

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: UL-RPT-RP10295122JD02C V3.0

Manufacturer : Sony Mobile Communications Inc.

FCC ID : PY7PM-0801

Technology : UMTS850 Band V

Test Standard(s) : FCC Part 22

1. This test report shall not be reproduced in full or partial, without the written approval of UL VS LTD.

- 2. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.
- 3. The sample tested is in compliance with the above standard(s).
- 4. The test results in this report are traceable to the national or international standards.

5. Version 3.0 supersedes all previous versions.

Date of Issue: 31 July 2014

Checked by: Soch Williams.

Sarah Williams Engineer, Radio Laboratory

Town Older

Issued by:

pp

John Newell Group Quality Manager Basingstoke, UL VS LTD



This laboratory is accredited by UKAS. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its' terms of accreditation.

Telephone: +44 (0)1256 312000 Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Page 2 of 42 UL VS LTD

Table of Contents

| 1. Customer Information | 4 |
|---|--------------------------------|
| 2. Summary of Testing | 5 5 5 5 |
| 3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.2. Description of EUT 3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT 3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing 3.5. Support Equipment | 6 6 7 7 8 |
| 4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing | 9 9 9 |
| 5. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results 5.1. General Comments 5.2. Test Results 5.2.1. Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) 5.2.2. Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth 5.2.3. Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions 5.2.4. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions 5.2.5. Transmitter Frequency Stability (Temperature Variation) 5.2.6. Transmitter Frequency Stability (Voltage Variation) | 10 11 11 14 25 28 38 40 |
| 6. Measurement Uncertainty | 41 |
| 7. Report Revision History | 42 |

UL VS LTD Page 3 of 42

1. Customer Information

| Company Name: | Sony Mobile Communications Inc. | |
|---------------|--|--|
| Address: | Nya Vattentornet Mobilvägen 10 Lund 22188 Sweden | |

Page 4 of 42 UL VS LTD

2. Summary of Testing

2.1. General Information

| Specification Reference: | 47CFR22 | |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Specification Title: | Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 22 Subpart H (Public Mobile Services) | |
| Site Registration: | 209735 | |
| Location of Testing: | UL VS LTD, Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom | |
| Test Dates: | 28 May 2014 to 02 June 2014 | |

2.2. Summary of Test Results

| FCC Reference (47CFR) | e (47CFR) Measurement | |
|--|--|----------|
| Part 22.913(a)(2) | Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) | |
| Part 2.1049 | Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth | |
| Part 2.1053/22.917 | Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions | |
| Part 2.1053/22.917 | Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions | |
| Part 2.1055/22.355 Transmitter Frequency Stability (Temperature and Voltage Variation) | | Ø |
| Key to Results | | |
| ✓ = Complied | ot comply | |

2.3. Methods and Procedures

| Reference: | ANSI/TIA-603-C-2004 | |
|------------|--|--|
| Title: | Land Mobile Communications Equipment, Measurements and performance Standards | |
| Reference: | FCC KDB 971168 D01 v02r01, 7 June 2013 | |
| Title: | Measurement Guidance for Certification of Licensed Digital Transmitters | |

2.4. Deviations from the Test Specification

For the measurements contained within this test report, there were no deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specification identified above.

UL VS LTD Page 5 of 42

3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

| Brand Name: | Sony |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| IMEI: | 004402452750601 (Radiated sample) |
| Test Sample Serial Number: | CB5A1Z1S11 |
| Hardware Version Number: | A |
| Software Version Number: | 23.0.A.0.204 |
| FCC ID: | PY7PM-0801 |

| Brand Name: | Sony |
|----------------------------|--|
| IMEI: | 004402452752664 (Conducted sample with RF port #1) |
| Test Sample Serial Number: | CB5A1Z1S0N |
| Hardware Version Number: | A |
| Software Version Number: | 23.0.A.0.204 |
| FCC ID: | PY7PM-0801 |

| Brand Name: | Sony |
|----------------------------|--|
| IMEI: | 004402452752649 (Conducted sample with RF port #2) |
| Test Sample Serial Number: | CB5A1Z1S1P |
| Hardware Version Number: | A |
| Software Version Number: | 23.0.A.0.204 |
| FCC ID: | PY7PM-0801 |

| Brand Name: | Sony |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Description: | AC Charger |
| Model Name or Number: | EP880 |

| Brand Name: | Generic |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Description: | MHL Cable |
| Model Name or Number: | Not marked |

| Brand Name: | Sony |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Description: | MHL Adaptor |
| Model Name or Number: | IM750 |

