

DFS PORTION OF FCC CFR47 PART 15 SUBPART E INDUSTRY CANADA RSS-210 ISSUE 7 CERTIFICATION TEST REPORT

FOR

MOTOROLA POINT TO POINT WIRELESS BRIDGE

MODEL NUMBER: PTP54500, PTP54300

FCC ID: QWP54500 IC: 109AO-54500

REPORT NUMBER: 08U11557-1, Revision A

ISSUE DATE: APRIL 24, 2008

Prepared for MOTOROLA POINT TO POINT FIXED WIRELESS SOLUTIONS GROUP. UNIT A1, LINHAY BUSINESS PARK EASTERN ROAD ASHBURTON DEVON TQ13 7UP UNITED KINGDOM

> Prepared by COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES 47173 BENICIA STREET FREMONT, CA 94538, U.S.A. TEL: (510) 771-1000 FAX: (510) 661-0888

NVLAP LAB CODE 200065-0

Revision History

-	Issue		
Rev.	Date	Revisions	Revised By
	02/27/2008	Initial Issue	M. Heckrotte
A	04/24/2008	Clarified antenna assembly gains	M. Heckrotte

Page 2 of 52

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS	4
2.	TEST METHODOLOGY	5
3.	FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	5
4.	CALIBRATION AND UNCERTAINTY	
4	4.1. MEASURING INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION	5
4	4.2. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	5
5.	DYNAMIC FREQUENCY SELECTION	6
Į	5.1. OVERVIEW. 5.1.1. LIMITS. 5.1.2. TEST AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEM. 5.1.3. SETUP OF EUT. 5.1.4. DESCRIPTION OF EUT.	6 10 13
ł	5.2.MASTER DEVICE RESULTS5.2.1.TEST CHANNEL5.2.2.PLOTS OF RADAR WAVEFORMS AND WLAN TRAFFIC5.2.3.CHANNEL AVAILABILITY CHECK TIME5.2.4.OVERLAPPING CHANNEL TESTS5.2.5.MOVE AND CLOSING TIME5.2.6.NON-OCCUPANCY PERIOD5.2.7.DETECTION BANDWIDTH5.2.8.IN-SERVICE MONITORING	
ł	5.3.SLAVE DEVICE CONFIGURATION5.3.1.TEST CHANNEL5.3.2.PLOT OF WLAN TRAFFIC5.3.3.MOVE AND CLOSING TIME5.3.4.SLAVE NON-OCCUPANCY	44 44 45
6.	SETUP PHOTOS	52

1. ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS

COMPANY NAME:	MOTOROLA POINT TO POINT FIXED WIRELESS SOLUTIONS UNIT A1, LINHAY BUSINESS PARK EASTERN ROAD ASHBURTON DEVON TQ13 7UP UNITED KINGDOM
EUT DESCRIPTION:	MOTOROLA POINT TO POINT WIRELESS BRIDGE
MODEL:	PTP54500, PTP54300
SERIAL NUMBER:	00045620000C(SERVER), 000456200000(CLIENT)
DATE TESTED:	JANUARY 28-29, 2008
	APPLICABLE STANDARDS

STANDARD	TEST RESULTS
DFS Portion of CFR 47 Part 15 Subpart E	No Non-Compliance Noted
DFS Portion of RSS-210 Issue 7 Annex 9	No Non-Compliance Noted

Compliance Certification Services, Inc. tested the above equipment in accordance with the requirements set forth in the above standards. The test results show that the equipment tested is capable of demonstrating compliance with the requirements as documented in this report. **Note**: The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein. This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by Compliance Certification Services and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section. Any alteration of this document not carried out by Compliance Certification Services will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

Approved & Released For CCS By:

Tested By:

MH

MICHAEL HECKROTTE DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES

Douglas Combuser

DOUGLAS ANDERSON EMC TECHNICIAN COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES

Page 4 of 52

2. TEST METHODOLOGY

The tests documented in this report were performed in accordance with the DFS portions of FCC CFR 47 Part 15, FCC MO&O 06-96, and RSS-210 Issue 7.

3. FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at 47173 Benicia Street, Fremont, California, USA. CCS is accredited by NVLAP, Laboratory Code 200065-0. The full scope of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.ccsemc.com.

4. CALIBRATION AND UNCERTAINTY

4.1. MEASURING INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION

The measuring equipment utilized to perform the tests documented in this report has been calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations, and is traceable to recognized national standards.

4.2. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Where relevant, the following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the apparatus:

PARAMETER	UNCERTAINTY
Power Line Conducted Emission	+/- 2.3 dB
Radiated Emission	+/- 3.4 dB

Uncertainty figures are valid to a confidence level of 95%.

