

SAR Evaluation Report

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF FCC OET BULLETIN 65 SUPPLEMENT C IC RSS 102 ISSUE 2 : NOVERMBER 2005

FOR

802.11ag/Draft 802.11n WLAN PCI-E Mini Card INSTALLED IN DELL PACINO PP31L

MODEL: BCM943322HM8L

FCC ID: QDS-BRCM1031 IC: 4324A-BRCM1031

REPORT NUMBER: 08U11720-8

ISSUE DATE: MAY 7, 2008

Prepared for

BROADCOM CORPORATION 190 MATHILDA PLACE SUNNYVALE, CA 94086

Prepared by

COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES 47173 BENICIA STREET, FREMONT, CA 94538 USA

R

NVLAP LAB CODE 200065-0

REPORT	NO: 08U11720-8	DATE: May 7, 2008	FCC ID: QDS-BRCM1031
Revision I	History		
Rev.	Issued date	Revisions	Revised By
	May 7, 2008	Initial issue	Sunny Shih

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

DA	ATES OF TEST: April 28 th , May 2 nd and 3 rd 2008
APPLICANT:	BROADCOM CORPORATION
ADDRESS:	190 MATHILDA PLACE
	SUNNYVALE, CA 94086
FCC ID:	QDS-BRCM1031
MODEL:	BCM943322HM8L
DEVICE CATEGORY:	Portable Device
EXPOSURE CATEGORY:	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure

802.11ag/Draft 802.11n WLAN PCI-E Mini Card is installed in Dell Pacino PP31L						
Test Sample is a:	Production unit					
Modulation type:		l Spectrum (DSSS) for 802.11b Division Multiplexing (OFDM) for 802.11agn				
Rule Parts	Frequency Range [MHz]	The Highest SAR Values [1g_mW/g]				
FCC 15.247	2400 - 2483.5 5725 - 5850	0.091 0.722				
FCC 15.407	5180 - 5260 5260 - 5320 5470 - 5725	0.151 0.353 0.681				

Testing has been carried out in accordance with:

47CFR §2.1093 - Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices

FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01) - Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields

RSS-102 - Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with Respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields

IEEE 1528_2003 - IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques

Note: The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein. This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by Compliance Certification Services and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section. Any alteration of this document not carried out by Compliance Certification Services will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

Approved & Released For CCS By:

Tested By:

Sunay Shih

Hsin Fu Shih Engineering Supervisor Compliance Certification Services

Jonathan King

Jonathan King EMC Engineer Compliance Certification Services

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	DE۱	VICE UNDER TEST (DUT) DESCRIPTION	5
2	FAC	CILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	6
3	SYS	STEM DESCRIPTION	7
	3.1	COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	8
4	SIM	IULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK	9
	4.1	SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT	10
5	SYS	STEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	15
	5.1	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS	16
6	SAF	R MEASURMENT PROCEDURE	17
	6.1	DASY4 SAR MEASURMENT PROCEDURE	18
7	PR	OCEDURE USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL	19
8	SAF	R MEASURMENT RESULTS	20
	8.1	2.4 GHZ BANDS – ACON ANTENNA	20
	8.2	2.4 GHZ BANDS – AMPHENOL ANTENNA	21
	8.3	5 GHZ BANDS – AMPHENOL ANTENNA	22
	8.4	5 GHZ BANDS – ACON ANTENNA	23
9	ME	ASURMENT UNCERTAINTY	24
	9.1	MEASURMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHZ – 3000 MHZ	24
10	EQI	UIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	25
11	ATT	FACHMENTS	26
12	PHO	OTOS	27

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT) DESCRIPTION

802.11ag/Draft 802.11	n WLAN PCI-E Mini Card is installed in Dell Pacino PP31L
Normal operation:	Lap-held position
Duty cycle:	802.11b mode: 97%
	802.11agn mode: 91%
Host Device(s):	Manufacturer: Dell, Model: PP31L
Antenna(s)	See table below
Power supply:	Power supplied through the laptop computer (host device).