Page 6 of 42 UL VS LTD

Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT) (continued)

| Brand Name: | Sony |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Description: | USB Cable |
| Model Name or Number: | EC803 |

| Brand Name: | Sony |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Description: | Deskstand |
| Model Name or Number: | DK43 |

| Brand Name: | Sony |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Description: | PHF |
| Model Name or Number: | MH410c |

3.2. Description of EUT

The equipment under test (EUT) was a GSM/WCDMA/LTE Phone + Bluetooth, DTS/UNII a/b/g/n/ac + NFC & ANT+.

3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

No modifications were applied to the EUT during testing.

UL VS LTD Page 7 of 42

3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing

| Technology Tested: | UMTS850 | UMTS850 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Type of Radio Device: | Transceiver | | | | | | |
| Mode: | UMTS FDD V | | | | | | |
| Modulation Type: | QPSK / 8PSK | | | | | | |
| Channel Spacing: | 5 MHz | | | | | | |
| Power Supply Requirement(s): | Nominal | 3.8 V | | | | | |
| | Minimum | 3.42 V | | | | | |
| | Maximum | 4.18 V | | | | | |
| Maximum Output Power (ERP): | Voice (12.2 kbps) | Voice (12.2 kbps) 19.8 dBm | | | | | |
| | HSDPA Sub-Test 2 | 21.4 dBm | | | | | |
| | HSUPA Sub-Test 3 | 21.5 dBm | | | | | |
| Transmit Frequency Range: | 824 to 849 MHz | | | | | | |
| Transmit Channels Tested: | Channel ID Channel Number Channel Frequency (MHz) | | | | | | |
| | Bottom | 4132 826.4 | | | | | |
| | Middle 4183 836.6 | | | | | | |
| | Тор | 4233 | 846.6 | | | | |

3.5. Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

| Description: | 2 GB Micro SD Card |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Brand Name: | SanDisk |
| Model Name or Number: | Not marked |

| Description: | 22" High Definition Television |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Brand Name: | Logik |
| Model Name or Number: | L22FE12A |
| Serial Number: | 1309020661 |

| Description: | Voltage variation jig |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Brand Name: | Not marked |
| Model Name or Number: | Not marked |
| Serial Number: | Not marked |

Page 8 of 42 UL VS LTD

4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing

4.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

- · Constantly transmitting at full power on bottom, middle and top channels as required.
- Occupied bandwidth, ERP and band edge tests were performed with the EUT in Voice (12.2 kbps), HSDPA (Sub-tests 1 to 4) or HSUPA (Sub-tests 1 to 5) modes.
- Transmitter radiated spurious emissions were checked in all modes during pre-scans. Voice / 12.2 kbps was found to be the worst case and all final measurements were performed with the EUT in this mode.

4.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):

- Connected to a Rohde & Schwarz CMW 500 Wideband Radio Communications Tester, operating in UMTS Band V mode.
- Transmitter radiated spurious emission tests were performed with the following configurations, employing all available accessories:
 - Configuration 1 Handset with the AC charger, USB Cable, MHL cable (terminated in to a television), MHL adaptor and PHF.
 - Configuration 2 Handset with the AC charger, USB Cable, Deskstand and PHF.

Pre-scans below 1 GHz were performed in both configurations 1 and 2, with final measurements limited to the configuration which provided worst case results. Pre-scans above 1 GHz were performed in the configuration that employed the most accessories (Configuration 1), with any final measurements being performed in both configurations.

- Testing at temperature and voltage extremes was performed using a voltage variation jig and adaptor supplied by the customer. The adaptor plugs onto the handset in place of the battery connector.
- The voltage variation jig and adaptor were used for conducted measurements set at the nominal voltage.
- The conducted sample with IMEI 004402452752664 was used for frequency stability measurements.
- The conducted sample with IMEI 004402452752649 was used for conducted power and occupied bandwidth measurements.
- The radiated sample with IMEI 004402452750601 was used for all other measurements.

