertainty								4	
Liquid permittivity - E.3.3 10.0 N $\sqrt{3}$ 0.6 0.49 3.46 2.8 M measurement uncertainty 0 RSS 8.83 8.3	Liquid permittivity -	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0	8
measurement uncertainty03Combined StandardRSS8.838.3	deviation from target value								4	
Combined Standard RSS 8.83 8.3	Liquid permittivity -	E.3.3	10.0	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.8	М
	measurement uncertainty		0						3	
Uncertainty.	Combined Standard			RSS				8.83	8.3	
Oncertainty	Uncertainty								7	
Expanded Uncertainty K=2 17.66 16.	Expanded Uncertainty			K=2				17.66	16.	
(95% Confidence interval) 73	(95% Confidence interval)								73	



6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION

6.1 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level.



After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

6.2 Validation Results

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

Fraguency	2450MHz	2450MHz
Frequency	(Head)	(Body)
Target	23.86	56.13
value 1W	_0.00	000
(1g)	W/Kg	W/Kg
Test value		
(100 mW	2.374	5.556
input	W/Kg	W/Kg
power)		
Normalize	23.74	55.56
d to 1W		
value(1g)	W/Kg	W/Kg

Note: System checks the specific test data please see Annex C.



7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

7.1 Body-worn Configurations

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration.

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.




Illustration for Body Worn Position

7.2 Measurement procedure

The Following steps are used for each test position

- 1. Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface.
- 2. Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- 3. Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- 4. Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.



7.3 Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimize measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1mm step.


The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.





8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION

The UMPC mini-tablet procedures must also be applied to test the SAR of all surfaces and edges with an antenna located at \leq 25 mm from that surface or edge, in direct contact with a flat phantom, for 10-g extremity SAR according to the body-equivalent tissue dielectric parameters in KDB Publication 865664 D01 to address interactive hand use exposure conditions.



Assessment	SAR Test Positon					
	Test distance: 0mm					
Antennas	Back	Front	Edge A	Edge B	Edge C	Edge D
WLAN&BT	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No



9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER

1. Wi-Fi 2.4GHz Average output power

			Output Power(dBm)				
Band	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11b (DSSS)	802.11g (OFDM)	802.11n20 (OFDM)		
\A/: - :	1	2412	14.84	10.20	9.08		
Wi-Fi 2.4GHz	6	2437	14.35	9.92	9.22		
2.4902	11	2462	14.87	10.24	9.31		

			Output
Band	Channel	Frequency	Power(dBm)
		(MHz)	802.11n40
			(OFDM)
Wi-Fi	3	2422	9.57
2.4GHz	6	2437	9.16
	9	2452	8.68

2. BT peak output power

Band Channel	Channal	Frequency	Output Power(dBm)			
	Channel	(MHz)	GFSK	π/4-DQPSK	8-DPSK	
	0	2402	4.71	4.13	4.26	
BT	39	2441	5.28	4.72	4.90	
-	78	2480	5.10	4.60	4.72	



10. TEST RESULTS LIST

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11b Band)

	Temperatu	re: 21.0~23.8	3°C, humidity:	54~60%.	
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Device Test channel	SAR(W/Kg) , 1g Peak	Scaling Factor	Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 1g
Body (0mm		1	1.222	1.038	1.268
	Back upward	6	1.244	1.035	1.288
		11	1.318	1.030	1.358
Separation)		1	0.741	1.038	0.769
	Edge A	6	0.800	1.035	0.828
		11	0.776	1.030	0.799

Notes:

- 1. Adjust SAR for OFDM is 1.358*1.48/14.87=0.135W/Kg<1.2, so SAR is not required for OFDM modes.
- 2. SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:
 - 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
 - 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.
- 3. 2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.
- 4. For held-to-ear and hotspot operations, the initial test position procedures were applied. The test position with the highest extrapolated peak SAR will be used as the initial test position. When reported SAR for the initial test position is ≤ 0.4 W/kg, no additional testing for the



remaining test positions was required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR positions until the reported SAR result is ≤ 0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.

