

TEST REPORT



DT&C Co., Ltd.

42, Yurim-ro, 154Beon-gil, Cheoin-gu, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do, Korea, 17042
Tel : 031-321-2664, Fax : 031-321-1664

1. Report No : DRRFCC1701-0002

2. Customer

• Name : Code Corporation

• Address : 12393 South Gateway Park Place Suite 600 Draper Utah United States 84020

3. Use of Report : FCC Original Grant

4. Product Name / Model Name : Mobile Computer / CR4900

FCC ID : QQ6-WBT11



5. Test Method Used : RF exposure KDB procedures

Test Specification : CFR §2.1093

6. Date of Test : 2016-11-16 ~ 2016-12-06

7. Testing Environment : See appended test report

8. Test Result : Refer to the attached Test Result

Affirmation	Tested by Name : HoSik Sim		Technical Manager Name : HakMin Kim	
-------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The test results presented in this test report are limited only to the sample supplied by applicant and the use of this test report is inhibited other than its purpose. This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of DT&C Co., Ltd.

2017.01.05.

DT&C Co., Ltd.

If this test report is required to confirmation of authenticity, please contact to report@dtnc.net

Test Report Version

Test Report No.	Date	Description
DRRFCC1701-0002	Jan. 05, 2017	Initial issue

Table of Contents

1. DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE	5
1.1 Guidance Applied	6
1.2 Device Overview	6
1.3 Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications	6
1.4 DUT Antenna Location	9
1.5 Near Field Communications (NFC) Antenna	9
1.6 SAR Test Configurations and Exclusions	10
1.7 Power Reduction for SAR	10
1.8 Device Serial Numbers	10
2. INTRODUCTION	11
3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT	12
3.1 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	12
3.2 EX3DV4 Probe Specification	13
3.3 Probe Calibration Process	14
3.3.1 E-Probe Calibration	14
3.4 Data Extrapolation	15
3.5 SAM Twin PHANTOM	16
3.6 Device Holder for Transmitters	16
3.7 Brain & Muscle Simulation Mixture Characterization	17
3.8 SAR TEST EQUIPMENT	18
4. TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	19
5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	20
5.1 Measurement Procedure	20
6. RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	21
7. FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES	22
7.1 Measured and Reported SAR	22
7.2 SAR Testing with 802.11 Transmitters	22
7.2.1 General Device Setup	22
7.2.2 U-NII and U-NII-2A	22
7.2.3 U-NII-2C and U-NII-3	23
7.2.4 Initial Test Position Procedure	23
7.2.5 2.4 GHz SAR Test Requirements	23
7.2.6 OFDM Transmission Mode and SAR Test Channel Selection	23
7.2.7 Initial Test Configuration Procedure	24
7.2.8 Subsequent Test Configuration Procedures	24
8. RF CONDUCTED POWERS	25
8.1 W-LAN Conducted Powers	25
8.2 Bluetooth Conducted Powers	28
9. SYSTEM VERIFICATION	29
9.1 Tissue Verification	29
9.2 Test System Verification	30
10. SAR TEST RESULTS	31
10.1 Head SAR Results	31
10.2 Body SAR Results	33
10.3 SAR Test Notes	36
11. SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	37

11.1 Measurement Variability	37
11.2 Measurement Uncertainty	37
12. IEEE P1528 –MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES.....	38
13. CONCLUSION.....	50
14. REFERENCES	51
Attachment 1. – Probe Calibration Data.....	53
Attachment 2. – Dipole Calibration Data	65
Attachment 3. – SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION.....	90

1. DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE

Environmental evaluation measurements of specific absorption rate (SAR) distributions in emulated human head and body tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from wireless portable devices for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

General Information

EUT type	Mobile Computer				
FCC ID	QQ6-WBT11				
Equipment model name	CR4900				
Equipment add model name	N/A				
Equipment serial no.	Identical prototype				
Mode(s) of Operation	2.4 GHz W-LAN(802.11b/g/n HT20), 5 GHz W-LAN (802.11a/n HT20/n HT40)				
TX Frequency Range	Band	Mode	Bandwidth	Frequency	
	DTS	802.11b/g/n	HT20	2412 ~ 2462 MHz	
	U-NII-1	802.11a/n	HT20	5180 ~ 5240 MHz	
		802.11n	HT40	5190 ~ 5230 MHz	
	U-NII-2A	802.11a/n	HT20	5260 ~ 5320 MHz	
		802.11n	HT40	5270 ~ 5310 MHz	
	U-NII-2C	802.11a/n	HT20	5500 ~ 5720 MHz	
		802.11n	HT40	5510 ~ 5670 MHz	
	U-NII-3	802.11a/n	HT20	5745 ~ 5825 MHz	
		802.11n	HT40	5755 ~ 5795 MHz	
RX Frequency Range	Band	Mode	Bandwidth	Frequency	
	DTS	802.11b/g/n	HT20	2412 ~ 2462 MHz	
	U-NII-1	802.11a/n	HT20	5180 ~ 5240 MHz	
		802.11n	HT40	5190 ~ 5230 MHz	
	U-NII-2A	802.11a/n	HT20	5260 ~ 5320 MHz	
		802.11n	HT40	5270 ~ 5310 MHz	
	U-NII-2C	802.11a/n	HT20	5500 ~ 5720 MHz	
		802.11n	HT40	5510 ~ 5670 MHz	
	U-NII-3	802.11a/n	HT20	5745 ~ 5825 MHz	
		802.11n	HT40	5755 ~ 5795 MHz	
FCC Equipment Class	Band	Mode	Ch	Reported SAR	Reported SAR
	DTS	2.4 GHz W-LAN	6	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)
				Head	Body
				0.442	0.921
	U-NII-2A	5.3 GHz W-LAN	52	0.510	0.979
Date(s) of Tests	U-NII-2C	5.6 GHz W-LAN	100	0.470	1.141
	U-NII-3	5.8 GHz W-LAN	149	0.552	1.008
	Part 15 Spread Spectrum Transmitter(DSS) Digital Transmission System(DTS) Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (UNII)				
Antenna Type	Internal Type Antenna				
Functions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> BT(2.4GHz) / W-LAN(2.4GHz 802.11b/g/n(HT20)), W-LAN(5 GHz 802.11a/n(HT20)/ n(HT40))) supported VoIP is supported. 				

1.1 Guidance Applied

- IEEE 1528-2013
- FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 (802.11 Wi-Fi SAR)
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 (General RF Exposure Guidance)
- FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04 Handset SAR v01r03
- FCC KDB Publication 690783 D01 SAR Listings on Grants v01r03
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02

1.2 Device Overview

Band	Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency
DTS	2.4 GHz W-LAN	Data	2412 ~ 2462 MHz
U-NII-1	5.2 GHz W-LAN	Data	5180 ~ 5240 MHz
U-NII-2A	5.3 GHz W-LAN	Data	5260 ~ 5320 MHz
U-NII-2C	5.6 GHz W-LAN	Data	5500 ~ 5700 MHz
U-NII-3	5.8 GHz W-LAN	Data	5745 ~ 5825 MHz
DSS	Bluetooth	Data	2402 ~ 2480 MHz

1.3 Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications


This device operates using the following maximum and nominal output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.