Page 5 of 52

5. DYNAMIC FREQUENCY SELECTION

5.1. OVERVIEW

5.1.1. LIMITS

INDUSTRY CANADA

IC RSS-210 is closely harmonized with FCC Part 15 DFS rules. The deviations are as follows:

RSS-210 Issue 7 A9.4 (b) (ii) Channel Availability Check Time: ...

Additional requirements for the band 5600-5650 MHz: Until further notice, devices subject to this Section shall not be capable of transmitting in the band 5600-5650 MHz, so that Environment Canada weather radars operating in this band are protected.

RSS-210 Issue 7 A9.4 (b) (iv) **Channel closing time:** the maximum channel closing time is 260 ms.

FCC

§15.407 (h) and FCC 06-96 APPENDIX "COMPLIANCE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES FOR UNLICENSED-NATIONAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE DEVCIES OPERATING IN THE 5250-5350 MHz AND 5470-5725 MHz BANDS INCORPORATING DYNAMIC FREQUENCY SELECTION".

Page 6 of 52

Table 1: Applicability of DFS requirements prior to use of a channel

Requirement	Operational Mode				
	Master	Client (without radar detection)	Client (with radar detection)		
Non-Occupancy Period	Yes	Not required	Yes		
DFS Detection Threshold	Yes	Not required	Yes		
Channel Availability Check Time	Yes	Not required	Not required		
Uniform Spreading	Yes	Not required	Not required		

Table 2: Applicability of DFS requirements during normal operation

Requirement	Operational	Operational Mode			
	Master	Client (without DFS)	Client (with DFS)		
DFS Detection Threshold	Yes	Not required	Yes		
Channel Closing Transmission Time	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Channel Move Time	Yes	Yes	Yes		

Page 7 of 52

Table 3: Interference Threshold values, Master or Client incorporating In-Service Monitoring

Maximum Transmit Power	Value				
	(see note)				
≥ 200 milliwatt	-64 dBm				
< 200 milliwatt	-62 dBm				
Note 1: This is the level at the input of the receiver assuming a 0 dBi receive antenna					
Note 2: Throughout these test procedures an additional 1 dB has been added to the amplitude of the test transmission waveforms to account for variations in measurement equipment. This					
will ensure that the test signal is at or above the detection three	shold level to trigger a DFS				
response.					

Parameter	Value						
Non-occupancy period	30 minutes						
Channel Availability Check Time	60 seconds						
Channel Move Time	10 seconds						
Channel Closing Transmission Time	200 milliseconds +						
	approx. 60 milliseconds						
	over remaining 10 second						
	period						
The instant that the Channel Move Time and the Channel Clo	sing Transmission Time begins is						
as follows:							
For the Short pulse radar Test Signals this instant is the end of the Burst.							
For the Frequency Hopping radar Test Signal, this instant is the	he end of the last radar burst						
generated.	generated.						
For the Long Pulse radar Test Signal this instant is the end of	the 12 second period defining the						
radar transmission.							
The Channel Closing Transmission Time is comprised of 200	milliseconds starting at the						

Table 4: DFS Response requirement values

beginning of the Channel Move Time plus any additional intermittent control signals required to facilitate channel changes (an aggregate of approximately 60 milliseconds) during the remainder of the 10 second period. The aggregate duration of control signals will not count quiet periods in between transmissions.

Page 8 of 52

Radar	Pulse Width	PRI	Pulses	Minimum	Minimum
Туре	(Microseconds)	(Microseconds)		Percentage of	Trials
				Successful	
				Detection	
1	1	1428	18	60%	30
2	1-5	150-230	23-29	60%	30
3	6-10	200-500	16-18	60%	30
4	11-20	200-500	12-16	60%	30
Aggregate (F	Radar Types 1-4)	80%	120		

Table 5 – Short Pulse Radar Test Waveforms

Table 6 – Long Pulse Radar Test Signal

Radar	Bursts	Pulses	Pulse	Chirp	PRI	Minimum	Minimum
Waveform		per	Width	Width	(µsec)	Percentage	Trials
		Burst	(µsec)	(MHz)		of Successful	
						Detection	
5	8-20	1-3	50-100	5-20	1000-	80%	30
					2000		


Table 7 – Frequency Hopping Radar Test Signal

Radar Waveform	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (µsec)	Burst Length (ms)	Pulses per Hop	Hopping Rate (kHz)	Minimum Percentage of Successful Detection	Minimum Trials
6	1	333	300	9	.333	70%	30

Page 9 of 52

5.1.2. TEST AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

CONDUCTED METHOD SYSTEM BLOCK DIAGRAM



Page 10 of 52

SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The short pulse and long pulse signal generating system utilizes the NTIA software. The Vector Signal Generator has been validated by the NTIA. The hopping signal generating system utilizes the CCS simulated hopping method and system, which has been validated by the DoD, FCC and NTIA. The software selects waveform parameters from within the bounds of the signal type on a random basis using uniform distribution.

