
ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992





Report No: T121023W02-SF

FCC TEST REPORT

For

Mobile Computer

Trade Name: CIPHERLAB

Model: CP60

Issued to

12F, 333 Dunhua S. Rd., Sec.2, Taipei, Taiwan R.O.C.

Issued by

Compliance Certification Services Inc.
No.11, Wugong 6th Rd., Wugu Dist.,
New Taipei City 24891,
Taiwan. (R.O.C.)
http://www.ccsrf.com
service@ccsrf.com.



Issued Date: 2012/12/05

Note: This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Compliance Certification Services Inc. This document may be altered or revised by Compliance Certification Services Inc. personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document.

Page 1 Total Page: 32



Revision History

Rev.	Issue Date	Revisions	Effect Page	Revised By
00	2012/12/05	Initial Issue	ALL	Scott.
02	2012/12/25	EUT Description, Simulating Liquids Parameter Check Results, System Performance Check Results, RF Output Power Measurement, SAR Measurements Results	5,19,21,24,25,26,28,	Scott
03	2013/01/02	System Performance Check Results, Simultaneous Transmission, SAR Measurements Results, Equipment list & calibration status	21,22,26,30	Scott
04	2013/01/03	Maximum Output Power(Avg),SAR Measurements Results	5,26	Scott
05	2013/01/09	APPLICABLE STANDARDS	4	Scott

Page 2 Rev. 00



Table of Contents

1.	Cert	ificate of Compliance (SAR Evaluation)	4
2.	EUT	Description	5
3.	Requ	uirements for Compliance Testing Defined	6
	3.1	Requirements for Compliance Testing Defined by the FCC	<i>6</i>
4.	Dosi	metric Assessment System	6
	4.1	Measurement System Diagram	7
	4.2	System Components	8
5.	Eval	uation Procedures	11
6.	Meas	surement Uncertainty	15
7.	Expo	osure Limit	16
8.	Tissu	ue Dielectric Properties	17
	8.1	Test Liquid Confirmation	17
	8.2	Typical Composition of Ingredients for Liquid Tissue Phantoms	18
	8.3	Simulating Liquids Parameter Check Results	19
9.	Syste	em Performance Check	20
	9.1	System Performance Check Results	21
10.	Devi	ce Under Test	22
	10.1	Exposure Positions Consideration	22
	10.2	Simultaneous Transmission	22
	10.3	EUT Tune-up Procedures and Test Mode	23
11.	RF (Output Power Measurement	24
	11.1	Bluetooth:	24
	11.2	2.4GHz Band:	24
	11.3	WiFi 5GHz Band:	25
12.	SAR	Measurements Results	26
	12.1	Wi-Fi (2.4GHz Band):	26
	12.2	Wi-Fi (5.2GHz Band):	26
	12.3	Wi-Fi (5.3GHz Band):	27
	12.4	Wi-Fi (5.5GHz Band):	27
	12.5	Wi-Fi (5.8GHz Band):	28
13.	Ante	enna Locations & Separation Distances	29
14.	Equi	pment list & calibration status	30
15.	Facil	lities	31
16.	Refe	rence	31
17.	Atta	chments	32



1. Certificate of Compliance (SAR Evaluation)

Applicant Cipherlab Co., Ltd

12F, 333 Dunhua S. Rd., Sec.2, Taipei, Taiwan R.O.C.

Equipment Under Test: Mobile Computer

Trade Name: CIPHERLAB

Model Number: CP60

Date of Test: December 03 ~ 05, 2012 **Device Category:** PORTABLE DEVICES

Exposure Category: GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE

APPLICABLE STANDARDS									
	STANDARD								
FCC	 FCC OET 65 Supplement C IEEE 1528 2003 KDB 447498 D01 Mobile Portable RF Exposure V05 ,Published KDB 248227 D01 SAR meas for 802 11 a b g v01r02 KDB 616217 D04 SAR for Laptop and tablets v01 								
Dev	iation from Applicable Standard								
	1.6 W/Kg								
TEST RESULT									
	PASS								

The device was tested by Compliance Certification Services Inc. in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in EN62311: 2008. The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Approved by:

Tested by:

