PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045 USA
Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6554
http://www.pctestlab.com

HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY CERTIFICATE

Applicant Name:
Nokia Inc.
12278 Scripps Summit Drive
San Diego, CA 92131-3697
United States

Date of Testing:
June 17 - 21, 2005
Test Site/Location:
PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA
Test Report Serial No.:
HAC.0506150438.QMN

FCC ID:	QMNRH-55
APPLICANT:	NOKIA INC.

Application Type:	Class II Permissive Change
FCC Rule Part(s):	§ 20.19(b), §6.3(v), §7.3(v)
HAC Standard:	ANSI PC63.19-2005 D3.6
FCC Classification:	Licensed Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)
EUT Type:	Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone
Model(s):	6015i
Tx Frequency:	824.04 - 848.97 MHz (AMPS)
	824.70 - 848.31 MHz (CDMA)
	1851.25 - 1908.75 MHz (PCS)
Test Device Serial No.:	*Pre-Production Sample* [S/N: #2]
Class II Permissive Change(s):	Adding HAC Rating

PC63.19 HAC Rated Category:	**M3 (RF EMISSIONS)**

This wireless portable device has been shown to be compatible with hearing aids under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. PC63.19 and had been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering

T A B L E   O F   C O N T E N T S

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	**PCTEST** wireless	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 2 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

1.   INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658[1] to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide suffer from hearing loss.

Compatibility Tests Involved:

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:
- RF Electric-field emissions
- RF Magnetic-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:
- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.

Figure 1 Hearing Aid *in-vitu*

---

[1] FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 3 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

2. TEST SITE LOCATION

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The map at the right shows the location of the PCTEST LABORATORY in Columbia, Maryland. It is in proximity to the FCC Laboratory, the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) airport, the city of Baltimore and Washington, DC (See Figure 2).

These measurement tests were conducted at the PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. facility in New Concept Business Park, Guilford Industrial Park, Columbia, Maryland. The site address is 6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045. The test site is one of the highest points in the Columbia area with an elevation of 390 feet above mean sea level. The site coordinates are 39° 11'15" N latitude and 76° 49' 38" W longitude. The facility is 1.5 miles North of the FCC laboratory, and the ambient signal and ambient signal strength are approximately equal to those of the FCC laboratory. There are no FM or TV transmitters within 15 miles of the site. The detailed description of the measurement facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of § 2.948 according to ANSI C63.4 on October 19, 2002.


Figure 2
Map of the Greater Baltimore and Metropolitan Washington, D.C. area

2.2 Test Facility / NVLAP Accreditation:

Measurements were performed at an independent accredited PCTEST Engineering Lab located in Columbia, MD 21045, U.S.A.

- PCTEST facility is an FCC registered (PCTEST Reg. No. 90864) test facility with the site description report on file and has met all the requirements specified in Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules and Industry Canada (IC 2451).
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP Lab code: 100431-0) in EMC, FCC and Telecommunications.
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) in Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing, CTIA Test Plans, and wireless testing for FCC, HAC, CTIA OTA and Industry Canada Rules.
- PCTEST Lab is a recognized U.S. Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) in EMC and R&TTE (n.b. 0982) under the U.S.-EU Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA).
- PCTEST TCB is a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) accredited to ISO/IEC Guide 65 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in all scopes of FCC Rules.
- PCTEST facility is an IC registered (IC-2451) test laboratory with the site description on file at Industry Canada.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) in AMPS and CDMA mobile phones.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST wireless	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 4 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

3. EUT DESCRIPTION

NOKIA

FCC ID:	QMNRH-55
Manufacturer:	Nokia Inc.
	12278 Scripps Summit Drive
	San Diego, CA 92131-3697
	United States
Trade Name:	NOKIA
Model(s):	6015i
Serial Number:	#2
Tx Frequencies:	824.04 - 848.97 MHz (AMPS)
	824.70 - 848.31 MHz (CDMA)
	1851.25 - 1908.75 MHz (PCS)
Antenna Configurations:	Extendable Antenna
Maximum Conducted Power (EMC/SAR):	25.0 dBm (CDMA), 23.5 dBm (PCS)
Maximum Conducted Power (HAC):	25.0 dBm (CDMA), 23.5 dBm (PCS)
HAC Test Configurations:	CDMA, Antenna In, Channels 1013, 384, 777
	CDMA, Antenna Out, Channels 1013, 384, 777
	PCS, Antenna In, Channels 25, 600, 1175
	PCS, Antenna Out, Channels 25, 600, 1175
FCC Classification:	Licensed Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)
EUT Type:	Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone

Figure 3
Device Under Test

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST *wireless*	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 5 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

4.   ANSI/IEEE PC63.19 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

I. RF EMISSIONS

The ANSI Standard presents performance requirements for acceptable interoperability of hearing aids with wireless communications devices. When these parameters are met, a hearing aid operates acceptably in close proximity to a wireless communications device.

