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## EXHIBIT 1 <br> SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

### 1.0 Summary of Test

Microsoft Mobile Oy - MODEL:
DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
FCC ID: PYADT-904

| TEST | REFERENCE | RESULTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Max. Output power | $15.247(\mathrm{~b})(3)$ | Pass |
| 6 dB Bandwidth | $15.247(\mathrm{a})(2)$ | Pass |
| Max. Power Density | $15.247(\mathrm{e})$ | Pass |
| Out of Band Antenna Conducted Emission | $15.247(\mathrm{~d})$ | Pass |
| Radiated Emission in Restricted Bands | $15.247(\mathrm{~d})$ | Pass |
| AC Conducted Emission | 15.207 | Pass |
| Antenna Requirement | 15.203 | Pass (See Notes) |

Notes: The EUT uses Integral Antenna which in accordance to Section 15.203 is considered sufficient to comply with the provisions of this section.

### 1.1 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is an application for certification of Bluetooth 4.0 LE portion for the Wireless Charging Plate.
Remaining portions are subject to the following procedures:

1. Wireless Charging Function (110-150KHz): $150728037 S Z N-001$

## EXHIBIT 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

### 2.0 General Description

### 2.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is a Wireless Charging Plate with BT4.0 LE function operating in $2402-2480 \mathrm{MHz}$. The EUT is powered by DC 5.2V. For more detail information pls. refer to the user manual.

Antenna Type: Integral antenna
Bluetooth Version: 4.0 BLE
Modulation Type: GFSK
For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf.

### 2.2 Test Methodology

Both AC mains line-conducted and radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.10: 2013 and KDB 558074 v03r03. Radiated emission measurement was performed in semi-anechoic chamber and conducted emission measurement was performed in shield room. For radiated emission measurement, preliminary scans were performed in the semi-anechoic chamber only to determine the worst case modes. All radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application.

### 2.3 Test Facility

The Semi-Anechoic chamber and shield room used to collect the radiated data and conducted data are Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Kejiyuan Branch and located at 6F, Block D, Huahan Building, Langshan Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, P. R. China. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC (Registration Number: 242492).

## EXHIBIT 3

## SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

### 3.0 System Test Configuration

3.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.10: 2013.

The EUT was powered by DC 5.2 V from adapter(Adapter Input: $120 \mathrm{~V} / 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) during the test. Only the worst case data was reported.

All packets DH1, DH3 \& DH5 mode in all modulation types GFSK were tested, and only the worst data was reported in this report.

For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through $360^{\circ}$, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.0.

The rear of unit was flushed with the rear of the table when it was powered by adapter up to 1 GHz and placed in the centre of turntable above 1 GHz .

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was placed on a turn table, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

### 3.2 EUT Exercising Software

No software is used.
3.3 Special Accessories

No Special Accessory attached.

### 3.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Microsoft Mobile Oy will be incorporated in each production model sold / leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Kejiyuan Branch.
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### 3.5 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.
3.6 Support Equipment List and Description

This product was tested in the following configuration:
Refer List:

| Description | Manufacturer | Model No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobile Phone | Nokia | Lumia 820 |
| Adapter | Nokia | AC-60C |

## EXHIBIT 4

## TEST RESULTS
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Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)

### 4.0 Measurement Results

4.1 Maximum Conducted Output Power at Antenna Terminals, FCC Rules 15.247(b)(3):

The antenna port of the EUT was connected to the input of a broadband peak RF power meter. The power meter have a Resolution bandwidth that is greater than OBW and utilize a fast-responding diode detector. Power was read directly at the EUT antenna terminals

For antennas with gains of 6 dBi or less, maximum allowed Transmitter output is 1 watt (+30 dBm).

| Bluetooth 4.0 (Antenna Gain = 4.06dBi) (GFSK, 1Mbps) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency (MHz) | Output in dBm | Output in mWatt |
| Low Channel: 2402 | -3.51 | 0.45 |
| Middle Channel: 2440 | -4.05 | 0.39 |
| High Channel: 2480 | -4.85 | 0.33 |

Cable loss: $\underline{0.5} \mathrm{~dB}$ External Attenuation: 0 dB
Cable loss, external attenuation has been included in OFFSET function

EUT max. output level $=-3.51 \mathrm{dBm}$
For RF Exposure, the information is saved with filename: RF exposure.pdf.

