



FCC DFS Test Report			
	FCC ID: QISMHA-L29		
This report co	ncerns (check one): ⊠Original Grant		
Equi Mode Appl	ect No. : 1607C287 pment : Smart Phone el Name : MHA-L29 icant : Huawei Technologies Co.,Ltd. ress : Administration Building, Headquarters of Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, 518129, P.R.C		
Date Issue	of Receipt : Jul. 28, 2016 of Test : Jul. 28, 2016 ~ Aug. 16, 2016 ed Date : Aug. 18, 2016 ed by : BTL Inc.		
Testi	ng Engineer : <u>Shawn Xim</u> (Shawn Xiao)		
Tech	nical Manager : <u>David Mao</u> (David Mao)		
Auth	orized Signatory : <u>Seven Lu</u> (Steven Lu)		
	BTL INC. Jinshagang 1st Road, Shixia, Dalang Town, Dongguan, Guangdong, China. L: +86-769-8318-3000 FAX: +86-769-8319-6000		



Declaration

BTL represents to the client that testing is done in accordance with standard procedures as applicable and that test instruments used has been calibrated with standards traceable to international standard(s) and/or national standard(s).

BTL's reports apply only to the specific samples tested under conditions. It is manufacture's responsibility to ensure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with the identical electrical and mechanical components. **BTL**shall have no liability for any declarations, inferences or generalizations drawn by the client or others from **BTL** issued reports.

BTL's report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the Federal Government.


This report is the confidential property of the client. As a mutual protection to the clients, the public and **BTL-self**, extracts from the test report shall not be reproduced except in full with **BTL**'s authorized written approval.

BTL's laboratory quality assurance procedures are in compliance with the **ISO Guide 17025** requirements, and accredited by the conformity assessment authorities listed in this test report.

Limitation

For the use of the authority's logo is limited unless the Test Standard(s)/Scope(s)/Item(s) mentioned in this test report is (are) included in the conformity assessment authorities acceptance respective.









REPORT ISSUED HISTORY

Issued No.	Description	Issued Date
BTL-FCCP-2-1607C287	Original Issue.	Aug. 18, 2016



1. CERTIFICATION

Equipment Brand Name Model Name	: Smart Phone : HUAWEI : MHA-L29
Applicant	: Huawei Technologies Co.,Ltd.
Manufacturer	: Huawei Technologies Co.,Ltd.
Address	: Administration Building, Headquarters of Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, 518129, P.R.C
Date of Test:	: Jul. 28, 2016 ~ Aug. 16, 2016
Test Sample	: Engineering Sample
Standard(s)	: FCC Part 15, Subpart E (Section 15.407)
	FCC KDB 789033 D02 General UNII Test Procedures New Rules v01r02 905462 D02 UNII DFS Compliance Procedures New Rules v02

The above equipment has been tested and found compliance with the requirement of the relative standards by BTL Inc.

The test data, data evaluation, and equipment configuration contained in our test report (Ref No. BTL-FCCP-2-1607C287) were obtained utilizing the test procedures, test instruments, test sites that has been accredited by the Authority of TAF according to the ISO-17025 quality assessment standard and technical standard(s).



2. EUT INFORMATION

2.1 EUT SPECIFICATION TABLE

Table 1: Specification of EUT

Product name	Smart Phone
Brand Name	HUAWEI
Model	MHA-L29
Operational Mode	Master
Operating FrequencyRange	5260~5320MHz & 5500~5700MHz
Modulation	OFDM

Note: This device was functioned as a Master This device does not support TPC function \boxtimes Slave device during the DFS



3.U-NII DFS RULE REQUIREMENTS

3.1 WORKING MODES AND REQUIRED TEST ITEMS

The manufacturer shall state whether the UUT is capable of operating as a Master and/or a Client. If the UUT is capable of operating in more than one operating mode then each operating mode shall be tested separately. See tables 1 and 2 for the applicability of DFS requirements for each of the operational modes.

