Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Test Report

for

Cellvision Systems Inc.

on the

802.11g Wireless USB2.0 Adapter
Model Number: GUA-100

Test Report: EME-040510
Date of Report: Jul. 1, 2004
Date of test: Jun. 25, 2004

Total No of Pages Contained in this Report: 81

Accredited for testing to FCC Part 15

Tested by: Kevin Chen	[signature]
Reviewed by: Jerry Liu	[signature]

Review Date: Jul. 1, 2004

Table of Contents

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Cellvision sample device, model # GUA-100 was evaluated in accordance with the requirements for compliance testing defined in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01). Testing was performed at the Intertek Testing Services facility in Hsinchu, Taiwan.

For the evaluation, the dosimetric assessment system INDEXSAR SARA2 was used. The phantom employed was the box phantom of 2mm thick in one wall. The total uncertainty for the evaluation of the spatial peak SAR values averaged over a cube of 1g tissue mass had been assessed for this system to be ±20.6%.

The device was tested at their maximum output power declared by the Cellvision.

In summary, the maximum spatial peak SAR value for the sample device averaged over 1g was found to be:

Phantom	**Position**	**SAR_{1g}, mW/g**
2mm thick box phantom wall	EUT tx high channel with 802.11g function bottom to the phantom, 0 mm separation.	0.024 mW/g.

In conclusion, the tested Sample device was found to be in compliance with the requirements defined in OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) for body configurations.

1.0 Job Description

1.1 Client Information

The GUA-100 has been tested at the request of:

Company: **Cellvision Systems Inc.**
18F-7, No. 79 Sec. 1, Hsin Taiwu Road Hsichih,
Taipei, Taiwan

1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)

Product Descriptions:

Equipment	802.11g Wireless USB2.0 Adapter		
Trade Name	Cellvision	**Model No:**	GUA-100
FCC ID	QTRGUA10001	**S/N No.**	Not Labeled
Category	Portable	**RF Exposure**	Uncontrolled Environment
Frequency Band	2412 – 2462 MHz	**System**	DSSS, OFDM

EUT Antenna Description			
Type	Chip	**Configuration**	Fixed
Dimensions	4.5x 1.5 mm	**Gain**	-1 dBi
Location	Embedded		

Use of Product : 802.11g Wireless USB2.0 Adapter

Manufacturer: Cellvision

Production is planned: [X] Yes, [ ] No

EUT receive date: May 26, 2004

EUT received condition: Good operating condition prototype

Test start date: Jun. 25, 2004

Test end date: Jun. 28, 2004

1.3 Test plan reference

FCC Rule: Part 2.1093, FCC's OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) and IEEE 1528

1.4 System test configuration

1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment

Support Equipment				
Item #	**Equipment**	**Brand**	**Model No.**	**S/N**
1	Notebook	DELL	PP02X	8Y210A04

1.4.2 Test Position

See the photographs as section 2.2

1.4.3 Test Condition

During tests the worst-case data (max RF coupling) was determined with following conditions:

Usage	Operates with a portable computer	**Distance between antenna axis at the joint and the liquid surface:**	Laptop is touching the Phantom in bottom position, perpendicular to phantom 0mm and 15mm	
Simulating human Head/ Body/Hand	Body	**EUT Battery**	Device is powered from host computer through battery.	
802.11b Conducted output Power	**Channel**	**Frequency MHz**	**Before SAR Test (dBm)**	**After SAR Test (dBm)**
	Low Channel - 1	2412	19.88	19.89
	Mid Channel - 6	2437	19.12	19.13
	High Channel- 11	2462	18.53	18.53
802.11g Conducted output Power	**Channel**	**Frequency MHz**	**Before SAR Test** (dBm)	**After SAR Test** (dBm)
	Low Channel - 1	2412	19.69	19.68
	Mid Channel - 6	2437	19.34	19.33
	High Channel- 11	2462	18.72	18.71

The spatial peak SAR values were assessed for lowest, middle and highest operating channels, defined by the manufacturer.

The conducted output power was measured before and after the test using a diode detector, oscilloscope and signal generator.

The EUT contains 802.11b and 802.11g function, we separately performed the SAR test for both

802.11b and 802.11g functions, and recorded in this report individually.
The EUT was transmitted continuously during the test.

After verifying the maximum output power, we found the maximum output power was occurred at 11Mbps data rate in 802.11b and at 6 Mbps data rate in 802.11g.

All the test data were performed under the above transmission rate.

The models TEW-424UB and NGU-100 are identical to model GUA-100 (EUT), the different model number for different brand serves as marketing strategy as below

Trade Name	Model Number
Cellvision	GUA-100
Trendware	TEW-424UB
Nefusion	NGU-100

1.5 Modifications required for compliance

Intertek Testing Services implemented no modifications.

1.6 Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards

The phantom employed was the box phantom of 2mm thick in vertical wall.

2.0 SAR Evaluation

2.1 SAR Limits

The following FCC limits for SAR apply to devices operate in General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment:

EXPOSURE (General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)	SAR (W/kg)
Average over the whole body	0.08
Spatial Peak (1g)	1.60
Spatial Peak for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (10g)	4.00

2.2 Configuration Photographs

SAR Measurement Test Setup

Test System

SAR Measurement Test Setup

Bottom side of Laptop facing phantom touching



Bottom side of Laptop facing phantom touching-Zoon In

SAR Measurement Test Setup

EUT perpendicular to phantom, 0 mm separation



EUT perpendicular to phantom, 0 mm separation-Zoon In

SAR Measurement Test Setup

EUT perpendicular to phantom, 15 mm separation

EUT perpendicular to phantom, 15 mm separation-Zoon In

2.3 SAR measurement system

Robot system specification

The SAR measurement system being used is the IndexSAR SARA2 system, which consists of a Mitsubishi RV-E2 6-axis robot arm and controller, IndexSAR probe and amplifier and SAM phantom Head Shape. The robot is used to articulate the probe to programmed positions inside the phantom head to obtain the SAR readings from the DUT.

The system is controlled remotely from a PC, which contains the software to control the robot and data acquisition equipment. The software also displays the data obtained from test scans.



Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the SAR measurement system

The position and digitised shape of the phantom heads are made available to the software for accurate positioning of the probe and reduction of set-up time.

The SAM phantom heads are individually digitised using a Mitutoyo CMM machine to a precision of 0.02mm. The data is then converted into a shape format for the software, providing an accurate description of the phantom shell.In operation, the system first does an area (2D) scan at a fixed depth within the liquid from the inside wall of the phantom. When the maximum SAR point has been found, the system will then carry out a 3D scan centred at that point to determine volume averaged SAR level.

The first 2 measurements points in a direction perpendicular to the surface of the phantom during the zoom scan and closest to the phantom surface, were only 3.5mm and the probe is kept at greater than half a diameter from the surface.

2.4 SAR measurement system validation

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified to the ±10% of the specifications by using the system validation equipments. The validation was performed at 2450 MHz on the bottom side of box phantom.