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
4.2 Minimum 6 dB RF Bandwidth, FCC Rule 15.247(a)(2):

The antenna port of the EUT was connected to the input of a spectrum analyzer. Analyzer RES BW was set to 100 KHz according to FCC KDB 558074. For each RF output channel investigated, the spectrum analyzer center frequency was set to the channel carrier. A PEAK output reading was taken, a DISPLAY line was drawn 6 dB lower than PEAK level. The 6dB bandwidth was determined from where the channel output spectrum intersected the display line.

Limit: The 6 dB Bandwidth is at least 500 kHz .

| Bluetooth $4.0(\mathrm{GFSK}, 1 \mathrm{Mbps})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Frequency (MHz) | 6 dB Bandwidth $(\mathrm{MHz})$ |
| Low Channel: 2402 | 0.699 |
| Middle Channel: 2440 | 0.719 |
| High Channel: 2480 | 0.739 |

The test plots are attached as below.
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GFSK:
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Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)

### 4.3 Maximum Power Density Reading, FCC Rule 15.247(e):

The Measurement Procedure PKPSD was set according to the FCC KDB 558074.
Antenna output of the EUT was coupled directly to spectrum analyzer; if an external attenuator and/or cable was used, these losses are compensated for with the analyzer OFFSET function.

Limit: The Power Density does not exceed 8dBm/ 3 kHz.

| Bluetooth 4.0 (GFSK, 1Mbps) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Frequency (MHz) | Power Density with RBW 100KHz (dBm) |
| Low Channel: 2402 | -4.35 |
| Middle Channel: 2440 | -4.88 |
| High Channel: 2480 | -5.52 |

The test plots are attached as below.

GFSK:




Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)

### 4.4 Out of Band Conducted Emissions, FCC Rule 15.247(d)

In any 100 kHz bandwidth outside the frequency band in which the spread spectrum or digitally modulated intentional radiator is operating, the radio frequency power that is produced by the intentional radiator shall be at least 20 dB below that in the 100 kHz bandwidth within the band that contains the highest level of the desired power, based on either an RF conducted or a radiated measurement. The Measurement Procedure was set according to the FCC KDB 558074.

Refer to the attached test plots for out of band conducted emissions data with rate of 1 Mbps for Bluetooth 4.0.

The test plots showed all spurious emission up to the tenth harmonic was measured and they were found to be at least 20 dB below the highest level of the desired power in the passband.

The test plots are attached as below.

Channel 0 (2402MHz) Reference Level: -4.35 dBm
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Channel 19 (2440MHz) Reference Level: - 4.88 dBm
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Spectrum

| Ref Level | 10.50 dBm | Offset | 0.50 dB RBW 100 kHz |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Att | 20 dB | SWT | 80 ms RBW | VBW 300 kHz | Mode Auto Sweep
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Channel 39 ( 2480 MHz ) Reference Level: -5.52 dBm
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Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)

### 4.5 Out of Band Radiated Emissions (for emissions in 4.4 above that are less than 20dB below carrier), FCC Rule 15.247(d):

For out of band emissions that are close to or that exceed the 20 dB attenuation requirement described in the specification, radiated measurements were performed at a 3 m separation distance to determine whether these emissions complied with the general radiated emission requirement.
[×] Not required, since all emissions are more than 20dB below fundamental
[ ] See attached data sheet
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Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015 Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
4.6 Transmitter Radiated Emissions in Restricted Bands, FCC Rule 15.35(b), (c):

Data is included of the worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included. All measurements were performed with peak detection unless otherwise specified.

The data on the following pages list the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance.