Alex Wu

Section Manager

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

Scott Hsu Test Engineer

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

Page 4 Rev. 00



2. EUT Description

Product	Mobile Computer							
Trade Name	CIPHERLAB							
Model Number	CP60							
Received Date	December 5, 2012							
Frequency Range	802.11b: 2412 ~ 2462 MHz 802.11g: 2412 ~ 2462 MHz 802.11n: 2412 ~ 2462 MHz 802.11a: 5180 ~ 5240 MHz / 5260 ~ 5320 MHz / 5500 ~ 5700 MHz / 5745 ~ 5825 MHz 802.11n HT20: 5180 ~ 5240 MHz / 5260 ~ 5320 MHz / 5500 ~ 5700 MHz / 5745 ~ 5825 MHz							
Maximum Output Power(Avg)	802.11b: 11.0dBm 802.11g: 12.4dBm 802.11n HT20: 9.7dBm 802.11a 5.2GHz: 12.0dBm 802.11a 5.3GHz: 11.8dBm 802.11a 5.5GHz: 8.9dBm 802.11a 5.8GHz: 11.9dBm 802.11n HT20: 13.4dBm							
Maximum SAR (1g)	802.11a 3 802.11a 3 802.11a 3	0.124W/kg (Body p 5.2GHz: 0.798W/kg 5.3GHz: 1.028W/kg 5.5GHz: 0.619W/kg 5.8GHz: 0.785W/kg	(Body position) (Body position) (Body position)					
Modulation Technique	802.11b: 802.11g:	Direct Sequence S Orthogonal Freque	ency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) pread Spectrum(DSSS) ency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) ency Division Multiplexing (OFDM)					
	BT	Parts Number	KV00CP6000A18					
Antenna Specification	D 1	Brand name	CIPHERLAB					
	WLAN	Parts Number	KV00CP6000W19					
		Brand name	CIPHERLAB					
Battery	Sample1 Brand: CIPHERLAB Model: BA-0063A6 Rating: 3.7V 3600mAh , 13.32Wh Sample2 Model: BA-0064A4 Rating: 3.7V 4400mAh , 16.28Wh							

Remark: The sample selected for test was prototype that approximated to production product and was provided by manufacturer.

Page 5 Rev. 00



3. Requirements for Compliance Testing Defined

3.1 Requirements for Compliance Testing Defined by the FCC


The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order "Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996 [1]. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 mW/g for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6]. According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

4. Dosimetric Assessment System

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4/DAST5 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9 m) which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than $\pm 0.02 \text{ mm}$. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4-SN: 3665 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure with accuracy of better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure and found to be better than $\pm 0.25 \text{ dB}$. The phantom used was the SAM Twin Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE 1528 2003.

Page 6 Rev. 00

4.1 Measurement System Diagram



The DASY4/DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (St aubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4/DAST5 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing validating the proper functioning of the system.

Page 7 Rev. 00



4.2 System Components

DASY4/DASY5 Measurement Server



The DASY4/DASY5 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chip disk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE3 electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4/DASY5 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation.



Frequency:

The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pinout and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server. Calibration: No calibration required.

Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



EX3DV4 Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements

Construction: Symmetrical design with triangular core

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

Calibration: Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-3000 MHz.

Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800

CF-Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request. 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$ (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity: ± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)

 $\pm~0.5~dB$ in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)

Dynamic Range: $10 \mu \text{W/g to} > 100 \text{ mW/g}$; Linearity: $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$

(noise: typically $< 1 \mu W/g$)

Dimensions: Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm)

Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm)

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm

Application: High precision dosimetric measurements in any

exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better

30%.







Page 8 Rev. 00



SAM Phantom (V4.0)

Construction: The shell corresponds to the specifications of the

Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-2003, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three

points with the robot.

Shell Thickness: $2 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions: Height: 810mm; Length: 1000mm; Width: 500mm

SAM Phantom (ELI4)

Construction: Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and

body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209 Part II and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI4 has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is supported by software version DASY4/DASY5 and higher and is compatible

with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles

Shell Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.2 mm (sagging: <1%) Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Major ellipse axis: 600 mm **Dimensions:**

400 mm 500mm Minor axis:





Page 9 Rev. 00



Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

Construction: In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom V4.0 or Twin SAM, the

Mounting Device (made from POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates, whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom

locations (left head, right head, and flat phantom).



System Validation Kits for SAM Phantom (V4.0)

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with 1/4 balun Enables measurement

of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions

Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 2450, 5800 MHz

Return loss: > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

Dimensions:

D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290

mm

D5GHzV2: dipole length: 20.6 mm; overall height: 300

mm

System Validation Kits for ELI4 phantom

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with 1/4 balun Enables measurement

of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions

Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 2450, 5800 MHz

Return loss: > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

Dimensions: D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290

mm

D5GHzV2: dipole length: 20.6 mm; overall height: 300

mm





Page 10 Rev. 00

5. Evaluation Procedures

Data Evaluation

The DASY4/DASY5 post processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity Norm_i, a_{i0} , a_{i1} , a_{i2}

- Conversion factor $ConvF_i$

- Diode compression point dcp_i

Device parameters: - Frequency f

- Crest factor cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity σ

- Density ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with V_i = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 U_i = Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

cf = Crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter) dcp_i = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes: $E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$

H-field probes: $H_i = \sqrt{Vi} \cdot \frac{a_{i10} + a_{i11}f + a_{i12}f^2}{f}$

with V_i = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $Norm_i$ = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E0field Probes

ConvF = Sensitivity enhancement in solution

aij = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = Carrier frequency (GHz)

Ei = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m

Hi = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

Page 11 Rev. 00

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

 σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770}$$
 or $P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$

with P_{pwe} = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

 E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

Page 12 Rev. 00



SAR Measurement Procedures

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

• Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

• Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4/DASY5 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 12 mm by 12 mm (10mm by 10mm for 5GHz) and can be edited by a user.

Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 7x7x7 (7x7x12 for 5GHz) points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

• Power Drift Measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have DASY4/DASY5 software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

• Z-Scan

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z-axis of the anchor location establishes the Z-axis of the grid.

Page 13 Rev. 00



Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1528 2003 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g.

The DASY4/DASY5 system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- · maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.

Extrapolation

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

Extrapolation routines require at least 10 measurement points in 3-D space. They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the modified Quadratic Shepard's method for extrapolation. For a grid using 7x7x7(7x7x12) for 5GHz measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343(588 for 5GHz) measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

Boundary Effect

For measurements in the immediate vicinity of a phantom surface, the field coupling effects between the probe and the boundary influence the probe characteristics. Boundary effect errors of different dosimetric probe types have been analyzed by measurements and using a numerical probe model. As expected, both methods showed an enhanced sensitivity in the immediate vicinity of the boundary. The effect strongly depends on the probe dimensions and disappears with increasing distance from the boundary. The sensitivity can be approximately given as:

$$S \approx S_o + S_b exp(-\frac{z}{a})cos(\pi \frac{z}{\lambda})$$

Since the decay of the boundary effect dominates for small probes (a $<<\lambda$), the cos-term can be omitted. Factors Sb (parameter Alpha in the DASY4/DASY5 software) and a (parameter Delta in the DASY4/DASY5 software) are assessed during probe calibration and used for numerical compensation of the boundary effect. Several simulations and measurements have confirmed that the compensation is valid for different field and boundary configurations.

This simple compensation procedure can largely reduce the probe uncertainty near boundaries. It works well as long as:

- the boundary curvature is small
- the probe axis is angled less than 30_ to the boundary normal
- the distance between probe and boundary is larger than 25% of the probe diameter
- the probe is symmetric (all sensors have the same offset from the probe tip)

Since all of these requirements are fulfilled in a DASY4/DASY5 system, the correction of the probe boundary effect in the vicinity of the phantom surface is performed in a fully automated manner via the measurement data extraction during post processing.

Page 14 Rev. 00



6. Measurement Uncertainty

Measurement uncertainty for 300 MHz to 3 GHz averaged over 1 gram

Uncertainty Component	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	^C i (10g)	Std. Unc.(1-g)	Vi or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration (k=1)	6.00	Normal	1	1	6.00	∞
Probe Isotropy	7.60	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	3.07	∞
Boundary Effect	0.65	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.38	∞
Linearity	4.70	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.71	∞
System Detection Limit	1.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	0.30	Normal	1	1	0.30	∞
Response Time	0.80	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.46	∞
ntegration Time	2.60	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.50	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.40	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.23	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.67	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.15	∞
Test sample Related						
Test sample Positioning	3.70	Normal	1	1	3.7	89
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.40	Normal	1	1	3.4	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.89	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters						
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.50	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	4.33	∞
iquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	4.14	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	1.53	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	-2.76	Normal	1	0.64	-1.77	39
iquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	3.92	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.36	∞
iquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	-1.33	Normal	1	0.6	-0.80	39
		RSS			11.24	611
Expanded Uncertainty U, Coverage Factor = 2, > 95 % Confidence =		k=2			22.4	8%
Expanded Uncertainty U, Coverage Factor = 2, > 95 % Confidence =		k=2			1.76	dB

Measurement uncertainty for 3 to 6 GHz averaged over 1 gram

ivieasurement uncertainty for 3 to 6 GHz averaged over 1 grain						
Uncertainty Component	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	^C i (10g)	Std. Unc.(1-g)	V _i or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration (k=1)	6.55	Normal	1	1	6.55	∞
Probe Isotropy	7.60	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	3.07	∞
Boundary Effect	2.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.15	∞
Linearity	4.70	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.71	∞
System Detection Limit	1.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	0.30	Normal	1	1	0.30	∞
Response Time	0.80	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.46	∞
Integration Time	2.60	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.50	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.80	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.46	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	6.70	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	3.87	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	4.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.31	∞
Test sample Related						
Test sample Positioning	3.70	Normal	1	1	3.7	89
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.40	Normal	1	1	3.4	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.89	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters						
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.90	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	4.56	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	1.85	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	-4.78	Normal	1	0.64	-3.06	39
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.73	80
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	2.99	Normal	1	0.6	1.79	39
		RSS			12.80	611
Expanded Uncertainty U, Coverage Factor = 2, > 95 % Confidence =		k=2			25.6	0%
Expanded Uncertainty U, Coverage Factor = 2, > 95 % Confidence =		k=2			1.98	dB