Band& Mode		Modulated Average[dBm]	
DTS	IEEE802.11b (2.4GHz)	Maximum	15.5
		Nominal	14.5
		Minimum	12.5
	IEEE802.11g (2.4GHz)	Maximum	14.0
		Nominal	13.0
		Minimum	11.0
	IEEE802.11n HT20 (2.4GHz)	Maximum	12.5
		Nominal	11.5
		Minimum	9.5

Band& Mode		Modulated Average[dBm]	
U-NII-1	IEEE 802.11a (5.2 GHz)	Maximum	14.0
		Nominal	13.0
		Minimum	11.0
U-NII-2A	IEEE 802.11a (5.3 GHz)	Maximum	14.0
		Nominal	13.0
		Minimum	11.0
U-NII-2C	IEEE 802.11a (5.6 GHz)	Maximum	13.5
		Nominal	12.5
		Minimum	10.5
U-NII-3	IEEE 802.11a (5.8 GHz)	Maximum	13.0
		Nominal	12.0
		Minimum	10.0
U-NII-1	IEEE 802.11n HT20 (5.2 GHz)	Maximum	12.0
		Nominal	11.0
		Minimum	9.0
U-NII-2A	IEEE 802.11n HT20 (5.3 GHz)	Maximum	12.5
		Nominal	11.5
		Minimum	9.5
U-NII-2C	IEEE 802.11n HT20 (5.6 GHz)	Maximum	12.0
		Nominal	11.0
		Minimum	9.0
U-NII-3	IEEE 802.11n HT20 (5.8 GHz)	Maximum	12.0
		Nominal	11.0
		Minimum	9.0
U-NII-1	IEEE 802.11n HT40 (5.2 GHz)	Maximum	12.5
		Nominal	11.5
		Minimum	9.5
U-NII-2A	IEEE 802.11n HT40 (5.3 GHz)	Maximum	12.0
		Nominal	11.0
		Minimum	9.0
U-NII-2C	IEEE 802.11n HT40 (5.6 GHz)	Maximum	12.0
		Nominal	11.0
		Minimum	9.0
U-NII-3	IEEE 802.11n HT40 (5.8 GHz)	Maximum	12.0
		Nominal	11.0
		Minimum	9.0

Band& Mode		Modulated Average[dBm]		
		Ch Low	Ch Mid	Ch High
DSS	Bluetooth 1 Mbps	Maximum	0.0	1.0
		Nominal	-1.0	0.0
		Minimum	-3.0	-2.0
	Bluetooth 2 Mbps	Maximum	-3.0	0.0
		Nominal	-4.0	-1.0
		Minimum	-6.0	-3.0
	Bluetooth 3 Mbps	Maximum	-3.0	0.0
		Nominal	-4.0	-1.0
		Minimum	-6.0	-3.0
DTS	Bluetooth LE	Maximum	-1.0	1.0
		Nominal	-2.0	0.0
		Minimum	-4.0	-2.0


1.4 DUT Antenna Location



Note 1: Exact antenna dimensions and separation distances are shown in the "Antenna Location_QQ6-WBT11.pdf" in the FCC Filing.
Note 2: Since the diagonal dimension of this device is > 160 mm and < 200 mm, it is considered a "phablet".

1.5 Near Field Communications (NFC) Antenna

This DUT has NFC operations. The NFC antenna is integrated into the back cover. The SAR tests were performed with the back cover with NFC antenna already incorporated.



1.6 SAR Test Configurations and Exclusions

(A) WIFI & BT

Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06, the **1g SAR exclusion threshold for distances < 50 mm** is defined by the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{Max Power of Channel (mW)}}{\text{Test Separation Dist (mm)}} * \sqrt{\text{Frequency(GHz)}} \leq 3.0$$

Table 1.1 SAR exclusion threshold for distances < 50 mm

Band	Mode	Equation	Result	SAR exclusion threshold	Required SAR
DSS	Bluetooth	$[(1/5) * \sqrt{2.441}]$	0.4	3.0	X
DTS	Bluetooth LE	$[(1/5) * \sqrt{2.440}]$	0.4	3.0	X
DTS	2.4 GHz W-LAN	$[(35/5) * \sqrt{2.462}]$	11.1	3.0	O
U-NII-1	5.2 GHz W-LAN	$[(25/5) * \sqrt{5.240}]$	11.5	3.0	O
U-NII-2A	5.3 GHz W-LAN	$[(25/5) * \sqrt{5.320}]$	11.6	3.0	O
U-NII-2C	5.6 GHz W-LAN	$[(22/5) * \sqrt{5.700}]$	10.7	3.0	O
U-NII-3	5.8 GHz W-LAN	$[(20/5) * \sqrt{5.825}]$	9.6	3.0	O

Per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, the maximum power of the channel was rounded to the nearest mW before calculation.

1.7 Power Reduction for SAR

There is no power reduction used for any band mode implemented in this device for SAR purposes.

1.8 Device Serial Numbers

Band & Mode	Serial Number
2.4 GHz W-LAN	FCC #1
5 GHz W-LAN	FCC #1

2. INTRODUCTION

The FCC and Industry Canada have adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 and Health Canada Safety Code 6 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95*.1-2005 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz. 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-1992 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields," NCRP Report No. 86 NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD 20814. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 2.1)

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

Fig. 2.1 SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

- σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)
- ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m³)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.

3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT

3.1 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

Measurements are performed using the DASY5 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY5 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, desktop computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Fig. 3.1).

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller each pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Intel Core i7-3770 3.40 GHz desktop computer with Windows 7 system and SAR Measurement Software DASY5, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.




Figure 3.1 SAR Measurement System Setup

The DAE4 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail.

3.2 EX3DV4 Probe Specification

Calibration	In air from 10 MHz to 6 GHz In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 750 MHz, 835 MHz, 900 MHz, 1750 MHz, 1900 MHz, 2300 MHz, 2450 MHz, 2600 MHz, 3500 MHz, 5200 MHz, 5300 MHz, 5500 MHz, 5600 MHz, 5800 MHz
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity	± 0.2 dB(30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Dynamic	10 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g
Range	Linearity : ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length : 337 mm
Tip length	20 mm
Body diameter	12 mm
Tip diameter	2.5 mm
Distance from probe tip to sensor center	1.0 mm
Application	SAR Dosimetry Testing Compliance tests of mobile phones




Figure 3.2 Triangular Probe Configurations



Figure 3.3 Probe Thick-Film Technique



DAE System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4, designed in the classical triangular configuration (see Fig. 3.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multiterminal line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY5 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

3.3 Probe Calibration Process

3.3.1 E-Probe Calibration

Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (Norm X, Norm Y, Norm Z), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (Conv F) of the probe is tested.

Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz, and in a waveguide above 1GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

Temperature Assessment *

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium, correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent the remits or based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$\text{SAR} = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

- Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),
- C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),
- ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

- σ = simulated tissue conductivity,
- ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T / \Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;




Figure 3.4E-Field and Temperature Measurements at 900 MHz




Figure 3.5 E-Field and Temperature Measurements at 1800 MHz

3.4 Data Extrapolation

The DASY5 software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given like below;

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i=x,y,z)
 U_i = input signal of channel i (i=x,y,z)
 cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
 dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes:

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x,y,z)
 $Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x,y,z)
 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ for E-field probes
 $ConvF$ = sensitivity of enhancement in solution
 E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermetian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in W/g
 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m
 σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]
 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770}$$

with P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in W/cm²
 E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

3.5 SAM Twin PHANTOM

The SAM Twin Phantom V5.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 3.6)



Figure 3.6 SAM Twin Phantom

SAM Twin Phantom Specification:

Construction

The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.

Twin SAM V5.0 has the same shell geometry and is manufactured from the same material as Twin SAM V4.0, but has reinforced top structure.

Shell Thickness

2 ± 0.2 mm

Filling Volume

Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions

Length: 1000 mm

Width: 500 mm

Height: adjustable feet

Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications:

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Fig. 3.7). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimize reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15cm to minimize reflections from the upper surface.



Figure 3.7 Sam Twin Phantom shell

3.6 Device Holder for Transmitters

In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom V4.0/V4.0c, V5.0 or ELI4, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter device in spherical coordinates. Rotation point is the ear opening point. Transmitter devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked for positioning at different phantom sections (left head, right head, flat).

Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.



Figure 3.8 Mounting Device

3.7 Brain & Muscle Simulation Mixture Characterization

The muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydrox-ethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 3.1). Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The mixture characterizations used for the muscle tissue simulating liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Harts grove.