AVAILABLE ANTENNAS:

Antenna tested	Manufacture	Model
	Advance-Connectek, Inc (ACON)	AMP8P-700047
	Amphenol	QT0932-11-001-R (Tx1-2) & QT0932-11-004- R (Tx3)

2 FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION


The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at 47173 Benicia Street, Fremont, CA 94538 USA. The sites are constructed in conformance with the requirements of ANSI C63.4, ANSI C63.7 and CISPR Publication 22. All receiving equipment conforms to CISPR Publication 16-1, "Radio Interference Measuring Apparatus and Measurement Methods."



CCS is accredited by NVLAP, Laboratory Code 200065-0. The full scope of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.ccsemc.com.

No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

3 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION



The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote controls with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

3.1 COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS


The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)										
(% by weight)	45	50	83	35	· 9′	15	19	00	24	50	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2	
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04	
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0	
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0	
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7	
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5	
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78	

Salt: 99+% Pure Sodium ChlorideSugar: 98+% Pure SucroseWater: De-ionized, 16 MΩ+ resistivityHEC: Hydroxyethyl CelluloseDGBE: 99+% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

4 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within \pm 5% of the values given in the table below.



Set-up for liquid parameters check

Reference Values of Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantom (for 150 – 3000 MHz and 5800 MHz)

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in IEEE Standard 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE Standard 1528.

Target Frequency (MHz)	He	ad	Bo	dy
raiget requency (Miriz)	ε _r	σ (S/m)	ε _r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(ε_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

4.1 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameter Check Result @ Muscle 2450 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 25°C; Relative humidity = 30%

Measured by: Ekta Budhbhatti

S	imulating Lie	quid			Parameters	Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)			Parameters	Ivieasureu		Deviation (%)	LIIIII (70)
2450	24	15	e'	50.6529	Relative Permittivity (ε_r):	50.6529	52.7	-3.88	± 5
2430	27	10	e"	14.8265	Conductivity (o):	2.02080	1.95	3.63	± 5
Liquid Ch	leck								
	•		g. (C; Liquid	temperature: 23 deg.	. C			
April 28, 2									
frequency		e'			e"				
2400000				43	14.6321				
2405000			.82	250	14.6401				
2410000			.80)26	14.6665				
2415000	000.000	50	.77	792	14.6795				
2420000	000.000	50	.77	705	14.7045				
2425000	000.000	50	.75	524	14.7153				
2430000	000.000	50	.73	846	14.7441				
2435000	000.000	50	.70)99	14.7669				
2440000	000.000	50	.70	800	14.7782				
2445000	000.000	50	.67	748	14.7993				
2450000	000.000	50	.65	529	14.8265				
2455000	000.000	50	.63	300	14.8406				
2460000	000.000	50	.60	88	14.8527				
2465000	000.000	50	.60)22	14.8764				
2470000	000.000	50	.59	916	14.8906				
2475000	000.000	50	.55	535	14.9240				
2480000				329	14.9324				
2485000	000.000	50	.51	49	14.9635				
2490000				910	14.9837				
2495000				740	15.0030				
2500000				156	15.0218				
The cond	uctivity (σ) can be	giv	en as:					
$\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_{\theta}$	e"=2πj	fɛ₀e"							
where f									
EO	= 8.854 *	* 10 ⁻¹²							

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameter Check Result @ Muscle 2450 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 35%