The short pulse types 2, 3 and 4, and the long pulse type 5 parameters are randomized at runtime.

The hopping type 6 pulse parameters are fixed while the hopping sequence is based on the August 2005 NTIA Hopping Frequency List. The initial starting point randomized at run-time and each subsequent starting point is incremented by 475. Each frequency in the 100-length segment is compared to the boundaries of the EUT Detection Bandwidth and the software creates a hopping burst pattern in accordance with Section 7.4.1.3 Method #2 Simulated Frequency Hopping Radar Waveform Generating Subsystem of FCC 06-96 APPENDIX. The frequency of the signal generator is incremented in 1 MHz steps from F_L to F_H for each successive trial. This incremental sequence is repeated as required to generate a minimum of 30 total trials and to maintain a uniform frequency distribution over the entire Detection Bandwidth.

The signal monitoring equipment consists of a spectrum analyzer set to display 8001 bins on the horizontal axis. The time-domain resolution is 2 msec / bin with a 16 second sweep time, meeting the 10 second short pulse reporting criteria. The aggregate ON time is calculated by multiplying the number of bins above a threshold during a particular observation period by the dwell time per bin, with the analyzer set to peak detection and max hold.

SYSTEM CALIBRATION

A 50-ohm load is connected in place of the spectrum analyzer, and the spectrum analyzer is connected in place of the master device and the signal generator is set to CW mode. The amplitude of the signal generator is adjusted to yield a level of –64 dBm as measured on the spectrum analyzer.

Page 11 of 52

Without changing any of the instrument settings, the spectrum analyer is reconnected to the Common port of the Spectrum Analyzer Combiner/Divider. Measure the amplitude and calculate the difference from –64 dBm. Adjust the Reference Level Offset of the spectrum analyzer to this difference.

The spectrum analyzer displays the level of the signal generator as received at the antenna ports of the Master Device. The interference detection threshold may be varied from the calibrated value of –64 dBm and the spectrum analyzer will still indicate the level as received by the Master Device.

Set the signal generator to produce a radar waveform, trigger a burst manually and measure the level on the spectrum analyzer. Readjust the amplitude of the signal generator as required so that the peak level of the waveform is at a displayed level equal to the required or desired interference detection threshold. Separate signal generator amplitude settings are determined as required for each radar type.

ADJUSTMENT OF DISPLAYED TRAFFIC LEVEL

Establish a link between the Master and Slave, adjusting the Link Step Attenuator as needed to provide a suitable received level at the Master and Slave devices. Stream the video test file to generate WLAN traffic. Confirm that the WLAN traffic level, as displayed on the spectrum analyzer, is at lower amplitude than the radar detection threshold. For Master Device testing confirm that the displayed traffic does not include Slave Device traffic. For Slave Device testing confirm that the displayed traffic does not include Master Device traffic.

If a different setting of the Master Step Attenuator is required to meet the above conditions, perform a new System Calibration for the new Master Step Attenuator setting.


TEST AND MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT

The following test and measurement equipment was utilized for the DFS tests documented in this report:

TEST EQUIPMENT LIST				
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Asset Number	Cal Due
Spectrum Analyzer, 44 GHz	Agilent / HP	E4446A	C00986	05/30/09
Vector Signal Generator, 20GHz	Agilent / HP	E8267C	C01066	11/16/2009
Arbitrary Waveform Generator	Agilent / HP	33220A	C01146	05/02/08

5.1.3. SETUP OF EUT

CONDUCTED METHOD EUT TEST SETUP



SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

The following test and measurement equipment was utilized for the DFS tests documented in this report:

	PERIPHERAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT LIST			
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Serial Number	FCC ID
Laptop PC (Master)	Compaq	PP2160	CNU327025L	DoC
AC Adapter (Master)	Compaq	PA-1900-05H	CT-565BC0ALLOJ1BE	N/A
Desktop PC (Slave)	Dell	DCTA	04GYXB1	DoC
Monitor	LG	L1718S	608UXMT17275	DoC
USB Keyboard	Dell	L100	CN-0RH659-73571-6HT-01F0	DoC
USB Mouse	Dell	MO58UC	F1900CX2	DoC
PDIU (Master)	Motorola	WB2521	0736299880	DoC
PDIU (Slave)	Motorola	WB2521	0736299735	DoC

5.1.4. DESCRIPTION OF EUT

The EUT operates over the 5470-5725 MHz range.

