

Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### **DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE** SAR RF EXPOSURE EVALUATION

#### **Test Lab**

**CELLTECH LABS INC.** 

**Testing and Engineering Services** 

1955 Moss Court Kelowna, B.C. Canada V1Y 9L3 Phone: 250-448-7047

250-448-7046 Fax: e-mail: info@celltechlabs.com

web site: www.celltechlabs.com

**Applicant Information** 

Northfield Telecommunications. Inc. d/b/a Advanced Wireless Communications

20809 Kensington Blvd Lakeville, MN 55044

**FCC IDENTIFIER:** Q9S07161688P IC IDENTIFIER: 4651A-AWR1688P

Model(s): AWR1688+

FCC 47 CFR §2.1093; IC RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) Rule Part(s): Test Procedure(s): FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) **Device Classification: Licensed Non-Broadcast Transmitter Held to Face (TNF)** 

Portable FM UHF PTT Radio Transceiver **Device Type:** 

Modulation: FM (UHF) Tx Frequency Range: 461 - 470 MHz Max. RF Output Power Tested: 0.971 Watts (ERP) Antenna Type(s) Tested: **Fixed Stubby** 

NiCd (3.6 V, 800 mAh), Alkaline 1.5 V AA x3 **Battery Type(s) Tested:** Alkaline Type 1: Duracell Procell (2850 mAh) Alkaline Type 2: Energizer E91 (2850 mAh)

Plastic Belt-Clip with Metal Spring (P/N: 420855203393) **Body-Worn Accessories:** 

> Ear-Bud Microphone (P/N: 420855203041) Ear-Loop Microphone (P/N: 420855203065)

Face-held: 0.839 W/kg (50% Duty Cycle) Max. SAR Levels Evaluated: Body-worn: 0.970 W/kg (50% Duty Cycle)

Celltech Labs Inc. declares under its sole responsibility that this wireless portable device has demonstrated compliance with the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 and Health Canada's Safety Code 6. The device was tested in accordance with the measurement standards and procedures specified in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) and Industry Canada RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) for the Occupational / Controlled Exposure environment. All measurements were performed in accordance with the SAR system manufacturer recommendations.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

This test report shall not be reproduced partially, or in full, without the prior written approval of Celltech Labs Inc. The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

Performed By:

Reviewed Bv:

Spencer Watson

**Compliance Technologist** Celltech Labs Inc.

Joenes Watson

Russell W. Pipe

**Senior Compliance Technologist** 

Celltech Labs Inc.

© 2004 Celltech Labs Inc. 1 of 20

W. Pupe



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

TABLE OF CONTENTS						
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3				
2.0	DESCRIPTION OF DUT	3				
3.0	SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	4				
4.0	MEASUREMENT SUMMARY	5				
5.0	DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION	6				
6.0	EVALUATION PROCEDURES	6				
	OVOTEN REPEORMANCE OUTOK					
7.0	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	7				
8.0	SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES	8				
0.0	SIMULATED EQUIVALENT 11550E5	0				
9.0	SAR SAFETY LIMITS	8				
3.0	SAR SALETT EIWITS.	0				
10.0	ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	9				
10.0						
11.0	PROBE SPECIFICATION (ET3DV6)	10				
	,					
12.0	PLANAR PHANTOM	10				
13.0	VALIDATION PHANTOM	10				
14.0	DEVICE HOLDER	10				
15.0	TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	11				
16.0	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES	12-13				
47.0	PETERENATA	44				
17.0	REFERENCES	14				
ADDENIC	DIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA	15				
	DIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA	16				
	DIX C - SYSTEM VALIDATION PROCEDURES	17				
	DIX D - PROBE CALIBRATION	18				
	DIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS	19				
	DIX F - SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	20				

© 2004 Celltech Labs Inc. 2 of 20



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This measurement report demonstrates compliance of the Advanced Wireless Communications Model: AWR1688+ Portable UHF PTT Radio Transceiver FCC ID: Q9S07161688P with the SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 (see reference [1]), and Health Canada's Safety Code 6 (see reference [2]) for the Occupational / Controlled Exposure environment. The measurement procedures described in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) (see reference [3]) and IC RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) (see reference [4]), were employed. A description of the product, operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, and the various provisions of the rules are included within this test report.

# 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)

FCC Rule Part(s)		47 CFR §2.1	093				
IC Rule Part(s)	F	RSS-102 Issue 1 (F	Provisional)				
Test Procedure(s)	FCC OE	T Bulletin 65, Sup	plement C (01-01)				
Device Type	Porta	able UHF PTT Rad	dio Transceiver				
FCC IDENTIFIER		Q9S071616	88P				
IC IDENTIFIER	4651A-AWR1688P						
Model(s)	AWR1688+						
Serial No.	04625C0001 Production Ur						
Modulation	FM (UHF)						
Tx Frequency Range		461 - 470 N	1Hz				
Max. RF Output Power Tested	0.971 Wat	ts (ERP)	466.0375 MHz				
Antenna Type(s) Tested		Fixed Stub	by				
	NiCd	3.6 V	800 mAh				
Battery Type(s) Tested	Alkaline AA (x3)	1.5 V	Duracell Procell 2850 mAh				
	Alkaline AA (X3)	1.5 V	Energizer E91 2850 mAh				
	Plastic Belt-Clip w	ith Metal Spring	P/N: 420855203393				
Body-Worn Accessories Tested	Ear-Bud Mid	P/N: 420855203041					
	Ear-Loop Mi	crophone	P/N: 420855203065				