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)

### 4.7 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.
$F S=R A+A F+C F-A G+P D$
Where $\quad \mathrm{FS}=$ Field Strength in $\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m}$
RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in $\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V}$
$\mathrm{CF}=$ Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
$A F=$ Antenna Factor in $d B$
$A G=$ Amplifier Gain in dB
$\mathrm{PD}=$ Pulse Desensitization in dB
In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:
$F S=R A+A F+C F-A G+P D$

## Example

Assume a receiver reading of $62.0 \mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V}$ is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB . The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 $\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m}$. This value in $\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m}$ was converted to its corresponding level in $\mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m}$.
$\mathrm{RA}=62.0 \mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{AF}=7.4 \mathrm{~dB}$
$C F=1.6 \mathrm{~dB}$
$A G=29.0 \mathrm{~dB}$
$\mathrm{PD}=0 \mathrm{~dB}$
$\mathrm{FS}=62+7.4+1.6-29+0=42 \mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$
Level in $\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{m}=$ Common Antilogarithm $[(42 \mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}) / 20]=125.9 \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)

### 4.8 Radiated Spurious Emission

The simultaneous transmission spurious was considered.
Worst Case Radiated Spurious Emission at 49.860 MHz is passed by 5.5 dB margin.
For the electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photographs are saved with filename: radiated photos.pdf.

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
Worst Case Operating Mode: BT Link with wireless Charging
Table 1
Radiated Emissions

| Polarization | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Reading <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V})$ | Pre- <br> Amp <br> Gain <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Antenna <br> Factor <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Net <br> at 3 m <br> $(\mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Limit <br> at 3 m <br> $(\mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horizontal | 30.000 | 39.1 | 20.0 | 5.6 | 24.7 | 40.0 | -15.3 |
| Horizontal | 159.960 | 37.0 | 20.0 | 8.4 | 25.4 | 43.5 | -18.1 |
| Horizontal | 441.765 | 35.9 | 20.0 | 11.7 | 27.6 | 46.0 | -18.4 |
| Vertical | 30.000 | 29.6 | 20.0 | 18.3 | 27.9 | 40.0 | -12.1 |
| Vertical | 49.860 | 38.8 | 20.0 | 15.7 | 34.5 | 40.0 | -5.5 |
| Vertical | 159.980 | 43.3 | 20.0 | 5.4 | 28.7 | 43.5 | -14.8 |

NOTES: 1. Quasi-Peak detector is used except for others stated.
2. All measurements were made at 3 meters. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3 -meter distances were measured at 0.3 -meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3 -meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.
4. All emissions are below the QP limit.

Test Engineer: Leo Lai

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
Mode: BT transmitting with wireless charging
Table 2 (2402MHz)

## Radiated Emissions

| Polarization | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Reading <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V})$ | Pre- <br> Amp <br> Gain <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Antenna <br> Factor <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Net <br> at 3m <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Peak Limit <br> at 3m <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 2390.000$ | 73.6 | 36.5 | 28.1 | 65.2 | 74.0 | -8.8 |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 4804.000$ | 56.9 | 36.1 | 33.1 | 53.9 | 74.0 | -20.1 |


| Polarization | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Reading <br> Average <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V})$ | Pre- <br> Amp <br> Gain <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Antenna <br> Factor <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Net <br> at 3 m <br> $(\mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Average <br> Limit <br> at 3 m <br> $(\mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 2390.000$ | 53.5 | 36.5 | 28.1 | 45.1 | 54.0 | -8.9 |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 4804.000$ | 44.3 | 36.1 | 33.1 | 41.3 | 54.0 | -12.7 |

Notes: 1. Peak detector Data unless otherwise stated. Above 1000 MHz , $R B W=1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{VBW}=3 \mathrm{MHz}$ is used for Peak measurement, RBW $=1 \mathrm{MHz}$, VBW $=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ is used for Average value.
2. All measurements were made at 3 meters. Radiated emissions not detected at the 3 -meter distance were measured at 0.3 -meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3 -meter limit. No other radiated emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.
4. Horn antenna used for the emission over 1000 MHz .

* Emission within the restricted band meets the requirement of section 15.205 and RSS Gen (issue 4) - 8.10. The corresponding limit as per 15.209 and RSS Gen (issue 4) - 8.9 is based on Quasi peak limit for frequencies below 1000 MHz and average limit for frequencies over 1000 MHz . The radio frequency emissions above 1 GHz also meet corresponding 20 dB permitted peak limit with a peak detector function.