Procedures

The SAR evaluation was performed with the following procedures:

a. The SAR distribution was measured at the exposed side of the bottom of the box phantom and was measured at a distance of 8 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The feed power was 1/5 W.

b. The dimension for this cube is 32 mm x 32 mm x 34 mm was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:

   i) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measurement point is 5 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in Z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

   ii) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum, the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3-D spline interpolation algorithm. The 3-D spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the “Not a knot” condition (in x, y and z directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. 1000 points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.

   iii) All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

The test scan procedure for system validation also apply to the general scan procedure except for the set-up position. For general scan, the EUT was placed at the side of phantom. For validation scan, the dipole antenna was placed at the bottom of phantom

2.4.1 System Validation result

System Validation (2450 MHz Head)				
Frequency MHz	**Operating Mode**	**Target SAR_{1g} (mW/g)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (mW/g)**	**Deviation (±10%)**
2450	CW	52.4	54.688	4.37%

Please see the plot below:

Date:	2003/10/15	**Position:**	Bottom
Filename:	2450val10-15.txt	**Phantom:**	Box1.csv
Device Tested:	SARA2 system	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	2450dipole	**Test Frequency:**	2450MHz
Shape File:	none.csv	**Power Level:**	24dBm /CW

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_HEAD

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.453	.453	.453

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Head
Conductivity:	1.80379
Relative Permittivity:	38.1223
Liquid Temp (deg C):	23.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	24
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	0.421N



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):	**Start Scan**	**End Scan**
	0.896	0.889

Change during Scan (%) -0.78

Max E-field (V/m): 74.25

Max SAR (W/kg)	**1g**	**10g**
	13.672	6.405

Location of Max (mm):	**X**	**Y**	**Z**
	-1.3	0.0	-220.7

Normalized to an input power of 1W
Averaged over 1 cm^3 (1g) of tissue
54.688W/kg

2.4.2 System Performance Check result

System performance check (2450 MHz Head)				
Frequency MHz	**Operating Mode**	**Target SAR_{1g} (mW/g)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (mW/g)**	**Deviation (±10%)**
2450	CW	52.4	49.25	-6.011%

Please see the plot below:

Date:	2004/6/24	**Position:**	Bottom of phantom
Filename:	2450performance check	**Phantom:**	HeadBox1-val..csv
Device Tested:	2450performance check	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	2450 dipole antenna	**Test Frequency:**	2450MHz
Shape File:	none.csv	**Power Level:**	23dBm

Probe: 0136
Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_HEAD

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.378	.378	.378

Amp Gain: 2
Averaging: 1
Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Head
Conductivity:	1.8438
Relative Permittivity:	40.3470
Liquid Temp (deg C):	23.2
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):	**Start Scan**	**End Scan**
	0.648	0.641

Change during Scan (%) -1.21

Max E-field (V/m): 60.40

Max SAR (W/kg)	**1g**	**10g**
	9.850	4.814

Location of Max (mm):	**X**	**Y**	**Z**
	-1.3	0.0	-222.6

Normalized to an input power of 1W
Averaged over 1 cm^3 (1g) of tissue
49.25W/kg

2.5 Test Result

The results on the following page(s) were obtained when the device was tested in the condition described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots, which reveal information about the location of the maximum SAR with respect to the device, are reported in Appendix A.

Measurement Results

Trade Name:	Cellvision	**Model No.:**	GUA-100
Serial No.:	Not Labled	**Test Engineer:**	Kevin Chen
TEST CONDITIONS			
Ambient Temperature	23 °C	**Relative Humidity**	45 %
Test Signal Source	Tx Mode	**Signal Modulation**	DSSS, OFDM
Output Power Before SAR Test	See page 6	**Output Power After SAR Test**	See page 6
Test Duration	23 min. each scan	**Number of Battery Change**	1

Test Mode: 802.11b operation mode (DSSS Modulation)

EUT Position						
Channel (MHz)	**Operating Mode**	**Crest Factor**	**Description**	**Distance (mm)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (mW/g)**	**Plot Number**
2412	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.013	1
2412	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	Note 1	2
2412	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	Note 1	3
2437	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.017	4
2437	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	Note 1	5
2437	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	Note 1	6
2462	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.024	7
2462	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	Note 1	8
2462	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	Note 1	9

Note: 1. The measurement was only performed in Area Scan due to scanning system couldn’t continue performing Zoom Scan with such a low SAR distribution.
2. The distance from bottom of EUT to flat phantom is 3 mm.
3. Configuration at middle channel with more than –3dB of applicable limit.

Test Mode: 802.11g operation mode (OFDM Modulation)

EUT Position						
Channel (MHz)	**Operating Mode**	**Crest Factor**	**Description**	**Distance (mm)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (mW/g)**	**Plot Number**
2412	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.007	10
2412	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	Note 1	11
2412	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	Note 1	12
2437	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.008	13
2437	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	Note 1	14
2437	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	Note 1	15
2462	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.011	16
2462	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	Note 1	17
2462	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	Note 1	18

Note: 1. The measurement was only performed in Area Scan due to scanning system couldn’t continue performing Zoom Scan with such a low SAR distribution.
2. The distance from bottom of EUT to flat phantom is 3 mm.
3. Configuration at middle channel with more than –3dB of applicable limit.

3.0 Test Equipment

3.1 Equipment List

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) tests were performed with the INDEXSAR SARA2 SYSTEM.

The following major equipment/components were used for the SAR evaluations:

SAR Measurement System			
EQUIPMENT	**SPECIFICATIONS**	S/N #	LAST CAL. DATE
Balanced Validation dipole	**2450MHz**	0048	03/26/2003
Controller	**Mitsubishi CR-E116**	F1008007	N/A
Robot	**Mitsubishi RV-E2**	EA009002	N/A
	Repeatability: ± 0.04mm; Number of Axes: 6		
E-Field Probe	**IXP-050**	0136	09/10/2003
	Frequency Range: Probe outer diameter: 5.2 mm; Length: 350 mm; Distance between the probe tip and the dipole center: 2.7 mm		
Data Acquisition	**SARA2**	N/A	N/A
	Processor: Pentium 4; Clock speed: 1.5GHz; OS: Windows XP; I/O: two RS232; Software: SARA2 ver. 2.3VPM		
Phantom	**2mm wall thickness box phantom**	N/A	N/A
	Shell Material: clear Perspex; Thickness: 2 ± 0.1 mm; Capacity: 152.5 x 225.5 x 200 (W x L x D) mm^3; Dielectric constant: less than 2.85 above 500MHz;		
Device holder	Material: clear Perspex; Dielectric constant: less than 2.85 above 500MHz	N/A	N/A
Simulated Tissue	**Mixture**	N/A	6/24/2004
	Please see section 3.2 for details		
RF Power Meter	**Boonton 4231A with 51011-EMC power sensor**	79401-32482	03/21/2004
	Frequency Range: 0.03 to 8 GHz, <24dBm		
Vector Network Analyzer	**HP 8753B** **HP 85046A**	2807J04037 2729A01958	07/04/2003
	300k to 3GHz		
Signal Generator	**R&S SMR27**	100036	09/19/2003
	10M to 27GHz, <120dBuV		
Crystal Detector	**Agilent 8472B**	MY42240243	N/A
	10MHz to 18GHz		
Two Channel Digital Storage Oscilloscope	**Tektronix TDS1012**	C031679	08/16/2003

3.2 Tissue Simulating Liquid

The head and body tissue parameters should be used to test operating frequency band of transmitters. When a transmission band overlaps with one of the target frequencies, the tissue dielectric parameters of the tissue medium at the middle of a device transmission band should be within ±5% of the parameters specified at that target frequency.