Measured by: Ekta Budhbhatti

S	imulating Lie	quid			Parameters	Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)			Falameters	Ivieasureu		Deviation (76)	LIIIII (70)
2450	23	15	e'	50.5022	Relative Permittivity (ε_r):	50.5022	52.7	-4.17	± 5
2400	20	10	e"	14.4772	Conductivity (σ):	1.97319	1.95	1.19	± 5
Liquid Ch	neck								
	•		g. (C; Liquid	temperature: 23 deg.	. C			
April 28, 2	2008 07:								
frequency		e'			e"				
2400000	000.000	50	.68	846	14.2777				
2405000	000.000	50	.66	674	14.2943				
2410000	000.000	50	.64	27	14.3163				
2415000	000.000	50	.63	816	14.3403				
2420000	000.000	50	.60	080	14.3686				
2425000	000.000	50	.58	860	14.3769				
2430000	000.000	50	.57	'19	14.4124				
2435000	000.000	50	.57	'15	14.4349				
2440000	000.000	50	.56	645	14.4366				
2445000	000.000	50	.52	290	14.4475				
2450000	000.000	50	.50	22	14.4772				
2455000	000.000	50	.50)13	14.5009				
2460000	000.000	50	.46	617	14.5285				
2465000	000.000	50	.44	56	14.5273				
2470000	000.000	50	.40)30	14.5517				
2475000	000.000	50	.39)23	14.5662				
2480000	000.000	50	.37	'81	14.5924				
2485000	000.000	50	.36	622	14.5992				
2490000	000.000	50	.33	849	14.6348				
2495000	000.000	50	.32	225	14.6556				
2500000	000.000	50	.30)11	14.6659				
The cond	luctivity (σ) can be	giv	en as:					
$\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_{\theta}$	e"=2πj	fε₀ e "							
where f									
EO	= 8.854 *	* 10 ⁻¹²							

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Result @ Muscle 5GHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 35%

	S	Simulating Li	quid			Parameters	Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)	1
	f(MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)	1		Faranelers	Medsureu		Deviation (70)	LIIII (70)	
Г	5000	23	45	e'	48.1376	Relative Permittivity (c,):	48.1376	49.0	-1.76	± 10	
	5200	23	15	e"	18.6122	Conductivity (σ):	5.38419	5.30	1.59	± 5	1
Liau	uid Che	ck									_
•			re [.] 24 dea	C	: Liquid	temperature: 23 deg	L C				
		08 03:10	-	. 0	, Liquiu		. 0				
	quency		e'			e"					
	0000000		49.4	41	32	17.6113					
	000000		49.3			17.7808					
	000000		49.1			17.7275					
	000000		49.			17.9450					
	000000		49.			17.9637					
	000000		48.			17.9985					
	000000		48.			18.1084					
	000000		48.			18.1145					
	000000		48.			18.2669					
	000000		48.			18.3069					
	000000		48.			18.4281					
	000000		48.4			18.5624					
	000000		48.			18.6122					
	000000		47.9			18.6825					
	000000		48.			18.6816					
	000000		47.			18.7573					
	000000		47.			18.8185					
	000000		47.			18.8852					
	000000		47.			18.9438					
	000000		47.4			19.1201					
	000000		47.			19.0304					
	000000		47.			19.2936					
	000000		47.			19.2930					
	000000		46.9			19.3463					
	000000		46.			19.3403					
	000000		46.			19.3230					
	000000		46.			19.5400					
	000000		40. 46.			19.3400					
	000000		46.			19.5864					
						19.0004					
) can be g	ive	ii as.						
		$= 2 \pi f$									
whe		target f * 8.854 * 1									
	с ₁ –	0.034	I V								

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Result @ Muscle 5GHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 35%

	S	Simulating Li	auid					Target		
	f(MHz)		Depth (cm)	1		Parameters	Measured	0	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
	5500	23	15	e'	47.5075	Relative Permittivity (ε_r):	47.5075	48.6	-2.25	± 10
	5500	23	15	e"	18.9438	Conductivity (σ):	5.79627	5.65	2.59	± 5
Lia	uid Che	ck								
			re: 24 deg	. C	; Liquid	temperature: 23 deg	. C			
		08 03:10								
Fre	equency		e'			e"				
46	0000000)0.	49.4	413	32	17.6113				
46	5000000	0.	49.	395	53	17.7808				
470	000000)0.	49.	234	46	17.7275				
47	5000000)0.	49.	088	36	17.9450				
480	000000)0.	49.	126	52	17.9637				
48	5000000)0.	48.	834	49	17.9985				
490	000000)0.	48.	884	49	18.1084				
49	5000000	0.	48.	654	45	18.1145				
50	000000	0.	48.	626	53	18.2669				
50	5000000)0.	48.	606	69	18.3069				
	000000		48.			18.4281				
51	5000000	0.	48.4	400	07	18.5624				
520	000000	0.	48.	137	76	18.6122				
52	5000000	0.	47.	998	32	18.6825				
530	000000	0.	48.	013	38	18.6816				
53	5000000	0.	47.	77	59	18.7573				
540	000000	0.	47.	794	43	18.8185				
54	5000000	0.	47.	626	58	18.8852				
55	000000	0.	47.	507	75	18.9438				
	5000000		47.4			19.1201				
	000000		47.			19.0304				
	5000000		47.			19.2936				
570	000000)0.	47.	17()5	19.1611				
	5000000		46.			19.3463				
58	000000	0.	46.	994	40	19.3256				
	5000000		46.			19.3843				
	000000		46.			19.5400				
	5000000		46.4			19.3973				
	000000		46.	374	46	19.5864				
Th	e condu	ctivity (o) can be g	ive	n as:					
σ=	= ωε _θ e'	$= 2 \pi f$	ε₀ e″							
wh		target f								
	E _{()} =	8.854 *	10 ⁻¹²							