Category	Hearing aid RF Parameters		Telephone RF Parameters	
Near field Category	**E-field immunity CW dB(V/m)**	**H-field immunity CW dB(A/m)**	**E-field emissions CW dB(V/m)**	**H-field emissions CW dB(A/m)**
M1	30.0 to 35.0	−23.0 to −18.0	46–51 + 0.5 x AWF	−4.4 to 0.6 +0.5 x AWF
M2	35.0 to 40.0	−18.0 to −13.0	41–46 + 0.5 x AWF	−9.4 to −4.4 +0.5 x AWF
M3	40.0 to 45.0	−13.0 to −8.0	36–41 + 0.5 x AWF	−14.4 to −9.4 +0.5 x AWF
M4	> 45.0	> −8.0	< 36 + 0.5 x AWF	< −14.4 + 0.5 x AWF
Table 6.1 Hearing aid and WD near-field categories as defined in draft ANSI PC63.19. During testing, the hearing aid must maintain an input-referenced interference level of less than 55 dB and a gain compression of less than 6 dB.				

II. Articulation Weighing Factor (AWF)

Standard	Technology	Articulation Weighing Factor (AWF)
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	0
IS-95	CDMA	0
iDEN™	TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	0
J-STD-007	GSM (217 Hz)	-5
Table 6.2 AWF has been developed from information presented to the committee regarding the interference potential of the various modulation types according to ANSI PC63.19		

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 6 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

5. SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

ER3DV6 E-Field Probe Description

Construction:	One dipole parallel, two dipoles normal to probe axis Built-in shielding against static charges
Calibration:	In air from 100 MHz to 3.0 GHz (absolute accuracy ±6.0%, k=2)
Frequency:	100 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (100 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in air (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in air (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	2 V/m to > 1000 V/m (M3 or better device readings fall well below diode compression point)
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.5 mm

Figure 4
E-field Free-space Probe

H3DV6 H-Field Probe Description

|	
Construction:	Three concentric loop sensors with 3.8 mm loop diameters Resistively loaded detector diodes for linear response Built-in shielding against static charges
Frequency:	200 MHz to 3 GHz (absolute accuracy ± 6.0%, k=2); Output linearized
Directivity:	± 0.25 dB (spherical isotropy error)
Dynamic Range:	10 mA/m to 2 A/m at 1 GHz (M3 or better device readings fall well below diode compression point)
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 40 mm) Tip diameter: 6 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 3 mm
E-Field Interference:	< 10% at 3 GHz (for plane wave)

Figure 5
H-Field Free-space Probe

Probe Tip Description

HAC field measurements take place in the close near field with high gradients. Increasing the measuring distance from the source will generally decrease the measured field values (in case of the validation dipole approx. 10% per mm).

Magnetic field sensors are measuring the integral of the H-field across their sensor area surrounded by the loop. They are calibrated in a precise, homogeneous field. When measuring a gradient field, the result will be very close to the field in the center of the loop which is equivalent to the value of a homogeneous field equivalent to the center value. But it will be different from the field at the border of the loop.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 7 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Consequently, two sensors with different loop diameters - both calibrated ideally - would give different results when measuring from the edge of the probe sensor elements. The behavior for electrically small E-field sensors is equivalent. See below for distance plots from a WD which show the conservative nature of field readings at the probe element center vs. measurements at the sensor end:

Figure 6 Z-axis scan at maximum point above a typical wireless device

The magnetic field loops of the H3D probes are concentric, with the center 3mm from the tip for H3DV6. Their radius is 1.9mm.

The electric field probes have a more irregular internal geometry because it is physically not possible to have the 3 orthogonal sensors situated with the same center. The effect of the different sensor centers is accounted for in the HAC uncertainty budget ("sensor displacement"). Their geometric center is at 2.5mm from the tip, and the element ends are 1.1mm closer to the tip.

The antistatic shielding inside the probe is connected to the probe connector case.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	*PCTEST*	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 8 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

It is recommended to connect the probes with the amplifier using a short and well shielded cable and to connect the cable shielding with the connector case.