The EUT can be configured as a Master Device or a Slave Device without Radar Detection.

The highest power level within these bands is 29 dBm EIRP.

The highest gain antenna assembly utilized with the EUT is an external antenna with coaxial feed cable; the antenna has a gain of 34.9 dBi and the minimum cable loss is specified by the installation instructions. The lowest gain antenna assembly utilized with the EUT is an integral antenna, without any coax feed cable; this antenna assembly has a gain of 23 dBi.

The rated output power of the Master unit is > 23dBm (EIRP). Therefore the required interference threshold level is -64 dBm. After correction for antenna gain and procedural adjustments, the required conducted threshold at the antenna port is -64 + 23 + 1 = -40 dBm.

The calibrated conducted DFS Detection Threshold level is set to –41 dBm. The tested level is lower than the required level hence it provides margin to the limit.

The EUT uses two transmitter/receiver chains, each connected to a 50-ohm coaxial antenna port. The Vertical antenna ports are connected to the test system to perform conducted tests. The Horizontal antenna ports are connected via 70 dB attenuation.

The Slave device associated with the EUT during these tests does not have radar detection capability.

WLAN traffic is generated by streaming the video file TestFile.mp2 "6 ½ Magic Hours" from the Master to the Slave in full motion video mode using the media player with the V2.61 Codec package.

TPC is required since the maximum EIRP is greater than 500 mW (27 dBm).

The EUT utilizes a proprietary, frame-based architecture. One nominal channel bandwidth, 15 MHz, is implemented. The channel spacing is 5 MHz.

The frame timing parameters are set to a Tx / Rx ratio of 48% / 52%, which is worst-case compared to the suggested ratio of 40% / 60%.

The software installed in the EUT is revision B484.

MANUFACTURER'S STATEMENT REGARDING UNIFORM CHANNEL SPREADING

This statement is in a separate document.

Page 14 of 52


5.2. MASTER DEVICE RESULTS

5.2.1. TEST CHANNEL


All tests were performed at a channel center frequency of 5550 MHz. Measurements were performed using conducted test methods.

5.2.2. PLOTS OF RADAR WAVEFORMS AND WLAN TRAFFIC


PLOTS OF RADAR WAVEFORMS




Page 15 of 52




Page 16 of 52




Page 17 of 52



Page 18 of 52




Page 19 of 52



Page 20 of 52

PLOT OF WLAN TRAFFIC FROM MASTER



Page 21 of 52

5.2.3. CHANNEL AVAILABILITY CHECK TIME

PROCEDURE TO DETERMINE INITIAL POWER-UP CYCLE TIME

A link was established on channel then the EUT was rebooted. The time from the cessation of traffic to the re-initialization of traffic was measured as the time required for the EUT to complete the total power-up cycle. The time to complete the initial power-up period is 60 seconds less than this total power-up time.

PROCEDURE FOR TIMING OF RADAR BURST

With a link established on channel, the EUT was rebooted. A radar signal was triggered within 0 to 6 seconds after the initial power-up period, and transmissions on the channel were monitored on the spectrum analyzer.

The Non-Occupancy list was cleared. With a link established on channel, the EUT was rebooted. A radar signal was triggered within 54 to 60 seconds after the initial power-up period, and transmissions on the channel were monitored on the spectrum analyzer.

Page 22 of 52

QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

No Radar Triggered

Timing of	Timing of	Total Power-up	Initial Power-up
Reboot	Start of Traffic	Cycle Time	Cycle Time
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
2.93	108.9	106.0	46.0

Radar Near Beginning of CAC

Timing of	Timing of	Radar Relative	Radar Relative
Reboot	Radar Burst	to Reboot	to Start of CAC
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
10.45	58.1	47.6	1.6

Radar Near End of CAC


Timing of	Timing of	Radar Relative	Radar Relative
Reboot	Radar Burst	to Reboot	to Start of CAC
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
10.6	113.1	102.5	56.5

QUALITATIVE RESULTS

Timing of Radar Burst	Display on Control Computer	Spectrum Analyzer Display
No Radar Triggered	EUT marks Channel as active	Transmissions begin on channel after completion of the initial power-up cycle and the CAC
Within 0 to 6 second window	EUT indicates radar detected	No transmissions on channel
	EUT does not display any radar parameter values	
Within 54 to 60 second window	EUT indicates radar detected	No transmissions on channel
	EUT does not display any radar parameter values	

Page 23 of 52


TIMING PLOT WITHOUT RADAR DURING CAC



Transmissions begin on channel after completion of the initial power-up cycle and the CAC.