Table 5: Applicability of DFS requirements prior to use a channel

	Operational Mode			
Requirement	Master	Client without radar detection	Client with radar detection	
Non-Occupancy Period	\checkmark	Not required	~	
DFS Detection Threshold	\checkmark	Not required	\checkmark	
Channel Availability Check Time	\checkmark	Not required	Not required	
Uniform Spreading	\checkmark	Not required	Not required	
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	~	Not required	~	

Table 6: Applicability of DFS requirements during normal operation.

	Operational Mode			
Requirement	Master	Client without radar detection	Client with radar detection	
DFS Detection Threshold	~	Not required	✓	
Channel Closing Transmission Time	~	\checkmark	~	
Channel Move Time	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	~	Not required	~	



3.2 TEST LIMITS AND RADAR SIGNAL PARAMETERS

DETECTION THRESHOLD VALUES

Table 7: DFS Detection Thresholds for Master Devices and Client Devices With Radar Detection.

Maximum Transmit Power	Value (See Notes 1 and 2)
EIRP≥ 200 milliwatt	-64 dBm
EIRP< 200 milliwatt and	
power spectral density < 10 dBm/MHz	-62 dBm
EIRP < 200 milliwatt that do not meet the	
power spectraldensity requirement	-64 dBm

Note 1: This is the level at the input of the receiver assuming a 0 dBi receive antenna.

Note 2: Throughout these test procedures an additional 1 dB has been added to the amplitude of the testtransmission waveforms to account for variations in measurement equipment. This will ensure that thetest signal is at or above the detection threshold level to trigger a DFS response.

Note3: EIRP is based on the highest antenna gain. For MIMO devices refer to KDB Publication 662911D01.



Parameter	Value
Non-occupancy period	Minimum 30 minutes
Channel Availability Check Time	60 seconds
Channel Move Time	10 seconds See Note 1.
Channel Closing Transmission Time	200 milliseconds + an aggregate of 60 milliseconds over remaining 10 second period. See Notes 1 and 2.
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	Minimum 100% of the UNII 99% transmissionpower bandwidth. SeeNote 3.

Note 1: Channel Move Time and the Channel Closing Transmission Time should be performed withRadar Type 0. The measurement timing begins at the end of the Radar Type 0 burst.

Note 2: The Channel Closing Transmission Time is comprised of 200 milliseconds starting at the beginning of the Channel Move Time plus any additional intermittent control signals required to facilitate a Channel move (an aggregate of 60 milliseconds) during the remainder of the 10 second period. The aggregate duration of control signals will not count quiet periods in between transmissions.

Note 3: During the U-NII Detection Bandwidth detection test, radar type 0 should be used. For each frequency step the minimum percentage of detection is 90 percent. Measurements are performed with no data traffic.



PARAMETERS OF DFS TEST SIGNALS

Step intervals of 0.1 microsecond for Pulse Width, 1 microsecond for PRI, 1 MHz for chirp width and 1 for the number of pulses will be utilized for the random determination of specific test waveforms.

Radar	Pulse	PRI	Number of Pulses	Minimum	Minimum
Туре	Width	(µsec)		Percentage of	Number
	(µsec)			Successful	of
				Detection	Trials
0	1	1428	18	See Note 1	See Note
					1
1	1	Test A: 15 unique	$\left[\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array} \right) \right]$	60%	30
		PRI values	$\left(\frac{1}{360}\right)^{-1}$		
		randomly selected	Roundup		
		from the list of 23	[19·10°]]		
		PRI values in	$\left(\overline{\mathrm{PRI}}_{\mu \mathrm{sec}} \right)$		
		Table 5a	(μsec /)		
		Test B: 15 unique			
		PRI values			
		randomly selected			
		within the range			
		of 518-3066 µsec,			
		with a minimum			
		increment of 1			
		µsec, excluding			
		PRI values			
		selected in Test A			
2	1-5	150-230	23-29	60%	30
3	6-10	200-500	16-18	60%	30
4	11-20	200-500	12-16	60%	30
Aggregate	(Radar Types	1-4)		80%	120

Table 9.	Short Pul	se Radar	Test Way	veforms
Tuble 0.		00 1 10001	1001 110	

Note 1: Short Pulse Radar Type 0 should be used for the detection bandwidth test, channel move time, and channel closing time tests.