Instrumentation Chain

Equation 1

Conversion of Connector Voltage u_i to E-Field E_i

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{u_i + (u_i^2 \cdot CF)/(DCP)}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

whereby

E_i:	electric field in V/m
u_i:	voltage of channel i at the connector in μV
$Norm_i$:	sensitivity of channel i in μV/(V/m)^2
$ConvF$:	enhancement factor in liquid (ConvF=1 for Air)
DCP:	diode compression point in μV
CF:	signal crest factor (peak power/average power)

Conditions of Calibration

Please note:
- a lower input impedance of the amplifier will result in different sensitivity factors $Norm_i$ and DCP
- larger bias currents will cause higher offset

Probe Response to Frequency

The E-field sensors have inherently a very flat frequency response. They are calibrated with a number of frequencies resulting in a common calibration factor, with the frequency behavior documented in the calibration certificate (See also below).

Figure 7 E-Field Probe Frequency Response

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	[PCTEST logo]	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 9 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

H-field sensors have a frequency dependent sensitivity which is evaluated for a series of frequencies also visible in the probe calibration certificate. The calibration factors result from a fitting algorithm. The proper conversion is calculated by the DASY4 software depending on the frequency setting in the procedure. See below for H-field frequency response:

Figure 8 H-Field Probe Frequency Response

Conversion to Peak

Peak is defined as Peak Envelope Power. All raw measurements from the HAC measurement system are RMS values. The DASY4 system incorporates the crest factor of the signal in the computation of the RMS values (See Equation 1). Although the software also has capability to estimate the peak field by applying a square root of crest factor value to the readings, the probe modulation factor was applied manually instead per PC63.19 in the measurement tables in this report. The equation to convert the raw measurements in the data tables are:

$$\text{Peak Field} = 20 \cdot \log (\text{Raw} \cdot \text{PMF})$$

Where:

Peak Field = Peak field (in dBV/m or dBA/m)

Raw = Raw field measurement from the measurement system (in V/m or A/m).

PMF = Probe Modulation Factor (in linear units). See MODULATION FACTOR Chapter of test report.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 10 of 66

SPEAG Robotic System

E-field and H-field measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium 4 computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the HAC phantom. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF).

Figure 9
SPEAG Robotic System

Figure 10
PCTEST Lab Acoustics Facility

System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Gateway Pentium 4 2.53 GHz computer with Windows XP system and RF Measurement Software DASY4 v4.5 (with HAC Extension), A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 11 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

System Electronics

The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.

Figure 11
SPEAG Robotic System Diagram

DASY4 Instrumentation Chain

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with
V_i = compensated signal of channel i $\quad$ (i = x, y, z)
U_i = input signal of channel i $\quad$ (i = x, y, z)
cf = crest factor of exciting field $\quad$ (DASY parameter)
dcp_i = diode compression point $\quad$ (DASY parameter)

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 12 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$E - \text{fieldprobes}: \qquad E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$H - \text{fieldprobes}: \qquad H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i   (i = x, y, z)
     $Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i   (i = x, y, z)
         $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E-field Probes
     $ConvF$ = sensitivity enhancement in solution
     a_{ij} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
     f = carrier frequency [GHz]
     E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m
     H_i = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

The measurement/integration time per point, as specified by the system manufacturer is >500 ms.

The signal response time is evaluated as the time required by the system to reach 90% of the expected final value after an on/off switch of the power source with an integration time of 500 ms and a probe response time of <5 ms. In the current implementation, DASY4 waits longer than 100 ms after having reached the grid point before starting a measurement, i.e., the response time uncertainty is negligible.

If the device under test does not emit a CW signal, the integration time applied to measure the electric field at a specific point may introduce additional uncertainties due to the discretization. The tolerances for the different systems had the worst-case of 2.6%.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 13 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

6.   TEST PROCEDURE

I. RF EMISSIONS

Per PC63.19-2005:

Test Instructions

```
┌─────────────────────────┐
│ ♦ Confirm proper         │◄──────────────────┐
│   operation of probes    │                   │
│   and instrumentation    │                   │
│ ♦ Position WD            │                   │
│ ♦ Configure WD Tx        │                   │
│   Operation              │                   │
│                          │                   │
│ Per Section 4.3.1.2.2    │                   │
│ (1-3)                    │                   │
└───────────┬─────────────┘                    │
            ▼                                   │
┌─────────────────────────┐                    │
│ ♦ Initialize field probe │                    │
│   and take reference     │                    │
│   reading                │                    │
│ ♦ Scan Area              │                    │
│ ♦ Measure drift          │       ┌────────────────────────┐
└───────────┬─────────────┘        │ Rescan for E or H-Field,│
            ▼                       │ as needed               │
┌─────────────────────────┐        │                         │
│ Identify exclusion area  │        │ Per Section 4.3.1.2.2   │
│ and then identify and    │        │ (11)                    │
│ record maximum reading   │        └───────────▲────────────┘
│ for remaining area in    │                    │
│ V/m or A/m               │                    │
│                          │                    │
│ Per Section 4.3.1.2.2    │                    │
│ (7-9)                    │                    │
└───────────┬─────────────┘                    │
            ▼                                   │
       ╱─────────╲              No              │
      ╱ Both E &   ╲───────────────────────────┘
      ╲ H Field    ╱
       ╲ Scanned? ╱
        ╲────────╱
            │ Yes
            ▼
┌─────────────────────────┐
│ Identify & Record        │
│ Category                 │
│                          │
│ Per Section 4.3.1.2.2    │
│ (10) & 7.2               │
└─────────────────────────┘
```