Page 15 Rev. 00



7. Exposure Limit

(A).Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

0.4 8.0 2.0

(B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

0.08 1.6 4.0

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over

any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. **SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles** is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume

in the shape of a cube.

Population/Uncontrolled Environments:

are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments:

are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

NOTE GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE PARTIAL BODY LIMIT 1.6 W/kg

Page 16 Rev. 00

8. Tissue Dielectric Properties

8.1 Test Liquid Confirmation

Simulating Liquids Parameter Check

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within \pm 5% of the values given in the table below. 5% may not be easily achieved at certain frequencies. Under such circumstances, 10% tolerance may be used until more precise tissue recipes are available

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528

Target Frequency	Не	ad	Body		
(MHz)	ધ	σ(S/m)	ધ	σ(S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5000	36.2	4.45	49.3	5.07	
5100	36.1	4.55	49.1	5.18	
5200	36.0	4.66	49.0	5.30	
5300	35.9	4.76	48.9	5.42	
5400	35.8	4.86	48.7	5.53	
5500	35.6	4.96	48.6	5.65	
5600	35.5	5.07	48.5	5.77	
5700	35.4	5.17	48.3	5.88	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

Page 17 Rev. 00



8.2 Typical Composition of Ingredients for Liquid Tissue Phantoms

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)											
(% by weight)	45	450		835		915		00	2450			
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body		
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2		
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04		
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0		
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0		
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0		
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0		
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7		
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5		
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78		

alt: $99^+\%$ Pure Sodium Chloride Sugar: $98^+\%$ Pure Sucrose Water: De-ionized, $16 \text{ M}\Omega^+$ resistivity HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose DGBE: $99^+\%$ Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1, 1, 3, 3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

Simulating Liquids for 5 GHz, Manufactured by SPEAG:

Ingredients	(% by weight)
Water	50-65
Mineral oil	10-30
Emulsifiers	8-25
Sodium and Salt	0-1.5

Page 18 Rev. 00



8.3 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check Results

Date	Band	Freq(MHz)		M easured		Stan	dard	1	1	Limit
Date	Banu	r req(M1112)	e' (εr)	e''	σ	e' (εr)	σ	e' (εr)	σ	±5
		5180	50.52	18.39	5.29	49.07	5.25	2.97%	0.74%	±5
		5200	50.47	18.43	5.32	49.04	5.28	2.91%	0.90%	±5
12/3/2012	Body 5000	5500	49.95	18.78	5.74	48.64	5.62	2.71%	2.06%	±5
		5800	49.44	19.16	6.18	48.23	5.97	2.51%	3.42%	±5
		5825	49.44	19.18	6.21	48.20	6.00	2.57%	3.44%	±5
		5180	50.00	18.45	5.31	49.07	5.25	1.91%	1.07%	±5
		5200	49.92	18.49	5.34	49.04	5.28	1.78%	1.22%	±5
12/4/2012	Body 5000	5500	49.47	18.90	5.77	48.64	5.62	1.71%	2.69%	±5
		5800	48.89	19.21	6.19	48.23	5.97	1.36%	3.67%	±5
		5825	48.88	19.26	6.23	48.20	6.00	1.41%	3.89%	±5
		5180	50.53	17.38	5.00	49.07	5.25	2.97%	-4.78%	±5
	Body 5000	5200	50.45	17.42	5.03	49.04	5.28	2.87%	-4.64%	±5
12/5/2012		5500	50.09	17.75	5.42	48.64	5.62	2.99%	-3.57%	±5
		5800	49.59	17.97	5.79	48.23	5.97	2.81%	-3.03%	±5
		5825	49.58	18.02	5.83	48.20	6.00	2.87%	-2.82%	±5
		2412	52.07	13.89	1.86	52.75	1.91	-1.29%	-2.76%	±5
		2437	52.02	14.03	1.90	52.72	1.94	-1.33%	-1.96%	±5
12/5/2012	Body 2450	2442	52.02	14.05	1.91	52.71	1.94	-1.32%	-1.89%	±5
		2462	52.01	14.14	1.93	52.68	1.97	-1.27%	-1.70%	±5
		2472	52.00	14.17	1.95	52.67	1.98	-1.28%	-1.79%	±5
		5180	48.50	18.43	5.30	49.07	5.25	-1.16%	0.98%	±5
		5200	48.45	18.48	5.34	49.04	5.28	-1.20%	1.16%	±5
12/27/2012	Body 5000	5500	47.96	18.82	5.75	48.64	5.62	-1.40%	2.27%	±5
		5800	47.43	19.22	6.19	48.23	5.97	-1.66%	3.71%	±5
		5825	47.41	19.25	6.23	48.20	6.00	-1.64%	3.85%	±5
		2412	51.45	13.81	1.85	52.75	1.91	-2.46%	-3.31%	±5
		2437	51.39	13.90	1.88	52.72	1.94	-2.51%	-2.87%	±5
12/28/2012	Body 2450	2442	51.38	13.91	1.89	52.71	1.94	-2.52%	-2.83%	±5
		2462	51.32	13.99	1.91	52.68	1.97	-2.59%	-2.75%	±5
		2472	51.29	14.02	1.93	52.67	1.98	-2.63%	-2.79%	±5

