

From: S. S. Liou [ssliou@seed.net.tw]
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2003 2:06 AM
To: Mike Kuo
Subject: Re: Chung-Hsin Electric & Machinery Mfg. Corp., FCC
ID:QWTVS5811T, AN03T2695

Hi Mike,

For your questions,

1. Please refer to revised test report.
2. Please refer to revised test report. The average reading is lower than the peak reading. A typo leads to this mistake.
3. We investigated up to 40GHz.
4. Please refer to revised ID label.
5. Please refer to revised test report.

regards,

S. S. Liou
Engineer / EMC Dep. II
ETC

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Kuo" <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>
To: "ETC/Iris (E-mail)" <etcemi@seed.net.tw>; "Will Yauo (E-mail)" <willyauo@seed.net.tw>
Sent: Friday, April 25, 2003 5:36 AM
Subject: FW: Chung-Hsin Electric & Machinery Mfg. Corp., FCC ID:QWTVS5811T, AN03T2695

>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: CERTADM
> Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2003 2:25 PM
> To: 'mkuo@ccsemc.com'
> Subject: Chung-Hsin Electric & Machinery Mfg. Corp., FCC ID:QWTVS5811T, AN03T2695
>
>
> Notice_content
> -----
> Question #1: Page 4 of test report, please change 15.249(e) to 15.249(d) and
> change 15.249(d) to 15.249(c). Please provide revised test report.
>
> Question #2: Page 11 of test report, please explain why the average reading
> (82.8dBuV/m) is higher than the peak reading (82.7dBuV/m).
>
> Question #3: What is the upper frequency range investigated during radiated
> spurious emission tests ?
>
> Question #4: The FCC ID number listed in the FCC ID label format does not
> agree with the one listed in the TCB application form. Please provide

> revised FCC ID label format.
>
> Question #5: The antenna listed in the page 10 of test report used during
> the radiated spurious emission is only up to 18GHz (EMCO 3115). Please
> provide measurement equipment used during radiated emission tests.
>
> Best Regards
>
> Mike Kuo
> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue
> on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested
> information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in
> application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note
> that partial responses increase processing time and should not be
submitted.
> Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed
to
> the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.