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

#### 3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Celltech Labs Inc. SAR measurement facility utilizes the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY4 measurement system is comprised of the measurement server, robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, specific anthropomorphic mannequin (SAM) phantom, and various planar phantoms for brain and/or body SAR evaluations. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electrooptical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the DASY4 measurement server. The DAE4 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the DASY4 measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. The sensor systems are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



DASY4 SAR Measurement System with validation phantom



DASY4 SAR Measurement System with Plexiglas planar phantom



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

#### 4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

	SAR EVALUATION RESULTS												
Test	Freq.		Test	Battery	Antenna	Body-worn	Separation Distance	Start Power	Measured SAR 1g (W/kg)		SAR Drift	Scaled SAR 1g (W/kg)	
Туре	(MHz)	Chan	Mode	Туре	Position	Accessories	to Planar Phantom	ERP	Duty	Cycle	During Test	Duty Cycle	
							(cm)	(Watts)	100%	50%	(dB)	100%	50%
Face	466.0375	Mid	CW	NiCd	Fixed		2.5	0.971	1.27	0.635	-1.21	1.68	0.839
Face	466.0375	Mid	CW	Duracell Alkaline	Fixed		2.5	0.971	1.22	0.610	-0.914	1.51	0.753
Body	466.0375	Mid	CW	NiCd	Fixed	Ear-Bud Mic and Belt-Clip	0.7	0.971	1.39	0.695	-0.803	1.67	0.836
Body	466.0375	Mid	CW	Duracell Alkaline	Fixed	Ear-Bud Mic and Belt-Clip	0.7	0.971	1.59	0.795	-0.865	1.94	0.970
Body	466.0375	Mid	CW	NiCd	Fixed	Ear-Loop Mic and Belt-Clip	0.7	0.971	1.34	0.670	-0.665	1.56	0.781
Body	466.0375	Mid	CW	Duracell Alkaline	Fixed	Ear-Loop Mic and Belt-Clip	0.7	0.971	1.22	0.610	-0.798	1.47	0.733
Body	466.0375	Mid	CW	Energizer Alkaline	Fixed	Ear-Bud Mic and Belt-Clip	0.7	0.971	1.42	0.710	-1.12	1.84	0.919

#### ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1999 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak - Controlled Exposure / Occupational BRAIN / BODY: 8.0 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)

Dielectric	450 MHz Brain			450 MHz Body			Atmospheric Pressure	Brain	102.8	Body	102.7	kPa
Constant	IEEE Target Measured		IEEE Target		Measured	Relative Humidity	Brain	43				
ε <sub>r</sub>	43.5	<u>+</u> 5%	44.2	56.7	<u>+</u> 5%	57.9	Ambient Temperature	Brain	23.7	Body	24.0	°C
	450 MHz Brain			450 MHz Body			Fluid Temperature	Brain	23.1	Body	22.6	°C
Conductivity σ (mho/m)	IEEE .	Target	Measured	IEEE '	Target	Measured	Fluid Depth	Brain	≥ 15	Body	≥ 15	cm
	0.87	<u>+</u> 5%	0.90	0.94	<u>+</u> 5%	0.96	ρ ( <b>Kg</b> /m³)	Brain	1000	Body	100	)0

#### Note(s):

- 1. The measurement results were obtained with the DUT tested in the conditions described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the DUT are reported in Appendix A.
- 2. The transmission band of the DUT is less than 10 MHz, therefore mid channel data only is reported (per FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01 see reference [3]).
- The DUT was evaluated for SAR with Duracell Procell alkaline batteries. To report a SAR comparison between alternate alkaline battery types, the maximum SAR level configuration evaluated with Duracell Procell alkaline batteries was repeated using Energizer E91 alkaline batteries.
- The power drifts measured by the DASY4 system for the duration of the SAR evaluations were added to the measured SAR levels to report scaled SAR results as shown in the above table.
- A SAR-versus-Time power drift evaluation was performed in the test configuration that reported the maximumscaled SAR level (body-worn, Duracell Procell alkaline batteries, and ear-bud microphone audio accessory). See Appendix A (SAR Test Plots) for SAR-versus-Time power drift evaluation plot.
- The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the SAR evaluation. The temperatures reported were consistent for all measurement periods.
- The dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue mixtures were measured prior to the evaluation using an HP 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an HP 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters).
- The SAR evaluations were performed within 24 hours of the system performance check.



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

#### 5.0 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION

The Advanced Wireless Communications Model: AWR1688+ Portable UHF PTT Radio Transceiver FCC ID: Q9S07161688P was compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate (Occupational / Controlled Exposure) based on the test provisions and conditions described below. The detailed test setup photographs are shown in Appendix F.