A minimum of 30 unique waveforms are required for each of the Short Pulse Radar Types 2 through 4. Ifmore than 30 waveforms are used for Short Pulse Radar Types 2 through 4, then each additionalwaveform must also be unique and not repeated from the previous waveforms. If more than 30waveforms are used for Short Pulse Radar Type 1, then each additional waveform is generated with TestB and must also be unique and not repeated from the previous waveforms in Tests A or B.



Table 10: Long	Pulse Radar	Test Waveform
rabio ror Long	1 4100 1 14444	root maroronni

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	Chirp Width (MHz)	PRI (µsec)	Number of Pulsesper Burst	Number of Bursts	Minimum Percentage of Successful Detection	Minimum Number of Trials
5	50-100	5-20	1000-2000	1-3	8-20	80%	30

The parameters for this waveform are randomly chosen (The center frequency for each of the 30 trials of the Bin 5 radar shall be randomly selected within 80% of the Occupied Bandwidth.) Thirty unique waveforms are required for the Long Pulse Radar Type waveforms. If more than 30 waveforms are used for the Long Pulse Radar Type waveforms, then each additional waveform must also be unique and not repeated from the previous waveforms.

Table 11: Frequency Hopping Radar Test Waveform

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	Chirp Width (MHz)	PRI (µsec)	Number of Pulsesper Burst	Number of Bursts	Minimum Percentage of Successful Detection	Minimum Number of Trials
6	1	333	9	0.333	300	70%	30

4. TEST INSTRUMENTS

Table 1: Test instruments list.

DESCRIPTION	MANUFACTURER	MODEL NO.	Serial No	Calibration Until
EXA Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	MY50520044	Mar. 27, 2017
Signal Generator	Agilent	E4438C	MY49071316	Mar. 27, 2017
POWER SPLITTER	Mini-Circuits	ZFRSC-123-S+	331000910-1	Feb. 26, 2017
POWER SPLITTER	Mini-Circuits	ZN4PD1-63-S+	SF9335D1045-1	Feb. 23, 2017
Attenuator	WOKEN	6SM3502	VAS1214NL	Mar. 02, 2017
EXA Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	MY50520044	Mar. 27, 2017


Note:Calibration interval of instruments listed above is one year.



5.EMC EMISSION TEST

5.1 DFS MEASUREMENT SYSTEM:

CONDUCTED METHOD SYSTEM BLOCK DIAGRAM



SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The short pulse and long pulse signal generating system utilizes the NTIA software. The Vector Signal Generator has been validated by the NTIA. The hopping signal generating system utilizes the CCS simulated hopping method and system, which has been validated by the DoD, FCC and NTIA. The software selects waveform parameters from within the bounds of the signal type on a random basis using uniform distribution.

The short pulse types 2, 3 and 4, and the long pulse type 5 parameters are randomized at run-time.



The hopping type 6 pulse parameters are fixed while the hopping sequence is based on the August 2005 NTIA Hopping Frequency List. The initial starting point randomized at run-time and each subsequent starting point is incremented by 475. Each frequency in the 100-length segment is compared to the boundaries of the EUT Detection Bandwidth and the software creates a hopping burst pattern in accordance with Section 7.4.1.3 Method #2 Simulated Frequency Hopping Radar Waveform Generating Subsystem of FCC 06-96. The frequency of the signal generator is incremented in 1 MHz steps from FL to FH for each successive trial. This incremental sequence is repeated as required to generate a minimum of 30 total trials and to maintain a uniform frequency distribution over the entire Detection Bandwidth.

The signal monitoring equipment consists of a spectrum analyzer set to display 8001 bins on the horizontal axis. The time-domain resolution is 2 msec / bin with a 16 second sweep time, meeting the 10 second short pulse reporting criteria. The aggregate ON time is calculated by multiplying the number of bins above a threshold during a particular observation period by the dwell time per bin, with the analyzer set to peak detection and max hold.