Figure 3.8 Simulated Tissues

Table 3.1 Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)			
	2450		5200 ~ 5800	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	71.88	73.40	65.52	80.00
Salt (NaCl)	0.160	0.060	-	-
Sugar	-	-	-	-
HEC	-	-	-	-
Bactericide	-	-	-	-
Triton X-100	19.97	-	17.24	-
DGBE	7.990	26.54	-	-
Diethylene glycol hexyl ether	-	-	17.24	-
Polysorbate (Tween) 80	-	-		20.00
Target for Dielectric Constant	39.2	52.7	-	-
Target for Conductivity (S/m)	1.80	1.95	-	-

Salt: 99 % Pure Sodium Chloride Sugar: 98 % Pure Sucrose
 Water: De-ionized, 16M resistivity HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose
 DGBE: 99 % Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether,[2-(2-butoxyethoxy) ethanol]
 Triton X-100(ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono[4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl] ether

3.8 SAR TEST EQUIPMENT

Table 3.2 Test Equipment Calibration

	Type	Manufacturer	Model	Cal.Date	Next.Cal.Date	S/N
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SEMITEC Engineering	SEMITEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Shield Room
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Robot	SCHMID	TX90XL	N/A	N/A	F13/5RR2A1/A/01
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Robot Controller	SCHMID	C58C	N/A	N/A	F13/5RR2A1/C/01
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Joystick	SCHMID	N/A	N/A	N/A	S-13200990
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	IntelCorei7-3770 3.40 GHz Windows 7 Professional	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Probe Alignment Unit LB	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	SE UKS 030 AA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Device Holder	SCHMID	SD000H01KA	N/A	N/A	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Twin SAM Phantom	SCHMID	QD000P40CD	N/A	N/A	1786
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Data Acquisition Electronics	SCHMID	DAE4V1	2016-09-19	2017-09-19	1453
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SCHMID	EX3DV4	2016-09-27	2017-09-27	3933
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	SCHMID	D2450V2	2016-09-23	2018-09-23	920
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5 GHz SAR Dipole	SCHMID	D5GHzV2	2016-03-15	2018-03-15	1212
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	2015-12-14 2016-12-02	2016-12-14 2017-12-02	MY46111534
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Signal Generator	Agilent	E4438C	2016-09-09	2017-09-09	US41461520
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amplifier	EMPOWER	BBS3Q7ELU	2016-09-08	2017-09-08	1020
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amplifier	EMPOWER	BBS3Q8CCJ	2016-10-18	2017-10-18	1005
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Power Meter	HP	EPM-442A	2016-02-25	2017-02-25	GB37170267
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Power Meter	HP	EPM-442A	2016-06-23	2017-06-23	GB37170413
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Power Sensor	HP	8481A	2016-06-23	2017-06-23	3318A96332
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Power Sensor	HP	8481A	2016-02-25	2017-02-25	3318A96566
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Power Sensor	HP	8481A	2016-02-04	2017-02-04	2702A65976
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Directional Coupler	HP	772D	2016-07-26	2017-07-26	2889A01064
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low Pass Filter 3.0 GHz	Micro LAB	LA-30N	2016-09-08	2017-09-08	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low Pass Filter 6.0 GHz	Micro LAB	LA-60N	2016-02-24	2017-02-24	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Attenuators (3 dB)	Agilent	8491B	2016-06-22	2017-06-22	MY39260700
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Attenuators (10 dB)	WEINSCHEL	23-10-34	2016-01-05	2017-01-05	BP4387
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dielectric Probe kit	SCHMID	DAK-3.5	2015-11-19 2016-11-17	2016-11-19 2017-11-17	1092
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Power Splitter	Anritsu	K241B	2016-02-24	2017-02-24	1301184
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bluetooth Tester	TESCOM	TC-3000B	2016-01-06	2017-01-06	3000B770243

NOTE: The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by temperature measurement procedure. Dipole Verification measurement is performed by DT&C before each test. The brain and muscle simulating material are calibrated by DT&C using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material. Each equipment item was used solely within its respective calibration period.

4. TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Automated TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS:

Positioner

Robot	Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: TX90XL
Repeatability	0.02 mm
No. of axis	6

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor	Intel Core i7-3770
Clock Speed	3.40 GHz
Operating System	Windows 7 Professional
Data Card	DASY5 PC-Board

Data Converter

Features	Signal, multiplexer, A/D converter. & control logic
Software	DASY5
Connecting Lines	Optical downlink for data and status info
	Optical uplink for commands and clock

PC Interface Card

Function	24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing Link to DAE 4 16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system serial link to robot direct emergency stop output for robot
-----------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

E-Field Probes

Model	EX3DV4 S/N: 3933
Construction	Triangular core fiber optic detection system
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)

Phantom

Phantom	SAM Twin Phantom (V5.0)
Shell Material	Composite
Thickness	2.0 ± 0.2 mm



Figure 4.1 DASY5 Test System

5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

5.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure compliant to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013:

1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the device-head and body interface and the horizontal grid resolution was determined per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 5-1) and IEEE1528-2013.
2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during the 1g/10g cube evaluation. SAR at this fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
3. Based on the area scan data, the peak of the region with maximum SAR was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume was assessed according to the measurement resolution and volume size requirements of FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 5-1) and IEEE 1528-2013. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual online for more details):
 - a. SAR values at the inner surface of the phantom are extrapolated from the measured values along the line away from the surface with spacing no greater than that in Table 3-1. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
 - b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the “Not a knot” condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
 - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete to calculate the SAR drift. If the drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.




Figure 5.1
Sample SAR Area Scan

Frequency	Maximum Area Scan Resolution (mm) ($\Delta x_{area}, \Delta y_{area}$)	Maximum Zoom Scan Resolution (mm) ($\Delta x_{zoom}, \Delta y_{zoom}$)	Maximum Zoom Scan Spatial Resolution (mm)			Minimum Zoom Scan Volume (mm) (x,y,z)
			Uniform Grid		Graded Grid	
			$\Delta z_{zoom}(n)$	$\Delta z_{zoom}(1)^*$	$\Delta z_{zoom}(n>1)^*$	
≤ 2 GHz	≤ 15	≤ 8	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 30
2-3 GHz	≤ 12	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 30
3-4 GHz	≤ 12	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 3	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 28
4-5 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤ 3	≤ 2.5	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 25
5-6 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤ 2	≤ 2	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 22

Table 5.1 Area and Zoom Scan Resolutions per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04

*Also compliant to IEEE 1528-2013 Table 6

6. RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

Uncontrolled Environment:

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment:

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 8.1.SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	General Public Exposure (W/kg) or (mW/g)	Occupational Exposure (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR * (Brain)	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR ** (Whole Body)	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR *** (Hands / Feet / Ankle / Wrist)	4.00	20.0

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

7. FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

7.1 Measured and Reported SAR

Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, When SAR is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance. When SAR is measured at or scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit, the results are referred to as reported SAR. The highest reported SAR results are identified on the grant of equipment authorization according to procedures in KDB 690783 D01v01r03.

7.2 SAR Testing with 802.11 Transmitters

Normal network operating configurations are not suitable for measuring the SAR of 802.11 b/g/n transmitters. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable. See KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for more details.

7.2.1 General Device Setup

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The test frequencies should correspond to actual channel frequencies defined for domestic use. SAR for devices with switched diversity should be measured with only one antenna transmitting at a time during each SAR measurement, according to a fixed modulation and data rate. The same data pattern should be used for all measurements.

The reported SAR is scaled to 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance at the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

7.2.2 U-NII and U-NII-2A

For devices that operate in only one of the U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands, the normally required SAR procedures for OFDM configurations are applied. For devices that operate in both U-NII bands using the same transmitter and antenna(s), SAR test reduction is determined according to the following, with respect to the highest reported SAR and maximum output power specified for production units. The procedures are applied independently to each exposure configuration; for example, head, body, hotspot mode etc.

- 1) When the same maximum output power is specified for both bands, begin SAR measurement in U-NII-2A band by applying the OFDM SAR requirements. If the highest reported SAR for a test configuration is $\leq 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band for that configuration (802.11 mode and exposure condition); otherwise, each band is tested independently for SAR.
- 2) When different maximum output power is specified for the bands, begin SAR measurement in the band with higher specified maximum output power. The highest reported SAR for the tested configuration is adjusted by the ratio of lower to higher specified maximum output power for the two bands. When the adjusted SAR is $\leq 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$, SAR is not required for the band with lower maximum output power in that test configuration; otherwise, each band is tested independently for SAR.