- The DUT was evaluated in a face-held configuration with the front of the radio placed parallel to the outer surface
  of the planar phantom. A 2.5 cm separation distance was maintained between the front side of the DUT and the
  outer surface of the planar phantom for the duration of the tests.
- 2. The DUT was evaluated in a body-worn configuration with the back of the radio placed parallel to the outer surface of the planar phantom. The attached belt-clip accessory was touching the planar phantom and provided a 0.7 cm separation distance between the back of the DUT and the outer surface of the planar phantom. The DUT was tested for body-worn SAR with the ear-bud microphone and the ear-loop microphone accessories consecutively.
- 3. The conducted output power of the DUT could not be measured for the SAR evaluation due to a non-detachable antenna. The DUT was evaluated for SAR at the maximum conducted power level set by the manufacturer.
- 4. The DUT was evaluated for SAR at the maximum ERP level measured prior to the SAR evaluation on a 3-meter Open Area Test Site using the signal substitution method in accordance with ANSI/TIA-603-B-2002 (see reference [6]).
- 5. The power drifts measured by the DASY4 system during the SAR evaluations were added to the measured SAR levels to report scaled SAR results as shown in the test data table (page 5).
- 6. A SAR-versus-Time power drift evaluation was performed in the test configuration that reported the maximum scaled SAR level. See Appendix A (SAR Test Plots) for SAR-versus-Time power drift evaluation plot.
- 7. The area scan evaluation was performed with fully charged batteries. After the area scan was completed the radio was cooled down to room temperature and the batteries were replaced with fully charged batteries prior to the zoom scan evaluation.
- 8. The DUT was tested in unmodulated continuous transmit operation (Continuous Wave mode at 100% duty cycle) with the transmit key constantly depressed. For a push-to-talk device the 50% duty cycle compensation reported assumes a transmit/receive cycle of equal time base.
- 9. The SAR evaluations were performed using a Plexiglas planar phantom.
- 10. A stack of low-density, low-loss dielectric foamed polystyrene was used in place of the device holder.

#### **6.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES**

- a. (i) The evaluation was performed in the applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices held to the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated using the SAM phantom.
  - (ii) For body-worn and face-held devices a planar phantom was used.
- b. The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY4 software. Upon completion of a reference and optical surface check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 15mm x 15mm.

#### An area scan was determined as follows:

- c. Based on the defined area scan grid, a more detailed grid is created to increase the points by a factor of 10. The interpolation function then evaluates all field values between corresponding measurement points.
- d. A linear search is applied to find all the candidate maxima. Subsequently, all maxima are removed that are >2 dB from the global maximum. The remaining maxima are then used to position the cube scans.

#### A 1g and 10g spatial peak SAR was determined as follows:

- e. Extrapolation is used to find the points between the dipole center of the probe and the surface of the phantom. This data cannot be measured, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away form the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.4 mm (see probe calibration document in Appendix D). The extrapolation was based on trivariate quadratics computed from the previously calculated 3D interpolated points nearest the phantom surface.
- f. Interpolated data is used to calculate the average SAR over 1g and 10g cubes by spatially discretizing the entire measured cube. The volume used to determine the averaged SAR is a 1mm grid (42875 interpolated points).
- g. A zoom scan volume of 32 mm x 32 mm x 30 mm (5 x 5 x 7 points) centered at the peak SAR location determined from the area scan is used for all zoom scans for devices with a transmit frequency < 800 MHz. Zoom scans for frequencies ≥ 800 MHz are determined with a scan volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm (7 x 7 x 7) to ensure complete capture of the peak spatial-average SAR.



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### 7.0 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Prior to the SAR evaluation a system check was performed using a planar phantom with a 450MHz dipole (see Appendix C for system validation procedure). The dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue mixture were measured prior to the system performance check using an HP 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an HP 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters). A forward power of 250mW was applied to the dipole and the system was verified to a tolerance of ±10% (see Appendix B for system performance check test plot).

	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK												
lest Fauly	450MHz Equiv.	SAR 1g (W/kg)		Dielectric Constant ε <sub>r</sub>		Conductivity σ (mho/m)		ρ	Amb. Temp.	Fluid Temp.	Fluid Depth	Humid.	Barom. Press.
Date	Tissue	IEEE Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured	(Kg/m³)	(°C)	(°C)	(cm)	(%)	(kPa)
08/10/04	Brain	1.23 (±10%)	1.33 (+8.1%)	43.5 ±5%	44.2	0.87 ±5%	0.90	1000	24.0	23.1	≥ 15	41	103.0

#### Note(s):

<sup>1.</sup> The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the system performance check. The temperatures reported in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.




Figure 1. System Performance Check Setup Diagram



450MHz Dipole Setup



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### 8.0 SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES

The 450MHz brain and body simulated tissue mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution. Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to ensure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The fluid was prepared and measured for dielectric parameters (permittivity and conductivity) according to standardized procedures.

SIMULATED TISSUE MIXTURES			
INGREDIENT	450 MHz Brain 450 MHz Body System Check & DUT Evaluation DUT Evaluation		
Water	38.56 % 52.00 %		
Sugar	56.32 %	45.65 %	
Salt	3.95 % 1.75 %		
HEC	0.98 % 0.50 %		
Bactericide	0.19 %	0.10 %	

### 9.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)	4.0	20.0		

#### Notes:

- 1. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
- 2. Controlled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### 10.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

**Specifications** 

POSITIONER: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L

Repeatability: 0.02 mm

No. of axis: 6

**Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System** 

**Cell Controller** 

Processor: AMD Athlon XP 2400+

Clock Speed: 2.0 GHz

Operating System: Windows XP Professional

**Data Converter** 

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic

**Software:** DASY4 software

**Connecting Lines:** Optical downlink for data and status info.

Optical uplink for commands and clock

**DASY4 Measurement Server** 

Function: Real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection

**Hardware:** PC/104 166MHz Pentium CPU; 32 MB chipdisk; 64 MB RAM **Connections:** COM1, COM2, DAE, Robot, Ethernet, Service Interface

**E-Field Probe** 

Model: ET3DV6 Serial No.: 1590

**Construction:** Triangular core fiber optic detection system

Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz

**Linearity:**  $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB} (30 \text{ MHz to } 3 \text{ GHz})$ 

Phantom(s)

