Mike Kuo

From: hsieh [hsieh@etc.org.tw]

Sent: September30日2004年Thursday 3:33 AM

To: Mike Kuo

Subject: Re: Chung Nam Electronics Co., Ltd., FCC ID: Q72WLG100, Assessment NO.: AN04T4148,

Notice#3













Label_Location.pdf

Request for nodular approval.p..

Test report for Q8.pdf

Theory of operation.pdf

User Manual.pdf

Hi Mike.

Thank you for your information. This case will be the limit modular approval.

Re-Question #1:

Attached please find the request for limit modular approval letter and the MPE report.

Re-Question #2:

Attached please find the document of theory of operation .

Re-Question #3 & #4:

Not necessary.

Re-Question #5:

Attached please find the user manual for WLAN mini-PCI Card.

Re-Question #6:

Attached please find the document of ID label and location.

Re-Question #7:

The "30dBm" is the declare factor by the manufacturer in the test software. It's just the reference factor for the output power. The two values are not "equal".

Re-Question #8:

Attached please find the test report of restricted bandedge measurement at OATS.

Any uncomfortable please contact me.

Thanks.

Best regards,

Joe

---- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Kuo" < MKUO@CCSEMC.com>

To: <hsieh@etc.org.tw>

Sent: Saturday, September 25, 2004 8:20 AM

Subject: FW: Chung Nam Electronics Co., Ltd., FCC ID: Q72WLG100, Assessment

NO.: AN04T4148, Notice#3

> >

> -----Original Message-----

```
> From: Compliance Certification Services [mailto:MKuo@ccsemc.com]
```

- > Sent: Friday, September 24, 2004 4:59 PM
- > To: Mike Kuo
- > Subject: Chung Nam Electronics Co., Ltd., FCC ID: Q72WLG100, Assessment
- > AN04T4148, Notice#3

>

- > Question #1: Per the applicant's request, this application will be reviewed
- > as original application with FCC ID:Q72WLG100 under Assessment no:AN04T4148.

- > As I indicated in the previous notice, equipment under test as presented
- > the test report is Notebook Computer not the 802.11 b/g mini-PCI card.
- > Please make necessary changes to the test report . If you want to file this
- > application as 802.11 b/g mini-PCI card, you have to provide a request for
- > modular approval letter. In this letter, you must address all the
- > requirement as indicated in FCC public notice DA 00-1407. During the
- > radiated emission tests, the mini-PCI module was tested inside the
- > computer so this mini-PCI module can only be requested for limited module
- > approval to be associated with E-Machine model No:M5310. If limited modular
- > approval is requested, then this mini-PCI card can only be used inside
- > E-Machine M/N:M5310. If other notebook computer will use this mini-PCI
- > card, then you have to file Class II permissive change to include additional
- > notebook computer.

> Question #2: Please provide theory of operation or operational description

> for 802.11 a/b mini-PCI card.

- > Question #3: Please provide schematic diagram for entire notebook computer
- > since the EUT is notebook computer with 802.11 b/g mini-PCI card.

- > Question #4: Please provide functional block diagram for notebook
- > since the EUT is notebook computer with 802.11 b/g mini-PCI card.

> Question #5: Please provide user manual for notebook computer and please > make sure to include the information for WLAN operation and RF exposure

> requirements.

- > Question #6: The proposed FCC ID label format is for modular approval.
- > Since the EUT is notebook computer with 802.11 b/g mini-PCI card, you may
- > not use " contains FACT ID :Q72WLG100". Please submit revised FCC ID label
- > format.

- > Question #7: Page 12 of test report which provide the WLAN setting of 30
- > dBm. Please explain why the setting was set to 30 dBm but the highest
- > measured output power is 25.74dBm, please explain the relation to the
- > software setting to actual measurement.

- > Question #8: As indicated in the test report, for frequencies above 1 GHz
- > are too low to be recorded. Spectrum plots were provided from 1 GHz to 26
- > GHz. Is measurement done in chamber? is the chamber calibrated to measure
- > above 1 GHz? Based upon the output power measurement and considering the
- > antenna gain, there should be some spurious emissions which are within

- > The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue
- > on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested
- > information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in
- > application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note
- > that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.
- > Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to

>

> >