UL VS LTD Page 9 of 42

5. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

5.1. General Comments

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to *Section 6. Measurement Uncertainty* for details.

In accordance with UKAS requirements all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule. All equipment was within the calibration period on the date of testing.

Page 10 of 42 UL VS LTD

5.2. Test Results

5.2.1. Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP)

Test Summary:

| Test Engineer: | David Doyle | Test Date: | 28 May 2014 | |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|--|
| Test Sample IMEI: | 004402452752649 | | | |

| FCC Reference: | Part 22.913(a)(2) |
|-------------------|---|
| Test Method Used: | As detailed in KDB 971168 Section 5.1.1 and 5.2.1 |

Environmental Conditions:

| Temperature (℃): | 25 |
|------------------------|----|
| Relative Humidity (%): | 36 |

Note(s):

- 1. All modes were compared on each channel and the highest power recorded was subtracted from the limit to show the margin.
- The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.
- 3. The customer stated a maximum antenna gain of -5.4 dBi. As the limit is an ERP limit, the gain in dBi has been converted to dBd. The dBd was calculated as:

$$-5.4 \text{ dBi} - 2.15 \text{ dB} = -7.55 \text{ dBd}.$$

4. The antenna gain was added to the conducted output power to obtain the ERP.

Results: Peak ERP / HSDPA and Voice

| Modes | | HSDPA | | | Voice | | | | |
|---------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Sı | ıb-test | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 12.2 kbps | | | |
| Band | Channel | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
| | 4132 | 20.7 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 19.8 | 19.5 | 38.5 | 17.7 | Complied |
| 850 | 4183 | 21.1 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 38.5 | 17.1 | Complied |
| | 4233 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.2 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 38.5 | 17.2 | Complied |
| ßc | | 2 | 11 | 15 | 15 | | | | |
| ßd | | 15 | 15 | 8 | 4 | | | | |
| ΔΑCΚ, Δ | NACK, ∆CQI | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | | |

UL VS LTD Page 11 of 42

Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued)

Results: RMS ERP / HSDPA and Voice

| Modes | | | HSI |)PA | | Voice | | | |
|-------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Sı | ıb-test | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 12.2 kbps | | | |
| Band | Channel | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
| | 4132 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 38.5 | 24.1 | Complied |
| 850 | 4183 | 14.7 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 38.5 | 23.8 | Complied |
| | 4233 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.1 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 38.5 | 23.9 | Complied |
| | ßc | 2 | 11 | 15 | 15 | | | | |
| ßd | | 15 | 15 | 8 | 4 | | | | |
| ΔΑCK, ΔΝΑCK, ΔCQI | | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | | |

Results: Peak ERP / HSUPA

| Modes | | | | HSUP | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Sı | ub-test | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Band | Channel | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
| | 4132 | 20.5 | 20.2 | 20.9 | 19.8 | 20.9 | 38.5 | 17.6 | Complied |
| 850 | 4183 | 21.0 | 20.4 | 21.4 | 20.1 | 21.4 | 38.5 | 17.1 | Complied |
| | 4233 | 21.0 | 20.2 | 21.5 | 19.9 | 21.4 | 38.5 | 17.0 | Complied |
| | ßc | 10 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 15 | | | |
| ßd | | 15 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 1 | | | |
| ΔΑCK, ΔΝΑCK, ΔCQI | | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | |

Results: RMS ERP / HSUPA

| Modes | | | | HSUP | 4 | | | | |
|---------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Sı | ub-test | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Band | Channel | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
| | 4132 | 14.4 | 13.9 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 38.5 | 24.0 | Complied |
| 850 | 4183 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 38.5 | 23.8 | Complied |
| | 4233 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 38.5 | 23.9 | Complied |
| | ßc | 10 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 15 | | | |
| | ßd | 15 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 1 | | | |
| ΔΑCΚ, Δ | NACK, ∆CQI | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | |

Page 12 of 42 UL VS LTD

<u>Transmitter Effective Radiated Power (ERP) (continued)</u> <u>Test Equipment Used:</u>

| Asset No. | Instrument | Manufacturer | Type No. | Serial No. | Date Calibration Due | Cal. Interval (Months) |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| M1656 | Thermohygrometer | JM Handelspunkt | 30.5015.13 | None stated | 14 Mar 2015 | 12 |
| A2533 | Directional Coupler | Atlan TecRF | CDC- 003060-20 | 14041701717 | Calibrated before use | - |
| A2525 | Attenuator | Atlan TecRF | AN18W5- 10 | 832827#3 | Calibrated before use | - |
| L1138 | Signal Analyser | Rohde & Schwarz | FSV13.6 | 101389 | 17 Apr 2015 | 12 |
| M1269 | Multimeter | Fluke | 179 | 90250210 | 19 May 2015 | 12 |
| S0523 | DC Power Supply | TTI | PL320 | 224235 | Calibrated before use | - |

UL VS LTD Page 13 of 42

5.2.2. Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth

Test Summary:

| Test Engineer: | David Doyle | Test Date: | 28 May 2014 |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| Test Sample IMEI: | 004402452752649 | | |

| FCC Reference: | Part 2.1049 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Test Method Used: | As detailed in KBD 971168 Section 4.2 |

Environmental Conditions:

| Temperature (℃): | 25 |
|------------------------|----|
| Relative Humidity (%): | 36 |

Note(s):


- 1. Occupied bandwidth (99% bandwidth) was measured using a signal analyser occupied bandwidth function
- 2. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.

Page 14 of 42 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: Voice / 12.2 kbps

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4167.873 |




Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 15 of 42

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 1

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4167.873 |




Middle Channel

Page 16 of 42 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 2

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4182.344 |



Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 17 of 42

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 3

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4167.873 |



Middle Channel

Page 18 of 42 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 4

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4167.873 |




Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 19 of 42

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 1

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4182.344 |




Middle Channel

Page 20 of 42 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 2

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4167.873 |



Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 21 of 42

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 3

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4182.344 |



Middle Channel

Page 22 of 42 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 4

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4167.873 |




Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 23 of 42

Transmitter Occupied Bandwidth (continued)

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 5

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Occupied Bandwidth (kHz) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Middle | 836.6 | 4167.873 |



Middle Channel

Test Equipment Used:

| Asset No. | Instrument | Manufacturer | Type No. | Serial No. | Date Calibration Due | Cal. Interval (Months) |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| M1656 | Thermohygrometer | JM Handelspunkt | 30.5015.13 | None stated | 14 Mar 2015 | 12 |
| A2533 | Directional Coupler | Atlan TecRF | CDC- 003060-20 | 14041701717 | Calibrated before use | - |
| A2525 | Attenuator | Atlan TecRF | AN18W5- 10 | 832827#3 | Calibrated before use | - |
| L1138 | Signal Analyser | Rohde & Schwarz | FSV13.6 | 101389 | 17 Apr 2015 | 12 |
| M1269 | Multimeter | Fluke | 179 | 90250210 | 19 May 2015 | 12 |
| S0523 | DC Power Supply | TTI | PL320 | 224235 | Calibrated before use | - |

Page 24 of 42 UL VS LTD

5.2.3. Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions

Test Summary:

| Test Engineers: | Andrew Edwards & Georgios Vrezas | Test Dates: | 30 May 2014 & 02 June 2014 |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Test Sample IMEI: | 004402452750601 | | |

| FCC Reference: | Parts 2.1053 & 22.917 |
|-------------------|---|
| Test Method Used: | As detailed in KDB 971168 Section 6.1 referencing FCC Part 2.1053 |
| Frequency Range: | 30 MHz to 9 GHz |
| Configuration: | Voice / 12.2 kbps |

Environmental Conditions:

| Temperature (℃): | 23 to 25 |
|------------------------|----------|
| Relative Humidity (%): | 34 to 37 |

Note(s):


- 1. The uplink traffic channel is shown on the 30 MHz to 1 GHz plot.
- 2. No spurious emissions were detected above the noise floor of the measuring receiver; the highest peak noise floor reading of the measuring receiver was recorded.
- 3. Measurements below 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.
- 4. Pre-scans above 1 GHz were performed in a fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0002) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in the centre of the chamber turntable. All measurement antennas were placed at a fixed height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor, in line with the EUT. Final measurements above 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.