Page 19 Rev. 00



9. System Performance Check

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. The system performance check results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

System Performance Check Measurement Conditions

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4/DASY5 system with an E-field probe EX3DV4 SN:3665 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15 mm (below 1 GHz) and 10 mm (above 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration (dx=dy=5 mm, dz=5 mm).
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 3.0 mm.
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW±3%.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

Reference SAR Values for System Performance Check

The reference SAR values can be obtained from the calibration certificate of system validation dipoles

System Dipole	Serial No.	Cal. Date	Freq. (MHz)	Target SAR Values (W/kg)				
	Serial No.	Car. Date	rieq. (MIIIZ)	1g/10g	Head	Body		
D2450V2	728	11/20/2012	2450	1 g	53.1	51.3		
			2430	10g	24.9	24		

System	Serial No.	Cal. Date	Freq. (MHz)	Target SAR Values (W/kg)				
Dipole	pole Serial No. Cal. Date Fleq. (MHZ)		1g/10g	Head	Body			
D5GHzV2	1004 11/16/2012 5200		1 g	77.8	71.8			
DOGHZVZ	1004	11/16/2012	3200	10g	22.1	20.1		
D5GHzV2	1004	11/16/2012	5300	1 g	83.9	75.4		
DJGHZVZ				10g	24	21.2		
D5GHzV2	1004	11/16/2012	5600	1 g	83.3	78.8		
DJGHZVZ		11/10/2012	3000	10g	23.6	21.8		
D5GHzV2	1004	11/16/2012	5800	1 g	79.8	73.5		
	1004		3800	10g	22.7	20.4		

Page 20 Rev. 00



9.1 System Performance Check Results

Date		System Dipole	,	Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
Date	Type	Serial No.	Liquid	Parameters	rarget	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
2012/12/3	D5GHzV2	1004	Body	1g SAR:	71.80	71.30	-0.70	± 5
2012/12/3	(5.2GHz)	1004	Бойу	10g SAR:	20.10	20.10	0.00	± 5
2012/12/3	D5GHzV2	1004	Body	1g SAR:	75.40	75.50	0.13	± 5
2012/12/3	(5.3GHz)	1004	Бойу	10g SAR:	21.20	21.30	0.47	± 5
2012/12/4	D5GHzV2	1004	Body	1g SAR:	78.80	79.00	0.25	± 5
2012/12/4	(5.6GHz)	1004	Бойу	10g SAR:	21.80	22.20	1.83	± 5
2012/12/4	D5GHzV2	1004	Body	1g SAR:	73.50	75.30	2.45	± 5
2012/12/4	(5.8GHz)	1004		10g SAR:	20.40	21.10	3.43	± 5
2012/12/5	D5GHzV2	1004	Body	1g SAR:	73.50	76.10	3.54	± 5
2012/12/3	(5.8GHz)	1004	Бойу	10g SAR:	20.40	21.20	3.92	± 5
2012/12/5	D2450V2	728	Body	1g SAR:	51.30	50.40	-1.75	± 5
2012/12/3	D2430 V 2	120	Бойу	10g SAR:	24.00	23.48	-2.17	± 5
2012/12/27	D5GHzV2	1004	Dody	1g SAR:	75.40	75.20	-0.27	± 5
2012/12/27	(5.3GHz)	1004	Body	10g SAR:	21.20	21.10	-0.47	± 5
2012/12/28	D2450V2	728	Dody	1g SAR:	51.30	49.20	-4.09	± 5
2012/12/28	D2430 V 2	128	Body	10g SAR:	24.00	23.20	-3.33	± 5