3.2.1 Body Tissue Simulating Liquid for evaluation test

Body Ingredients Frequency (2.45 GHz)	
DGBE (Dilethylene Glycol Butyl Ether)	26.7%
Salt	0.04%
Water	73.2%

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85046A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753B network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

Frequency (MHz)	**Temp. (℃)**	e r / **Relative Permittivity**			s / **Conductivity (mho/m)**			r ***(kg/m³)**
2450	22.4	measured	target	△(±5%)	measured	target	△(±5%)	1000
		51.27	52.7	-2.71%	1.975	1.95	1.28%	

* *Worst-case assumption*

3.2.2 Head Tissue Simulating Liquid for System performance Check test

Head Ingredients Frequency (2.45 GHz)	
DGBE (Dilethylene Glycol Butyl Ether)	53.3%
Water	46.7%

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85046A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753B network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

Frequency (MHz)	**Temp. (℃)**	e r / **Relative Permittivity**			s / **Conductivity (mho/m)**			r ***(kg/m³)**
2450	23.5	measured	target	△(±5%)	measured	target	△(±5%)	1000
		40.35	39.2	2.93%	1.844	1.80	2.44%	

* *Worst-case assumption*

3.2.3 Body Liquid results

Date: 24 Jun 2004	Temperature: 22.4 ℃	Type: 2450 MHz/ body (FCC)	Tested by: Kevin
2410, 50.4088380411, -2.0061581966 2411, 50.4244129921, -2.0032771461 2412, 50.4323777515, -1.9999999485 2413, 50.4101151228, -1.9991801292 2414, 50.4547824656, -1.990129693 2415, 50.4596251913, -1.9903170215 2416, 50.4652041928, -1.9859238192 2417, 50.5234465789, -1.985605792 2418, 50.4899444116, -1.9792838836 2419, 50.4615245507, -1.9768686092 2420, 50.5421279498, -1.9745279136 2421, 50.4858105796, -1.9754158355 2422, 50.5031276724, -1.967867693 2423, 50.5811988388, -1.9703288213 2424, 50.5620034897, -1.975153486 2425, 50.6116016639, -1.9639354805 2426, 50.6171974684, -1.9661886837 2427, 50.6484534836, -1.9643372561 2428, 50.6615171461, -1.9650248527 2429, 50.6682265714, -1.9565494459 2430, 50.7075154697, -1.9606982586 2431, 50.7062216472, -1.9630690425 2432, 50.7372348294, -1.9576070584 2433, 50.7851537758, -1.9516064082 2434, 50.7795386478, -1.9640806058 2435, 50.8143735855, -1.9557365984 2436, 50.8825835043, -1.9590080408 2437, 50.8812852149, -1.9569072901 2438, 50.9131569024, -1.962924314 2439, 50.9565731913, -1.9584373535 2440, 50.9932023707, -1.9614219426 2441, 50.9901660659, -1.9580295807 2442, 51.0407423653, -1.9565913335 2443, 51.0627166775, -1.9641960924 2444, 51.0877414945, -1.9615526015 2445, 51.115945874, -1.9684529456 2446, 51.1820045439, -1.9676415067 2447, 51.1739205729, -1.9689536567 2448, 51.1748345264, -1.9741791261 2449, 51.2105006252, -1.9746736316		**2450, 51.2720960641, -1.9746582874** 2451, 51.2456641937, -1.9760242173 2452, 51.2475320982, -1.9743296013 2453, 51.3148457722, -1.979780063 2454, 51.2840288013, -1.989008166 2455, 51.3413207954, -1.9883695496 2456, 51.3670438431, -1.9906407588 2457, 51.3657331925, -1.9997945687 2458, 51.388971286, -1.9987896703 2459, 51.4210974623, -2.0074924074 2460, 51.4154120204, -2.0119078044 2461, 51.4778168221, -2.0072590832 2462, 51.4423893993, -2.0138167688 2463, 51.5167808084, -2.0197549025 2464, 51.4777237328, -2.0230119033 2465, 51.4915268311, -2.0253727069 2466, 51.4811159739, -2.030579969 2467, 51.5419096721, -2.0345140135 2468, 51.5905885962, -2.0404891854 2469, 51.5715741232, -2.0419690035 2470, 51.5778400694, -2.0498740117 2471, 51.5918956855, -2.0513076247 2472, 51.6052026883, -2.0559676915 2473, 51.5755910839, -2.0605328505 2474, 51.667452686, -2.0619729056 2475, 51.610445765, -2.0747098333 2476, 51.6280856022, -2.067502392 2477, 51.6096216542, -2.0800139452 2478, 51.6450260317, -2.0877482669 2479, 51.6328286517, -2.0926590204 2480, 51.6450167234, -2.0913940669 2481, 51.6227832821, -2.104203293 2482, 51.5777936557, -2.1060830637 2483, 51.6270710064, -2.1088446346 2484, 51.5642025299, -2.1101919469 2485, 51.6460025077, -2.1170007831 2486, 51.6212594068, -2.1277733466 2487, 51.6264022957, -2.1317618761 2488, 51.6833233397, -2.1444995715 2489, 51.5690389423, -2.139976604 2490, 51.5929331686, -2.1523180801	



3.2.4 Head Liquid results

Date: 24 Jun 2004	Temperature: 23.5 ℃	Type: 2450 MHz/ head (FCC)	Tested by: Kevin
2410, 39.722006026, -1.8656740873		**2450, 40.3470948938, -1.8438536348**	
2411, 39.722006026, -1.8629472542		2451, 40.4300897956, -1.8492189672	
2412, 39.73326526, -1.8589742005		2452, 40.4509358915, -1.8472986419	
2413, 39.7649446761, -1.8556528625		2453, 40.4662859808, -1.8549262052	
2414, 39.7093802985, -1.85525175		2454, 40.490593395, -1.8546378626	
2415, 39.7108277054, -1.8489783425		2455, 40.4925120579, -1.8586237533	
2416, 39.7606203941, -1.8453323334		2456, 40.5044326037, -1.8594486047	
2417, 39.7334088908, -1.8462733209		2457, 40.5129099649, -1.8610970075	
2418, 39.7348571736, -1.8471045153		2458, 40.5206866613, -1.8678237366	
2419, 39.7947840004, -1.8381731052		2459, 40.5306948844, -1.8674330545	
2420, 39.7674041444, -1.8377738827		2460, 40.5396210332, -1.8720910506	
2421, 39.7933295687, -1.8327507853		2461, 40.5939056224, -1.8795490142	
2422, 39.8480672452, -1.8316383684		2462, 40.606448028, -1.8824773443	
2423, 39.8099537081, -1.8300565008		2463, 40.5620887304, -1.8845979352	
2424, 39.8509412062, -1.8275330965		2464, 40.661098438, -1.8932412227	
2425, 39.8670808373, -1.8344880158		2465, 40.6341360074, -1.8902397849	
2426, 39.8880728172, -1.8275703785		2466, 40.6755272746, -1.8945358106	
2427, 39.9023366407, -1.8280149399		2467, 40.6654759636, -1.8966088036	
2428, 39.9400225323, -1.8264058136		2468, 40.6410544386, -1.9011568862	
2429, 39.9347331284, -1.826820576		2469, 40.6420913789, -1.9042634147	
2430, 39.9234096665, -1.8240781222		2470, 40.6834907497, -1.9101525222	
2431, 39.9458872808, -1.8221903267		2471, 40.6584885251, -1.9144224496	
2432, 39.9841826923, -1.8216220658		2472, 40.6303589822, -1.9223001235	
2433, 40.0223835236, -1.8262829114		2473, 40.7130461607, -1.9219742708	
2434, 40.0124936107, -1.8190991799		2474, 40.6342588251, -1.9296479362	
2435, 40.061642396, -1.8237129319		2475, 40.662134066, -1.9336100948	
2436, 40.0517427819, -1.8206487161		2476, 40.6576382032, -1.946228243	
2437, 40.1092234564, -1.8223551635		2477, 40.7075794428, -1.9405628054	
2438, 40.1403247462, -1.8242914789		2478, 40.6695332399, -1.9434654307	
2439, 40.1614606024, -1.8274369439		2479, 40.6815058987, -1.9508653473	
2440, 40.1599967172, -1.8271349042		2480, 40.688969805, -1.9536830476	
2441, 40.2028100046, -1.8257044195		2481, 40.6924302217, -1.9585205239	
2442, 40.1924359975, -1.8283328297		2482, 40.7377414021, -1.9677657571	
2443, 40.20485436, -1.8292170854		2483, 40.6731371125, -1.9640496915	
2444, 40.268179099, -1.8311378252		2484, 40.7205739508, -1.9662602425	
2445, 40.2677387665, -1.8331013637		2485, 40.7126079431, -1.9792785451	
2446, 40.2924718328, -1.8320302924		2486, 40.6850341142, -1.9819451238	
2447, 40.3176609546, -1.8385414033		2487, 40.6325278934, -1.9832320848	
2448, 40.3582397117, -1.8477266933		2488, 40.6060284349, -1.9906250699	
2449, 40.3525860343, -1.8439553378		2489, 40.6433418729, -1.9968042027	
		2490, 40.6283621692, -2.0018725399	