- 5. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01DR02-41929 for 2.4 GHz Wi-Fi single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR.
- 6. During test, the duty cycle of the EUT was setting to 100%
- 7. Scaling Factor calculation

Band	Tune up newer telerence(dPm)	SAR test channel	Scaling	
Dallu	Tune-up power tolerance(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Factor	
WiFi 2.4GHz	Max output power =15(+0.5 -2)	14.84	1.038	
(channel 1)		14.04	1.030	
WiFi 2.4GHz	May output power –14 F(10 F, 2)	44.05	1.035	
(channel 6)	Max output power =14.5(+0.5 -2)	14.35	1.033	
WiFi 2.4GHz	May output power –15(10.5, 2)	14.07	1.020	
(channel 11)	Max output power =15(+0.5 -2)	14.87	1.030	



11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

			Meas.SAR(W/kg)		Largest to
Band	Test Position	Test Channel	Original	Panastad	Smallest SAR
			Original Repeated Ratio	Ratio	
2.4G Wifi		1	1.222	1.220	1.002
	Back upward	6	1.244	1.235	1.007
		11	1.318	1.311	1.005
	Edge A	6	0.800	0.782	1.023

			Meas.SAR(W/kg)		Largest to	
Band	Test Position	Test Channel	Original	Papaatad	Smallest SAR Ratio	
			Original Repeated	Ratio		
2.4G Wifi		1	1.222	1.216	1.005	
	Back upward	6	1.244	1.231	1.011	
		11	1.318	1.316	1.002	



12. MULTIPLE TRANSMITTERS EVALUATION

Stand-alone SAR

Test distance: 5mm				
Band	Highest power(mW) per tune up	1-g SAR test threshold	Test required?	
W. E.(0.40)		[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance,		
Wi-Fi(2.4G) 30.69		mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] • [√f(GHz)] ≤	Yes	
ВТ	3.37	3.0 for 1-g SAR	No	

The SAR test for BT is not required.

The SAR test for 802.11b(2.4GHz) is required, 802.11g/HT20 is not required, for the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB Higher than measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels. As per KDB 248227

The BT stand-alone SAR is not required, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[√f(GHz)/x] W/kg for test separation distances ≤ 50 mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR, and x = 18.75 for 10-g SAR.

(Max power=3.37 mW; min. test separation distance= 5mm for Body; f=2.4GHz)

BT estimated Head SAR =1.05 W/Kg (1g)

Simultaneous SAR

Simultaneous Transmission SAR evaluation is not required for BT and WiFi, because the software mechanism have been incorporated to guarantee that the WLAN and Bluetooth transmitters would not simultaneously operate.





13 ANNEX A GENERAL INFORMATION

14 ANNEX B SYSTEM CHECK DATA

15ANNEX C SETUP PHOTOS

16ANNEX D PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS





ANNEX A GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

The state of the s	
Company Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
Department:	Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang
	Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong
	Province, P. R. China
Responsible Test Lab Manager:	Mr. Su Feng
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525

2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
	Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang
	Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong
	Province, P. R. China





3. List of Test Equipments

No. Instrument		Tuno	Cal. Date	Cal.
NO.	mstrument	Туре	Cal. Date	Due
1	PC	Dell (Pentium IV 2.4GHz,	(n.a)	(n.a)
'	FO	SN:X10-23533) (n.a		(11.a)
2	Network Emulator	Aglient (8960, SN:10752)	2017-6-7	1year
3	Network Analyzer	Agilent(E5071B ,SN:MY42404762)	2017-7-8	1year
4	Voltmeter	Keithley (2000, SN:1000572)	2017-7-8	1year
5	Signal Generator	Rohde&Schwarz (SMP_02)	2017-7-8	1year
6	Power Amplifier	PRANA (Ap32 SV125AZ)	2017-7-8	1year
7	Power Meter	Agilent (E4416A, SN:MY45102093)	2017-7-8	1year
8	Power Sensor	Agilent (N8482A, SN:MY41091706)	2017-7-8	1year
9	Directional coupler	Giga-tronics(SN:1829112)	2017-7-24	1year
10	Probe	Satimo (SN:SN 37/08 EP80)	2017-7-5	1year
11	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent (85033E)	2017-7-5	1year
12	Phantom	Satimo (SN:SN_36_08_SAM62)	N/A	N/A
13	Liquid	Satimo(Last Calibration: 2017-07-13)	N/A	N/A
14	Dipole 2450MHz	Satimo (SN 30/13 DIP2G450-263)	2017-7-5	1year
15	Waveguide 5-6GHz	Satimo (SN 41/12 WGA21)	2017-7-5	1year
16	Thermo meter	KTJ(mode-01)	2017-7-5	1year



