

Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C.
1300 North 17th Street 11th floor
Arlington VA 22209
703-812-0400 (voice)
703-812-0486 (fax)

MITCHELL LAZARUS
703-812-0440
LAZARUS@FHHLAW.COM

October 2, 2009

Mr. Julius Knapp
Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington DC 20554

Re: Certification Application FCC ID QF7MINISIR

Dear Mr. Knapp:

The above-referenced application, filed by Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc., seeks certification of a ground-penetrating radar (GPR) device. The application shows compliance with the Commission's Rules and does not require a waiver.

We request that certain photographs showing the interior of the device be withheld from public disclosure. The Commission has previously granted confidentiality under similar conditions to the following applications:

| | |
|------------------|----------|
| FCC ID QF750400 | EA640311 |
| FCC ID QF762000 | EA291754 |
| FCC ID QF75103A | EA870041 |
| FCC ID QLA250MHZ | EA586775 |
| FCC ID QLAMID | EA364767 |
| FCC ID QLA500MHZ | EA369105 |
| FCC ID QLA800MHZ | EA813498 |

FACTUAL BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY REQUEST

Ordinarily the Commission denies confidentiality to photographs of a device on the ground that the information they contain is freely available to a competitor, simply by purchasing the device and (if necessary) unscrewing the cover.

The device in question is different. The interior is specifically sealed after final assembly and test, and its internal appearance is inaccessible to the purchaser, or to GSSI personnel.

To gain access to the views shown in the interior photographs, a competitor would have to purchase the device and then carry out the following steps:

1. Use a drill to dismantle all the fasteners securing the enclosure parts. (A competitor has no way of knowing the correct drill size, or where to begin dismantling. Use of the wrong drill, or starting with the wrong fasteners, will require replacing several custom molded mechanical parts.)
2. Destroy the custom seals and gaskets of the assembled housing. At least one screw that holds the housing together will be epoxied in place. During disassembly, a key custom component part is destroyed and must be replaced to allow re-assembly.
3. A competitor will not have access to the required replacement parts or the correct fixtures to be able to rebuild the transducer. Certain internal components require assembly using custom GSSI designed fixtures which are not available to competitors or customers.
4. Complete disassembly to remove all pcbs would require de-soldering specific electrical connections inside the transducer which also require custom fixtures for reassembly.

GSSI has never released instructions on how to disassemble its units, and does not answer questions on how to do so. This information is kept internal to the company. If a unit arrives at the company's repair facility showing evidence of such tampering, the company does not repair it, but charges the customer for a new unit plus freight costs.

The Commission's posting of the photographs would allow a competitor to bypass this difficult and expensive disassembly. From the photographs, a competitor can estimate:

1. the costs of manufacturing the breadboards and mechanical housing;
2. the man-hours required to assemble the device;
3. any compatibility problems the manufacturer will have in designing new systems;
4. the age of the electronic design (which gives valuable competitive information on upgrade and R&D efforts); and
5. how to create certain unique elements which GSSI has developed which provide both functional and cost advantages for GSSI.

Access to interior photographs would almost permit a competitor to conduct a complete reverse engineering, to the point of producing a schematic.

We respectfully submit that manufacturers should not be required to hand over to competitors the fruits of years of expensive engineering.

LEGAL BASIS FOR REQUEST

The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) protects from disclosure "commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential."¹ Information is confidential if it is "the kind of information 'that would customarily not be released to the public by the person from whom it was obtained,'"² and would cause "substantial harm to the competitive position of the person from whom the information was obtained."³

The D.C. Circuit in *Worthington Compressors* addressed the specific issue underlying the present request: the "additional wrinkle that the requested information is available, *at some cost*, from an additional source."⁴ Here, of course, the "additional source" is the acquisition and destruction of a specimen unit, and the cost is that of repairing or replacing it following access to the interior.

According to the *Worthington* court, availability of the information through alternate sources triggers two additional inquiries: (1) the *commercial value* of the information, and (2) the *cost of acquiring* the information through the other means.⁵ The court acknowledges that the submitting party can suffer competitive harm if the information has commercial value to competitors.⁶ That is the case here. As explained above, the interior photographs disclose a great deal of expensive (and proprietary) engineering.

Once commercial value is established, the court turns next to the cost of acquiring the information by means other than agency disclosure. If competitors "can acquire the information [by other means] only at considerable cost, agency disclosure may well benefit the competitors at the expense of the submitter."⁷

¹ 5 U.S.C. Sec. 552(b)(4).

² *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. NASA*, 180 F.3d 303, 304-05 (D.C. Cir. 1999), *quoting Critical Mass Energy Project v. NRC*, 975 F.2d 871, 879 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (en banc). *See also National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765, 770 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

³ *Worthington Compressors, Inc., v. Costle*, 662 F.2d 45, 51 (D.C. Cir. 1981), *citing National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765, 770 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

⁴ *Id.* (italics in original).

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *Id.*

The court goes on to note that competitors may get "quite a bargain" and a "potential windfall" if they can acquire hard-won proprietary information at FOIA retrieval costs.⁸ (Here, of course, a competitor need not even file and prosecute a FOIA request, but can simply download the material from the Commission's website at no cost whatsoever.) Said the court: "Such bargains could easily have competitive consequences not contemplated as part of FOIA's principal aim of promoting openness in government."⁹

A competitor's cost of acquiring the interior photographs, if they are not available on the Commission's website, amounts to the retail cost of a GPR unit. In the case of GSSI's products, this is typically in the range \$5000 to \$17,000. Although perhaps not a great deal of money in absolute terms, this is still a significant expenditure for a small company -- and all GPR manufacturers are small companies. It far exceeds the cost of a download from the Internet. That alone should warrant protection from disclosure.

CONCLUSION

Federal case law protects information submitted to an agency and (1) withheld from the public; (2) capable of causing substantial competitive harm to the submitter; and (3) expensive to acquire by other means. Unlike many other product photographs, the interior photographs of Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. GPR devices meet all of these criteria, and so are entitled to protection against public disclosure.

Procedural note. Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. does not request a final ruling on the issue at this time. We ask only that the Commission refrain from posting the photographs on its website, and defer further action pursuant to Section 0.459(d)(1), unless and until the Commission receives a properly framed request for inspection of the photographs.

Respectfully submitted,

Mitchell Lazarus
FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, P.L.C.
1300 North 17th Street, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22209
703-812-0440
Counsel for Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc.

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ *Id.* (citation footnote omitted)