3.3 E-Field Probe and 2450 Balanced Dipole Antenna Calibration

Probe calibration factors and dipole antenna calibration are included in Appendix C.

4.0 Measurement Uncertainty

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the INDEXSAR SARA2 measurement system according to IEEE P1528 documents [3] and is given in the following table. The extended uncertainty (95% confidence level) was assessed to be 20.6 % for SAR measurement, and the extended uncertainty (95% confidence level) was assessed to be 20.2 % for system performance check.

Table 1 Exposure Assessment Uncertainty

Example of measurement uncertainty assessment SAR measurement

(blue entries are site-specific)

a	b			c	d	e		f	g	h	I
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (+/-)			Prob. Dist.	Divisor (descrip)	Divisor (value)	c1 (1g)	c1 (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (%) 1g	Standard Uncertainty (%) 10g
		(dB)		(%)							
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	E2.1			2.5	N	1 or k	1	1	1	2.50	2.50
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	0.25	5.93	5.93	R	√3	1.73	0	0	0.00	0.00
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	0.45	10.92	10.92	R	√3	1.73	1	1	6.30	6.30
Boundary effect	E2.3		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Linearity	E2.4	0.04	0.93	0.93	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.53	0.53
System Detection Limits	E2.5		1	1.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	E2.6		1	1.00	N	1 or k	1.00	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response time	E2.7		0	0.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00
Integration time	E2.8		1.4	1.40	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.81	0.81
RF Ambient Conditions	E6.1		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2		0.6	0.60	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.35	0.35
Probe Position wrt. Phantom Shell	E6.3		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
SAR Evaluation Algorithms	E5		8	8.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	4.62	4.62
Test Sample Related											
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2		2	2.00	N	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1		2	2.00	N	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Output Power Variation	6.6.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters											
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness)	E3.1		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid conductivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid conductivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	N	1	1.00	0.64	0.43	0.70	0.47
Liquid permittivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid permittivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	N	1	1.00	0.6	0.49	0.66	0.54
Combined standard uncertainty					**RSS**					10.5	10.3
Expanded uncertainty	(95% Confidence Level)				k=2					**20.6**	**20.3**

Table 2 System Check (Verification)

Example of measurement uncertainty assessment for system performance check

(blue entries are site-specific)

a	b			c	d	e		f	g	h	I
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (+/-)			Prob. Dist.	Divisor (descrip)	Divisor (value)	c1 (1g)	c1 (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (%) 1g	Standard Uncertainty (%) 10g
		(dB)		(%)							
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	E2.1			2.5	N	1 or k	1	1	1	2.50	2.50
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	0.25	5.93	5.93	R	√3	1.73	0	0	0.00	0.00
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	0.45	10.92	10.92	R	√3	1.73	1	1	6.30	6.30
Boundary effect	E2.3		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Linearity	E2.4	0.04	0.93	0.93	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.53	0.53
System Detection Limits	E2.5		1	1.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	E2.6		1	1.00	N	1 or k	1.00	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response time	E2.7		0	0.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00
Integration time	E2.8		1.4	1.40	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.81	0.81
RF Ambient Conditions	E6.1		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2		0.6	0.60	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.35	0.35
Probe Position wrt. Phantom Shell	E6.3		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
SAR Evaluation Algorithms	E5		8	8.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	4.62	4.62
Dipole											
Dipole axis to liquid distance	8, E4.2		2	2.00	N	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8, 6.6.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters											
Phantom Uncertainty (thickness)	E3.1		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid conductivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid conductivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	N	1	1.00	0.64	0.43	0.70	0.47
Liquid permittivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid permittivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	N	1	1.00	0.6	0.49	0.66	0.54
Combined standard uncertainty					**RSS**					10.3	10.1
Expanded uncertainty	(95% Confidence Level)				k=2					**20.2**	**19.9**

5.0 Measurement Traceability

All measurements described in this report are traceable to Chinese National Laboratory Accreditation (CNLA) standards or appropriate national standards.

6.0 WARNING LABEL INFORMATION - USA

See user manual.

7.0 REFERENCES

[1] ANSI, *ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 GHz,* The Institute of electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1999

[2] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997

[3] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", IEEE Std 1528™-2003

8.0 DOCUMENT HISTORY

Revision/ Job Number	Writer Initials	Date	Change
N/A	S.L.	Jul. 1, 2004	Original document

APPENDIX A - SAR Evaluation Data

Power drift is the measurement of power drift of the device over one complete SAR scan.
To assess the drift of the power of the device under test, a SAR measurement was made in the middle of the zoom scan volume at the start of the scan and a measurement at this point was then also made after the measurement scan. The difference between the two measurements should be less than 5%.