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Result @ Muscle 5GHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 35%

		imulating Li	auid					Target			1
	f(MHz)		Depth (cm)	1		Parameters	Measured		Deviation (%)	Limit (%)	
	5800	23	15	e'	46.994	Relative Permittivity (ɛ,):	46.9940	48.2	-2.50	± 10	
	3600	23	15	e"	19.3256	Conductivity (σ):	6.23563	6.00	3.93	± 5	
Lia	uid Che	ck	•					•			-
			re: 24 deg	. C	; Liquid	temperature: 23 deg	. C				
		08 03:10			· •						
Fre	quency		e'			e"					
	000000		49.4	413	32	17.6113					
46	5000000	0.	49.	395	53	17.7808					
	000000		49.1			17.7275					
	5000000		49.	088	36	17.9450					
48	000000	0.	49.	126	52	17.9637					
48	5000000	0.	48.	834	49	17.9985					
49	000000	0.	48.	884	49	18.1084					
49	5000000	0.	48.	654	45	18.1145					
50	000000	0.	48.	626	53	18.2669					
50	5000000	0.	48.	606	69	18.3069					
510	000000	0.	48.	375	56	18.4281					
51	5000000	0.	48.4	400)7	18.5624					
520	000000	0.	48.	137	76	18.6122					
52	5000000	0.	47.	998	32	18.6825					
530	000000	0.	48.	013	38	18.6816					
53	5000000	0.	47.	77	59	18.7573					
540	000000	0.	47.	794	43	18.8185					
54	5000000	0.	47.	626	58	18.8852					
550	000000	0.	47.	507	75	18.9438					
55	5000000	0.	47.	476	58	19.1201					
56	000000	0.	47.	288	33	19.0304					
56	5000000	0.	47.	22	18	19.2936					
570	000000	0.	47.	17()5	19.1611					
57	5000000	0.	46.	907	76	19.3463					
58	000000	0.	46.	994	40	19.3256					
58	5000000	0.	46.	614	49	19.3843					
590	000000	0.	46.	757	75	19.5400					
59	5000000	0.	46.4	497	78	19.3973					
60	0000000	0.	46.	374	46	19.5864					
Th	e condu	ctivity (o) can be g	ive	n as:						
σ=	= ωε _θ e'	$= 2 \pi f$	ε₀ e″								
wh		target f *									
	E _{()} =	8.854 * 1	1012								

5 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$.

System Performance Check Measurement Conditions

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an Isotropic E-Field Probe EX3DV3-SN: 3551 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10 mm (above 1 GHz) and 15 mm (below 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15 mm was aligned with the dipole. For 5 GHz band - The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10 mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 5 x 5 x 7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration(dx=dy=7.5mm; dz=5mm).
 For 5 GHz band Special 8x8x8 fine cube was chosen for cube integration(dx=dy=4.3mm; dz=3mm)
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 4 mm.
 For 5 GHz band Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 2.0mm
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW±3%.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

In the table below, the numerical reference SAR values of a SPEAG validation dipoles placed below the flat phantom filled with body-tissue simulating liquid are given. The reference SAR values were calculated using the finite-difference time-domain method and the geometry parameters.