7.2.3 U-NII-2C and U-NII-3

The frequency range covered by U-NII-2C and U-NII-3 is 380 MHz (5.47 – 5.85 GHz), which requires a minimum of at least two SAR probe calibration frequency points to support SAR measurements.

When Terminal Doppler Weather Rader (TDWR) restriction applies, the channels at 5.60 – 5.65 GHz in U-NII-2C band must be disabled with acceptable mechanisms and documented in the equipment certification.

Unless band gap channels are permanently disabled, SAR must be considered for these channels. When band gap channels are disabled, each band is tested independently according to the normally required OFDM SAR measurements and probe calibration frequency points requirements.

7.2.4 Initial Test Position Procedure

For exposure conditions with multiple test positions, such as handset operating next to the ear, devices with hotspot mode or UMPC mini-tablet, procedures for initial test position can be applied. Using the transmission mode determined by the DSSS procedure or initial test configuration, area scans are measured for all position in an exposure condition. The test position with the highest extrapolated (peak) SAR is used as the initial test position. When reported SAR for the initial test position is ≤ 0.4 W/kg, no additional testing for the remaining test positions is required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR position until the reported SAR result is ≤ 0.8 W/kg or all test position are measured.

7.2.5 2.4 GHz SAR Test Requirements

SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either a fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:

- 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
- 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that exposure configuration using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.

2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.

7.2.6 OFDM Transmission Mode and SAR Test Channel Selection

For the 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz bands, when the same maximum output power was specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate. When the maximum output power of a channel is the same for equivalent OFDM configurations; for example, 802.11a and 802.11n or 802.11g and 802.11n with the same channel bandwidth, modulation and data rate etc., the lower order 802.11 mode i.e., 802.11a, then 802.11n or 802.11g then 802.11n is used for SAR measurement. When the maximum output power were the same for multiple test channels, either according to the default or additional power measurement requirements, SAR is measured using the channel closest to the middle of the frequency band or aggregated band. When there are multiple channels with the same maximum output power, SAR is measured using the higher number channel.

7.2.7 Initial Test Configuration Procedure

For OFDM, in both 2.4 and 5 GHz bands, an initial test configuration is determined for each frequency band and aggregated band, according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum output power specified for SAR measurements. When the same maximum output is specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration(s) with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation, and lowest data rate. The channel of the transmission mode with the highest average RF output conducted power will be the initial test configuration.

When the reported SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no additional measurements on other test channels are required.

Otherwise, SAR is evaluated using the subsequent highest average RF output channel until the reported SAR result is ≤ 1.2 W/kg or all channels are measured.

7.2.8 Subsequent Test Configuration Procedures

For OFDM configurations, in each frequency band and aggregated band, SAR is evaluated for initial test configuration using the fixed test position or the initial test position procedure, when applicable. When the highest reported SAR for the initial test configuration, adjusted by the ratio of the subsequent test configuration to initial test configuration specified maximum output power is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, no additional SAR testing for the subsequent test configurations is required.

8. RF CONDUCTED POWERS

8.1 W-LAN Conducted Powers

Mode	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	802.11b (2.4 GHz) Conducted Power (dBm)			
			Data Rate (Mbps)			
			1	2	5.5	11
802.11b	2412	1	14.39	14.34	14.30	14.36
	2437	6	<u>14.86</u>	14.80	14.74	14.82
	2462	11	14.63	14.59	14.55	14.60

Table 8.1 IEEE 802.11b Average RF Power

Mode	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	802.11g (2.4 GHz) Conducted Power (dBm)							
			Data Rate (Mbps)							
			6	9	12	18	24	36	48	54
802.11g	2412	1	12.61	12.45	12.41	12.40	12.32	12.31	12.58	12.58
	2437	6	13.13	13.00	13.00	12.99	12.96	12.93	13.07	13.03
	2462	11	12.96	12.87	12.79	12.76	12.67	12.67	12.94	12.92

Table 8.2 IEEE 802.11g Average RF Power

Mode	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	802.11n HT20 (2.4 GHz) Conducted Power (dBm)							
			Data Rate (Mbps)							
			MCS0	MCS1	MCS2	MCS3	MCS4	MCS5	MCS6	MCS7
802.11n (HT-20)	2412	1	11.70	11.53	11.51	11.46	11.41	11.38	11.69	11.65
	2437	6	12.07	11.93	11.93	11.86	11.84	11.84	12.03	12.01
	2462	11	11.88	11.74	11.72	11.68	11.67	11.64	11.84	11.83

Table 8.3 IEEE 802.11n HT20 Average RF Power

Mode	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	802.11a (5 GHz) Conducted Power (dBm)							
			Data Rate (Mbps)							
			6	9	12	18	24	36	48	54
802.11a	5180	36	13.08	12.89	12.96	12.99	12.96	13.02	12.86	12.95
	5200	40	13.23	13.06	13.20	13.01	13.06	13.10	13.01	13.15
	5220	44	13.15	13.00	13.06	13.10	12.92	13.07	13.08	13.12
	5240	48	12.99	12.90	12.94	12.78	12.89	12.81	12.89	12.88
	5260	52	13.43	13.41	13.19	13.42	13.21	13.39	13.24	13.42
	5280	56	13.28	13.26	13.07	13.16	13.26	13.21	13.12	13.22
	5300	60	13.33	13.14	13.13	13.09	13.17	13.18	13.14	13.13
	5320	64	13.18	13.04	12.98	12.98	13.14	13.13	13.08	13.11
	5500	100	12.84	12.71	12.77	12.75	12.76	12.80	12.66	12.65
	5560	112	12.75	12.54	12.60	12.56	12.63	12.63	12.56	12.65
	5680	116	12.78	12.54	12.54	12.59	12.63	12.58	12.66	12.73
	5700	140	12.41	12.22	12.25	12.32	12.18	12.20	12.17	12.27
	5745	149	11.68	11.53	11.50	11.62	11.50	11.55	11.63	11.52
	5785	157	11.66	11.47	11.64	11.52	11.47	11.45	11.61	11.50
	5825	165	11.54	11.45	11.45	11.49	11.32	11.53	11.51	11.53

Table 8.4 IEEE 802.11a Average RF Power

Mode	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	802.11n HT20 (5 GHz) Conducted Power (dBm)							
			Data Rate (Mbps)							
			MCS0	MCS1	MCS2	MCS3	MCS4	MCS5	MCS6	MCS7
802.11n (HT-20)	5180	36	11.68	11.55	11.67	11.47	11.62	11.54	11.60	11.52
	5200	40	11.66	11.60	11.45	11.46	11.56	11.47	11.51	11.43
	5220	44	11.59	11.52	11.56	11.55	11.58	11.46	11.56	11.47
	5240	48	11.54	11.39	11.42	11.53	11.39	11.48	11.35	11.46
	5260	52	12.39	12.30	12.30	12.28	12.32	12.30	12.28	12.24
	5280	56	12.11	11.94	11.88	11.90	11.98	11.93	11.93	12.02
	5300	60	12.15	12.04	12.14	12.01	12.13	12.06	12.09	11.98
	5320	64	12.08	12.06	12.03	11.99	11.86	12.06	11.87	11.87
	5500	100	11.84	11.81	11.69	11.62	11.73	11.71	11.65	11.60
	5560	112	11.44	11.23	11.39	11.28	11.26	11.34	11.29	11.29
	5680	116	11.49	11.41	11.31	11.48	11.32	11.25	11.37	11.47
	5700	140	11.51	11.46	11.45	11.31	11.27	11.27	11.28	11.47
	5745	149	11.70	11.54	11.53	11.62	11.54	11.59	11.68	11.47
	5785	157	11.59	11.48	11.57	11.55	11.42	11.45	11.50	11.35
	5825	165	11.31	11.10	11.15	11.21	11.09	11.30	11.14	11.19