Test Engineer: Leo Lai

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
Mode: BT transmitting with wireless charging
Table 3(2440MHz)

## Radiated Emissions

| Polarization | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Reading <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V})$ | Pre- <br> Amp <br> Gain <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Antenna <br> Factor <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Net <br> at 3m <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Peak Limit <br> at 3m <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 4880.000$ | 56.2 | 36.1 | 33.3 | 53.4 | 74.0 | -20.6 |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 7320.000$ | 50.2 | 36.2 | 37.9 | 51.9 | 74.0 | -22.1 |


| Polarization | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Reading <br> Average <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V})$ | Pre- <br> Amp <br> Gain <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Antenna <br> Factor <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Net <br> at $3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$ <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Average <br> Limit <br> at 3 m <br> $(\mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 4880.000$ | 45.2 | 36.1 | 33.3 | 42.4 | 54.0 | -11.6 |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 7320.000$ | 41.1 | 36.2 | 37.9 | 42.8 | 54.0 | -11.2 |

Notes: 1. Peak detector Data unless otherwise stated. Above 1000 MHz , $R B W=1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{VBW}=3 \mathrm{MHz}$ is used for Peak measurement, $\mathrm{RBW}=1 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{VBW}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ is used for Average value.
2. All measurements were made at 3 meters. Radiated emissions not detected at the 3 -meter distance were measured at 0.3 -meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3 -meter limit. No other radiated emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.
4. Horn antenna used for the emission over 1000 MHz .

* Emission within the restricted band meets the requirement of section 15.205 and RSS Gen (issue 4) - 8.10. The corresponding limit as per 15.209 and RSS Gen (issue 4) - 8.9 is based on Quasi peak limit for frequencies below 1000 MHz and average limit for frequencies over 1000 MHz . The radio frequency emissions above 1 GHz also meet corresponding 20dB permitted peak limit with a peak detector function.

Test Engineer: Leo Lai

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
Mode: BT transmitting with wireless charging
Table 4(2480MHz)

## Radiated Emissions

| Polarization | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Reading <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V})$ | Pre- <br> Amp <br> Gain <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Antenna <br> Factor <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Net <br> at 3m <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Peak Limit <br> at $3 \mathrm{~m} /$ <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 2483.500$ | 63.5 | 36.7 | 28.6 | 55.4 | 74.0 | -18.6 |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 4960.000$ | 56.3 | 36.1 | 33.4 | 53.6 | 74.0 | -20.4 |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 7440.000$ | 51.1 | 36.2 | 38.2 | 53.1 | 74.0 | -20.9 |


| Polarization | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MH}-\mathrm{z})$ | Reading <br> Average <br> $(\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{V})$ | Pre- <br> Amp <br> Gain <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Antenna <br> Factor <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Net <br> at 3 m <br> $(\mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Average <br> Limit <br> at 3 m <br> $(\mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 2483.500$ | 50.0 | 36.7 | 28.6 | 41.9 | 54.0 | -12.1 |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 4960.000$ | 44.8 | 36.1 | 33.4 | 42.1 | 54.0 | -11.9 |
| Vertical | ${ }^{*} 7440.000$ | 40.4 | 36.2 | 38.2 | 42.4 | 54.0 | -11.6 |

Notes: 1. Peak detector Data unless otherwise stated. Above 1000 MHz , $R B W=1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{VBW}=3 \mathrm{MHz}$ is used for Peak measurement, $\mathrm{RBW}=1 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{VBW}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ is used for Average value.
2. All measurements were made at 3 meters. Radiated emissions not detected at the 3 -meter distance were measured at 0.3 -meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3 -meter limit. No other radiated emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.
4. Horn antenna used for the emission over 1000 MHz .

* Emission within the restricted band meets the requirement of section 15.205 and RSS Gen (issue 4) - 8.10. The corresponding limit as per 15.209 and RSS Gen (issue 4) - 8.9 is based on Quasi peak limit for frequencies below 1000 MHz and average limit for frequencies over 1000 MHz . The radio frequency emissions above 1 GHz also meet corresponding 20dB permitted peak limit with a peak detector function.