**Evaluation Phantom** 

Type: Planar Phantom Shell Material: Plexiglas

**Bottom Thickness:**  $2.0 \text{ mm} \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$ 

Outer Dimensions: 75.0 cm (L) x 22.5 cm (W) x 20.5 cm (H); Back Plane: 25.7 cm (H)

Validation Phantom (≤ 450MHz)

Type: Planar Phantom

Shell Material: Plexiglas

**Bottom Thickness:** 6.2 mm ± 0.1 mm

Outer Dimensions: 86.0 cm (L) x 39.5 cm (W) x 21.8 cm (H)



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### 11.0 PROBE SPECIFICATION (ET3DV6)

Construction: Symmetrical design with triangular core

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g. glycol)

Calibration: In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz

In brain simulating tissue at frequencies of 900 MHz

and 1.8 GHz (accuracy ± 8%)

Frequency: 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity:  $\pm$  0.2 dB

(30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity:  $\pm$  0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis)

 $\pm\,0.4$  dB in brain tissue (rotation normal to probe axis)

Dynamic Range: 5  $\mu$ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity:  $\pm$  0.2 dB

Surface Detection:  $\pm$  0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over

diffuse reflecting surfaces
Dimensions: Overall length: 330 mm

Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

Application: General dosimetry up to 3 GHz

Compliance tests of mobile phone



ET3DV6 E-Field Probe

#### 12.0 PLANAR PHANTOM

The planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 2.0 mm shell thickness for face-held and body-worn SAR evaluations of handheld radio transceivers. The planar phantom is mounted on the side of the DASY4 compact system table.



Plexiglas Planar Phantom

#### 13.0 VALIDATION PLANAR PHANTOM

The validation planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 6.0 mm shell thickness for system validations at 450MHz and below. The validation planar phantom is mounted in the table of the DASY4 compact system.



Validation Planar Phantom

#### 14.0 DEVICE HOLDER

The DASY4 device holder has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65°. The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections.



**Device Holder** 



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

# **15.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST**

TEST EQUIPMENT	SERIAL NO.	CALIBRATION DATE	
Schmid & Partner DASY4 System	-	-	
-DASY4 Measurement Server	1078	N/A	
-Robot	599396-01	N/A	
-DAE3	353	Dec 2003	
-DAE3	370	May 2004	
-ET3DV6 E-Field Probe	1387	Mar 2004	
-ET3DV6 E-Field Probe	1590	May 2004	
-300MHz Validation Dipole	135	Oct 2003	
-450MHz Validation Dipole	136	Nov 2003	
-835MHz Validation Dipole	411	Mar 2004	
-900MHz Validation Dipole	054	June 2004	
-1800MHz Validation Dipole	247	June 2004	
-1900 MHz Validation Dipole	151	June 2004	
-2450MHz Validation Dipole	150	Sept 2003	
-SAM Phantom V4.0C	1033	N/A	
-Barski Planar Phantom	03-01	N/A	
-Plexiglas Planar Phantom	161	N/A	
-Validation Planar Phantom	137	N/A	
HP 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit	ric Probe Kit N/A N/A		
Gigatronics 8651A Power Meter	8650137	April 2004	
Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter	1835267	April 2004	
Gigatronics 80701A Power Sensor	1833535	April 2004	
Gigatronics 80701A Power Sensor	1833542	April 2004	
Gigatronics 80701A Power Sensor	1834350	April 2004	
HP E4408B Spectrum Analyzer	US39240170	Dec 2003	
HP 8594E Spectrum Analyzer	3543A02721	April 2004	
HP 8753E Network Analyzer	US38433013	April 2004	
HP 8648D Signal Generator	3847A00611	April 2004	
Amplifier Research 5S1G4 Power Amplifier	26235	N/A	



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

# **16.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES**

UI	UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR DEVICE EVALUATION					
Error Description	Uncertainty Value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> 1g	Standard Uncertainty ±% (1g)	V <sub>i</sub> Or V <sub>eff</sub>
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 4.0	Normal	1	1	± 4.0	$\infty$
Axial isotropy of the probe	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	(1-c <sub>p</sub> )	± 1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	(C <sub>p</sub> )	± 3.9	$\infty$
Spatial resolution	± 0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.0	∞
Boundary effects	± 5.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 3.2	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7	∞
Detection limit	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6	∞
Readout electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5	∞
Integration time	± 1.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.8	∞
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7	∞
Mech. constraints of robot	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.2	∞
Probe positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7	∞
Extrapolation & integration	± 3.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device positioning	± 6.0	Normal	√3	1	± 6.7	12
Device holder uncertainty	± 5.0	Normal	√3	1	± 5.9	8
Power drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3		± 2.9	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	$\infty$
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	$\infty$
Combined Standard Uncertainty	1				± 13.03	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 26.07	

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE Standard 1528-2003 (see reference [5])



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

# **MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES (Cont.)**

UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR SYSTEM VALIDATION						
Error Description	Uncertainty Value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> 1g	Standard Uncertainty ±% (1g)	V <sub>i</sub> Or V <sub>eff</sub>
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 4.0	Normal	1	1	± 4.0	8
Axial isotropy of the probe	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	(1-c <sub>p</sub> )	± 1.9	8
Spherical isotropy of the probe	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	(C <sub>p</sub> )	± 3.9	8
Spatial resolution	± 0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.0	8
Boundary effects	± 5.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 3.2	8
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7	8
Detection limit	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6	8
Readout electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0	8
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5	8
Integration time	± 1.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.8	8
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7	8
Mech. constraints of robot	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.2	8
Probe positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7	8
Extrapolation & integration	± 3.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3	8
Dipole						
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	± 2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.2	8
Input Power	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7	8
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3	8
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	8
Liquid conductivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	8
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	8
Liquid permittivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	80
Combined Standard Uncertaint	y				± 9.58	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 19.16	