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	**PCTEST** wireless	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	**NOKIA**	**Reviewed by:** Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 14 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Test Setup

Figure 12
E/H-Field Emissions Test Setup Diagram

Figure 13
HAC Phantom

RF Emissions Test Procedure:

The following illustrate a typical RF emissions test scan over a wireless communications device:

1. Proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, other instrumentation, and the positioning system was confirmed.

2. WD is positioned in its intended test position, acoustic output point of the device perpendicular to the field probe.

3. The WD operation for maximum rated RF output power was configured and confirmed with the base station simulator, at the test channel and other normal operating parameters as intended for the test. The battery was ensured to be fully charged before each test.

4. The center sub-grid was centered over the center of the acoustic output (also audio band magnetic output, if applicable). The WD audio output was positioned tangent (as physically possible) to the measurement plane.

5. A surface calibration was performed before each setup change to ensure repeatable spacing and proper maintenance of the measurement plane using the HAC Phantom.

6. The measurement system measured the field strength at the reference location.

7. Measurements at 2mm increments in the 5 x 5 cm region were performed and recorded. A 360° rotation about the azimuth axis at the maximum interpolated position was measured. For the worst-case condition, the peak reading from this rotation was used in re-evaluating the HAC category.

8. The system performed a drift evaluation by measuring the field at the reference location.

9. Steps 1-8 were done for both the E and H-Field measurements.

| PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 15 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

7. SYSTEM CHECK

I. System Check Parameters

The input signal was an unmodulated continuous wave. The following points were taken into consideration in performing this check:

- Average Input Power P = 100mW RMS (20dBm RMS) after adjustment for return loss
- The test fixture must meet the 2 wavelength separation criterion
- The proper measurement of the 1 cm probe to dipole separation, which is measured from top surface of the dipole to the calibration reference point of the sensor, defined by the probe manufacturer is shown in the following diagram:


Figure 14
Separation Distance from Dipole to Field Probe

RF power was recorded using both an average reading meter and a peak reading meter. Readings of the probe are provided by the measurement system.

To assure proper operation of the near-field measurement probe the input power to the dipole shall be commensurate with the full rated output power of the wireless device (e.g. - for a cellular phone wireless device the average peak antenna input power will be on the order of 100mW (i.e. - 20dBm) RMS after adjustment for any mismatch.

II. Validation Procedure

A dipole antenna meeting the requirements given in PC63.19 was placed in the position normally occupied by the WD.

The length of the dipole was scanned with both E-field and H-field probes and the maximum values for each were recorded.

Measurement of CW

Using the near-field measurement system, scan the antenna over the radiating dipole and record the greatest field reading observed. Due to the nature of E-fields about freespace dipoles, the two E-field peaks measured over the dipole are averaged to compensate for non-paralellity of the setup (

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	**PCTEST**	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	**NOKIA**	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 16 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

see manufacturer method on dipole calibration certificates, page 2. Field strength measurements shall be made only when the probe is stationary.

RF power was recorded using both an average and a peak power reading meter.

Figure 15
Setup for Desired Output Power to Dipole

Figure 16
Setup to Dipole

Using this setup configuration, the signal generator was adjusted for the desired output power (100mW) at a specified frequency. The reference power from the coupled port of the directional coupler is recorded.

Next, the output cable is connected to the reference dipole, as shown in Figure 16.

The input signal level was adjusted until the reference power from the coupled port of the directional coupler was the same as previously recorded, to compensate for the impedance mismatch between the output cable and the reference dipole.

To assure proper operation of the near-field measurement probe the input power to the reference dipole was verified to the full rated output power of the wireless device. The dipole was secured in a holder in a manner to meet the 20 dB reflection. The near-field measurement probe was positioned over the dipole.

The antenna was scanned over the appropriate sized area to cover the dipole from end to end. SPEAG uses 2D interpolation algorithms between the measured points. Please see below two dimensional plots showing that the interpolated values interpolate smoothly between 5mm steps for a free-space RF dipole:

Figure 17
2-D Raw Data from scan along dipole axis

Figure 18
2-D Interpolated points from scan along dipole axis

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	*PCTEST*	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	**Reviewed by:** Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 17 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

III. System Check Results

Measured Power

Validations were performed for CW, 80%AM and the modulated signal at 20 dBm peak power. See below for Spectrum Analyzer Plots.

