

## dward

---

**To:** fcc-ic@nokia.com  
**Cc:** customerservice@atcb.com; Thomas.Reitmayer@nokia.com  
**Subject:** www.AmericanTCB.com ATCB006773 | QFXRM-350 | 661Z - RM350 | | QFXRM-350  
\_ATCB006773

Regarding [www.AmericanTCB.com](http://www.AmericanTCB.com) application:

ATCB ID: ATCB006773

FCC ID: QFXRM-350

IC: 661Z - RM350

TCF:

Account name: NokiaFCC

Hi Thomas

Please address the following issues:

- 1 In the FM tx report you show the same resolution bandwidth and video bandwidth for both peak and average readings. Please explain.
- 2 Please note that the resolution band width for radiated measurements of a part 15 device are to be 1MHz res bw and a video bandwidth 3 times the res bw. Your report says the res bw for peak was 120kHz. Please explain why the required res bw from ANSI C63.4 was not used.
- 3 Please note that the same issue exists with spurious emissions data. Please correct as necessary to show proper analyzer/receiver settings per ANSI C63.4.
- 4 What was the modulation source and frequency for the FM tx Occupied Bandwidth data?
- 5 You have several M3 level HAC ratings in your test data. This would indicate that the overall rating is M3 not M4. Please correct.