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE Standard 1528-2003 (see reference [5])



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

#### 17.0 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, "Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices", Rule Part 47 CFR §2.1093: 1999.
- [2] Health Canada, "Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz", Safety Code 6.
- [3] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01), FCC, Washington, D.C.: June 2001.
- [4] Industry Canada, "Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields", Radio Standards Specification RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional): September 1999.
- [5] IEEE Std 1528-2003, "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques".
- [6] ANSI/TIA-603-B, "Land Mobile FM or PM Communications Equipment Measurement and Performance Standards": November 2002.



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

# **APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA**



Date Tested: 08/10/04

#### Face-Held SAR - NiCd Battery

DUT: Advanced Wireless Model: AWR1688+; Type: Portable FM UHF PTT Radio Transceiver; Serial: 04625C0001

Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Fluid Temp: 23.1 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.8 kPa; Humidity: 43%

3.6 V, 800 mAh NiCd Battery Pack Communication System: FM UHF RF Output Power: 0.971 Watts (ERP) Frequency: 466.0375 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL450 ( $\sigma$  = 0.90 mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r$  = 44.2;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1590; ConvF(7.5, 7.5, 7.5); Calibrated: 24/05/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

#### Face-Held - 2.5 cm Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Area Scan (7x13x1):


Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

#### Face-Held - 2.5 cm Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Zoom Scan 2 (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 40 V/m; Power Drift = -1.21 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.96 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 1.27 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.891 mW/g





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### **Z-Axis Scan**





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

#### Face-Held SAR - Alkaline Batteries (Duracell Procell)

DUT: Advanced Wireless Model: AWR1688+; Type: Portable FM UHF PTT Radio Transceiver; Serial: 04625C0001

Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Fluid Temp: 23.1 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.8 kPa; Humidity: 43%

1.5 V 2850 mAh Duracell ProCell AA Alkaline Batteries (x3)

Communication System: FM UHF RF Output Power: 0.971 Watts (ERP) Frequency: 466.0375 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL450 ( $\sigma$  = 0.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 44.2;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1590; ConvF(7.5, 7.5, 7.5); Calibrated: 24/05/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

#### Face-Held - 2.5 cm Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Area Scan (7x13x1):


Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

#### Face-Held - 2.5 cm Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 38.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.914 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.88 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.22 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.862 mW/g





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### Body-Worn SAR - NiCd Battery - with Ear-Bud Microphone

DUT: Advanced Wireless Model: AWR1688+; Type: Portable FM UHF PTT Radio Transceiver; Serial: 04625C0001

Body-Worn Accessories: Belt-Clip (P/N: 420855203393), Ear-Bud Microphone (P/N: 420855203041)

Ambient Temp: 24.0 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.6 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.7 kPa; Humidity: 48%

3.6 V, 800 mAh NiCd Battery Pack Communication System: FM UHF RF Output Power: 0.971 Watts (ERP) Frequency: 466.0375 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: M450 ( $\sigma$  = 0.96 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 57.9;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1590; ConvF(7.7, 7.7, 7.7); Calibrated: 24/05/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Area Scan (7x13x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

#### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 35 V/m; Power Drift = -0.803 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.19 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.39 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.950 mW/g





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

# Body-Worn SAR - Alkaline Batteries (Duracell Procell) - with Ear-Bud Microphone DUT: Advanced Wireless Model: AWR1688+; Type: Portable FM UHF PTT Radio Transceiver; Serial: 04625C0001

Body-Worn Accessories: Belt-Clip (P/N: 420855203393), Ear-Bud Microphone (P/N: 420855203041)

Ambient Temp: 24.0 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.6 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.7 kPa; Humidity: 48%

1.5 V 2850 mAh Duracell ProCell AA Alkaline Batteries (x3)

Communication System: FM UHF RF Output Power: 0.971 Watts (ERP) Frequency: 466.0375 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: M450 ( $\sigma$  = 0.96 mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r$  = 57.9;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1590; ConvF(7.7, 7.7, 7.7); Calibrated: 24/05/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Area Scan (7x13x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 36.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.865 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.61 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.59 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.04 mW/g






### **Z-Axis Scan**





#### **SAR-versus-Time Power Drift Evaluation**

Body-Worn SAR with Ear-Bud Microphone Alkaline Batteries (Duracell Procell) Mid Channel (466.0375 MHz)



Max. SAR: 1.77532 mW/g

End SAR: 1.40333 mW/g (-1.021dB)

SAR after 340s: 1.49518 mW/g (-0.7458dB)

(340s: Zoom Scan Duration) (600s: Area Scan Duration)



Date Tested: 08/10/04

### Body-Worn SAR - NiCd Battery - with Ear-Loop Microphone

DUT: Advanced Wireless Model: AWR1688+; Type: Portable FM UHF PTT Radio Transceiver; Serial: 04625C0001

Body-Worn Accessories: Belt-Clip (P/N: 420855203393), Ear-Loop Microphone (P/N: 420855203065)

Ambient Temp: 24.0 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.6 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.7 kPa; Humidity: 48%