Page 21 Rev. 00

10. Device Under Test

10.1 Exposure Positions Consideration

Antenna Band	Ant 0	Ant 1
Bluetooth	No	Yes
2.4GHz 802.11b/g	Yes	No
2.4GHz 802.11n	Yes	No
5GHz 802.11a	Yes	No
5GHz 802.11n	Yes	No

Note(s):

Ant.0 represents the WLAN Antenna transmission only; Ant.1 represents the BT Antenna transmission only

10.2 Simultaneous Transmission

No.	Conditions	Head	Body	Hotspot
1	WiFi 2.4GHz Bands+ BT			
2	WiFi 5GHz Bands+ BT			

Note(s):

1. WiFi 2.4 / 5GHz band and BT cannot simultaneous transmit.

Page 22 Rev. 00



10.3 EUT Tune-up Procedures and Test Mode

The following procedure had been used to prepare the EUT for the SAR test.

- The client supplied a special driver to program the EUT, allowing it to continually transmit the specified maximum power and change the channel frequency.
- o The output power(dBm) we measured before SAR test in different channel
- O During SAR testing, test the highest output power channel for each band.

Page 23 Rev. 00



11. RF Output Power Measurement

11.1 Bluetooth:

		Frequency	Conducted Power						
Mode	Channel	(MHz)	Peak (dBm)	Peak (mW)	Average (dBm)	Average (mW)			
	0	2402	1.08	1.3	-0.35	0.9			
DH5	39	2441	2.04	1.6	0.52	1.1			
	78	2480	2.55	1.8	1.04	1.3			
	0	2402	1.65	1.5	-2.86	0.5			
3DH5	39	2441	2.65	1.8	-2.04	0.6			
	78	2480	3.18	2.1	-1.55	0.7			

Note(s):

Bluetooth maximum output power 1.04dBm (1.27mW) is less than 24.580mW (60/f), so SAR isn't required.

11.2 2.4GHz Band:

Band (GHz)	Mode	Data rate (Mbps)	Ch#	Freq. (MHz)	Target Pwr (dBm)	Avg. Pwr (dBm)
			1	2412	11.0	10.1
	802.11b	1	6	2437	11.0	10.4
			11	2462	11.0	11.0
	802.11g	6	1	2412	12.5	11.5
2.4			6	2437	12.5	11.8
			11	2462	12.5	12.4
			1	2412	10.0	8.8
	802.11n	MSC-0	6	2437	10.0	9.3
			11	2462	10.0	9.7

Page 24 Rev. 00



11.3 WiFi 5GHz Band:

Mode	Band (GHz)	Data rate (Mbps)	Ch#	Freq. (MHz)	Target Pwr (dBm)	Avg Pwr (dBm)
			36	5180	12.0	12.0
	5.2	6	40	5200	12.0	12.0
	3.2	6	44	5220	12.0	11.7
			48	5240	12.0	12.0
			52	5260	12.0	11.5
	5.3	6	56	5280	12.0	10.6
	3.3	6	60	5300	12.0	11.8
			64	5320	12.0	11.8
802.11a			100	5500	9.0	8.5
			104	5520	9.0	8.9
			108	5540	9.0	8.9
	5.5	6	112	5560	9.0	8.9
	3.3		116	5580	9.0	8.7
			132	5660	9.0	8.9
			136	5680	9.0	8.9
			140	5700	9.0	6.8
			149	5745	12.0	11.9
		6	153	5765	12.0	11.9
	5.8		157	5785	12.0	11.8
			161	5805	12.0	11.9
			165	5825	12.0	11.6
		MSC-0	36	5180	11.0	11.0
	5.0		40	5200	11.0	11.0
	5.2		44	5220	11.0	10.7
			48	5240	11.0	11.0
			52	5260	11.0	11.0
	5.2	Maga	56	5280	11.0	10.6
	5.3	MSC-0	60	5300	11.0	11.0
			64	5320	11.0	10.8
			100	5500	8.0	8.0
			104	5520	8.0	8.0
802.11n			108	5540	8.0	7.9
	<i>5 5</i>	MSCO	112	5560	8.0	7.5
	5.5	MSC-0	116	5580	8.0	7.0
			132	5660	8.0	7.5
			136	5680	8.0	7.5
			140	5700	8.0	7.3
			149	5745	13.5	13.4
			153	5765	13.5	13.4
	5.8	MSC-0	157	5785	13.5	13.4
			161	5805	13.5	13.4
			165	5825	13.5	13.4