Page 24 of 52


TIMING PLOT WITH RADAR NEAR BEGINNING OF CAC



No EUT transmissions were observed after the radar signal.

Page 25 of 52

TIMING PLOT WITH RADAR NEAR END OF CAC



No EUT transmissions were observed after the radar signal.

Page 26 of 52

5.2.4. OVERLAPPING CHANNEL TESTS

PROCEDURE

The EUT was set to block all channels except 5550 MHz and 5555 MHz, which are overlapping. The active channel was 5550 MHz and the radar test frequency was 5550 MHz. A link was established on the active channel with the video file streaming. A radar burst was triggered and a stopwatch timer was started. The EUT was confirmed to vacate the active channel then a second radar burst was triggered approximately 45 seconds after the first.

RESULTS

The EUT display indicated that 5550 MHz was blocked after the first radar burst was transmitted, but 5555 MHz remained available until the second radar burst was transmitted, at which time the display indicated that both 5550 MHz and 5555 MHz were blocked.

No beacons or traffic was observed on the spectrum analyzer after the first radar burst was transmitted.

Page 27 of 52

5.2.5. MOVE AND CLOSING TIME

REPORTING NOTES

The reference marker is set at the end of last radar pulse.

The delta marker is set at the end of the last WLAN transmission following the radar pulse. This delta is the channel move time.

The aggregate channel closing transmission time is calculated as follows:

Aggregate Transmission Time = (Number of analyzer bins showing transmission) * (dwell time per bin)

The observation period over which the FCC aggregate time is calculated begins at (Reference Marker + 200 msec) and ends no earlier than (Reference Marker + 10 sec).

The observation period over which the IC aggregate time is calculated begins at (Reference Marker) and ends no earlier than (Reference Marker + 10 sec).


RESULTS

Agency	Channel Move Time	Limit
	(sec)	(sec)
FCC / IC	0.042	10

Agency	Aggregate Channel Closing Transmission Time	Limit
	(msec)	(msec)
FCC	0.0	60
IC	42.0	260


Page 28 of 52

MOVE TIME



Page 29 of 52


CHANNEL CLOSING TIME



Page 30 of 52


AGGREGATE CHANNEL CLOSING TRANSMISSION TIME

No transmissions are observed during the FCC aggregate monitoring period.



Page 31 of 52


Only intermittent transmissions are observed during the IC aggregate monitoring period.



Page 32 of 52

LONG PULSE CHANNEL MOVE TIME

The traffic ceases prior to 10 seconds after the end of the radar waveform.



Page 33 of 52

5.2.6. NON-OCCUPANCY PERIOD

<u>RESULTS</u>


No EUT transmissions were observed on the test channel during the 30-minute observation time.

NON-OCCU	PANCY PERIOD PLO	T	
🔆 Agilent 01:54:1	16 Jan 28, 2008	RT	Marker
Ref -20 dBm #Peak	#Atten 0 dB	∆ Mkr1 1.8 ks -38.77 dB	Select Marker
Log 10 dB/ in Offst			Normal
4.31 dB DI			Delta
-41.0 dBm LgAv		1	Delta Pair (Tracking Ref) Ref <u>∆</u>
M1 S2 S3 FS AA			Span Pair _{Span <u>Center</u>}
¤(f): FTun			Off
Center 5.550 000 G Res BW 3 MHz	Hz #VBW 3 MHz	Span 0 Hz Sweep 2 ks (8001 pts)	More 1 of 2
Copyright 2000-200	7 Agilent Technologies		

Page 34 of 52

5.2.7. DETECTION BANDWIDTH

REFERENCE PLOT OF 99% POWER BANDWIDTH



RESULTS

FL	FH	Detection	99% Power	Ratio of	Minimum
		Bandwidth	Bandwidth	Detection BW to	Limit
				99% Power BW	
(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(%)	(%)
5543	5557	14	13.480	103.9	80

Page 35 of 52

DETECTION BANDWIDTH PROBABILITY

Detection Band	width Test Results			
FCC Type 1 Wa	veform: 1 us Pulse V	Vidth, 1428 us PRI, 1	8 Pulses per l	Burst
Frequency	Number of Trials	Number Detected	Detection	Mark
(MHz)			(%)	
5543	10	10	100	FL
5544	10	10	100	
5545	10	10	100	
5546	10	10	100	
5547	10	10	100	
5548	10	10	100	
5549	10	10	100	
5550	10	10	100	
5551	10	10	100	
5552	10	10	100	
5553	10	10	100	
5554	10	10	100	
5555	10	10	100	
5556	10	10	100	
5557	10	10	100	FH