3.6 V, 800 mAh NiCd Battery Pack Communication System: FM UHF RF Output Power: 0.971 Watts (ERP) Frequency: 466.0375 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: M450 ( $\sigma$  = 0.96 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 57.9;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1590; ConvF(7.7, 7.7, 7.7); Calibrated: 24/05/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Area Scan (7x13x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

#### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 34.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.665 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.15 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.34 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.899 mW/g





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### Body-Worn SAR - Alkaline Batteries (Duracell Procell) - with Ear-Loop Microphone

DUT: Advanced Wireless Model: AWR1688+; Type: Portable FM UHF PTT Radio Transceiver; Serial: 04625C0001

Body-Worn Accessories: Belt-Clip (P/N: 420855203393), Ear-Loop Microphone (P/N: 420855203065)

Ambient Temp: 24.0 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.6 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.7 kPa; Humidity: 48%

1.5 V 2850 mAh Duracell ProCell AA Alkaline Batteries (x3)

Communication System: FM UHF RF Output Power: 0.971 Watts (ERP) Frequency: 466.0375 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: M450 ( $\sigma$  = 0.96 mho/m;  $\varepsilon_{\rm f}$  = 57.9;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1590; ConvF(7.7, 7.7, 7.7); Calibrated: 24/05/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Area Scan (7x13x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

#### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 32 V/m; Power Drift = -0.798 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.95 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.22 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.836 mW/g





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### Body-Worn SAR - Alkaline Batteries (Energizer E91) - with Ear-Bud Microphone

DUT: Advanced Wireless Model: AWR1688+; Type: Portable FM UHF PTT Radio Transceiver; Serial: 04625C0001

Body-Worn Accessories: Belt-Clip (P/N: 420855203393), Ear-Bud Microphone (P/N: 420855203041)

Ambient Temp: 24.0 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.6 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.7 kPa; Humidity: 48%

1.5 V 2850 mAh Energizer E91 AA Alkaline Batteries (x3)

Communication System: FM UHF RF Output Power: 0.971 Watts (ERP) Frequency: 466.0375 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: M450 ( $\sigma$  = 0.96 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 57.9;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1590; ConvF(7.7, 7.7, 7.7); Calibrated: 24/05/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004
- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Area Scan (7x13x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

#### Body-Worn - 0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 37.1 V/m; Power Drift = -1.12 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.27 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.42 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.977 mW/g





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

# **APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA**



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### System Performance Check - 450 MHz Dipole

Date Tested: 08/10/04

DUT: Dipole 450 MHz; Model: D450V2; Type: System Performance Check; Serial: 136; Calibrated: 11/04/2003

Ambient Temp: 24.0 °C; Fluid Temp: 23.1 °C; Barometric Pressure: 103.0 kPa; Humidity: 41%

Communication System: CW Forward Conducted Power: 250mW Frequency: 450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL450 ( $\sigma = 0.90 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 44.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1590; ConvF(7.5, 7.5, 7.5); Calibrated: 24/05/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004
- Phantom: Validation Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 137
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

#### 450 MHz System Performance Check/Area Scan (6x11x1):


Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

#### 450 MHz System Performance Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 39.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.28 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 1.33 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.857 mW/g





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

### **Z-Axis Scan**





Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

# **APPENDIX C - SYSTEM VALIDATION**



# **450MHz SYSTEM VALIDATION DIPOLE**

Type:	450MHz Validation Dipole
Serial Number:	136
Place of Calibration:	Celltech Labs Inc.
Date of Calibration:	November 4, 2003
Celltech Labs Inc. hereby certifies that this devi	ice has been calibrated on the date indicated above
Calibrated by:	Spenser Watson
Approved by:	Kussell W. Ryse




### 1. Dipole Construction & Electrical Characteristics


The validation dipole was constructed in accordance with the IEEE Std "Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques". The electrical properties were measured using an HP 8753E Network Analyzer. The network analyzer was calibrated to the validation dipole N-type connector feed point using an HP85032E Type N calibration kit. The dipole was placed parallel to a planar phantom at a separation distance of 15.0mm from the simulating fluid using a loss-less dielectric spacer. The measured input impedance is:


Feed point impedance at 450MHz  $Re\{Z\} = 49.982\Omega$ 

 $Im{Z} = 7.2324\Omega$ 

Return Loss at 450MHz -22.597dB









# 2. Validation Dipole Dimensions

Frequency (MHz)	L (mm)	h (mm)	d (mm)
300	420.0	250.0	6.2
450	288.0	167.0	6.2
835	161.0	89.8	3.6
900	149.0	83.3	3.6
1450	89.1	51.7	3.6
1800	72.0	41.7	3.6
1900	68.0	39.5	3.6
2000	64.5	37.5	3.6
2450	51.8	30.6	3.6
3000	41.5	25.0	3.6

### 3. Validation Phantom

The validation phantom was constructed using relatively low-loss tangent Plexiglas material. The inner dimensions of the phantom are as follows:

 Length:
 83.5 cm


 Width:
 36.9 cm


 Height:
 21.8 cm

The bottom section of the validation phantom is constructed of 6.2  $\pm$  0.1mm Plexiglas.



# 4. Dimensions of Plexiglas Planar Phantom







# 5. 450MHz System Validation Setup





## 450MHz System Validation Setup





## **6. Measurement Conditions**

The planar phantom was filled with brain simulating tissue having the following parameters at 450MHz:

Relative Permittivity: 43.7

Conductivity: 0.88 mho/m Fluid Temperature: 22.0 °C Fluid Depth:  $\geq$  15.0 cm

## **Environmental Conditions:**

Ambient Temperature: 22.1 °C Humidity: 49 % Barometric Pressure: 102.8 kPa


The 450MHz simulated brain tissue mixture consists of the following ingredients:

Ingredient	Percentage by weight
Water	38.56%
Sugar	56.32%
Salt	3.95%
HEC	0.98%
Dowicil 75	0.19%
450MHz Target Dielectric Parameters at 22 °C	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$ = 43.5 $\sigma$ = 0.87 S/m



## 7. SAR Measurement

The SAR measurement was performed with the E-field probe in mechanical detection mode only. The setup and determination of the forward power into the dipole was performed using the following procedures.