Plot #1(1/2)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	bottom
Filename:	11b_ch1_bot.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch1
Shape File:	GUA100-bot.csv	**Power Level:**	19.89dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):

Start Scan	End Scan
0.004	0.004

Change during Scan (%) -0.53

Max E-field (V/m): 2.82

Max SAR (W/kg)

1g	10g
0.013	0.007

Location of Max (mm):

X	Y	Z
78.0	-20.0	-146.3

Plot #1(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0



Plot #2(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11b_ch1_rear0mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch1
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	19.89dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0

Plot #3(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11b_ch1_rear15mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch1
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	19.89dBm

Probe:	0136
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain:	2
Averaging:	1
Batteries Replaced:	-

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0

Plot #4(1/2)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	bottom
Filename:	11b_ch6_bot.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch6
Shape File:	GUA100-bot.csv	**Power Level:**	19.13dBm

Probe:	0136
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY
Amp Gain:	2
Averaging:	1
Batteries Replaced:	-

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):

Start Scan	End Scan
0.007	0.007

Change during Scan (%) -1.11

Max E-field (V/m): 3.31

Max SAR (W/kg)

1g	10g
0.017	0.010

Location of Max (mm):

X	Y	Z
78.1	-24.0	-147.0

Plot #4(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0



Plot #5(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11b_ch6_rear0mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch6
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	19.13dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0

Plot #6(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11b_ch6_rear15mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch6
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	19.13dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0

Plot #7(1/2)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	bottom
Filename:	11b_ch11_bot.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch11
Shape File:	GUA100-bot.csv	**Power Level:**	18.53dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):

Start Scan	End Scan
0.007	0.007

Change during Scan (%) 0.00

Max E-field (V/m): 3.97

Max SAR (W/kg)

1g	10g
0.024	0.014

Location of Max (mm):

X	Y	Z
78.1	-24.0	-148.0

Plot #7(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0



Plot #8(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11b_ch11_rear0mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch11
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	18.53dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0

Plot #9(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/25	**Position:**	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11b_ch11_rear15mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11b_2450MHz_ch11
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	18.53dBm

Probe: 0136
Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2
Averaging: 1
Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.3
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-110.0	8.0

Plot #10(1/2)

Date :	2004/6/28	**Position:**	bottom
Filename:	11g_ch1_bot.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch1
Shape File:	GUA100-bot.csv	**Power Level:**	19.68dBm

Probe:	0136			
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	**Y**	**Z**
Cal Factors:	**Air**	490	405	405
	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	1			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

	Start Scan	**End Scan**
Spot SAR (W/kg):	0.004	0.004

Change during Scan (%) 1.17

Max E-field (V/m): 2.08

	1g	**10g**
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.007	0.005

	X	**Y**	**Z**
Location of Max (mm):	78.1	-11.0	-162.8

Plot #10(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-120.0	7.0



Plot #11(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/28	**Position:**	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11g_ch1_rear0mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch1
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	19.68dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: 1

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-180.0	-110.0	7.0

Plot #12(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/28	**Position:**	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11g_ch1_rear15mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch1
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	19.68dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: 1

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-180.0	-110.0	7.0

Plot #13(1/2)

Date:	2004/6/28	**Position:**	bottom
Filename:	11g_ch6_bot.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch6
Shape File:	GUA100-bot.csv	**Power Level:**	19.33dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: 1

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):

Start Scan	End Scan
0.004	0.004

Change during Scan (%) 0.00

Max E-field (V/m): 2.22

Max SAR (W/kg)

1g	10g
0.008	0.005

Location of Max (mm):

X	Y	Z
78.1	-9.0	-162.8

Plot #13(1/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-120.0	7.0



Plot #14(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/28	**Position:**	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11g_ch6_rear0mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch6
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	19.33dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: 1

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-180.0	-110.0	7.0

Plot #15(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/28	**Position:**	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11g_ch6_rear15mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch6
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	19.33dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: 1

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-180.0	-110.0	7.0

Plot #16(1/2)

Date:	2004/6/28	**Position:**	bottom
Filename:	11g_ch11_bot.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch11
Shape File:	GUA100-bot.csv	**Power Level:**	18.71dBm

Probe:	0136
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY
Amp Gain:	2
Averaging:	1
Batteries Replaced:	1

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM





ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):

Start Scan	End Scan
0.006	0.006

Change during Scan (%) 0.53

Max E-field (V/m): 2.48

Max SAR (W/kg)

1g	10g
0.011	0.007

Location of Max (mm):

X	Y	Z
78.1	-11.0	-161.0

Plot #16(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:			
	Min	**Max**	**Steps**
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-190.0	-120.0	7.0



Plot #17(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/28	**Position:**	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11g_ch11_rear0mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch11
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	18.71dBm

Probe: 0136

Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: 1

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-180.0	-110.0	7.0

Plot #18(1/1)

Date:	2004/6/28	**Position:**	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11g_ch11_rear15mma.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	GUA-100	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	chip antenna	**Test Frequency:**	11g_2450MHz_ch11
Shape File:	GUA100-rear.csv	**Power Level:**	18.71dBm

Probe: 0136
Cal File: SN0136_2450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	490	405	405
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.405	.405	.405

Amp Gain: 2
Averaging: 1
Batteries Replaced: 1

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9746
Relative Permittivity:	51.2720
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.1
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22.5
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM



AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
Z	-180.0	-110.0	7.0

APPENDIX B - Photographs



APPENDIX C - E-Field Probe and 2450MHz Balanced Dipole Antenna Calibration Data

IMMERSIBLE SAR PROBE

CALIBRATION REPORT

Part Number: IXP – 050

S/N 0136

10th September 2003

Indexsar Limited
Oakfield House
Cudworth Lane
Newdigate
Surrey RH5 5DR
Tel: +44 (0) 1306 631 233
Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631 834
e-mail: enquiries@indexsar.com

INTRODUCTION

This Report presents measured calibration data for a particular Indexsar SAR probe (S/N 0136) and describes the procedures used for characterisation and calibration.

Indexsar probes are characterised using procedures that, where applicable, follow the recommendations of CENELEC [1] and IEEE [2] standards. The procedures incorporate techniques for probe linearisation, isotropy assessment and determination of liquid factors (conversion factors). Calibrations are determined by comparing probe readings with analytical computations in canonical test geometries (waveguides) using normalised power inputs.

Each step of the calibration procedure and the equipment used is described in the sections below.

CALIBRATION PROCEDURE

1. Equipment Used

For the first part of the characterisation procedure, the probe is placed in an isotropy measurement jig as pictured in Figure 1. In this position the probe can be rotated about its axis by a non-metallic belt driven by a stepper motor.

The probe is attached via its amplifier and an optical cable to a PC. A schematic representation of the test geometry is illustrated in Figure 2.

A balanced dipole (900 MHz) is inserted horizontally into the bracket attached to a second belt (Figure 1). The dipole can also be rotated about its axis. A cable connects the dipole to a signal generator, via a directional coupler and power meter. The signal generator feeds an RF amplifier at constant power, the output of which is monitored using the power meter. The probe is positioned so that its sensors line up with the rotation center of the source dipole. By recording output voltage measurements of each channel as both the probe and the dipole are rotated, data are obtained from which the spherical isotropy of the probe can be optimised and its magnitude determined.

The calibration process requires E-field measurements to be taken in air, in 900 MHz simulated brain liquid and at other frequencies/liquids as appropriate.

2. Linearising probe output

The probe channel output signals are linearised in the manner set out in Refs [1] and [2]. The following equation is utilized for each channel:

$$U_{lin} = U_{o/p} + U_{o/p}^{\ 2} / DCP \qquad (1)$$

where U_{lin} is the linearised signal, $U_{o/p}$ is the raw output signal in voltage units and DCP is the diode compression potential in similar voltage units.

DCP is determined from fitting equation (1) to measurements of U_{lin} versus source feed power over the full dynamic range of the probe. The DCP is a characteristic of the schottky diodes used as the sensors. For the IXP-050 probes with CW signals the DCP values are typically 0.10V (or 20 in the voltage units used by Indexsar software, which are V*200).