Page 36 of 52

5.2.8. IN-SERVICE MONITORING

RESULTS

FCC Radar Tes	t Summary			
Signal Type	Number of Trials	Detection	Limit	Pass/Fail
		(%)	(%)	
FCC TYPE 1	30	100.00	60	Pass
FCC TYPE 2	30	100.00	60	Pass
FCC TYPE 3	30	100.00	60	Pass
FCC TYPE 4	30	100.00	60	Pass
Aggregate		100.00	80	Pass
FCC TYPE 5	30	100.00	80	Pass
FCC TYPE 6	30	100.00	70	Pass

Page 37 of 52

TYPE 1 DETECTION PROBABILITY

ata Sheet for FCC Fixed Radar Type 1 us Pulse Width, 1428 us PRI, 18 Pulses per Burst				
Trial	Successful Detection			
	(Yes/No)			
1	Yes			
2	Yes			
3	Yes			
4	Yes			
5	Yes			
6	Yes			
7	Yes			
8	Yes			
9	Yes			
10	Yes			
11	Yes			
12	Yes			
13	Yes			
14	Yes			
15	Yes			
16	Yes			
17	Yes			
18	Yes			
19	Yes			
20	Yes			
21	Yes			
22	Yes			
23	Yes			
24	Yes			
25	Yes			
26	Yes			
27	Yes			
28	Yes			
29	Yes			
30	Yes			

Page 38 of 52

TYPE 2 DETECTION PROBABILITY

Waveform	or FCC Short Pu Pulse Width	PRI	Pulses Per Burst	Successful Detection
	(us)	(us)		(Yes/No)
2001	4	226.00	23	Yes
2002	2.7	218.00	26	Yes
2003	3.1	193.00	28	Yes
2004	1.4	213.00	23	Yes
2005	3	212.00	25	Yes
2006	4.6	191.00	25	Yes
2007	3.3	153.00	27	Yes
2008	4.5	185.00	26	Yes
2009	1.1	208.00	27	Yes
2010	1.3	195.00	25	Yes
2011	1.5	160.00	24	Yes
2012	4.1	203.00	28	Yes
2013	3.4	165.00	29	Yes
2014	1.8	211.00	23	Yes
2015	2.7	204.00	26	Yes
2016	1	216.00	23	Yes
2017	2.5	197.00	23	Yes
2018	1.3	156.00	25	Yes
2019	1.8	192.00	25	Yes
2020	5	191.00	28	Yes
2021	4.2	201.00	24	Yes
2022	1.9	219.00	27	Yes
2023	1.1	199.00	24	Yes
2024	3.8	212.00	24	Yes
2025	4.9	156.00	26	Yes
2026	3.8	167.00	28	Yes
2027	4.3	223.00	26	Yes
2028	2.8	164.00	28	Yes
2029	4.2	219.00	26	Yes
2030	1.1	189.00	25	Yes