Dipole Type	Distance (mm)	Frequency (MHz)	SAR (1g) [W/kg]	SAR (10g) [W/kg]	SAR (peak) [W/kg]
D450V2	15	450	5.01	3.36	7.22
D835V2	15	835	9.71	6.38	14.1
D900V2	15	900	11.1	7.17	16.3
D1450V2	10	1450	29.6	16.6	49.8
D1800V2	10	1800	38.5	20.3	67.5
D1900V2	10	1900	39.8	20.8	69.6
D2000V2	10	2000	40.9	21.2	71.5
D2450V2	10	2450	51.2	23.7	97.6

Note: All SAR values normalized to 1 W forward power.

5.1 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS

System Validation Dipole: D2450V2 SN: 706

Date: April 28, 2008

Ambient Temperature = 25°C; Relative humidity = 30%

Measured by: Ekta Budhbhatti

Bod	y Simulating	g Liquid	SAP	(m W /g)	Normalize	Target	Deviation	Lim it
f(MHz)	Temp.(°C)	Depth (cm)	SAN	(11 10 /g)	to 1 W	Target	(%)	(%)
2450	24	15	1 g	12.60	50.4	51.2	-1.56	± 10
2430	24	15	10g	5.85	23.4	23.7	-1.27	± 10

Date: May 2, 2008

Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 35%

Measured by: Ekta Budhbhatti

Bod	y Simulating	g Liquid	SVD	(m W /g)	Normalize	Target	Deviation	L im it
f(MHz)	Temp.(°C)	Depth (cm)	SAN	(111 00 / g)	d to 1 W	Target	(%)	(%)
2450	23	15	1 g	13.00	52	51.2	1.56	± 10
2450	20	15	10g	5.99	23.96	23.7	1.10	± 10

System Validation Dipole: D5GHzV2 SN 1003

Date: May 3, 2008

Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 35%

Measured by: Jonathan King

Measured by: Jonathan King

Во	dy Simulating	Liquid	SVE	R (mW/g)	Normalized	Target	Deviation	Limit
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)	5Ar	(mw/g)	to 1 W	Taiyet	(%)	(%)
5200	23	15	1g	18.20	72.8	71.8	1.39	± 10
5200	23	10	10g	5.38	21.52	20.1	7.06	± 10

Date: May 3, 2008

Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 35%

Normalize Body Simulating Liquid Deviation Lim it SAR (mW/g) Target d (%) (%) f(MHz) Temp.(°C) Depth (cm) to 1 W 18.80 75.2 79.1 -4.93 1 g ± 10 5500 23 15 5.55 22.2 22.0 0.91 ± 10 10g

Date: May 3, 2008

Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 35%

Bod	y Simulating	g Liquid	SAR	(m W /g)	Normalize	Target	Deviation	Lim it
f(MHz)	Temp.(°C)	Depth (cm)	JAN	(111 VV / g)	a to 1 W	Taryet	(%)	(%)
5800	23	15	1 g	17.50	70	74.1	-5.53	± 10
3800	23	15	10g	5.15	20.6	20.5	0.49	± 10

6 SAR MEASURMENT PROCEDURE

A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

For 5 GHz band - The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 2.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

c) Around this point, a volume of X=Y= 30 and Z=24 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 9 mm points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:

For 5 GHz band - Around this point, a volume of X=Y=24 and Z=20 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 9 mm points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:

- (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
- (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
- (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
- (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

6.1 DASY4 SAR MEASURMENT PROCEDURE

Step 1: Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurements are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 2.1 mm. This distance cannot be smaller than the Distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties (for example, 1.2 mm for an EX3DV3 probe type).

Step 2: Area Scan

The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a fine measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maximal found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE Standard 1528, EN 50361 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan). If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

Step 3: Zoom Scan

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The Zoom Scan measures 7 x 7 x 9 points within a cube whose base faces are centered on the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 g and 10 g and displays these values next to the job's label.

For 5 GHz band – Same as above except the Zoom Scan measures 7 x 7 x 9 points.

Step 4: Power drift measurement

The Power Drift Measurement measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last Power Reference Measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

Step 5: Z-Scan

The Z Scan measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a onedimensional grid. In order to get a reasonable extrapolation, the extrapolated distance should not be larger than the step size in Z-direction.