First the power meter PM1 (including attenuator Att1) is connected to the cable to measure the forward power at the location of the dipole connector (X). The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the dipole connector (taking into account the attenuation of Att1) as read by power meter PM2. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2. If the signal generator does not allow adjustment in 0.01dB steps, the remaining difference at PM2 must be taken into consideration. PM3 records the reflected power from the dipole to ensure that the value is not changed from the previous value. The reflected power should be 20dB below the forward power.



## 8. Validation Dipole SAR Test Results

Ten SAR measurements were performed in order to achieve repeatability and to establish an average target value.

Validation Measurement	SAR @ 0.25W Input averaged over 1g	SAR @ 1W Input averaged over 1g	SAR @ 0.25W Input averaged over 10g	SAR @ 1W Input averaged over 10g	Peak SAR @ 0.25W Input
Test 1	1.29	5.16	0.810	3.24	2.28
Test 2	1.31	5.24	0.827	3.31	2.31
Test 3	1.30	5.20	0.823	3.29	2.29
Test 4	1.30	5.20	0.822	3.29	2.29
Test 5	1.29	5.16	0.819	3.28	2.28
Test 6	1.30	5.20	0.826	3.30	2.28
Test 7	1.31	5.24	0.826	3.30	2.30
Test 8	1.31	5.24	0.829	3.32	2.30
Test 9	1.30	5.20	0.822	3.29	2.28
Test 10	1.31	5.24	0.822	3.29	2.33
Average Value	1.30	5.21	0.823	3.29	2.29

The results have been normalized to 1W (forward power) into the dipole.

IEEE Target over 1cm<sup>3</sup> (1g) of tissue: 1.23 mW/g (+/- 10%)

Averaged over 1cm (1g) of tissue: 5.21 mW/g

Averaged over 10cm (10g) of tissue: 3.29 mW/g



Test Date: 11/04/03

DUT: Dipole 450MHz; Model: D450V2; Type: System Performance Check; Serial: 136

Ambient Temp: 22.1°C; Fluid Temp: 22.0°C; Barometric Pressure: 102.8 kPa; Humidity: 49%

Communication System: CW Forward Conducted Power: 250 mW

Frequency: 450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL450 ( $\sigma$  = 0.88 mho/m,  $\varepsilon_r$  = 43.7,  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- Probe: ET3DV6 SN1387; ConvF(7.5, 7.5, 7.5); Calibrated: 26/02/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: Validation Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 137
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

#### 450 MHz Validation/Area Scan (6x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 39 V/m Power Drift = -0.08 dB


Maximum value of SAR = 1.3 mW/g

450 MHz Validation/Zoom Scan 8 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.28 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.822 mW/g

Reference Value = 39 V/m Power Drift = 0.08 dB







## **450MHz System Validation**Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain) November 04, 2003

Frequency	e'	e"
350.000000 MHz	46.2660	40.8224
360.000000 MHz	45.9937	40.0986
370.000000 MHz	45.7556	39.4543
380.000000 MHz	45.5625	38.7387
390.000000 MHz	45.2820	38.1140
400.000000 MHz	45.0146	37.4981
410.000000 MHz	44.7508	36.9734
420.000000 MHz	44.5046	36.4917
430.000000 MHz	44.2494	35.9460
440.000000 MHz	43.9621	35.5647
450.000000 MHz	43.7384	35.2106
460.000000 MHz	43.5513	34.7930
470.000000 MHz	43.2846	34.3970
480.000000 MHz	43.0654	33.9576
490.000000 MHz	42.8566	33.6391
500.000000 MHz	42.6744	33.2270
510.000000 MHz	42.5036	32.8459
520.000000 MHz	42.3492	32.5261
530.000000 MHz	42.1783	32.1727
540.000000 MHz	41.9985	31.7385
550.000000 MHz	41.8097	31.4862



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

## **APPENDIX D - PROBE CALIBRATION**

## **Calibration Laboratory of**

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client

Celltech Labs

## **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object(s)

ET3DV6 - SN:1590

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-01.v2

Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date:

May 24, 2004

Condition of the calibrated item

In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environ ment temperature 22 + L 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID#	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	5-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00388)	May-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00388)	May-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20b)	3-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00389)	May-05
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	8-Sep-03 (Sintrel SCS No. E-030020)	Sep-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05

Calibrated by:

Name Function
Nico Vetterli Technician

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic Laboratory Director

Date issued: May 24, 2004

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

## Probe ET3DV6

SN:1590

Manufactured:

March 19, 2001

Last calibrated:

May 15, 2003

Recalibrated:

May 24, 2004

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

## DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1590

Sensitivity in Free Space

Diode Compression<sup>A</sup>

NormX	<b>1.85</b> μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>	DCP X	91	mV
NormY	<b>2.01</b> $\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Y	91	mV
NormZ	<b>1.73</b> μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>	DCP Z	91	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Plese see Page 7.