Page 39 of 52

TYPE 3 DETECTION PROBABILITY

3001 3002 3003 3004 3005 3006 3007 3008 3009 3010 3011 3012 3013 3014 3015	(us) 9 6.6 7.2 7.4 5.1 7.5 8.9 6.6 5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	(us) 498.00 329.00 390.00 356.00 343.00 441.00 361.00 458.00 270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00 430.00	18 17 16 16 16 18 16 18 16 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 16 18	(Yes/No) Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
3002 3003 3004 3005 3006 3007 3008 3009 3010 3011 3012 3013 3014	6.6 7.2 7.4 5.1 7.5 8.9 6.6 5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	329.00 390.00 356.00 343.00 441.00 361.00 458.00 270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00	17 16 16 16 18 18 16 18 18 18 16 16 17	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
3003 3004 3005 3006 3007 3008 3009 3010 3012 3013 3014 3014	7.2 7.4 5.1 7.5 8.9 6.6 5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	390.00 356.00 343.00 441.00 361.00 458.00 270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00	16 16 16 18 18 16 18 18 18 16 16 17	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
3004 3005 3006 3007 3008 3009 3010 3012 3013 3014	7.4 5.1 7.5 8.9 6.6 5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	356.00 343.00 441.00 361.00 458.00 270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00	16 16 18 16 18 18 18 18 16 16 17	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
3005 3006 3007 3008 3009 3010 3011 3012 3013 3014	5.1 7.5 8.9 6.6 5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	343.00 441.00 361.00 458.00 270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00	16 18 16 18 18 18 18 16 16 17	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
3006 3007 3008 3009 3010 3011 3012 3013 3014	7.5 8.9 6.6 5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	441.00 361.00 458.00 270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00	18 16 18 18 18 16 16 17	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
3007 3008 3009 3010 3011 3012 3013 3014	8.9 6.6 5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	361.00 458.00 270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00	16 18 18 16 17	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
3008 3009 3010 3011 3012 3013 3014	6.6 5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	458.00 270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00	18 18 16 17	Yes Yes Yes Yes
3009 3010 3011 3012 3013 3014	5 10 8.2 5.1 9.2	270.00 250.00 266.00 282.00	18 16 17	Yes Yes Yes
3010 3011 3012 3013 3014	10 8.2 5.1 9.2	250.00 266.00 282.00	16 17	Yes Yes
3011 3012 3013 3014	8.2 5.1 9.2	266.00 282.00	17	Yes
3012 3013 3014	5.1 9.2	282.00		
3013 3014	9.2		18	V
3014		430.00		Yes
	1	430.00	18	Yes
3015	8.2	387.00	16	Yes
3013	7.2	329.00	18	Yes
3016	5.1	400.00	17	Yes
3017	6.4	262.00	16	Yes
3018	9.3	340.00	16	Yes
3019	9.3	319.00	16	Yes
3020	6.3	500.00	18	Yes
3021	6.4	471.00	17	Yes
3022	8.4	417.00	18	Yes
3023	6	419.00	17	Yes
3024	5.4	284.00	16	Yes
3025	7.4	311.00	16	Yes
3026	7.1	429.00	18	Yes
3027	9.2	339.00	16	Yes
3028	7	428.00	17	Yes
3029	8.1	416	16	Yes

Page 40 of 52

TYPE 4 DETECTION PROBABILITY

Naveform	or FCC Short Pu Pulse Width (us)	PRI (us)	Pulses Per Burst	Successful Detection (Yes/No)
4001	18.5	490.00	15	Yes
4001	13	264.00	13	Yes
4002	19.1	344.00	13	Yes
4003	15.9	317.00	12	Yes
4004	14.1	314.00	15	Yes
4005	19	403.00	13	Yes
4007	13.7	394.00	14	Yes
4007	11.2	445.00	14	Yes
4009	11.3	439.00	14	Yes
4003	19.4	446.00	16	Yes
4010	10.6	359.00	15	Yes
4012	14.4	330.00	13	Yes
4012	12.4	308.00	12	Yes
4013	14.1	396.00	12	Yes
4014	14	398.00	16	Yes
4015	19.3	453.00	14	Yes
4010	12.2	350.00	14	Yes
4017	12.2	472.00	15	Yes
4018	20	269.00	15	Yes
4019	16	365.00	13	Yes
4020	15.2	279.00	12	Yes
4021	10.2	422.00	15	Yes
4022	14.7		15	Yes
4023	14.7	384.00 342.00	14	Yes
4024	15.9		14	Yes
		334.00		
4026	15.3	284.00	15	Yes
4027	10.6 18	378.00		Yes
4028		355.00	16	Yes
4029 4030	19.9 10.3	436.00 269.00	14	Yes Yes

Page 41 of 52

TYPE 5 DETECTION PROBABILITY

Trial	ong Pulse Radar Type 5 Successful Detection
	(Yes/No)
1	Yes
2	Yes
3	Yes
4	Yes
5	Yes
6	Yes
7	Yes
8	Yes
9	Yes
10	Yes
11	Yes
12	Yes
13	Yes
14	Yes
15	Yes
16	Yes
17	Yes
18	Yes
19	Yes
20	Yes
21	Yes
22	Yes
23	Yes
24	Yes
25	Yes
26	Yes
27	Yes
28	Yes
29	Yes
30	Yes

Note: The Type 5 randomized parameters are shown in a separate document.

Page 42 of 52

TYPE 6 DETECTION PROBABILITY

	e Width, 333 us PRI, S		1 Burst per Hop		
TIA Aug	just 2005 Hopping Se				
(Base 1)		Signal Generator Frequency (MHz)	Hops within Detection BW	Successful Detection (Yes/No)	
1	128	5543	1	Yes	
2	603	5544	6	Yes	
3	1078	5545	1	Yes	
4	1553	5546	5	Yes	
5	2028	5547	1	Yes	
6	2503	5548	2	Yes	
7	2978	5549	2	Yes	
8	3453	5550	4	Yes	
9	3928	5551	1	Yes	
10	4403	5552	1	Yes	
11	4878	5553	1	Yes	
12	5353	5554	2	Yes	
13	5828	5555	4	Yes	
14	6303	5556	4	Yes	
15	6778	5557	5	Yes	
16	7253	5543	2	Yes	
17	7728	5544	2	Yes	
18	8203	5545	2	Yes	
19	8678	5546	4	Yes	
20	9153	5547	6	Yes	
21	9628	5548	3	Yes	
22	10103	5549	3	Yes	
23	11053	5550	4	Yes	
24	12003	5551	3	Yes	
25	12478	5552	3	Yes	
26	12953	5553	3	Yes	
27	13428	5554	4	Yes	
28	13903	5555	4	Yes	
29	14378	5556	1	Yes	
30	14853	5557	4	Yes	