Figure 19
CW Signal (with Max Hold) – 20 dBm Peak

Figure 20
CDMA Signal (with Max Hold) – 20 dBm Peak

Figure 21
80% AM, 1kHz MF (Trace1: Max Hold; Trace2:View) – 20 dBm Peak

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST wireless	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 18 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Validation Results

Frequency (MHz)	Signal Type	Avg. Input Power (dBm)	Peak Input Power (dBm)	E-field Result (V/m)	Target Field (V/m)	% Deviation
835	CW	20.0	20.0	182.9	185.1	-1.2%
835	80% AM	15.1	20.0	126.5		
835	CDMA	20.0	20.0	180.8		
1880	CW	20.0	20.0	135.8	145.8	-6.9%
1880	80% AM	15.0	20.0	98.5		
1880	CDMA	20.0	20.0	135.4		

Frequency (MHz)	Signal Type	Avg. Input Power (dBm)	Peak Input Power (dBm)	H-field Result (A/m)	Target Field (A/m)	% Deviation
835	CW	20.0	20.0	0.492	0.470	4.7%
835	80% AM	15.1	20.0	0.337		
835	CDMA	20.0	20.0	0.505		
1880	CW	20.0	20.0	0.431	0.450	-4.2%
1880	80% AM	15.0	20.0	0.305		
1880	CDMA	20.0	20.0	0.427		

Figure 22
System Check Setup

80% AM Expected Value Estimation:
(From PC63.19 §I4.1.1)

(Eq I.3)   Calculation of AM Peak-to-Average Ratio (PAR)

$$PAR_{dB} = 10\log(m+1)^2$$

Peak to Average Ratio of 80%AM signal ($m=0.8$) = 5.1 dB ≡ 1.8 (linear units).
Modulation factor = PAR = 1.8.

Crest Factor (cf) = $\sqrt{ModulationFactor}$ = 1.34

$$80\%AM \text{ Expected Value} \equiv \frac{U_{CW-t\arg et}}{cf}$$

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	*PCTEST*	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 19 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

8. MODULATION FACTOR

A calibration was made of the modulation response of the probe and its instrumentation chain. This calibration was performed with the field probe, attached to its instrumentation. The response of the probe system to a CW field at the frequency of interest is compared to its response to a modulated signal with equal peak amplitude to that of a CW signal. The field level of the test signals are ensured to be more than 10 dB above the ambient level and the noise floor of the instrumentation being used. The ratio of the CW reading to that taken with a modulated reading was applied to the DUT measurements (See Conversion to Peak section in SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS chapter).

This was done using the following procedure:

1. The probe was illuminated with a CW signal at the intended measurement frequency.

2. The probe was positioned at the field maxima over the dipole antenna (determined after an area scan over the dipole), as illustrated in Figure 26.

3. The reading of the probe measurement system of the CW signal at the maximum point was recorded.

4. Using a Spectrum Analyzer, the modulated signal adjusted with the same peak level of the CW signal was determined (similar to Figure 19).

5. The probe measurement system reading was recorded with the modulated signal.

6. The ratio of the CW reading to modulated signal reading is the probe modulation factor (PMF) for the modulation and field probe combination.

7. Steps 1-6 were repeated at all frequency bands and for both E and H field probes.

The modulation factors obtained were applied to readings taken of the actual wireless device, in order to obtain an accurate peak field reading using the formula:

$$Peak = 20 \cdot \log(Raw \cdot PMF)$$

This method correlates well with the modulation using the DUT in the alternative substitution method. See below for correlation of signal:

Figure 23
Signal Generator Modulated Signal

Figure 24
Wireless Device Modulated Signal

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by:
				Quality Manager
HAC Filename:	**Test Dates:**	**EUT Type:**	**FCC ID:**	Page 20 of 66
HAC.0506150438.QMN	June 17 - 21, 2005	Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	QMNRH-55	

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Modulation Factors:

f (MHz)	Protocol	Ave. E-Field (V/m)	Avg. H-Field (A/m)	E-Field Modulation Factor	H-Field Modulation Factor
835	CDMA	181.2	0.463	1.00	1.01
835	CW	181.8	0.468		
1880	CDMA	140.1	0.447	1.00	1.00
1880	CW	139.9	0.446		

f (MHz)	Protocol	Ave. E-Field (V/m)	E-Field Modulation Factor
835	CDMA / SO3	106.7	2.56
835	CW	272.7	

Figure 25
Modulation Factors

Figure 26
Determining Modulation Factor Probe Setup

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 21 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