3. Selecting channel sensitivity factors to optimise isotropic response

The basic measurements obtained using the calibration jig (Fig 1) represent the output from each diode sensor as a function of the presentation angle of the source (probe and dipole rotation angles). The directionality of the orthogonally-arranged sensors can be checked by analysing the data using dedicated Indexsar software, which displays the data in 3D format as in Figure 3. The left-hand side of this diagram shows the individual channel outputs after linearisation (see above). The program uses these data to balance the channel outputs and then applies an optimisation process, which makes fine adjustments to the channel factors for optimum isotropic response.

The next stage of the process is to calibrate the Indexsar probe to a W&G EMR300 E-field meter in air. The principal reasons for this are to obtain conversion factors applicable should the probe be used in air and to provide an overall measure of the probe sensitivity.

A multiplier is applied to factors to bring the magnitudes of the average E-field measurements as close as possible to those of the W&G probe.

The following equation is used (where linearised output voltages are in units of V*200):

$$E_{air}^2 \text{ (V/m)} = U_{linx} * \text{Air Factor}_x + U_{liny} * \text{Air Factor}_y + U_{linz} * \text{Air Factor}_z \qquad (2)$$

It should be noted that the air factors are not separately used for normal SAR testing. The IXP-050 probes are optimised for use in tissue-simulating liquids and do not behave isotropically in air.

4. <u>900 MHz Liquid Calibration</u>

Conversion factors for use when the probes are immersed in tissue-simulant liquids at 900 MHz are determined either using a waveguide or by comparison to a reference probe that has been calibrated by NPL. Waveguide procedures are described later. The summary sheet indicates the method used for the probe S/N 0136.

The conversion factor, referred to as the 'liquid factor' is also applied to the measurements of each channel. The following equation is used (where output voltages are in units of V*200):

$$E_{liq}^2 \text{ (V/m)} = U_{linx} * \text{Air Factor}_x * \text{Liq Factor}_x + U_{liny} * \text{Air Factor}_y * \text{Liq Factor}_y + U_{linz} * \text{Air Factor}_z * \text{Liq Factor}_z \qquad (3)$$

A 3D representation of the spherical isotropy for probe S/N 0136 using these factors is shown in Figure 3.

The rotational isotropy can also determined from the calibration jig measurements and is reported as the 900MHz isotropy in the summary table. Note that waveguide measurements can also be used to determine rotational isotropy (Fig. 5).

The design of the cells used for determining probe conversion factors are waveguide cells is shown in Figure 4. The cells consist of a coax to waveguide transition and an open-ended section of waveguide containing a dielectric separator. Each waveguide cell stands in the upright posititition and is filled with liquid within 10 mm of the open end. The seperator provides a liquid seal and is designed for a good electrical transition from air filled guide to liquid filled guide. The choice of cell depends on the portion of the frequency band to be examined and the choice of liquid used. The depth of liquid ensures there is negligible radiation from the waveguide open top and that the probe calibration is not influenced by reflections from nearby objects. The return loss at the coaxial connector of the filled waveguide cell is measured initially using a network analyser and this information is used subsequently in the calibration procedure. The probe is positioned in the centre of the waveguide and is adjusted vertically or rotated using stepper motor arrangements. The signal generator is connected to the waveguide cell and the power is monitored with a coupler and a power meter. A fuller description of the waveguide method is given below.

The liquid dielectric parameters used for the probe calibrations are listed in the Tables below. The final calibration factors for the probe are listed in the summary chart.

WAVEGUIDE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

The calibration method is based on setting up a calculable specific absorption rate (SAR) in a vertically-mounted WG8 (R22) waveguide section [1]. The waveguide has an air-filled, launcher section and a liquid-filled section separated by a matching window that is designed to minimise reflections at the liquid interface. A TE_{01} mode is launched into the waveguide by means of a N-type-to-waveguide adapter. The power delivered to the liquid section is calculated from the forward power and reflection coefficient measured at the input to the waveguide. At the centre of the cross-section of the waveguide, the local spot SAR in the liquid as a function of distance from the window is given by functions set out in IEEE1528 as below:

Because of the low cutoff frequency, the field inside the liquid nearly propagates as a TEM wave. The depth of the medium (greater than three penetration depths) ensures that reflections at the upper surface of the liquid are negligible. The power absorbed in the liquid is determined by measuring the waveguide forward and reflected power. Equation (4) shows the relationship between the SAR at the cross-sectional center of the lossy waveguide and the longitudinal distance (z) from the dielectric separator

$$SAR(z) = \frac{4\left(P_f - P_b\right)}{r\,abd} e^{-2z/d} \qquad (4)$$

where the density r is conventionally assumed to be 1000 kg/m^3, ab is the cross-sectional area of the waveguide, P_f and P_b are the forward and reflected power inside the lossless section of the waveguide, respectively. The penetration depth d, which is the reciprocal of the waveguide-mode attenuation coefficient, is determined from a scan along the z-axis and compared with the theoretical value determined from Equation (5) using the measured dielectric properties of the lossy liquid.

$$d = \left[\mathrm{Re}\left\{ \sqrt{(p/a)^2 + jwm_o\left(s + jwe_o e_r\right)} \right\} \right]^{-1}. \qquad (5)$$

Table A.1 of [1] can be used for designing calibration waveguides with a return loss greater than 30 dB at the most important frequencies used for personal wireless communications. Values for the penetration depth for these specific fixtures and tissue-simulating mixtures are also listed in Table A.1.

According to [1], this calibration technique provides excellent accuracy, with standard uncertainty of less than 3.6% depending on the frequency and medium. The calibration itself is reduced to power measurements traceable to a standard calibration procedure. The practical limitation to the frequency band of 800 to 2500 MHz because of the waveguide size is not severe in the context of compliance testing.

CALIBRATION FACTORS MEASURED FOR PROBE S/N 0136

The probe was calibrated at 900, 1800, 1900 and 2450MHz MHz in liquid samples representing both brain liquid and body fluid at these frequencies. The calibration was for CW signals only, and the axis of the probe was parallel to the direction of propagation of the incident field i.e. end-on to the incident radiation. The axial isotropy of the probe was measured by rotating the probe about its axis in 10 degree steps through 360 degrees in this orientation.

The reference point for the calibration is in the centre of the probe's cross-section at a distance of 2.7 m from the probe tip in the direction of the probe amplifier. A value of 2.7 mm should be used for the tip to sensor offset distance in the software.

It is important that the diode compression point and air factors used in the software are the same as those quoted in the results tables, as these are used to convert the diode output voltages to a SAR value.

DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES OF LIQUIDS

The dielectric properties of the brain and body tissue-simulant liquids employed for calibration are listed in the tables below. The measurements were performed prior to each waveguide test using an Indexsar DiLine measurement kit, which uses the TEM method as recommended in [2].

AMBIENT CONDITIONS

Measurements were made in the open laboratory at 22 ± 2.0°C. The temperature of the liquids in the waveguide used was measured using a mercury thermometer.

RESPONSE TO MODULATED SIGNALS

To measure the response of the probe and amplifier to modulated signals, the probe is held vertically in a liquid-filled waveguide.