Test Engineer: Leo Lai

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 4.9 Conducted Emission

Worst Case Live-Conducted emission at 4.462 MHz is Passed by 7.2 dB margin

For electronic filing, the worst case conducted emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: conducted photos.pdf.

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
Worst Case Operating Mode: BT Link

## Conducted Emission Test - FCC

Pursuant to 15.207 Emissions Requirement


## Limit and Margin QP

| Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | QuasiPeak <br> $(\mathrm{dBuV})$ | Line | Corr. <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Limit <br> $(\mathrm{dBuV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.474000 | 43.4 | L 1 | 9.9 | 13.0 | 56.4 |
| 0.604500 | 43.4 | L 1 | 10.0 | 12.6 | 56.0 |
| 1.654000 | 40.7 | L 1 | 9.9 | 15.4 | 56.0 |
| 2.678000 | 42.6 | L 1 | 10.0 | 13.4 | 56.0 |
| 4.462000 | 46.9 | L 1 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 56.0 |
| 25.598000 | 33.7 | L1 | 10.3 | 26.3 | 60.0 |

## Limit and Margin AV

| Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Average <br> $(\mathrm{dBuV})$ | Line | Corr. <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Limit <br> $(\mathrm{dBuV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.474000 | 32.8 | L 1 | 9.9 | 13.6 | 46.4 |
| 0.604500 | 35.7 | L 1 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 46.0 |
| 1.654000 | 30.3 | L 1 | 9.9 | 15.7 | 46.0 |
| 2.678000 | 32.0 | L 1 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 46.0 |
| 4.462000 | 38.8 | L 1 | 10.0 | 7.2 | 46.0 |
| 25.598000 | 29.1 | L 1 | 10.3 | 20.9 | 50.0 |

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
Worst Case Operating Mode: BT Link

## Conducted Emission Test - FCC

Pursuant to 15.207 Emissions Requirement


## Limit and Margin QP

| Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | QuasiPeak <br> $(\mathrm{dBuV})$ | Line | Corr. <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Limit <br> $(\mathrm{dBuV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.462000 | 39.4 | N | 10.2 | 17.3 | 56.7 |
| 0.550500 | 40.8 | N | 10.3 | 15.2 | 56.0 |
| 1.402000 | 40.7 | N | 10.3 | 15.3 | 56.0 |
| 3.438000 | 40.3 | N | 10.3 | 15.7 | 56.0 |
| 4.206000 | 39.1 | N | 10.3 | 16.9 | 56.0 |
| 25.602000 | 29.4 | N | 10.4 | 30.6 | 60.0 |

## Limit and Margin AV

| Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Average <br> $(\mathrm{dBuV})$ | Line | Corr. <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Margin <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Limit <br> $(\mathrm{dBuV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.462000 | 27.2 | N | 10.2 | 19.5 | 46.7 |
| 0.550500 | 26.0 | N | 10.3 | 20.0 | 46.0 |
| 1.402000 | 27.0 | N | 10.3 | 19.0 | 46.0 |
| 3.438000 | 35.0 | N | 10.3 | 11.0 | 46.0 |
| 4.206000 | 33.2 | N | 10.3 | 12.8 | 46.0 |
| 25.602000 | 26.3 | N | 10.4 | 23.7 | 50.0 |

Applicant: Microsoft Mobile Oy
Date of Test: August 8, 2015
Model: DT-904 (HW: V2.2, MW: V2.0, WLC FW: V0068, BT FW: V1.9)
4.10 Radiated Emissions from Digital Section of Transceiver, FCC Ref: 15.109
[ x ] Not required - No digital part
[ ] Test results are attached
[ ] Included in the separated report.

## EXHIBIT 5

## EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 5.0 Equipment Photographs

For electronic filing, the photographs of the tested EUT are saved with filename: external photos.pdf \& internal photos.pdf.

## EXHIBIT 6

## PRODUCT LABELLING

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 6.0 Product Labelling

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf.