9. OVERALL MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

FCC ID:	QMNRH-55
Model:	6015i
S/N:	#2

I. E-FIELD EMISSIONS:

Table 1
HAC Data Summary for E-field

Mode	Channel	Backlight	Antenna	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Measured Drift (%)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Modulation Factor	Peak Field (dBV/m)	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC MARGIN (dB)	RESULT	Excl Blocks per 4.3.1.2.2
E-field Emissions												
CDMA	1013	off	In	25.00	-2.6%	93.1	1.00	39.4	41.0	-1.59	M3	6,8,9
CDMA	384	off	In	25.20	-0.7%	101.9	1.00	40.2	41.0	-0.81	M3	6,8,9
CDMA	777	off	In	25.20	0.5%	103.3	1.00	40.3	41.0	-0.69	M3	6,8,9
CDMA	1013	off	Out	25.00	0.3%	95.9	1.00	39.7	41.0	-1.33	M3	7,8,9
CDMA	384	off	Out	25.20	2.2%	96.6	1.00	39.7	41.0	-1.27	M3	4,7,8
CDMA	777	off	Out	25.20	-3.8%	93.6	1.00	39.5	41.0	-1.55	M3	6,8,9
CDMA	777	off	In	25.20	0.5%	91.3	1.00	39.2	41.0	-1.80	M3	6,8,9
CDMA*	777	off	In	25.20	-2.4%	39.5	2.56	40.1	41.0	-0.91	M3	6,8,9
PCS	25	off	In	23.44	-1.2%	56.3	1.00	35.0	41.0	-6.00	M4	6,8,9
PCS	600	off	In	23.50	0.2%	64.5	1.00	36.2	41.0	-4.82	M3	6,8,9
PCS	1175	off	In	23.54	-0.7%	58.8	1.00	35.4	41.0	-5.62	M4	6,8,9
PCS	25	off	Out	23.44	-0.8%	59.3	1.00	35.4	41.0	-5.55	M4	6,8,9
PCS	600	off	Out	23.50	-1.0%	63.6	1.00	36.1	41.0	-4.94	M3	6,8,9
PCS	1175	off	Out	23.54	2.1%	55.2	1.00	34.8	41.0	-6.17	M4	6,8,9

Figure 27
Sample E-field Scan Overlay

*This condition represents SO3 mode. Worst-Case condition is reported.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST wireless	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 22 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

FCC ID:	QMNRH-55
Model:	6015i
S/N:	#2

II. H-FIELD EMISSIONS:

Table 2
HAC Data Summary for H-field

Mode	Channel	Backlight	Antenna	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Measured Drift (%)	Time Avg. Field (A/m)	Modulation Factor	Peak Field (dBA/m)	FCC Limit (dBA/m)	FCC MARGIN (dB)	RESULT	Excl Blocks per 4.3.1.2.2
H-field Emissions												
CDMA	1013	off	In	25.00	0.0%	0.243	1.01	-12.2	-9.4	-2.79	M3	4,7,8
CDMA	384	off	In	25.20	0.4%	0.266	1.01	-11.4	-9.4	-2.01	M3	6,8,9
CDMA	777	off	In	25.20	-1.2%	0.253	1.01	-11.8	-9.4	-2.44	M3	6,8,9
CDMA	1013	off	Out	25.00	1.1%	0.207	1.01	-13.6	-9.4	-4.19	M3	1,4,7
CDMA	384	off	Out	25.20	2.8%	0.223	1.01	-12.9	-9.4	-3.54	M3	1,4,7
CDMA	777	off	Out	25.20	-0.4%	0.200	1.01	-13.9	-9.4	-4.49	M3	6,8,9
PCS	25	off	In	23.44	-0.8%	0.157	1.00	-16.1	-9.4	-6.70	M4	6,8,9
PCS	600	off	In	23.50	0.3%	0.164	1.00	-15.7	-9.4	-6.32	M4	6,8,9
PCS	1175	off	In	23.54	1.2%	0.183	1.00	-14.8	-9.4	-5.37	M4	6,8,9
PCS	25	off	Out	23.44	1.5%	0.203	1.00	-13.9	-9.4	-4.47	M3	6,8,9
PCS	600	off	Out	23.50	3.3%	0.179	1.00	-15.0	-9.4	-5.56	M4	6,8,9
PCS	1175	off	Out	23.54	0.4%	0.188	1.00	-14.5	-9.4	-5.14	M4	6,8,9

Figure 28
Sample H-field Scan Overlay

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST *wireless*	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 23 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