An RF amplifier is allowed to warm up and stabilise before use. A spectrum analyser is used to demonstrate that the peak power of the RF amplifier for the CW signals and the pulsed signals are within 0.1dB of each other when the signal generator is switched from CW to modulated output. Subsequently, the power levels recorded are read from a power meter when a CW signal is being transmitted.

The test sequence involves manually stepping the power up in regular (e.g. 2 dB) steps from the lowest power that gives a measurable reading on the SAR probe up to the maximum that the amplifiers can deliver.

At each power level, the individual channel outputs from the SAR probe are recorded at CW and then recorded again with the modulation setting. The results are entered into a spreadsheet. Using the spreadsheets, the modulated power is calculated by applying a factor to the measured CW power (e.g. for GSM, this factor is 9.03dB). This process is repeated 3 times with the response maximised for each channel sensor in turn.

The probe channel output signals are linearised in the manner set out in Section 1 above using equation (1) with the DCPs determined from the linearisation procedure. Calibration factors for the probe are used to determine the E-field values corresponding to the probe readings using equation (3). SAR is determined from the equation

$$SAR\ (W/kg) = E_{liq}^{2}\ (V/m) * \sigma(S/m) / 1000 \qquad (6)$$

Where σ is the conductivity of the simulant liquid employed.

Using the spreadsheet data, the DCP value for linearising each of the individual channels (X, Y and Z) is assessed separately. The corresponding DCP values are listed in the summary page of the calibration factors for each probe.

Figure 7 shows the linearised probe response to GSM signals, Figure 8 the response to GPRS signals (GSM with 2 timeslots) and Figure 9 the response to CDMA IS-95A and W-CDMA signals.

Additional tests have shown that the modulation response is similar at 1800MHz and is not affected by the orientation between the source and the probe.

SUMMARY OF CALIBRATION FACTORS FOR PROBE IXP-050 S/N 0136

Spherical isotropy measured at 900 MHz	0.24	(+/-) dB



	X	Y	Z	
Air factors	490	405	405	(V*200)
DCPs	20	20	20	(V*200)
DSSS	20	20	20	(V*200)
GSM	8	9.5	11.2	(V*200)
CDMA	20	20	20	(V*200)

f (MHz)	Axial isotropy (+/- dB)		SAR conversion factors (liq/air)		Notes
	BRAIN	BODY	BRAIN	BODY	
450			0.257	0.272	
835	0.05	0.04	0.257	0.272	1,2,3
900	0.05	0.04	0.261	0.282	1,2,3
1800	0.06	0.06	0.315	0.339	1,2,3
1900	0.06	0.06	0.327	0.351	1,2,3
2450	0.05	0.10	0.378	0.405	1,2,3

Notes
1) Calibrations done at 22C +/- 2C
2) Waveguide calibration
3) Checked using box-phantom validation test

(the graph shows a simple, spreadsheet representation of surface shown in 3D in Figure 3 below)

PROBE SPECIFICATIONS

Indexsar probe 0136, along with its calibration, is compared with CENELEC and IEEE standards recommendations (Refs [1] and [2]) in the Tables below. A listing of relevant specifications is contained in the tables below:

Dimensions	S/N 0136	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Overall length (mm)	350		
Tip length (mm)	10		
Body diameter (mm)	12		
Tip diameter (mm)	5.2	8	8
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers (mm)	2.7		

Dynamic range	S/N 0136	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Minimum (W/kg)	0.01	<0.02	0.01
Maximum (W/kg) N.B. only measured to 35 W/kg	>35	>100	100

Linearity of response	S/N 0136	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Over range 0.01 – 100 W/kg (+/- dB)	0.125	0.50	0.25

Isotropy (measured at 900MHz)	S/N 0136	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Axial rotation with probe normal to source (+/- dB) at 835, 900, 1800, 1900 and 2450 MHz	Max. 0.10 (see summary table)	0.5	0.25
Spherical isotropy covering all orientations to source (+/- dB)	0.24	1.0	0.50

Construction	Each probe contains three orthogonal dipole sensors arranged on a triangular prism core, protected against static charges by built-in shielding, and covered at the tip by PEEK cylindrical enclosure material. No adhesives are used in the immersed section. Outer case materials are PEEK and heat-shrink sleeving.
Chemical resistance	Tested to be resistant to glycol and alcohol containing simulant liquids but probes should be removed, cleaned and dried when not in use.

REFERENCES

[1] CENELEC, EN 50361, July 2001. Basic Standard for the measurement of specific absorption rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones.

[2] IEEE 1528, Recommended practice for determining the spatial-peak specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body due to wireless communications devices: Experimental techniques.

[3] Calibration report on SAR probe IXP-050 S/N 0071 from National Physical Laboratory. Test Report EF07/2002/03/IndexSAR. Dated 20 February 2002.



Figure 1. Spherical isotropy jig showing probe, dipole and box filled with simulated brain liquid (see Ref [2], Section A.5.2.1)



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the test geometry used for isotropy determination



Figure 3. Graphical representation of the probe response to fields applied from each direction. The diagram on the left shows the individual response characteristics of each of the three channels and the diagram on the right shows the resulting probe sensitivity in each direction. The colour range in the figure images the lowest values as blue and the maximum values as red. For the probe S/N 0136, this range is (+/-) 0.24 dB. The probe is more sensitive to fields parallel to the axis and less sensitive to fields normal to the probe axis.



Figure 4. Geometry used for waveguide calibration (after Ref [2]. Section A.3.2.2)

IXP-050 S/N 0136 **18-Aug-03**





Figure 5. Example of the rotational isotropy of probe S/N 0136 obtained by rotating the probe in a liquid-filled waveguide at 2450 MHz. Similar distributions are obtained at the other test frequencies (1800 and 1900 MHz) both in brain liquids and body fluids (see summary table)





Figure 6. The measured SAR decay function along the centreline of the WG4 waveguide with conversion factors adjusted to fit to the theoretical function for the particular dimension, frequency, power and liquid properties employed.



Figure 7. The measured SAR decay function along the centreline of the R22 waveguide with conversion factors adjusted to fit to the theoretical function for the particular dimension, frequency, power and liquid properties employed.



Figure 8. The GSM response of an IXP-050 probe at 900MHz.



Figure 8a. The actual GSM response of IXP-050 probe S/N 0136 at 1800MHz



Figure 9. The GPRS response of an IXP-050 probe at 900MHz.





Figure 10. The CDMA response of an IXP-050 probe at 1900MHz.

Table indicating the dielectric parameters of the liquids used for calibrations at each frequency

Liquid used	Relative permittivity (measured)	Conductivity (S/m) (measured)
835 MHz BRAIN	43.18	0.935
835 MHz BODY	59.19	0.992
900 MHz BRAIN	42.47	0.998
900 MHz BODY	58.7	1.056
1800 MHz BRAIN	38.72	1.34
1800 MHz BODY	52.5	1.53
1900 MHz BRAIN	38.31	1.43
1900 MHz BODY	52.06	1.64
2450 MHz BRAIN	38.9	1.87
2450 MHz BODY	52.59	2.08

Report No. SN0048_2450
26th March 2003

INDEXSAR
2450MHz validation Dipole
Type IXD-245 **S/N 0048**

Performance measurements

- ***MI Manning***



Indexsar, Oakfield House, Cudworth Lane,
Newdigate, Surrey RH5 5DR. UK.
Tel: +44 (0) 1306 631233 Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631834
e-mail: enquiries@indexsar.com

Indexsar Limited
Oakfield House
Cudworth Lane
Newdigate
Surrey RH5 5DR
Tel: +44 (0) 1306 631 233
Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631 834
e-mail: enquiries@indexsar.com

Calibration / Conformance statement
Balanced Validation dipole

Type:	**IXD-245 2450MHz**
Manufacturer:	**IndexSAR, UK**
Serial Number:	**0048**
Place of Calibration:	**IndexSAR, UK**

IndexSAR Limited hereby declares that the IXD series dipole named above has been checked for conformity to the specifications given in the draft IEEE 1528 and CENELEC En 50361 standards on the date shown below.