## **Boundary Effect**

Head

900 MHz

Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Sensor Center to	Phantom Surface Distance	3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	8.0	4.4
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.2

Head

1800 MHz

Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor Cente	er to Phantom Surface Distance	3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	12.2	8.5
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.1

## Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center 2.7 mm


Optical Surface Detection in tolerance

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.


A numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required


## Frequency Response of E-Field


( TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ),  $\theta$  = 0°









Axial Isotropy Error < ± 0.2 dB

## Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>)


(Waveguide R22)






Probe Linearity Error < ± 0.2 dB

## **Conversion Factor Assessment**






f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] <sup>B</sup>	Tissue	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
835	750-950	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	0.68	1.64	6.71 ± 11.9% (k=2)
1750	1700-1800	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.43	2.67	5.28 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1900	1850-1950	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.46	2.81	5.03 ± 9.7% (k=2)
2450	2400-2500	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.81	1.95	4.44 ± 9.7% (k=2)
835	750-950	Body	55.2 ± 5%	$0.97 \pm 5\%$	0.49	1.99	6.54 ± 11.9% (k=2)
1750	1700-1800	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.50	2.87	4.68 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1900	1850-1950	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.52	2.93	4.58 ± 9.7% (k=2)
2450	2400-2500	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.91	1.78	4.22 ± 9.7% (k=2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>B</sup> The total standard uncertainty is calculated as root-sum-square of standard uncertainty of the Conversion Factor at calibration frequency and the standard uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

## Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error ( $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ ), f = 900 MHz



Spherical Isotropy Error < ± 0.4 dB

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779 info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

## **Additional Conversion Factors**

for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:	ET3DV6
Serial Number:	1590
Place of Assessment:	Zurich
Date of Assessment:	May 25, 2004
Probe Calibration Date:	May 24, 2004

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1800 MHz.

Assessed by:

Man's late

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779 info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

## Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1590

Conversion factor (± standard deviation)

150 MHz	ConvF	$9.1 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_{\rm r} = 52.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.76 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
			(head tissue)
300 MHz	ConvF	$7.9 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_{\rm r}$ = 45.3 ± 5%
			$\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
			(head tissue)
450 MHz	ConvF	$7.5 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_{\rm r} = 43.5 \pm 5\%$
			$\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
			(nead tissue)
150 MHz	ConvF	$8.8 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_r = 61.9 \pm 5\%$
			$\sigma = 0.80 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
			(body tissue)
450 MHz	ConvF	$7.7 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_r = 56.7 \pm 5\%$
			$\sigma = 0.94 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
			(body tissue)

## **Important Note:**

For numerically assessed probe conversion factors, parameters Alpha and Delta in the DASY software must have the following entries: Alpha = 0 and Delta = 1.

Please see also Section 4.7 of the DASY4 Manual.



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

## **APPENDIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS**

# 450 MHz System Performance Check & DUT Evaluation (Face) Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain) August 10, 2004

Frequency	e'	e"
350.000000 MHz	46.6739	41.7689
360.000000 MHz	46.3486	41.1177
370.000000 MHz	46.0634	40.3953
380.000000 MHz	45.8168	39.7830
390.000000 MHz	45.6202	39.1413
400.000000 MHz	45.3091	38.5266
410.000000 MHz	45.0927	37.9083
420.000000 MHz	44.8384	37.3851
430.000000 MHz	44.6541	36.8662
440.000000 MHz	44.4066	36.3900
450.000000 MHz	44.1686	35.9717
460.000000 MHz	43.9206	35.5462
470.000000 MHz	43.7159	35.1596
480.000000 MHz	43.4929	34.7215
490.000000 MHz	43.2751	34.3705
500.000000 MHz	43.1092	33.9740
510.000000 MHz	42.8613	33.5568
520.000000 MHz	42.7024	33.2233
530.000000 MHz	42.5011	32.8938
540.000000 MHz	42.3448	32.4651
550.000000 MHz	42.1407	32.1390

## 450 MHz DUT Evaluation (Body) Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Muscle) August 10, 2004

Frequency	e'	e"
350.000000 MHz	59.4032	45.2518
360.000000 MHz	59.1865	44.3198
370.000000 MHz	58.9499	43.5655
380.000000 MHz	58.8274	42.8290
390.000000 MHz	58.6522	42.0815
400.000000 MHz	58.5664	41.4380
410.000000 MHz	58.4616	40.6792
420.000000 MHz	58.3439	40.0578
430.000000 MHz	58.1906	39.3390
440.000000 MHz	58.0467	38.7955
450.000000 MHz	<b>57.8548</b>	38.2743
460.000000 MHz	57.7884	37.7765
470.000000 MHz	57.5824	37.3082
480.000000 MHz	57.3948	36.7638
490.000000 MHz	57.1771	36.3004
500.000000 MHz	57.0226	35.9284
510.000000 MHz	56.9023	35.5278
520.000000 MHz	56.8250	35.1477
530.000000 MHz	56.6672	34.7657
540.000000 MHz	56.6147	34.2894
550.000000 MHz	56.4649	33.9723



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation


## **APPENDIX F - SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS**



Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

## **FACE-HELD SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS**

2.5 cm Separation Distance from Front of Radio to Planar Phantom











Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

## **BODY-WORN SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS**

0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance to Planar Phantom with Ear-Bud Microphone Accessory (P/N: 420855203041)











Test Report S/N:	072304-535Q9S
Test Date(s):	August 10, 2004
Test Type:	FCC/IC SAR Evaluation

## **BODY-WORN SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS**

0.7 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance to Planar Phantom with Ear-Loop Microphone Accessory (P/N: 420855203065)

