

Chris Harvey

From: amanda.wu [amanda.wu@tw.ccsemc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2007 2:01 PM
To: charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com; charvey@ieee.org
Cc: amanda.wu
Subject: Correction: Cisco-Linksys LLC, FCC ID: Q87-WM821M, Assessment NO.: AN07T7308 (DTS) & AN07T7311 (NII), Notice#1 Part 1

Dear Sir,

My e-mails have been returned for many times, so I separated the reponse ,sorry for your trouble.

Due to this applicaiton need to confidential, so we revised the ID label format and location, plz have the attached, thank you.

Best Regards,

Amanda

<charvey-

tcb@ccsemc.com>

收件人 : <application@tw.ccsemc.com>

副本抄送 : <charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com>

2007/10/30 12:27 AM

主旨 : Correction: Cisco-Linksys LLC, FCC ID: Q87-WM821M, Assessment NO.: AN07T7308 (DTS) & AN07T7311 (NII), Notice#1

Dear Jessica Ho,

You are listed as the Technical Contact for the above referenced TCB application.
The following item(s) need(s) to be resolved before the review can be continued:

1. The Users Manual has a modular labeling guidance, but it contains the incorrect FCC ID number. Please correct this and replace the manual exhibit.

Ans: Plz have the revised user manual and ID label format.

4. Applicant should provide an attestation from the grantee verifying that the device does not have Ad Hoc capabilities on non-US frequencies and on DFS frequencies. Please provide this attestation.

Ans: Plz have the attached.

5. FCC 15.319(f) requires that transmission be discontinued in the absence of data. The test report indicates that this has been documented in the Operational Description exhibit; however this confirmation seems to be missing.

Please provide confirmation tat this device meets the requirements of 15.319(f).

Ans: the Operation description had been revised, plz see page 8.

Chris Harvey

From: amanda.wu [amanda.wu@tw.ccsemc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2007 2:15 PM
To: charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com; charvey@ieee.org
Cc: amanda.wu
Subject: Correction: Cisco-Linksys LLC, FCC ID: Q87-WM821M, Assessment NO.: AN07T7308 (DTS) & AN07T7311 (NII), Notice#1 Part 2

Dear Sir,

Plz see my reply as below.

2. The 4 exhibits submitted for the Dipole antennas seem to be descriptions of the cable assembly portion, and not include the antenna gain information. Please provide the technical description for the 4 Dipole antennas which includes the antenna gain. Additionally, the antenna gain information in the test report looks like it may have gotten confused between the PCB and Dipole antennas as the PCB Antenna Specification exhibit indicates a 1.8dBi gain. Please confirm all antenna gains, update all antenna specification exhibits, test reports and MPE exhibits as needed.

Ans: We added the statement into the test report, Plz have the attached. (DTS: page10, UNII: page10)

This EUT accompanies with 2 sets of antennae (dipole antenna and pcb antenna).

* Dipole antenna report with 4 different lengths of cables

* PCB antenna report with 3 different lengths of cables

Therefore there are only 2 antenna reports, and the other information is for cables. And we found the antenna cable for 20cm was lost, plz have the attached.

DTS:

UNII:

3. The test report does not clearly document compliance with the unique antenna/connector requirements of FCC 15.203. Please include clear 15.203 compliance documentation.

Ans: We added the statement into the test report, plz see page 79 of the test report (for unii)