Table 8.5 IEEE 802.11n HT20 Average RF Power

Mode	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	802.11n HT40 (5 GHz) Conducted Power (dBm)							
			Data Rate (Mbps)							
			MCS0	MCS1	MCS2	MCS3	MCS4	MCS5	MCS6	MCS7
802.11n (HT-40)	5190	38	12.05	11.90	11.95	12.04	11.87	12.01	11.90	11.87
	5230	46	11.78	11.54	11.63	11.73	11.64	11.77	11.71	11.61
	5270	54	11.77	11.60	11.58	11.62	11.73	11.67	11.70	11.65
	5310	62	11.61	11.38	11.41	11.45	11.50	11.57	11.59	11.53
	5510	102	11.55	11.46	11.39	11.39	11.35	11.43	11.40	11.36
	5550	110	11.63	11.51	11.51	11.59	11.40	11.49	11.40	11.49
	5670	134	11.59	11.48	11.36	11.39	11.40	11.50	11.35	11.58
	5755	151	11.52	11.44	11.44	11.37	11.46	11.33	11.40	11.42
	5795	159	11.62	11.58	11.45	11.40	11.54	11.38	11.52	11.58

Table 8.6 IEEE 802.11n HT40 Average RF Power

Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02:

- Power measurements were performed for the transmission mode configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units.
- For transmission modes with the same maximum output power specification, powers were measured for the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate.
- For transmission modes with identical maximum specified output power, channel bandwidth, modulation and data rates, power measurements were required for all identical configurations.
- For each transmission mode configuration, powers were measured for the highest and lowest channels; and at the mid-band channel(s) when there were at least 3 channels supported. For configurations with multiple mid-band channels, due to an even number of channels, both channels were measured.
- Output Power and SAR is not required for 802.11 g/n HT20/n HT40 channels when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjust SAR is $\leq 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$.
- The underlined data rate and channel above were tested for SAR.
- The embedded module in this device operates in MIMO mode only. But, the device was used one (main port) of the two ports in module.

The average output powers of this device were tested by below configuration.




Figure 8.1 Power Measurement Setup

8.2 Bluetooth Conducted Powers

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Frame AVG Output Power (1Mbps)		Frame AVG Output Power (2Mbps)		Frame AVG Output Power (3Mbps)	
		(dBm)	(mW)	(dBm)	(mW)	(dBm)	(mW)
Low	2402	-0.89	0.82	-3.04	0.50	-3.01	0.50
Mid	2441	0.71	1.18	-0.99	0.80	-0.95	0.80
High	2480	-0.57	0.88	-2.61	0.55	-2.60	0.55

Table 8.7 Bluetooth Frame Average RF Power

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Frame AVG Output Power (LE)	
		(dBm)	(mW)
Low	2402	-1.74	0.67
Mid	2440	0.16	1.04
High	2480	-1.47	0.71

Table 8.8 Bluetooth LE Frame Average RF Power

- Bluetooth Conducted Powers procedures

1. Bluetooth (BDR, EDR)

- Enter DUT mode in EUT and operate it.
When it operating, The EUT is transmitting at maximum power level and duty cycle fixed.
- Instruments and EUT were connected like Figure 10.4(A).
- The maximum output powers of BDR(1 Mbps), EDR(2, 3 Mbps) and each frequency were set by a Bluetooth Tester.
- Power levels were measured by a Power Meter.

2. Bluetooth (LE)

- Enter LE mode in EUT and operate it.
When it operating, The EUT is transmitting at maximum power level and duty cycle fixed.
- Instruments and EUT were connected like Figure 10.4(B).
- The average conducted output powers of LE and each frequency can measurement according to setting program in EUT.
- Power levels were measured by a Power Meter.




Figure 8.2 Average Power Measurement Setup

The average conducted output powers of Bluetooth were measured using above test setup and a wideband gated RF power meter when the EUT is transmitting at its maximum power level.

9. SYSTEM VERIFICATION

9.1 Tissue Verification

MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS										
Date(s)	Tissue Type	Ambient Temp.[°C]	Liquid Temp.[°C]	Measured Frequency [MHz]	Target Dielectric Constant, ϵ_r	Target Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, ϵ_r	Measured Conductivity, σ (S/m)	ErDeviation [%]	σ Deviation [%]
Dec. 01. 2016	2450 Head	21.1	21.8	2412	39.270	1.766	37.974	1.728	-3.30	-2.15
				2437	39.220	1.788	37.926	1.764	-3.30	-1.34
				2450	39.200	1.800	37.907	1.781	-3.30	-1.06
				2462	39.180	1.813	37.889	1.793	-3.30	-1.10
Nov. 16. 2016	2450 Body	21.5	22.4	2412	52.750	1.914	50.843	1.962	-3.62	2.51
				2437	52.720	1.938	50.827	1.995	-3.59	2.94
				2450	52.700	1.950	50.800	2.010	-3.61	3.08
				2462	52.680	1.967	50.772	2.024	-3.62	2.90
Dec. 02. 2016	5260~5320 Head	20.9	21.7	5260	35.940	4.720	34.607	4.827	-3.71	2.27
				5280	35.920	4.740	34.593	4.845	-3.69	2.22
				5300	35.900	4.760	34.550	4.861	-3.76	2.12
				5320	35.880	4.780	34.503	4.888	-3.84	2.26
Nov. 17. 2016	5260~5320 Body	21.0	20.9	5260	48.930	5.369	48.567	5.485	-0.74	2.16
				5280	48.910	5.393	48.529	5.517	-0.78	2.30
				5300	48.880	5.416	48.495	5.541	-0.79	2.31
				5320	48.850	5.439	48.450	5.572	-0.82	2.45
Dec. 05. 2016	5500~5720 Head	20.2	21.1	5500	35.650	4.965	35.673	4.954	0.06	-0.22
				5560	35.560	5.028	35.574	5.013	0.04	-0.30
				5580	35.530	5.049	35.534	5.038	0.01	-0.22
				5600	35.500	5.070	35.512	5.061	0.03	-0.18
Nov. 18. 2016	5500~5720 Body	20.7	21.3	5700	35.400	5.170	35.338	5.170	-0.18	0.00
				5500	48.610	5.650	49.152	5.472	1.11	-3.15
				5560	48.530	5.720	49.050	5.552	1.07	-2.94
				5580	48.500	5.743	49.014	5.580	1.06	-2.84
				5600	48.470	5.766	48.979	5.609	1.05	-2.72
Dec. 06. 2016	5800 Head	20.9	21.5	5700	48.340	5.883	48.811	5.747	0.97	-2.31
				5745	35.360	5.215	35.557	5.209	0.56	-0.12
				5785	35.320	5.255	35.499	5.252	0.51	-0.06
				5800	35.300	5.270	35.474	5.271	0.49	0.02
Nov. 21. 2016	5745~5825 Body	20.3	20.9	5825	35.280	5.296	35.452	5.294	0.49	-0.04
				5745	48.270	5.936	48.918	5.806	1.34	-2.19
				5785	48.220	5.982	48.851	5.862	1.31	-2.01
				5800	48.200	6.000	48.820	5.886	1.29	-1.90
				5825	48.170	6.029	48.788	5.926	1.28	-1.71

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software. The DASY software was used to perform interpolation to determine the dielectric parameters at the SAR test device frequencies (per KDB 865664 and IEEE 1528-2013 6.6.1.2). The tissue parameters listed in the SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above due to significant digit rounding in the software.

Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification:

- 1) The network analyzer and probe system was configured and calibrated.
- 2) The probe was immersed in the sample which was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
- 3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured
- 4) The complex relative permittivity ϵ_r , for example from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$Y = \frac{j2\omega\epsilon_r\epsilon_0}{[\ln(b/a)]^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^\pi \cos\phi' \frac{\exp[-j\omega r(\mu_0\epsilon_r\epsilon_0)^{1/2}]}{r} d\phi' d\rho' d\rho$$

where Y is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively, $r^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho' \cos\phi'$, ω is the angular frequency, and $j = \sqrt{-1}$.