Results: Voice / 12.2 kbps - Top Channel


| Frequency | Peak Level | Limit | Margin | Result |
|-----------|------------|-------|--------|----------|
| (MHz) | (dBm) | (dBm) | (dB) | |
| 3945.892 | -37.2 | -13.0 | 24.2 | Complied |


UL VS LTD Page 25 of 42

Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions (continued)










Page 26 of 42 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Out of Band Radiated Emissions (continued)



Test Equipment Used:

| Asset No. | Instrument | Manufacturer | Type No. | Serial No. | Date Calibration Due | Cal. Interval (Months) |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| A490 | Antenna | Chase | CBL6111A | 1590 | 29 Apr 2015 | 12 |
| A1834 | Attenuator | Hewlett Packard | 8491B | 10444 | 15 Nov 2014 | 12 |
| K0001 | 5m RSE Chamber | Rainford EMC | N/A | N/A | 26 Nov 2014 | 12 |
| G0543 | Amplifier | Sonoma | 310N | 230801 | 19 Aug 2014 | 3 |
| M1622 | Thermohygrometer | JM Handelspunkt | 30.5015.06 | None stated | 31 Dec 2014 | 12 |
| A1393 | Attenuator | Huber & Suhner | 6820.17.B | 757456 | 02 May 2015 | 12 |
| M1273 | Test Receiver | Rohde & Schwarz | ESIB 26 | 100275 | 15 Feb 2015 | 12 |
| M1656 | Thermohygrometer | JM Handelspunkt | 30.5015.13 | None stated | 14 Mar 2015 | 12 |
| K0002 | 3m RSE Chamber | Rainford EMC | N/A | N/A | 14 Nov 2014 | 12 |
| M1124 | Test Receiver | Rohde & Schwarz | ESIB 26 | 100046K | 01 Oct 2014 | 12 |
| A1534 | Pre Amplifier | Hewlett Packard | 8449B | 3008A00405 | 18 May 2015 | 12 |
| A1396 | Attenuator | Huber & Suhner | 6810.17.B | 757987 | 02 May 2015 | 12 |
| A148 | High Pass Filter | AtlanTechRF | 5H036 | 32218 | 17 May 2015 | 12 |
| A1818 | Antenna | EMCO | 3115 | 00075692 | 14 Nov 2014 | 12 |
| A253 | Antenna | Flann Microwave | 12240-20 | 128 | 14 Nov 2014 | 12 |
| A254 | Antenna | Flann Microwave | 14240-20 | 139 | 14 Nov 2014 | 12 |
| A255 | Antenna | Flann Microwave | 16240-20 | 519 | 14 Nov 2014 | 12 |

UL VS LTD Page 27 of 42

ISSUE DATE: 31 JULY 2014

5.2.4. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions

Test Summary:

| Test Engineer: | Georgios Vrezas | Test Date: | 02 June 2014 |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|
| Test Sample IMEI: | 004402452750601 | | |


| FCC Reference: | Parts 2.1053 & 22.917 |
|-------------------|---|
| Test Method Used: | As detailed in KDB 971168 Section 6.1 referencing FCC Part 22.917 |


Environmental Conditions:

| Temperature (℃): | 23 |
|------------------------|----|
| Relative Humidity (%): | 37 |

Results: Voice / 12.2 kbps

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -30.9 | -13.0 | 17.9 | Complied |
| 849 | -30.2 | -13.0 | 17.2 | Complied |
| 849.036 | -29.0 | -13.0 | 16.0 | Complied |







Page 28 of 42 UL VS LTD

Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 1

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -30.9 | -13.0 | 17.9 | Complied |
| 849 | -28.0 | -13.0 | 15.0 | Complied |







UL VS LTD Page 29 of 42

Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 2

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -30.9 | -13.0 | 17.9 | Complied |
| 849 | -28.0 | -13.0 | 15.0 | Complied |