Page 25 Rev. 00



12. SAR Measurements Results

12.1 Wi-Fi (2.4GHz Band):

14.1 **	2.1 WI-11 (2.40112 Dand).										
Test Position	Band	Antenna	Channel	Dist. (mm)	1g SAR (W/kg)	10g SAR (W/kg)	Power Target	(dBm) Measure	Reported SAR		
Front Side	802.11b	Chain 0	11	0	0.092	0.049	11.0	11.0	0.092		
Rear Side	802.11b	Chain 0	11	0	0.124	0.065	11.0	11.0	0.124(3)		
Rear Side	802.11b	Chain 0	11	0	0.057	0.033	11.0	11.0	0.057 ⁽³⁾		
Front Side	802.11g	Chain 0	11	0	0.023	0.013	12.5	12.4	0.024		
Rear Side	802.11g	Chain 0	11	0	0.064	0.033	12.5	12.4	0.065		

Note(s):

- 1. The device only support packet switch without circuit switch, therefore the head SAR is not required.
- 2. The device without hotspot function, therefore the front side and rear bottom is required.
- 3. Reported SAR 0.124 is Using Battery Sample 1, Reported SAR 0.057 is Using Battery Sample 2, Battery Sample 1 is worst.

12.2 Wi-Fi (5.2GHz Band):

Test	Band	Antenna	Channel	Dist.	1g SAR	10g SAR	Power	,	Reported
Position	Dunu	7 THECH II II	Спаппсі	(m m)	(W/kg)	(W/kg)	Target	Measure	SAR
Front	802.11a	Chain 0	40	0	0.164	0.071	12.0	12.0	0.164
Side	602.11a	Chain 0	40	U	0.104	0.071	12.0	12.0	0.104
Front	902 11.	Chain 0	44	0	0.204	0.001	12.0	11.7	0.210
Side	802.11a	Chain 0	44	U	0.204	0.081	12.0	11./	0.219
Rear	902.11.	Chain 0	40	0	0.702	0.211	12.0	12.0	0.702
Side	802.11a	Chain 0	40	0	0.703	0.211	12.0	12.0	0.703
Rear	902.11.	Chain 0	4.4	0	0.745	0.296	12.0	117	0.709
Side	802.11a	Chain 0	44	0	0.745	0.286	12.0	11.7	0.798

Note(s):

- 1. The device only support packet switch without circuit switch, therefore the head SAR is not required.
- 2. The device without hotspot function, therefore the front side and rear bottom is required.

Page 26 Rev. 00



12.3 Wi-Fi (5.3GHz Band):

Test	Band	Antenna	Channel	Dist.	1g SAR	10g SAR	Power	,	Reported
Position	Банц	Antenna	Спаппет	(m m)	(W/kg)	(W/kg)	Target	Measure	SAR
Front	802.11a	Chain 0	56	0	0.237	0.098	12.0	10.6	0.327
Side	002.11a	Cham o	30	U	0.237	0.070	12.0	10.0	0.327
Front	802.11a	Chain 0	60	0	0.232	0.095	12.0	11.8	0.243
Side	602.11a	Chain o	00	U	0.232	0.093	12.0	11.0	0.243
Rear	802.11a	Chain 0	56	0	0.745	0.275	12.0	10.6	1.028(3)
Side	602.11a	Chain 0	30	U	0.743	0.273	12.0	10.0	1.028
Rear	802.11a	Chain 0	52	0	0.642	0.246	12.0	11.5	0.720
Side	002.11a	Chain 0	32	U	0.042	0.240	12.0	11.5	0.720
Rear	802.11a	Chain 0	64	0	0.610	0.266	12.0	11.8	0.639
Side	602.11a	Chain o	04	U	0.010	0.200	12.0	11.0	0.039
Rear	802.11a	Chain 0	60	0	0.693	0.262	12.0	11.8	0.726
Side	602.11a	Chain o	00	U	0.093	0.202	12.0	11.0	0.720
Rear	902.11.	Chain 0	5.6	0	0.000(3)	0.122	12.0	10.6	0.468 ⁽³⁾
Side	802.11a	Chain 0	56	0	$0.339^{(3)}$	0.132	12.0	10.6	0.468

Note(s):

- 1. The device only support packet switch without circuit switch, therefore the head SAR is not required.
- 2. The device without hotspot function, therefore the front side and rear bottom is required.
- 3. Reported SAR 1.028 is Using Battery Sample 1, Reported SAR 0.339 is Using Battery Sample 2, Battery Sample 1 is worst.