9.2 Test System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the $\pm 10\%$ of the specifications at 2450 MHz and 5 GHz by using the SAR Dipole kit(s). (Graphic Plots Attached)

SYSTEM DIPOLE VERIFICATION TARGET & MEASURED

SAR System #	Freq. [MHz]	SAR Dipole kits	Date(s)	Tissue Type	Ambient Temp.[°C]	Liquid Temp.[°C]	Probe S/N	Input Power (mW)	1 W Target SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	Measured SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	Deviation [%]
D	2450	D2450V2, SN: 920	Dec 01. 2016	Head	21.1	21.8	3933	250	52.5	13.5	54.0	2.86
D	2450	D2450V2, SN: 920	Nov. 16. 2016	Body	21.5	22.4	3933	250	51.0	13.6	54.4	6.67
D	5300	D5GHzV2, SN: 1212	Dec. 02. 2016	Head	20.9	21.7	3933	100	81.2	8.09	80.9	-0.37
D	5300	D5GHzV2, SN: 1212	Nov. 17. 2016	Body	21.0	20.9	3933	100	76.2	7.34	73.4	-3.67
D	5600	D5GHzV2, SN: 1212	Dec. 05. 2016	Head	20.2	21.1	3933	100	79.1	8.13	81.3	2.78
D	5600	D5GHzV2, SN: 1212	Nov. 18. 2016	Body	20.7	21.3	3933	100	78.4	8.39	83.9	7.02
D	5800	D5GHzV2, SN: 1212	Dec. 06. 2016	Head	20.9	21.5	3933	100	75.9	7.61	76.1	0.26
D	5800	D5GHzV2, SN: 1212	Nov. 21. 2016	Body	20.3	20.9	3933	100	75.5	7.75	77.5	2.65

Note1 : System Verification was measured with input 250 mW, 100 mW (5200-5800 MHz) and normalized to 1W.

Note2 : To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.

Note3: Full system validation status and results can be found in Attachment 3.




Figure 9.1 Dipole Verification Test Setup Diagram & Photo

10. SAR TEST RESULTS

10.1 Head SAR Results

Table 10.1 DTS Head SAR

MEASUREMENT RESULTS															
FREQUENCY		Mode	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Drift Power [dB]	Phantom Position	Device Serial Number	Peak SAR of Area Scan	Data Rate [Mbps]	Duty Cycle	1g SAR (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	SAR (W/kg)	Plots #
MHz	Ch														
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	-	Left Touch	FCC #1	0.196	1	97.6	-	1.159	1.025	-	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	0.110	Right Touch	FCC #1	0.398	1	97.6	0.372	1.159	1.025	0.442	A1
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	-	Left Tilt	FCC #1	0.223	1	97.6	-	1.159	1.025	-	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	-0.160	Right Tilt	FCC #1	0.334	1	97.6	0.315	1.159	1.025	0.374	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	0.060	Right Touch	FCC #1	0.392	1	97.6	0.357	1.159	1.025	0.424	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005- SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure								Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

Note(s):

1. Highest reported SAR is ≤ 0.4 W/kg. Therefore, further SAR measurements within this exposure condition are not required.
2. Highest reported SAR is > 0.4 W/kg. Due to the highest reported SAR for this test position, other test position is Head exposure condition were evaluated until a SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg was reported.
3. Blue entries were tested with Hand Strap on the worst case.

Adjusted SAR results for OFDM SAR												
FREQUENCY		Mode/ Antenna	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	1g Scaled SAR (W/kg)	FREQUENCY [MHz]	Mode	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Ratio of OFDM to DSSS	1g Adjusted SAR (W/kg)	Determine OFDM SAR
MHz	Ch											
2437	6	802.11b	DSSS	15.50	0.442	2437	802.11g	OFDM	14.00	0.708	0.313	X
2437	6	802.11b	DSSS	15.50	0.442	2437	802.11n HT20	OFDM	12.50	0.501	0.221	X
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005- SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure								Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram				

Note: SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions. When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.

Table 10.2 UNII Head SAR
MEASUREMENT RESULTS

FREQUENCY		Mode	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Drift Power [dB]	Phantom Position	Device Serial Number	Peak SAR of Area Scan	Data Rate [Mbps]	Duty Cycle	1g SAR (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	1g Scaled SAR (W/kg)	Plots #
MHz	Ch														
5260	52	802.11a	14.0	13.43	-	Left Touch	FCC #1	0.218	6	87.2	-	1.140	1.147	-	
5260	52	802.11a	14.0	13.43	-0.040	Right Touch	FCC #1	0.312	6	87.2	0.390	1.140	1.147	0.510	A2
5260	52	802.11a	14.0	13.43	0.090	Left Tilt	FCC #1	0.229	6	87.2	0.264	1.140	1.147	0.345	
5260	52	802.11a	14.0	13.43	-0.030	Right Tilt	FCC #1	0.333	6	87.2	0.361	1.140	1.147	0.472	
5260	52	802.11a	14.0	13.43	0.040	Right Touch	FCC #1	0.331	6	87.2	0.389	1.140	1.147	0.509	
5500	100	802.11a	13.5	12.84	-	Left Touch	FCC #1	0.222	6	87.2	-	1.164	1.147	-	
5500	100	802.11a	13.5	12.84	0.060	Right Touch	FCC #1	0.288	6	87.2	0.352	1.164	1.147	0.470	A3
5500	100	802.11a	13.5	12.84	-0.130	Left Tilt	FCC #1	0.203	6	87.2	0.226	1.164	1.147	0.302	
5500	100	802.11a	13.5	12.84	-0.120	Right Tilt	FCC #1	0.293	6	87.2	0.327	1.164	1.147	0.437	
5500	100	802.11a	13.5	12.84	-0.040	Right Touch	FCC #1	0.285	6	87.2	0.333	1.164	1.147	0.445	
5745	149	802.11a	13.0	11.68	-	Left Touch	FCC #1	0.160	6	87.2	-	1.355	1.147	-	
5745	149	802.11a	13.0	11.68	-0.040	Right Touch	FCC #1	0.340	6	87.2	0.355	1.355	1.147	0.552	A4
5745	149	802.11a	13.0	11.68	0.180	Left Tilt	FCC #1	0.165	6	87.2	0.201	1.355	1.147	0.312	
5745	149	802.11a	13.0	11.68	-0.060	Right Tilt	FCC #1	0.254	6	87.2	0.323	1.355	1.147	0.502	
5745	149	802.11a	13.0	11.68	0.120	Right Touch	FCC #1	0.290	6	87.2	0.352	1.355	1.147	0.547	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure								Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

Note(s):

- Highest reported SAR is ≤ 0.4 W/kg. Therefore, further SAR measurements within this exposure condition are not required.
- Highest reported SAR is > 0.4 W/kg. Due to the highest reported SAR for this test position, other test position is Head exposure condition were evaluated until a SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg was reported.
- Blue entries were tested with Hand Strap on the worst case.

Adjusted SAR results for UNII-1 and UNII-2A SAR												
FREQUENCY		Mode/ Antenna	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	1g Scaled SAR (W/kg)	FREQUENCY [MHz]	Mode	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Adjusted Factor	1g Adjusted SAR (W/kg)	SAR for the band with lower maximum output power
MHz	Ch											
5260	52	802.11a	OFDM	14.00	0.510	5200	802.11a	OFDM	14.00	1.000	0.510	X
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure							Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram					

Note(s):

- U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A Bands: When different maximum output power is specified for the bands, begin SAR measurement in the band with higher specified maximum output power. The highest reported SAR for the tested configuration is adjusted by the ratio of lower to higher specified maximum output power for the two bands. When the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for the band with lower maximum output power in that test configuration.

10.2 Body SAR Results

Table 10.3 DTS Body SAR

MEASUREMENT RESULTS															
FREQUENCY		Mode	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Drift Power [dB]	Phantom Position	Device Serial Number	Peak SAR of Area Scan	Data Rate [Mbps]	Duty Cycle	1g SAR (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	SAR (W/kg)	Plots #
MHz	Ch														
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	-0.080	0 mm [Top]	FCC #1	0.313	1	97.6	0.315	1.159	1.025	0.374	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	-	0 mm [Bottom]	FCC #1	0.036	1	97.6	-	1.159	1.025	-	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	0.160	0 mm [Front]	FCC #1	0.730	1	97.6	0.672	1.159	1.025	0.798	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	0.110	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.395	1	97.6	0.401	1.159	1.025	0.476	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	-	0 mm [Right]	FCC #1	0.070	1	97.6	-	1.159	1.025	-	
2412	1	802.11b	15.50	14.39	0.060	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.733	1	97.6	0.696	1.291	1.025	0.921	A5
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	-0.180	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.751	1	97.6	0.720	1.159	1.025	0.855	
2462	11	802.11b	15.50	14.63	0.140	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.737	1	97.6	0.665	1.222	1.025	0.833	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	0.050	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.395	1	97.6	0.372	1.159	1.025	0.442	
2437	6	802.11b	15.50	14.86	0.090	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.085	1	97.6	0.082	1.159	1.025	0.097	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005- SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure								Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

Note(s):

1. Highest reported SAR is ≤ 0.4 W/kg. Therefore, further SAR measurements within this exposure condition are not required.
2. Highest reported SAR is > 0.4 W/kg. Due to the highest reported SAR for this test position, other test position is Head exposure condition were evaluated until a SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg was reported.
3. Blue entries were tested with Hand Strap on the worst case.
4. Yellow entries were tested with Belt Clip on the worst case.