Should multiple RF ports be utilized for the Master and/or Slave devices (for example, for diversity or MIMO implementations), additional combiner/dividers are inserted between the Master Combiner/Divider and the pad connected to the Master Device (and/or between the Slave Combiner/Divider and the pad connected to the Slave Device). Additional pads are utilized such that there is one pad at each RF port on each EUT.




5.2 CALIBRATION OF DFS DETECTION THRESHOLD LEVEL:

A 50 ohm load is connected in place of the spectrum analyzer, and the spectrum analyzer is connected in place of the master device and the signal generator is set to CW mode. The amplitude of the signal generator is adjusted to yield a level of -62 dBm as measured on the spectrum analyzer.

Without changing any of the instrument settings, the spectrum analyer is reconnected to the Common port of the Spectrum Analyzer Combiner/Divider. Measure the amplitude and calculate the difference from –62 dBm. Adjust the Reference Level Offset of the spectrum analyzer to this difference.

The spectrum analyzer displays the level of the signal generator as received at the antenna ports of the Master Device. The interference detection threshold may be varied from the calibrated value of –62 dBm and the spectrum analyzer will still indicate the level as received by the Master Device.

Set the signal generator to produce a radar waveform, trigger a burst manually and measure the level on the spectrum analyzer. Readjust the amplitude of the signal generator as required so that the peak level of the waveform is at a displayed level equal to the required or desired interference detection threshold. Separate signal generator amplitude settings are determined as required for each radar type.





6. TEST RESULTS

6.1 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULT

Clause	Test Parameter	Remarks	Pass/Fail
15.407	DFS Detection Threshold	No Applicable	N/A
15.407	Channel Availability Check Time	Not Applicable	N/A
15.407	Channel Move Time	Applicable	Pass
15.407	Channel Closing Transmission Time	Applicable	Pass
15.407	Non- Occupancy Period	Applicable	Pass
15.407	15.407 Uniform Spreading		N/A
15.407	U-NII Detection Bandwidth	Not Applicable	N/A

6.2 TEST MODE: DEVICE OPERATING IN MASTER MODE.

The EUT is slave equipment, it need a master device when testing. Master with injection at the Master. (Radar Test Waveforms are injected into the Master)

6.3 DFS DETECTION THRESHOLD

Calibration:

The EUT is slave equipment and it with a max gain is 2.4 dBi. For a detection threshold level of -62dBm and the master (Brand: Alcatel-Lucent, Model: G-240W-B, FCC ID: 2ADZRG240WB) antenna gain is 2.90 dBi, required detection threshold is -59.10 dBm (= -62+2.90).


Note: Maximum Transmit Power is less than 200 milliwatt in this report, so detection threshold level is -62dBm.



6.4 CHANNEL CLOSING TRANSMISSION AND CHANNEL MOVE TIME WLAN TRAFFIC

TX (11a Mode)


Radar signal 0




Note: T0 denotes the start of Channel Move Time upon the end of the last Radar burst. T1 denotes the data transmission time of 200ms from T0.

T2 denotes the end of Channel Move Time.

T3 denotes the 10 second from T0 to observe the aggregate duration of transmissions.








Report No.: BTL-FCCP-2-1607C287








6.5 STATISTICAL PERFORMANCE CHECK Test Precedure

Master device and client device are set up by conduction method as the following configuration.
The client device is connected to notebook and to access a IP address on wireless connection with the master device.


3. Then the master device is connected to another notebook to access a IP address.

4. Finally, let the two IP addresses run traffic with each other through the Run flow software "Lan test" to reach 17% channel loading as below

Setup



Channel Loading



Report No.: BTL-FCCP-2-1607C287



TX (11a Mode)

Table 1: Short Pulse Radar Test Waveforms.