Page 30 of 42 UL VS LTD

Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 3

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -30.9 | -13.0 | 17.9 | Complied |
| 849 | -28.0 | -13.0 | 15.0 | Complied |







UL VS LTD Page 31 of 42

Results: HSDPA Sub-Test 4

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -29.7 | -13.0 | 16.7 | Complied |
| 849 | -29.0 | -13.0 | 16.0 | Complied |







Page 32 of 42 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 1

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -30.9 | -13.0 | 17.9 | Complied |
| 849 | -28.0 | -13.0 | 15.0 | Complied |







UL VS LTD Page 33 of 42

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 2

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -30.9 | -13.0 | 17.9 | Complied |
| 849 | -30.2 | -13.0 | 17.2 | Complied |






Page 34 of 42 UL VS LTD

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 3

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -29.7 | -13.0 | 16.7 | Complied |
| 849 | -27.1 | -13.0 | 14.1 | Complied |






UL VS LTD Page 35 of 42

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 4

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -30.9 | -13.0 | 17.9 | Complied |
| 849 | -30.2 | -13.0 | 17.2 | Complied |







Page 36 of 42 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: HSUPA Sub-Test 5

| Frequency (MHz) | Peak Level (dBm) | Limit (dBm) | Margin (dB) | Result |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 824 | -29.7 | -13.0 | 16.7 | Complied |
| 849 | -29.0 | -13.0 | 16.0 | Complied |





Test Equipment Used:

| Asset No. | Instrument | Manufacturer | Type No. | Serial No. | Date Calibration Due | Cal. Interval (Months) |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| M1656 | Thermohygrometer | JM Handelspunkt | 30.5015.13 | None stated | 14 Mar 2015 | 12 |
| K0002 | 3m RSE Chamber | Rainford EMC | N/A | N/A | 14 Nov 2014 | 12 |
| M1124 | Test Receiver | Rohde & Schwarz | ESIB 26 | 100046K | 01 Oct 2014 | 12 |
| A1393 | Attenuator | Huber & Suhner | 6820.17.B | 757456 | 02 May 2015 | 12 |
| A288 | Antenna | Chase | CBL6111A | 1589 | 20 Aug 2014 | 12 |

UL VS LTD Page 37 of 42

5.2.5. Transmitter Frequency Stability (Temperature Variation)

Test Summary:

| Test Engineer: | Ben Mercer | Test Dates: | 29 May 2014 & 30 May 2014 |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| Test Sample IMEI: | 004402452752664 | | |

| FCC Reference: | Parts 2.1055 & 22.355 |
|-------------------|---|
| Test Method Used: | As detailed in KDB 971168 Section 9.0 referencing ANSI TIA-603-C-2004 Section 2.2.2 and FCC Part 2.1055 |

Environmental Conditions:

| Ambient Temperature (℃): | 24 to 25 |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Ambient Relative Humidity (%): | 36 to 37 |

Note(s):

- 1. A voltage variation jig was connected to the EUT which was powered via a bench power supply at the nominal voltage of 3.8V.
- 2. Frequency error was measured using a calibrated Rohde & Schwarz CMW 500 Universal Radio Communications Tester in accordance with current Rohde & Schwarz application notes. The EUT was connected by suitable RF cables to the CMW 500. A bi-directional communications link was established between the EUT and CMW 500. The frequency meter value was recorded.
- 3. Temperature was monitored throughout the test with a calibrated digital thermometer.

Results: Middle Channel (836.6 MHz)

| Temperature (°C) | Measured Frequency (MHz) | Frequency Error (Hz) | Frequency Error (ppm) | Limit (ppm) | Margin (ppm) | Result |
|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| -30 | 836.599998 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |
| -20 | 836.599996 | 4 | 0.0048 | 2.5 | 2.4952 | Complied |
| -10 | 836.599998 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |
| 0 | 836.599998 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |
| 10 | 836.599998 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |
| 20 | 836.600002 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |
| 30 | 836.600002 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |
| 40 | 836.600002 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |
| 50 | 836.600002 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |

Page 38 of 42 UL VS LTD

<u>Transmitter Frequency Stability (Temperature Variation) (continued)</u> <u>Test Equipment Used:</u>

| Asset No. | Instrument | Manufacturer | Type No. | Serial No. | Date Calibration Due | Cal. Interval (Months) |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| M1659 | Thermohygrometer | JM Handelspunkt | 30.5015.13 | None stated | 14 Mar 2015 | 12 |
| M1870 | Wideband Radio Comms Tester | Rohde & Schwarz | CMW500 | 145919 | 05 May 2015 | 12 |
| E0513 | Environmental Chamber | TAS | LT600 Series 3 | 23900506 | Calibrated before use | - |
| M1249 | Thermometer | Fluke | 5211 | 88800049 | 02 May 2015 | 12 |
| S021 | Dual DC power supply | Tti | CPX200 | 061034 | Calibrated before use | - |
| M1251 | Multimeter | Fluke | 175 | 89170179 | 19 May 2015 | 12 |

UL VS LTD Page 39 of 42

5.2.6. Transmitter Frequency Stability (Voltage Variation)

Test Summary:

| Test Engineer: | Ben Mercer | Test Date: | 29 May 2014 |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| Test Sample IMEI: | 004402452752664 | | |

| FCC Reference: | Parts 2.1055 & 22.355 | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Test Method Used: | As detailed in KDB 971168 Section 9.0 referencing ANSI TIA-603-C-2004 Section 2.2.2 and FCC Part 2.1055 | |

Environmental Conditions:

| Temperature (℃): | 25 |
|------------------------|----|
| Relative Humidity (%): | 37 |

Note(s):

- 1. A voltage variation jig was connected to the EUT which was powered via a bench power supply.
- 2. Frequency error was measured using a calibrated Rohde & Schwarz CMW 500 Universal Radio Communications Tester in accordance with current Rohde & Schwarz application notes. The EUT was connected by suitable RF cables to the CMW 500. A bi-directional communications link was established between the EUT and CMW 500. The frequency meter value was recorded.
- 3. Voltage was monitored throughout the test with a calibrated digital voltmeter.

Results: Middle Channel (836.6 MHz)

| Supply Voltage (V) | Measured Frequency (MHz) | Frequency Error (Hz) | Frequency Error (ppm) | Limit (ppm) | Margin (ppm) | Result |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| 3.42 | 836.600002 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |
| 4.18 | 836.600002 | 2 | 0.0024 | 2.5 | 2.4976 | Complied |

Test Equipment Used:

| Asset No. | Instrument | Manufacturer | Type No. | Serial No. | Date Calibration Due | Cal. Interval (Months) |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| M1659 | Thermohygrometer | JM Handelspunkt | 30.5015.13 | None stated | 14 Mar 2015 | 12 |
| M1870 | Wideband Radio Comms Tester | Rohde & Schwarz | CMW500 | 145919 | 05 May 2015 | 12 |
| S021 | Dual DC power supply | Tti | CPX200 | 061034 | Calibrated before use | - |
| M1251 | Multimeter | Fluke | 175 | 89170179 | 19 May 2015 | 12 |

Page 40 of 42 UL VS LTD

6. Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

| Measurement Type | Range | Confidence Level (%) | Calculated Uncertainty |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Conducted Output Power | 824 to 849 MHz | 95% | ±1.13 dB |
| Frequency Stability | 824 to 849 MHz | 95% | ±23 Hz |
| Occupied Bandwidth | 824 to 849 MHz | 95% | ±3.92 % |
| Radiated Spurious Emissions | 30 MHz to 1 GHz | 95% | ±5.65 dB |
| Radiated Spurious Emissions | 1 GHz to 9 GHz | 95% | ±2.94 dB |

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

UL VS LTD Page 41 of 42

7. Report Revision History

| Version | Revision Details | | | | |
|---------|------------------|--------|---|--|--|
| Number | Page No(s) | Clause | Details | | |
| 1.0 | - | - | Initial Version | | |
| 2.0 | - | - | Update to Section 1 Section 5.2.5 Note 1 amended Section 6 Frequency stability MU updated | | |
| 3.0 | - | - | EUT Description update | | |

⁻⁻⁻ END OF REPORT ---

Page 42 of 42 UL VS LTD