Adjusted SAR results for OFDM SAR												
FREQUENCY		Mode/ Antenna	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	1g Scaled SAR (W/kg)	FREQUENCY [MHz]	Mode	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Ratio of OFDM to DSSS	1g Adjusted SAR (W/kg)	Determine OFDM SAR
MHz	Ch											
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	15.50	0.921	2437	802.11g	OFDM	14.00	0.708	0.652	X
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	15.50	0.921	2437	802.11n HT20	OFDM	12.50	0.501	0.461	X
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005- SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure						Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram						

Note: SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions. When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.

Table 10.4 UNII Body SAR
MEASUREMENT RESULTS

FREQUENCY		Mode	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Drift Power [dB]	Phantom Position	Device Serial Number	Peak SAR of Area Scan	Data Rate [Mbps]	Duty Cycle	1g SAR (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	1g Scaled SAR (W/kg)	Plots #
MHz	Ch														
5260	52	802.11a	14.00	13.43	0.120	0 mm [Top]	FCC #1	0.339	6	87.2	0.343	1.140	1.147	0.448	
5260	52	802.11a	14.00	13.43	-	0 mm [Bottom]	FCC #1	0.013	6	87.2	-	1.140	1.147	-	
5260	52	802.11a	14.00	13.43	-0.180	0 mm [Front]	FCC #1	0.484	6	87.2	0.551	1.140	1.147	0.720	
5260	52	802.11a	14.00	13.43	0.080	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.214	6	87.2	0.209	1.140	1.147	0.273	
5260	52	802.11a	14.00	13.43	-	0 mm [Right]	FCC #1	0.021	6	87.2	-	1.140	1.147	-	
5260	52	802.11a	14.00	13.43	0.010	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.719	6	87.2	0.749	1.140	1.147	0.979	A6
5300	60	802.11a	14.00	13.33	0.020	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.615	6	87.2	0.688	1.167	1.147	0.921	
5320	64	802.11a	14.00	13.18	-0.040	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.623	6	87.2	0.693	1.208	1.147	0.960	
5260	52	802.11a	14.00	13.43	0.130	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.204	6	87.2	0.181	1.140	1.147	0.237	
5260	52	802.11a	14.00	13.43	0.030	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.095	6	87.2	0.084	1.140	1.147	0.110	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure										Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram					

Note(s):

1. Highest reported SAR is ≤ 0.4 W/kg. Therefore, further SAR measurements within this exposure condition are not required.
2. Highest reported SAR is > 0.4 W/kg. Due to the highest reported SAR for this test position, other test position is Head exposure condition were evaluated until a SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg was reported.
3. Blue entries were tested with Hand Strap on the worst case.
4. Yellow entries were tested with Belt Clip on the worst case.

Adjusted SAR results for UNII-1 and UNII-2A SAR													
FREQUENCY		Mode/ Antenna	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	1g Scaled SAR (W/kg)	FREQUENCY [MHz]	Mode	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Adjusted Factor	1g Adjusted SAR (W/kg)	SAR for the band with lower maximum output power	
MHz	Ch												
5260	52	802.11a	OFDM	14.00	0.979	5200	802.11a	OFDM	14.00	1.000	0.979	X	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure													

Note(s):

1. U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A Bands: When different maximum output power is specified for the bands, begin SAR measurement in the band with higher specified maximum output power. The highest reported SAR for the tested configuration is adjusted by the ratio of lower to higher specified maximum output power for the two bands. When the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for the band with lower maximum output power in that test configuration.

Table 10.5 UNII Body SAR

MEASUREMENT RESULTS

FREQUENCY		Mode	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Drift Power [dB]	Phantom Position	Device Serial Number	Peak SAR of Area Scan	Data Rate [Mbps]	Duty Cycle	1g SAR (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	1g Scaled SAR (W/kg)	Plots #
MHz	Ch														
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	0.160	0 mm [Top]	FCC #1	0.356	6	87.2	0.336	1.164	1.147	0.449	
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	-	0 mm [Bottom]	FCC #1	0.014	6	87.2	-	1.164	1.147	-	
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	-0.050	0 mm [Front]	FCC #1	0.524	6	87.2	0.556	1.164	1.147	0.742	
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	0.100	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.304	6	87.2	0.309	1.164	1.147	0.412	
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	0.090	0 mm [Right]	FCC #1	0.021	6	87.2	0.050	1.164	1.147	0.067	
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	-0.140	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.833	6	87.2	0.855	1.164	1.147	1.141	A7
5680	116	802.11a	13.50	12.78	0.030	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.669	6	87.2	0.751	1.180	1.147	1.016	
5700	140	802.11a	13.50	12.41	0.150	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.581	6	87.2	0.688	1.285	1.147	1.014	
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	0.160	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.284	6	87.2	0.254	1.164	1.147	0.339	
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	-0.020	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.081	6	87.2	0.068	1.164	1.147	0.091	
5500	100	802.11a	13.50	12.84	0.140	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.612	6	87.2	0.800	1.164	1.147	1.068	
5745	149	802.11a	13.00	11.68	0.020	0 mm [Top]	FCC #1	0.244	6	87.2	0.196	1.355	1.147	0.305	
5745	149	802.11a	13.00	11.68	-	0 mm [Bottom]	FCC #1	0.00296	6	87.2	-	1.355	1.147	-	
5745	149	802.11a	13.00	11.68	-0.080	0 mm [Front]	FCC #1	0.454	6	87.2	0.513	1.355	1.147	0.797	
5745	149	802.11a	13.00	11.68	0.080	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.351	6	87.2	0.326	1.355	1.147	0.507	
5745	149	802.11a	13.00	11.68	-	0 mm [Right]	FCC #1	0.00497	6	87.2	-	1.355	1.147	-	
5745	149	802.11a	13.00	11.68	0.070	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.564	6	87.2	0.649	1.355	1.147	1.008	A8
5785	157	802.11a	13.00	11.66	0.170	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.447	6	87.2	0.638	1.361	1.147	0.996	
5825	165	802.11a	13.00	11.54	0.110	0 mm [Left]	FCC #1	0.534	6	87.2	0.622	1.400	1.147	0.999	
5745	149	802.11a	13.00	11.68	0.170	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.222	6	87.2	0.181	1.355	1.147	0.281	
5745	149	802.11a	13.00	11.68	0.140	0 mm [Rear]	FCC #1	0.076	6	87.2	0.062	1.355	1.147	0.096	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure										Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram					

Note(s):

1. Highest reported SAR is ≤ 0.4 W/kg. Therefore, further SAR measurements within this exposure condition are not required.
2. Highest reported SAR is > 0.4 W/kg. Due to the highest reported SAR for this test position, other test position is Head exposure condition were evaluated until a SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg was reported.
3. Blue entries were tested with Hand Strap on the worst case.
4. Yellow entries were tested with Belt Clip on the worst case.
5. Green entries represent variability measurements.

10.3 SAR Test Notes

General Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013, and FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the SAR measurements. A standard battery was used for all SAR measurements.
3. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
4. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units
5. SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
6. Per FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04, variability SAR tests were performed when the measured SAR results for a frequency band were greater than 0.8 W/kg. Repeated SAR measurements are highlighted in the tables above for clarity. Please see Section 11 for variability analysis.