7 PROCEDURE USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL

The following procedures had been used to prepare the EUT for the SAR test.

The client provided a special driver and program, w1_tools, which enable a user to control the frequency and output power of the module.

The cable assembly insertion loss of 20.3 dB (including attenuator and connectors) was entered as an offset in the power meter to allow for direct reading of power.

RF Conducted Output Power Measurement Results:

See Broadcom's Operational Description document for Average Power information.

8 SAR MEASURMENT RESULTS

8.1 2.4 GHZ BANDS – ACON ANTENNA

	802.11b (1Mb	ps) - Acon A 		Dowor Drift	Extranalated ¹⁾ CAD	
	Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)	
	6	2437	0.085	-0.315	0.091	
Notes:	T I I I I I I					
1)					R reported at the end of the m ne the SAR at the beginning o	
O,	measurement proces	S.				
2)	The SAR measured a mW/g), thus testing a			ation is at least 3 de	3 lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR	iimit (1.6
3)				a and plots showing	the maximum SAR location of	of the EUT.

8.2 2.4 GHZ BANDS – AMPHENOL ANTENNA

	802.11b (1Mb	ps) - Amphe	enol Antenna			
			Measured SAR		Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR	
	Channel 6	f (MHz) 2437	1g (mW/g) 0.075	(dB) 0.000	1g (mW/g) 0.075	
	0	2437	0.075	0.000	0.075	
Notes: 1)	The exact method of	extrapolation is	Measured SAR x 10 ⁴	(-drift/10) The SA	R reported at the end of the m	easurement
	process by the DASY	4 system can be			ne the SAR at the beginning o	
	measurement proces		annel for this configure	ation is at least 3 di	3 lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR	limit (1.6
	mW/g), thus testing a			ation is at least 3 u	o lower (0.0 mw/g) and t OAR	
				and plots showing	the maximum SAR location (of the FUT

8.3 **5 GHZ BANDS – AMPHENOL ANTENNA**

		Measured SAR	Power Drift	Extrapolatod ¹⁾ S
Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)		Extrapolated ¹⁾ SA
Channel 5.2 GHz - 80	2.11a mode	1g (mW/g) (6 <i>Mbps</i>)	(dB)	1g (mW/g)
5.2 GHz - 80 40	2.11a mode 5200	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.113		_
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80	2.11a mode 5200 2.11a mode	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.113 (6 Mbps)	(dB) 0.000	1g (mW/g) 0.113
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80 60	2.11a mode 5200 2.11a mode 5300	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.113 (6 Mbps) 0.227	(dB)	1g (mW/g)
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80 60 5.5 GHz - 80	2.11a mode 5200 2.11a mode 5300 2.11a mode	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.113 (6 Mbps) 0.227 (6 Mbps)	(dB) 0.000 0.000	1g (mW/g) 0.113 0.227
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80 60 5.5 GHz - 80 120	2.11a mode 5200 2.11a mode 5300 2.11a mode 5600	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.113 (6 Mbps) 0.227 (6 Mbps) 0.308	(dB) 0.000	1g (mW/g) 0.113
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80 60 5.5 GHz - 80	2.11a mode 5200 2.11a mode 5300 2.11a mode 5600	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.113 (6 Mbps) 0.227 (6 Mbps) 0.308	(dB) 0.000 0.000	1g (mW/g) 0.113 0.227

measurement process.

2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional. Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.

3)

8.4 5 GHZ BANDS – ACON ANTENNA

		Measured SAR	Power Drift	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR
Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
5.2 GHz - 80)2.11a mode	1g (mW/g) (6 <i>Mbps</i>)	(dB)	1g (mW/g)
5.2 GHz - 80 40	2.11a mode 5200	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.149		_
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80	2.11a mode 5200 2.11a mode	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.149 (6 Mbps)	(dB) -0.053	1g (mW/g) 0.151
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80 60	12.11a mode 5200 12.11a mode 5300	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.149 (6 Mbps) 0.353	(dB)	1g (mW/g)
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80 60	2.11a mode 5200 2.11a mode 5300 2.11a mode	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.149 (6 Mbps) 0.353 (6 Mbps)	(dB) -0.053 0.000	1g (mW/g) 0.151 0.353
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80 60	12.11a mode 5200 12.11a mode 5300	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.149 (6 Mbps) 0.353	(dB) -0.053	1g (mW/g) 0.151
5.2 GHz - 80 40 5.3 GHz - 80 60 5.5 GHz - 80 120	2.11a mode 5200 2.11a mode 5300 2.11a mode	1g (mW/g) (6 Mbps) 0.149 (6 Mbps) 0.353 (6 Mbps) 0.677	(dB) -0.053 0.000	1g (mW/g) 0.151 0.353