## EXHIBIT 7

## TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 7.0 Technical Specifications

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

## EXHIBIT 8

## INSTRUCTION MANUAL

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 8.0 Instruction Manual

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf.

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.

## EXHIBIT 9

## MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 9.0 Miscellaneous Information

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandedge, the test procedure and calculation of factor such as pulse desensitization.

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 9.1 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

Pulse desensitivity is not applicable for this device. With a resolution bandwidth $(3 \mathrm{~dB})$ of 1 MHz , so the pulse desensitivity factor is 0 dB .

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 9.2 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.10: 2013.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a styrene turntable which is four feet in diameter, up to 1 GHz 0.8 m and above 1 GHz 1.5 m in height above the ground plane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjust through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz , whichever is lower. For line conducted emissions, the range scanned is 150 kHz to 30 MHz .

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 9.2 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.
AC power to the unit is varied from $85 \%$ to $115 \%$ nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements are made as described in ANSI C63.10: 2013.
The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 10 kHz for emission below 30 MHz and 120 kHz for emission from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz . Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. Above 1000 MHz , a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used.

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the restricted bands and above 1 GHz , signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, but those measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.

## EXHIBIT 10

TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

## INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

### 10.0 Test Equipment List

| Equipment No. | Equipment | Manufacturer | Model No. | Serial No. | Cal. Date | Due Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SZ185-01 | EMI Receiver | R\&S | ESCI | 100547 | 07-Feb-2015 | 07-Feb-2016 |
| SZ061-08 | Horn Antenna | ETS | 3115 | 00092346 | 17-Oct-2014 | 17-Oct-2015 |
| EM031-03 | EXA Spectrum Analyzer | R\&S | FSV40 | 101506 | 08-Jul-2015 | 08-Jul-2016 |
| SZ061-06 | Active Loop Antenna | Electro-Metrics | EM-6876 | 217 | 29-Apr-2015 | 29-Apr-2016 |
| SZ181-04 | Preamplifier | Agilent | 8449B | 3008A02474 | 07-Feb-2015 | 07-Feb-2016 |
| SZ188-01 | Anechoic Chamber | ETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RFD-F/A- } \\ 100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4102 | 19-Apr-2014 | 19-Apr-2016 |
| SZ182-02 | RF Power Meter | Anritsu | ML2496A | 1302005 | 20-May-2015 | 20-May-2016 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SZ182-02- } \\ 01 \end{gathered}$ | Pulse Power Sensor | Anritsu | MA2411B | 1207429 | 20-May-2015 | 20-May-2016 |
| SZ062-22 | RF Cable | HUBER+SUH NER | SF104PE | MY1913/4PE | 07-Apr-2015 | 07-Oct-2015 |
| SZ062-23 | RF Cable | $\begin{gathered} \text { HUBER+SUH } \\ \text { NER } \end{gathered}$ | SF104PE | MY4262/4PE | 07-Apr-2015 | 07-Oct-2015 |
| SZ062-26 | RF Cable | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HUBER+SUH } \\ \text { NER } \end{gathered}$ | SF104PE | MY4556/4PE | 27-Jun-2015 | 27-Dec-2015 |
| SZ067-04 | Notch Filter | Micro-Tronics | $\begin{gathered} \text { BRM5070 } \\ 2-02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -- | 20-May-2015 | 20-May-2016 |
| SZ185-02 | EMI Test Receiver | R\&S | ESCI | 100692 | 01-Nov-2014 | 01-Nov-2015 |
| SZ187-01 | Two-Line VNetwork | R\&S | ENV216 | 100072 | 01-Nov-2014 | 01-Nov-2015 |
| SZ187-02 | Two-Line VNetwork | R\&S | ENV216 | 100073 | 24-Jun-2015 | 24-Jun-2016 |
| SZ188-03 | Shielding Room | ETS | RFD-100 | 4100 | 23-Aug-2014 | 23-Aug-2016 |
| SZ065-03 | Bluetooth Tester | R\&S | CBT32 | -- | 07-Feb-2015 | 07-Feb-2016 |
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### 11.0 Annex
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