FCC ID:	QMNRH-55
Model:	6015i
S/N:	#2

III. Worst-case Configuration Evaluation

Table 3
Peak Reading 360° Probe Rotation at Azimuth axis

Mode	Channel	Backlight	Antenna	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Measured Drift (%)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Modulation Factor	Peak Field (dBV/m)	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC MARGIN (dB)	RESULT
Probe Rotation at Worst-Case											
CDMA	777	off	In	25.20	0.5%	104.4	1.00	40.4	41.0	-0.59	M3

Figure 29
Worst-Case Probe Rotation about Azimuth axis

* Note: Location of probe rotation is shown in Figure 27 or Figure 28

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 24 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

10. EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Make / Equipment	Calibration Due	Asset No.
HP	437B Power Meter	May 2006	3125U24437
Amplifier Research	5S1G4 (5W, 800MHz-4.2GHz)	January 2006	22322
Gigatronics	80701A (0.05-18GHz) Power Sensor	April 2006	1833460
HP	8482H (30mW-3W) Power Sensor	February 2006	2237A02084
HP	8594A Spectrum Analyzer	February 2006	3051A00187
Gigatronics	8657A Universal Power Meter	April 2006	1835256
HP	8753E (30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	February 2006	JP38020182
Agilent	8960 Base Station Simulator	January 2006	PCT080
Agilent	Base Station Simulator	May 2006	661
Rohde & Schwarz	CMD80 Base Station Simulator	June 2006	830805/005
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200 Base Station Simulator	November 2005	650378
Agilent	ESG-D Signal Generator	October 2005	PCT800
Optix	Fiber-Optic Line	N/A	
SPEAG	Freespace 1880 MHz Dipole	February 2007	1002
SPEAG	Freespace 1900 MHz Dipole	February 2007	1002
SPEAG	Freespace 2450 MHz Dipole	February 2007	1004
SPEAG	Freespace H-field Probe	October 2005	6180
SPEAG	Freespace E-field Probe	January 2006	2332
Bruel & Kjaer	HATS System	December 2005	687
Hosa	High Precision TRS Cable	N/A	
EMCO	Model 3115 (1-18GHz) Horn Antenna	October 2006	9203-2178
EMCO	Model 3115 (1-18GHz) Horn Antenna	October 2006	9704-5182
Rohde & Schwarz	NRVS Power Meter	June 2006	
RF Lindgren Model 26-2/2-0	Shielded Screen Room	N/A	6710 (PCT270)
MicroCoax	(1.0-26.5GHz) Microwave Cables	N/A	N/A
HP	8648D (9kHz-4GHz) Signal Generator	October 2005	3613A00315
Rohde & Schwarz	(0.1-1000MHz) Signal Generator	September 2005	894215/012
Ray Proof Model S81	Shielded Semi-Anechoic Chamber	N/A	R2437 (PCT278)
Narda	3020A (50-1000MHz) Bi-Directional Coax Coupler	January 2006	
HP	8901A Modulation Analyzer	January 2006	2432A03467
HP	8903B Audio Analyzer	January 2006	3011A09025

Table 4
Equipment List

*Calibration traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST *wireless*	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 25 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Wireless Communications Device Near-Field Measurement Uncertainty Estimation						
Uncertainty Component	Data (dB)	Data Type	Prob. Dist.	Divisor	Unc. (dB)	Notes/Comments
Measurement System						
RF System Reflections	0.50	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.30	* Refl. < -20 dB
RF Ambient Conditions	0.20	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.12	
Field Probe Conversion Factor	0.42	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.25	
Field Probe Isotropy	0.11	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.06	
Field Probe Frequency Response	0.135	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.08	
Field Probe Linearity	0.025	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.01	
Boundary Effects	0.105	Accuracy	R	1.73	0.06	
Sensor Displacement	0.66	Accuracy	R	1.73	0.39	*
Probe Positioning Accuracy	0.20	Accuracy	R	1.73	0.12	*
Probe Positioner	0.050	Accuracy	R	1.73	0.03	*
Extrapolation/Interpolation	0.045	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.03	*
System Detection Limit	0.05	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.03	*
Readout Electronics	0.015	Tolerance	N	1.00	0.02	*
Integration Time	0.11	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.06	*
Response Time	0.033	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.02	*
Phantom Thickness	0.10	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.06	*
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning Vertical	0.4	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.24	*
Device Positioning Lateral	0.045	Tolerance	N	1	0.05	*
Device Holder and Phantom	0.1	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.06	*
Power Drift	0.21	Tolerance	N	1	0.21	
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)					0.65	16.1%
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) [95% confidence]					1.30	32.3%

Table 5
Uncertainty Estimation Table

Notes:
1. Test equipment are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297. All equipment have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.
2. * Uncertainty specifications from Schmidt & Partner Engineering AG (not site specific)

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid immunity tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	PCTEST	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	Test Dates: June 17 - 21, 2005	EUT Type: Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	FCC ID: QMNRH-55	Page 26 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

12. TEST DATA

See following Attached Pages for Test Data.