W-LAN Notes:

1. The initial test position procedures were applied. The test position with the highest extrapolated peak SAR will be used as the initial test position. When reported SAR for the initial test position is ≤ 0.4 W/kg, no additional testing for the remaining test positions was required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR positions until the reported SAR result is ≤ 0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.
2. Justification for test configurations for W-LAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 2.4 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output and the adjust SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.
3. Justification for test configurations for W-LAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 5 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the initial test configuration was selected according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum allowed powers. Other transmission modes were not investigated since the highest reported SAR for initial test configuration adjusted by the ratio of maximum output powers is less than 1.2 W/kg.
4. When the maximum reported 1g averaged SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on additional channels was not required. Otherwise, SAR for the next highest output power channel was required until the reported SAR result was ≤ 1.20 W/kg or all test channels were measured.
5. The device was configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor to determine compliance.

11. SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY

11.1 Measurement Variability

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which was determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media were required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures were applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. These additional measurements were repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device was returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it was re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

SAR Measurement Variability was assessed using the following procedures for each frequency band:

1. When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, the measurement was repeated once.
2. A second repeated measurement was preformed only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements was > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement was ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).
3. A third repeated measurement was performed only if the original, first or second repeated measurement was ≥ 1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20 .
4. Repeated measurements are not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg

Table 11.1 Body SAR Measurement Variability Results

Frequency		Mode	Service	# of Time Slots	Spacing [Side]	Measured SAR (1g)	1st Repeated SAR(1g)	Ratio	2nd Repeated SAR(1g)	Ratio	3rd Repeated SAR(1g)	Ratio
MHz	Ch.					(W/kg)	(W/kg)		(W/kg)		(W/kg)	
5500	100	802.11a	OFDM	N/A	0 mm [Left]	1.141	1.068	1.07	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure						Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram						

11.2 Measurement Uncertainty

The measured SAR was < 1.5 W/kg for all frequency bands. Therefore, per KDB Publication 865664D01v01r04, the standard measurement uncertainty analysis per IEEE 1528-2013 was not required.

12. IEEE P1528 –MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

2450 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 3.8	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.8 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.2	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.2 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.155 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 0.981 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty						± 12.1 %
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)						± 24.2 %

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5200 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.1	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.1 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.4	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.4 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 1.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.039 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 0.924 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8%	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5300 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.5 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.0	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.0 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 2.1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.212 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 1.097 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8%	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5500 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.6	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.6 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 3.9	Normal	1	0.6	± 3.9 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 1.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.097 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 0.981 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8 %	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5600 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.5 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 3.7	Normal	1	0.6	± 3.7 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.155 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 1.097 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8%	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5800 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 3.9	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.9 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.3	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.3 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 2.2	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.270 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 1.039 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8%	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

2450 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 3.9	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.9 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.6	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.6 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 1.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.097 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 0.981 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty						± 12.1 %
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)						± 24.2 %

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5200 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.2	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.2 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 3.7	Normal	1	0.6	± 3.7 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 2.1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.212 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 1.039 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8%	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5300 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 3.9	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.9 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.2	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.2 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.155 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 0.924 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8%	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5500 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 3.8	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.8 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.1	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.1 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 2.3	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.328 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 1.039 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8%	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5600 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.0	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.0 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.4	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.4 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 0.981 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.5	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 0.866 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8 %	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

5800 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertainty value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.145 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.31 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.3	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.3 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.0	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.0 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	± 1.155 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	± 0.981 %	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.4 %	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.8 %	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2013)

13. CONCLUSION

Measurement Conclusion

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC. These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under the worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are every complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role impossible biological effect are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease).

Because innumerable factors may interact to determine the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide shall consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.

14. REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 – IEEE Std. 1528-2013, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. -124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid& Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bio electromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no. 3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computer mathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

- [20] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [21] Industry Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radio communication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 5, March 2015.
- [22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2009
- [23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D07
- [24] SAR Measurement procedures for IEEE 802.11a/b/g KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02
- [25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04
- [26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04
- [27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz – 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02
- [28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02
- [29] 615223 D01 802 16e WI-Max SAR Guidance v01, Nov. 13, 2009
- [30] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de September de 2009.
- [31] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body(frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.

Attachment 1. – Probe Calibration Data

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**Client **DT&C (Dymstec)**Certificate No: **EX3-3933_Sep16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **EX3DV4 - SN:3933**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **September 27, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288/02289)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02289)	Apr-17
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02289)	Apr-17
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	31-Dec-15 (No. ES3-3013_Dec15)	Dec-16
DAE4	SN: 660	23-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-660_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: GB41293874	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-16)	In house check: Jun-18
Power sensor E4412A	SN: MY41498087	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-16)	In house check: Jun-18
Power sensor E4412A	SN: 000110210	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-16)	In house check: Jun-18
RF generator HP 8648C	SN: US3642U01700	04-Aug-99 (in house check Jun-16)	In house check: Jun-18
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	SN: US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by:	Name Leif Klysner	Function Laboratory Technician	Signature
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: September 29, 2016

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization θ	θ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\theta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- $NORM_{x,y,z}$: Assessed for E-field polarization $\theta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). $NORM_{x,y,z}$ are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of $NORM_{x,y,z}$ does not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- $NORM(f)_{x,y,z} = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response$ (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP_{x,y,z}*: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR*: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- $A_{x,y,z}; B_{x,y,z}; C_{x,y,z}; D_{x,y,z}; VR_{x,y,z}$: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters*: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to $NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF$ whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle*: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the *NORMx* (no uncertainty required).

EX3DV4 – SN:3933

September 27, 2016

Probe EX3DV4

SN:3933

Manufactured: July 24, 2013
Calibrated: September 27, 2016

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

EX3DV4- SN:3933

September 27, 2016

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3933**Basic Calibration Parameters**

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	0.50	0.52	0.19	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) ^B	103.5	101.2	90.9	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	191.7	$\pm 3.0\%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		173.7	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		181.3	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

EX3DV4- SN:3933

September 27, 2016

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3933

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	11.00	11.00	11.00	0.44	0.80	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	10.48	10.48	10.48	0.44	0.80	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	10.22	10.22	10.22	0.44	0.84	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	8.95	8.95	8.95	0.35	0.80	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	8.54	8.54	8.54	0.34	0.80	± 12.0 %
2300	39.5	1.67	8.01	8.01	8.01	0.26	0.98	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	7.55	7.55	7.55	0.35	0.82	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	7.63	7.63	7.63	0.40	0.83	± 12.0 %
3500	37.9	2.91	7.33	7.33	7.33	0.27	1.20	± 13.1 %
5200	36.0	4.66	5.31	5.31	5.31	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5300	35.9	4.76	5.11	5.11	5.11	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5500	35.6	4.96	4.87	4.87	4.87	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %
5600	35.5	5.07	4.60	4.60	4.60	0.50	1.80	± 13.1 %
5800	35.3	5.27	4.52	4.52	4.52	0.50	1.80	± 13.1 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

EX3DV4- SN:3933

September 27, 2016

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3933

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	10.82	10.82	10.82	0.45	0.80	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	10.47	10.47	10.47	0.31	1.04	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	10.36	10.36	10.36	0.48	0.80	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	8.43	8.43	8.43	0.43	0.80	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	8.17	8.17	8.17	0.36	0.80	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	7.89	7.89	7.89	0.30	0.98	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.59	7.59	7.59	0.42	0.80	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	7.48	7.48	7.48	0.32	0.95	± 12.0 %
3500	51.3	3.31	6.84	6.84	6.84	0.35	1.20	± 13.1 %
5200	49.0	5.30	4.79	4.79	4.79	0.45	1.90	± 13.1 %
5300	48.9	5.42	4.49	4.49	4.49	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5500	48.6	5.65	4.03	4.03	4.03	0.55	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.80	3.80	3.80	0.60	1.90	± 13.1 %
5800	48.2	6.00	3.95	3.95	3.95	0.60	1.90	± 13.1 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.


^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

EX3DV4- SN:3933

September 27, 2016




Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)

Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)

EX3DV4- SN:3933

September 27, 2016

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$ **f=600 MHz, TEM****f=1800 MHz, R22****Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)**