process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.

2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.

Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.

9 MEASURMENT UNCERTAINTY

9.1 MEASURMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHz - 3000 MHz

Uncortainty component	Tol. (±%)	Probe	Div.	$Ci(4\pi)$	Ci (10g)	Std. U	າc.(±%)
Uncertainty component	10I. (±%)	Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	CI (TUG)	Ui (1g)	Ui(10g)
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	4.80	Ν	1	1	1	4.80	4.80
Axial Isotropy	4.70	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	1.92	1.92
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	3.92	3.92
Boundary Effects	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Linearity	4.70	R	1.732	1	1	2.71	2.71
System Detection Limits	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	1.00	N	1	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response Time	0.80	R	1.732	1	1	0.46	0.46
Integration Time	2.60	R	1.732	1	1	1.50	1.50
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	1.59	R	1.732	1	1	0.92	0.92
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	0.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.00	0.00
Probe Positioner Mechnical Tolerance	0.40	R	1.732	1	1	0.23	0.23
Probe Positioning With Respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	R	1.732	1	1	1.67	1.67
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integration algorithms for							
max. SAR evaluation	3.90	R	1.732	1	1	2.25	2.25
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	1.10	Ν	1	1	1	1.10	1.10
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.60	Ν	1	1	1	3.60	3.60
Power and SAR Drift Measurement	5.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid Conductivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid Conductivity - Meas.	8.60	Ν	1	0.64	0.43	5.50	3.70
Liquid Permittivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid Permittivity - Meas.	3.30	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.98	1.62
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			11.44	10.49
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Interval)			K=2			22.87	20.98
Notesfor table	•						ā
1. Tol tolerance in influence quaitity							
2. N - Nomal							
3. R - Rectangular							
1 Div. Divisor used to obtain standard uncortainty							

4. Div. - Divisor used to obtain standard uncertainty

5. Ci - is te sensitivity coefficient

10 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number		Cal.	Due date
Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	i ype/wodei	Senai Number	MM	DD	Year
Robot - Six Axes	Stäubli	RX90BL	N/A			N/A
Robot Remote Control	Stäubli	CS7MB	3403-91535			N/A
DASY4 Measurement Server	SPEAG	SEUMS001BA	1041			N/A
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	261			N/A
SAM Phantom (SAM1)	SPEAG	QD000P40CA	1185			N/A
SAM Phantom (SAM2)	SPEAG	QD000P40CA	1050			N/A
Oval Flat Phantom (ELI 4.0)	SPEAG	QD OVA001 B	1003			N/A
Electronic Probe kit	HP	85070C	N/A			N/A
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES-6	MY40001647	11	14	2008
E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3554	4	24	2008
Thermometer	ERTCO	639-1S	1718	8	30	2008
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	500	11	16	2008
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	748	4	14	2010
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1003	11	21	2009
Power Meter	HP	438B	3125U11347	10	18	2008
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42W	D072701-5			N/A
Simulating Liquid	CCS	M2450	N/A	Withir	n 24 h	irs of first test
Simulating Liquid	SPEAG	M5200-5800	N/A	Withir	n 24 h	rs of first test

11 ATTACHMENTS


No.	Contents	No. Of Pages
1	System Performance Check Plots	10
2	SAR Test Plots	11
3	Certificate of E-Field Probe - EX3DV4SN3554	10
4	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D2450 SN:706	9
5	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D5GHzV2 SN:1003	15

12 PHOTOS

EUT Front

EUT Back

EUT Location



END OF REPORT