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT		FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	**Reviewed by:**
				Quality Manager
HAC Filename:	**Test Dates:**	**EUT Type:**	**FCC ID:**	Page 27 of 66
HAC.0506150438.QMN	June 17 - 21, 2005	Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	QMNRH-55	

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

PCTEST
wireless

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatability Facility

DUT: HAC Dipole 835 MHz
Type: CD835V3
Serial: 1003

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ER3DV6 - SN2332; Calibrated: 1/31/2005
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn455; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
- Phantom: HAC Main; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19;

**CW/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1):** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm
Maximum value of Total field (slot averaged) = 184.9 V/m
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

E in V/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
167.4	180.8	154.7
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
99.7	101.3	84.7
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
174.6	184.9	158.9

E in V/m (Slot averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
167.4	180.8	154.7
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
99.7	101.3	84.7
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
174.6	184.9	158.9

Category	AWF (dB)	Limits for E-Field Emissions (V/m)	Limits for H-Field Emissions (A/m)
M1	0	199.5 - 354.8	0.6 - 1.07
	-5	149.6 - 266.1	0.45 - 0.8
M2	0	112.2 - 199.5	0.34 - 0.6
	-5	84.1 - 149.6	0.25 - 0.45
M3	0	63.1 - 112.2	0.19 - 0.34
	-5	47.3 - 84.1	0.15 - 0.25
M4	0	<63.1	<0.19
	-5	<47.3	<0.15

0 dB = 184.9V/m

© 2005 PCTEST LAB

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	**PCTEST** wireless	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	NOKIA	**Reviewed by:** Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 28 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

PCTEST
wireless

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatability Facility

DUT: HAC Dipole 835 MHz
Type: CD835V3
Serial: 1003

Communication System: 80% AM; Frequency: 835 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ER3DV6 - SN2332; Calibrated: 1/31/2005
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn455; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
- Phantom: HAC Main; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19;

**80%AM/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1):** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm
Maximum value of Total field (slot averaged) = 183.3 V/m
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

E in V/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
115.0	124.0	114.7
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
64.5	73.6	62.5
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
120.0	129.0	113.1

E in V/m (Slot averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
163.4	176.2	163.0
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
91.7	104.5	88.8
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
170.6	183.3	160.7

Category	AWF (dB)	Limits for E-Field Emissions (V/m)	Limits for H-Field Emissions (A/m)
M1	0	199.5 - 354.8	0.6 - 1.07
	-5	149.6 - 266.1	0.45 - 0.8
M2	0	112.2 - 199.5	0.34 - 0.6
	-5	84.1 - 149.6	0.25 - 0.45
M3	0	63.1 - 112.2	0.19 - 0.34
	-5	47.3 - 84.1	0.15 - 0.25
M4	0	<63.1	<0.19
	-5	<47.3	<0.15

0 dB = 129.0V/m

© 2005 PCTEST LAB

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	**PCTEST** *wireless*	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	**NOKIA**	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 29 of 66

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

PCTEST *wireless*

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatability Facility

DUT: HAC Dipole 835 MHz
Type: CD835V3
Serial: 1003

Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 835 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ER3DV6 - SN2332; Calibrated: 1/31/2005
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn455; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
- Phantom: HAC Main; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19;

**CDMA/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1):** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm
Maximum value of Total field (slot averaged) = 181.8 V/m
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

E in V/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
167.4	179.8	153.7
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
99.7	101.3	86.6
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
174.6	181.8	168.0

E in V/m (Slot averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
167.4	179.8	153.7
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
99.7	101.3	86.6
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
174.6	184.9	168.0

Category	AWF (dB)	Limits for E-Field Emissions (V/m)	Limits for H-Field Emissions (A/m)
M1	0	199.5 - 354.8	0.6 - 1.07
	-5	149.6 - 266.1	0.45 - 0.8
M2	0	112.2 - 199.5	0.34 - 0.6
	-5	84.1 - 149.6	0.25 - 0.45
M3	0	63.1 - 112.2	0.19 - 0.34
	-5	47.3 - 84.1	0.15 - 0.25
M4	0	<63.1	<0.19
	-5	<47.3	<0.15

0 dB = 181.8V/m

© 2005 PCTEST LAB

PCTEST™ HAC REPORT	**PCTEST** *wireless*	FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT	**NOKIA**	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
HAC Filename: HAC.0506150438.QMN	**Test Dates:** June 17 - 21, 2005	**EUT Type:** Tri-Mode Dual-Band Phone	**FCC ID:** QMNRH-55	Page 30 of 66