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (µsec)	Number of Pulses	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
1	1	Test A: 15 unique PRI values randomly selected from the list of 23 PRI values in Table 5a Test B: 15 unique PRI values randomly selected within the range of 518-3066 µsec, with a minimum increment of 1 µsec, excluding PRI values selected in Test A	$\frac{\text{Roundup} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{360} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{19 \cdot 10^6}{\text{PRI}_{\mu vec}} \end{pmatrix} \right\}}{\left(\frac{19 \cdot 10^6}{\text{PRI}_{\mu vec}} \right)}$	26	4	87
2	1-5	150-230	23-29	27	3	90
3	6-10	200-500	16-18	26	4	87
4	11-20	200-500	12-16	27	3	90
Aggreg	ate (Radar Type	es 1-4)	-	106	14	88

Table 2: Long Pulse Radar Test Waveform

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	Chirp Width (MHz)	PRI (µsec)	Number of Pulses Per Burst	Number of Bursts	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
5	50-100	5-20	1000-2000	1-3	8-20	26	4	87

Table 3: Frequency Hopping Radar Test Waveform

Rad ar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (µsec)	Pulses per Hop	Hopping Rate (kHz)	Hopping Sequence Length (msec)	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
6	1	333	9	0.333	300	26	4	87



TX (11n 40MHz Mode)

Table 1: Short Pulse Radar Test Waveforms.

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (µsec)	Number of Pulses	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
1	1	Test A: 15 unique PRI values randomly selected from the list of 23 PRI values in Table 5a Test B: 15 unique PRI values randomly selected within the range of 518-3066 µsec, with a minimum increment of 1 µsec, excluding PRI values selected in Test A	$\underline{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Roundup} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} } \left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{360} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left(\frac{19 \cdot 10^{6}}{\text{PRI}_{\text{pose}}} \right) \right]$	26	4	87
2	1-5	150-230	23-29	27	3	90
3	6-10	200-500	16-18	27	3	90
4	11-20	200-500	12-16	27	3	90
Aggreg	ate (Radar Type	es 1-4)	-	107	13	89

Table 2: Long Pulse Radar Test Waveform

Rad ar Type	Pulse Width (μsec)	PRI (μsec)	Pulses per Hop	Hopping Rate (kHz)	Hopping Sequence Length (msec)	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
5	1	333	9	0.333	300	27	3	90

Table 3: Frequency Hopping Radar Test Waveform

Rad ar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (µsec)	Pulses per Hop	Hopping Rate (kHz)	Hopping Sequence Length (msec)	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
6	1	333	9	0.333	300	28	2	93



TX (11ac 80MHz Mode)

Table 1: Short Pulse F	Radar Test Waveforms.

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (µsec)	Number of Pulses	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
1	1	Test A: 15 unique PRI values randomly selected from the list of 23 PRI values in Table 5a Test B: 15 unique PRI values randomly selected within the range of 518-3066 µsec, with a minimum increment of 1 µsec, excluding PRI values selected in Test A	$\underline{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Roundup} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} } \left(\left(\frac{1}{360} \right) \cdot \\ \left(\frac{19 \cdot 10^6}{\text{PRI}_{\mu ose}} \right) \right) \end{array}$	27	3	90
2	1-5	150-230	23-29	27	3	90
3	6-10	200-500	16-18	26	4	87
4	11-20	200-500	12-16	26	4	87
Aggreg	ate (Radar Type	_	106	14	88	

Table 2: Long Pulse Radar Test Waveform

Radar Type	Pulse Width (μsec)	Chirp Width (MHz)	PRI (µsec)	Numberof Pulses PerBurst	Number of Bursts	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
5	50-100	5-20	1000-2000	1-3	8-20	26	4	87

Table 3: Frequency Hopping Radar Test Waveform

Radar Type	Pulse Width (µsec)	PRI (µsec)	Pulses per Hop	Hopping Rate (kHz)	Hopping Sequence Length (msec)	Pass times	Fail times	Percentage of Successful Detection (%)
6	1	333	9	0.333	300	27	3	90



6.6 NON- OCCUPANCY PERIOD

During the 30 minutes observation time, UUT did not make any transmissions on a channel after a radar signal was detected on that channel by either the Channel Availability Check or the In-Service Monitoring.