12.4 Wi-Fi (5.5GHz Band):

Test	Band	Antenna	Channel	Dist.	1g SAR	10g SAR	Power	(dBm)	Reported
Position	Danu	Antenna	Спаппет	(m m)	(W/kg)	(W/kg)	Target	M easure	SAR
Front Side	802.11a	Chain 0	100	0	0.144	0.046	9.0	8.5	0.162
Front Side	802.11a	Chain 0	112	0	0.131	0.053	9.0	8.9	0.134
Front Side	802.11a	Chain 0	132	0	0.076	0.029	9.0	8.9	0.078
Rear Side	802.11a	Chain 0	100	0	0.552	0.210	9.0	8.5	0.619
Rear Side	802.11a	Chain 0	112	0	0.452	0.168	9.0	8.9	0.463
Rear Side	802.11a	Chain 0	132	0	0.409	0.157	9.0	8.9	0.419

Note(s):

- 1. The device only support packet switch without circuit switch, therefore the head SAR is not required.
- 2. The device without hotspot function, therefore the front side and rear bottom is required.

Page 27 Rev. 00



12.5 Wi-Fi (5.8GHz Band):

Test	Band	Antenna	Channel	Dist.	1g SAR	10g SAR	Power	(dBm)	Reported
Position	Danu	Antenna	Спаппет	(m m)	(W/kg)	(W/kg)	Target	M easure	SAR
Front	802.11a	Chain 0	149	0	0.064	0.023	12.0	11.9	0.065
Side			-						
Front Side	802.11a	Chain 0	157	0	0.090	0.030	12.0	11.8	0.094
Front Side	802.11a	Chain 0	165	0	0.076	0.030	12.0	11.6	0.083
Front Side	802.11n HT20	Chain 0	149	0	0.086	0.034	13.5	13.4	0.088
Front Side	802.11n HT20	Chain 0	165	0	0.116	0.044	13.5	13.4	0.119
Rear Side	802.11a	Chain 0	149	0	0.476	0.168	12.0	11.9	0.487
Rear Side	802.11a	Chain 0	157	0	0.476	0.175	12.0	11.8	0.498
Rear Side	802.11a	Chain 0	165	0	0.516	0.187	12.0	11.6	0.566
Rear Side	802.11n HT20	Chain 0	149	0	0.574	0.212	13.5	13.4	0.587
Rear Side	802.11n HT20	Chain 0	165	0	0.767	0.283	13.5	13.4	0.785


Note(s):

- 1. The device only support packet switch without circuit switch, therefore the head SAR is not required.
- 2. The device without hotspot function, therefore the front side and rear bottom is required.

Page 28 Rev. 00



13. Antenna Locations & Separation Distances



Page 29 Rev. 00



14. Equipment list & calibration status

Name of Equipment	Manufacture r	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration Cycle(year)	Calibration Due
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	E8358A	US40260243	1	07/03/2013
Electronic Probe kit	Hewlett Packard	85070D	N/A	N/A	N/A
Power Meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1012009	1	04/26/2013
Power Sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	917072	1	04/26/2013
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	E4446A	US42510252	1	12/09/2013
Wireless Communication Test Set	Agilent	E5515C 8960	MY48363204	1	09/12/2013
Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	SPEAG	DAE4	877	1	03/15/2013
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3665	1	4/26/2013
2450 MHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	728	1	11/19/2013
5GHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1004	1	11/15/2013
Robot	Staubli	RX60L	F02/5T69A1/A/0	N/A	N/A
Amplifier	Mini-Circuit	ZVE-8G	665500309	N/A	N/A
Amplifier	Mini-Circuit	ZHL- 1724HLN	D072602#2	N/A	N/A
DC Power generator	ABM	8301HD	N/A	N/A	N/A
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	348	N/A	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom V4.0	SPEAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devices Holder	SPEAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Muscle 2450 MHz	CCS	M 2450A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Muscle 5GHz	SPEAG	M5GHzA	N/A	N/A	N/A

Page 30 Rev. 00

15. Facilities

All measurement facilities used to collect the measurement data are located at

☐ No. 81-1, Lane 210, Bade Rd. 2, Luchu Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

☐ No.11, Wugong 6th Rd., Wugu Dist., New Taipei City 24891, Taiwan. (R.O.C.)

☐ No. 199, Chunghsen Road, Hsintien City, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

16. Reference

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environ-mental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-_eld probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120{124.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-_eld probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172{175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865{1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10

Page 31 Rev. 00



17. Attachments

Exhibit	Content
1	System performance check plots
2	SAR test plots for 2.4GHz
3	SAR test plots for 5.2GHz
4	SAR test plots for 5.3GHz
5	SAR test plots for 5.5GHz
6	SAR test plots for 5.8GHz
7	SAR_DAE4_sn877_20120316
8	SAR_Probe_EX3DV4_sn3665_20120427
9	SAR_Dipole_D2450v2_sn728_20121120
10	SAR_Dipole_D5GHz_sn1004_20121116
11	T121023W02-SF PHOTOs
12	Thermometer

END OF REPORT

Page 32 Rev. 00