ANNEX B SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA

System Performance Check Data(Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.07.13

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 27 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


t por initialitati contantionor	
Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	
Band	2450MHz
Channels	
Signal	CW

B. SAR Measurement Results

Band SAR

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.480397
Conductivity (S/m)	1.958859
Power Drift (%)	0.630000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1








Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=6.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.642158	
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.556275	

Z Axis Scan





ANNEX C SETUP PHOTOS

1.Back upward Position



2. Edge A (0mm)





3. Liquid Level Photo Body Liquid



Liquid depth :15.5cm

***** END OF REPORT *****



ANNEX D PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS

MEASUREMENT 1

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.07.13

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 59 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane	
Device Position	<u>Body</u>	
<u>Band</u>	<u>IEEE 802.11b ISM</u>	
<u>Channels</u>	Low	
<u>Signal</u>	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

Lower Band SAR (Channel 1):

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.480397
Conductivity (S/m)	1.958859
Power drift (%)	-1.240000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1






Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=-1.00 SAR Peak: 2.25 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.545456
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	1.222151

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	2.2434	1.3430	0.6485	0.2852	0.1148	0.0477	0.0261
(W/Kg)							
	2.2- 2.0- 2.5- 1.5- 1.0- 0.5- 0.0-	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	







MEASUREMENT 2

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.07.13

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 57 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	surf_sam_plan.txt, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane	
Device Position	<u>Body</u>	
<u>Band</u>	<u>IEEE 802.11b ISM</u>	
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>	
<u>Signal</u>	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

Middle Band SAR (Channel 6):

Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.710667
Conductivity (S/m)	1.942536
Power drift (%)	-0.040000
Ambient Temperature:	22.8°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.7°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1






Maximum location: X=9.00, Y=3.00 SAR Peak: 2.29 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.555867
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	1.244343

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	2.2953	1.3524	0.6340	0.3178	0.1171	0.0679	0.0362
(W/Kg)							
	2.3- 2.0- (%//kg) 1.5- 0.5- 0.0- 0.	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	







MEASUREMENT 3

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.07.13

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 5 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	surf_sam_plan.txt, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane	
Device Position	<u>Body</u>	
<u>Band</u>	<u>IEEE 802.11b ISM</u>	
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>	
<u>Signal</u>	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

Middle Band SAR (Channel 6):

Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.710667
Conductivity (S/m)	1.942536
Power drift (%)	-0.040000
Ambient Temperature:	22.8°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.7°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1






Maximum location: X=1.00, Y=30.00 SAR Peak: 1.45 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.367449
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.800269

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	1.3997	0.8628	0.4331	0.2181	0.0963	0.0473	0.0300
(W/Kg)							
	1.4- 1.2 1.0 (%)//% 9.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (nm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	







MEASUREMENT 4

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.07.13

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 5 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	surf_sam_plan.txt, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	<u>Validation plane</u>	
Device Position	<u>Body</u>	
<u>Band</u>	<u>IEEE 802.11b ISM</u>	
<u>Channels</u>	<u>High</u>	
<u>Signal</u>	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

Higher Band SAR (Channel 11):

Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.672001
Conductivity (S/m)	1.979248
Power drift (%)	1.350000
Ambient Temperature:	22.8°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.7°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1







Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=-6.00 SAR Peak: 2.50 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.577464
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	1.318250

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	2.4746	1.4298	0.6570	0.3246	0.1463	0.0518	0.0419
(W/Kg)							
	2.5- 2.0- 2.1.5- 1.0- 0.5- 0.0-	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	