Date of Calibration/Check:	**26th March 2003**

The dipole named above should be periodically re-checked using the procedures set out in the dipole calibration document. It is important that the cautions regarding handling of the dipoles (given in the calibration document) are adhered to.

Next Calibration Date:	**March 2005**

The calibration measurements were carried out using the methods described in the calibration document. Where applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to the UK's National Physical Laboratory.

Calibrated By:

Approved By:

1. Tests on Validation Dipole

Tests have been performed on a balanced dipole made for 2450MHz application according to the construction guidelines, dimensions and tolerances given in the draft IEEE1528 standard [1]. Measurements have been made of the impedance and return loss when positioned against the liquid-filled phantom and a validation test has been performed according to the procedures set out in IEEE 1528 [1].

2. Measurement Conditions

Measurements were performed using a box-shaped phantom made of PMMA with dimensions designed to meet the accuracy criteria for reasonably-sized phantoms that do not have liquid capacities substantially in excess of the volume of liquid required to fill the Indexsar upright SAM phantoms used for SAR testing of handsets against the ear.

An HP 8753B vector network analyser was used for the return loss measurements.
The dipole was placed in a special holder made of low-permittivity, low-loss materials. This holder enables the dipole to be positioned accurately in the centre of the base of the Indexsar box-phantom used for flat-surface testing and validation checks.

The validation dipoles are supplied with special spacers made from a low-permittivity, low-loss foam material. These spacers are fitted to the dipole arms to ensure that, when the dipole is offered up to the phantom surface, the spacing between the dipole and the liquid surface is accurately aligned according to the guidance in the relevant standards documentation. The spacers are rectangular with a central hole equal to the dipole arm diameter and dimensioned so that the longer side can be used to ensure a spacing of 15mm from the liquid in the phantom (for tests at 900MHz and below) and the shorter side can be used for tests at 1800MHz and above to ensure a spacing of 10mm from the liquid in the phantom. The spacers are made on a CNC milling machine with an accuracy of 1/40th mm but they may suffer wear and tear and need to be replaced periodically. The material used is Rohacell, which has a relative permittivity of approx. 1.05 and a negligible loss tangent.

The apparatus supplied by Indexsar for dipole validation tests thus includes:

Balanced dipoles for each frequency required are dimensioned according to the guidelines given in IEEE 1528 [1]. The dipoles are made from semi-rigid 50 Ohm co-ax, which is joined by soldering and is gold-plated subsequently. The constructed dipoles are easily deformed, if mis-handled, and periodic checks need to be made of their symmetry.

Rohacell foam spacers designed for presenting the dipoles to 2mm thick PMMA box phantoms. These components also suffer wear and tear and should be replaced when the central hole is a loose-fit on the dipole arms or if the edges are too worn to ensure accurate alignment. The standard spacers are dimensioned for use with 2mm wall thickness (additional spacers are available for 4mm wall thickness).

3. SAR Validation Measurement

A SAR validation check was performed with the box-phantom located on the SARA2 phantom support base on the SARA2 robot system. Tests were then conducted at a feed power level of approx. 0.25W. The actual power level was recorded and used to normalise the results obtained to the standard input power conditions of 1W (forward power). The ambient temperature was 24°C.

The phantom was filled with a 2450MHz brain liquid using a recipe from [1], which was measured using an Indexsar DiLine kit at 2450MHz. Measurements were taken at 23°C and 30°C and interpolation was used to find the properties at 24°C which were as below:

Relative Permittivity	**39.221**
Conductivity	**1.8714 S/m**

The SARA2 software version 0.420N was used with an Indexsar probe previously calibrated using waveguide techniques.

The 3D measurement made using the dipole at the bottom of the phantom box is shown below:



The volume-averaged SAR results, normalised to an input power of 1W (forward power) are:

Averaged over 1 cm^3 (1g) of tissue	**51.376 W/kg**
Averaged over $10cm^3$ (10g) of tissue	**23.888 W/kg**

These results can be compared with Table 8.1 in [1]. The agreement is within 10%.

4. Dipole impedance and return loss

The dipoles are designed to have low return loss ONLY when presented against a lossy-phantom at the specified distance. A Vector Network Analyser (VNA) was used to perform a return loss measurement on the specific dipole when in the measurement-location against the box phantom. The distance was as specified in the standard i.e. 10mm from the liquid (for 2450MHz). The Indexsar foam spacers (described above) were used to ensure this condition during measurement.

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with the network analyser.
The following parameters were measured:

Dipole impedance at 2450 MHz Re{Z} = **44.814 Ω**
Im{Z} = **-5.3359 Ω**

Return loss at 2450MHz **-22.122 dB**



5. Dipole handling

The dipoles are made from standard, copper-sheathed coaxial cable. In assembly, the sections are joined using ordinary soft-soldering. This is necessary to avoid excessive heat input in manufacture, which would destroy the polythene dielectric used for the cable. The consequence of the construction material and the assembly technique is that the dipoles are fragile and can be deformed by rough handling. Conversely, they can be straightened quite easily as described in this report.

If a dipole is suspected of being deformed, a normal workshop lathe can be used as an alignment jig to restore the symmetry. To do this, the dipole is first placed in the headstock of the lathe (centred on the plastic or brass spacers) and the headstock is rotated by hand (do NOT use the motor). A marker (lathe tool or similar) is brought up close to the end of one dipole arm and then the headstock is rotated by 0.5 rev. to check the opposing arm. If they are not balanced, judicious deformation of the arms can be used to restore the symmetry.

If a dipole has a failed solder joint, the dipole can be fixed down in such a way that the arms are co-linear and the joint re-soldered with a reasonably-powerful electrical soldering iron. Do not use gas soldering irons. After such a repair, electrical tests must be performed as described below.

Please note that, because of their construction, the dipoles are short-circuited for DC signals.

6. Tuning the dipole

The dipole dimensions are based on calculations that assumed specific liquid dielectric properties. If the liquid dielectric properties are somewhat different, the dipole tuning will also vary. A pragmatic way of accounting for variations in liquid properties is to ‘tune’ the dipole (by applying minor variations to its effective length). For this purpose, Indexsar can supply short brass tube lengths to extend the length of the dipole and thus ‘tune’ the dipole. It cannot be made shorter without removing a bit from the arm. An alternative way to tune the dipole is to use copper shielding tape to extend the effective length of the dipole. Do both arms equally.

It should be possible to tune a dipole as described, whilst in place in the measurement position as long as the user has access to a VNA for determining the return loss.

7. Reference

[1] Draft recommended practice for determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body due to wireless communications devices: Measurement Techniques. Draft CD1.1 – December 29, 2002.