Page 43 of 52


5.3. SLAVE DEVICE CONFIGURATION

5.3.1. TEST CHANNEL

All tests were performed at a channel center frequency of 5550 MHz. Measurements were performed using conducted test methods.

5.3.2. PLOT OF WLAN TRAFFIC

PLOT OF WLAN TRAFFIC



Page 44 of 52

5.3.3. MOVE AND CLOSING TIME

REPORTING NOTES

The reference marker is set at the end of last radar pulse.

The delta marker is set at the end of the last WLAN transmission following the radar pulse. This delta is the channel move time.

The aggregate channel closing transmission time is calculated as follows:

Aggregate Transmission Time = (Number of analyzer bins showing transmission) * (dwell time per bin)

The observation period over which the FCC aggregate time is calculated begins at (Reference Marker + 200 msec) and ends no earlier than (Reference Marker + 10 sec).

The observation period over which the IC aggregate time is calculated begins at (Reference Marker) and ends no earlier than (Reference Marker + 10 sec).


RESULTS

Agency	Channel Move Time	Limit
	(sec)	(sec)
FCC / IC	0.0	10

Agency	Aggregate Channel Closing Transmission Time	Limit
	(msec)	(msec)
FCC	0.0	60
IC	0.0	260


Page 45 of 52

MOVE TIME



Page 46 of 52


CHANNEL CLOSING TIME



Page 47 of 52


AGGREGATE CHANNEL CLOSING TRANSMISSION TIME

No transmissions are observed during the FCC aggregate monitoring period.



Page 48 of 52

No transmissions are observed during the IC aggregate monitoring period.



Page 49 of 52

5.3.4. SLAVE NON-OCCUPANCY

TEST PROCEDURE

The spectrum analyzer is monitoring the emissions from the Slave.

The AP and Slave are linked in a 15 MHz bandwidth mode, with streaming video. The spectrum analyzer trace is started, then the radar is triggered, and the channel is monitored for > 30 minutes.

Then the AP is powered down. The spectrum analyzer trace is started, then the Slave is rebooted, and the channel is monitored for > 30 minutes.

ASSOCIATED TEST RESULTS

No EUT transmissions were observed on the test channel during the 30-minute observation time.

NON-OCCU	PANCY PERIO	D, ASSOC	CIATED PLOT	
🔆 Agilent 03:04:	42 Jan 28, 2008		RT	Marker
Ref -20 dBm #Peak	#Atten 20 dB		∆ Mkr1 1.8 ks -20.16 dB	Select Marker 1 <u>2 3</u> 4
Log 10 dB/ o Offst				Normal
4.31 dB DI				Delta
41.0 dBm LgAv				Delta Pair (Tracking Ref) Ref <u>∆</u>
M1 S2 S3 FS AA				Span Pair Span <u>Center</u>
¤(f): FTun				Off
Center 5.550 000 (Res BW 3 MHz	GHz #VBW 3	MHz S	Span 0 Hz iweep 2 ks (8001 pts)	More 1 of 2
Copyright 2000-200	7 Agilent Technologies			

Page 50 of 52

NON-ASSOCIATED TEST RESULTS

No EUT transmissions were observed on the test channel during the 30-minute observation time.

NON-OCCU Agilent 03:42:4	PANCY PE 47 Jan 28, 2008	RIOD, NO	N-ASSO		D PL	_OT Marker
Ref -20 dBm #Peak	#Atten 20 dB		١٨	Mkr1 1.8 -0.59		Select Marker
dB/						Norma
4.31 dB DI	terliket och anten som piller under		d anti-in calific a tida	:	۱ کار م	Delta
41.0 dBm LgAv						Delta Pair (Tracking Ref) Ref <u>/</u>
M1 S2 53 FS AA						Span Pair Span <u>Center</u>
≭(f): FTun						Off
Center 5.550 000 G Res BW 3 MHz		VBW 3 MHz	Sweep 2	Span ks (8001 p		More 1 of 2
Copyright 2000-200	7 Agilent Technolog	gies				

Page 51 of 52