

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6554 http://www.pctestlab.com

HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY CERTIFICATE

Applicant Name: Date of Testing:

SONY ERICSSON MOBILE COMMUNICATION INC.
7001 Development Drive **Test Site/Location:** 7001 Development Drive **Test Site/Location:** Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 USA **Test Report Serial No.:**

0708210902.PY7

FCC ID: PY7A3252022

APPLICANT: SONY ERICSSON MOBILE COMMUNICATION INC.

C63.19-2006 HAC Category: M3 (RF EMISSIONS CATEGORY)

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2006 and had been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.

Randy Ortanez President

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658¹ to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide suffer from hearing loss.

Compatibility Tests Involved:

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- **RF Electric-field emissions**
- RF Magnetic-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- **T**-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- **RF** immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.

Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid *in-vitu*

l ¹ FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

2. TEST SITE LOCATION

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The map at the right shows the location of the PCTEST LABORATORY in Columbia, Maryland. It is in proximity to the FCC Laboratory, the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) airport, the city of Baltimore and Washington, DC (See Figure 2).

These measurement tests were conducted at the PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. facility in New Concept Business Park, Guilford Industrial Park, Columbia, Maryland. The site address is 6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045. The test site is one of the highest points in the Columbia area with an elevation of 390 feet above mean sea level. The site coordinates are 39° 11'15" N latitude and 76° 49' 38" W longitude. The facility is 1.5 miles North of the FCC laboratory, and the ambient signal and ambient signal strength are approximately equal to those of the FCC laboratory. There are no FM or TV

Figure 2-1 Map of the Greater Baltimore and Metropolitan Washington, D.C. area

 $07/20/07$

transmitters within 15 miles of the site. The detailed description of the measurement facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of § 2.948 according to ANSI C63.4 on January 27, 2006 and Industry Canada.

2.2 Test Facility / Accreditations:

Measurements were performed at an independent accredited PCTEST Engineering Lab located in Columbia, MD 21045, U.S.A.

• PCTEST facility is an FCC registered (PCTEST Reg. No. 90864) test facility with the site description report on file and has met all the requirements specified in Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules and Industry Canada (IC-2451).

• PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025-2005 by the American Association for

- PCTEST Lab is a recognized U.S. Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) in EMC and R&TTE (n.b. 0982) under the U.S.-EU Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA).
- PCTEST TCB is a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) accredited to ISO/IEC Guide 65 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in all scopes of FCC Rules and all Industry Canada Standards (RSS).
- PCTEST facility is an IC registered (IC-2451) test laboratory with the site description on file at Industry Canada.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) for AMPS and CDMA, and EvDO mobile phones.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) for Over-the-Air (OTA) Antenna Performance testing for AMPS, CDMA, GSM, GPRS, EGPRS, UMTS (W-CDMA), CDMA 1xEVDO Data, CDMA 1xRTT Data.

3. EUT DESCRIPTION

Figure 3-1 HAC Assessment System

4. ANSI/IEEE C63.19 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

I. RF EMISSIONS

The ANSI Standard presents performance requirements for acceptable interoperability of hearing aids with wireless communications devices. When these parameters are met, a hearing aid operates acceptably in close proximity to a wireless communications device.

II. ARTICULATION WEIGHTING FACTOR (AWF)

5. SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

ER3DV6 E-Field Probe Description

Figure 5-1 E-field Free-space Probe

H3DV6 H-Field Probe Description

Figure 5-2 H-Field Free-space Probe

Probe Tip Description

HAC field measurements take place in the close near field with high gradients. Increasing the measuring distance from the source will generally decrease the measured field values (in case of the validation dipole approx. 10% per mm).

Magnetic field sensors are measuring the integral of the H-field across their sensor area surrounded by the loop. They are calibrated in a precise, homogeneous field. When measuring a gradient field, the result will be very close to the field in the center of the loop which is equivalent to the value of a homogeneous field equivalent to the center value. But it will be different from the field at the border of the loop.

PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, I

Consequently, two sensors with different loop diameters - both calibrated ideally - would give different results when measuring from the edge of the probe sensor elements. The behavior for electrically small E-field sensors is equivalent.

The magnetic field loops of the H3D probes are concentric, with the center 3mm from the tip for H3DV6. Their radius is 1.9mm.

The electric field probes have a more irregular internal geometry because it is physically not possible to have the 3 orthogonal sensors situated with the same center. The effect of the different sensor centers is accounted for in the HAC uncertainty budget ("sensor displacement"). Their geometric center is at 2.5mm from the tip, and the element ends are 1.1mm closer to the tip.

(seen from back)

(seen from front)

The antistatic shielding inside the probe is connected to the probe connector case.

Instrumentation Chain

Equation 1 Conversion of Connector Voltage u_i to E-Field E_i

$$
E_i = \sqrt{\frac{u_i + (u_i^2 \cdot CF)/(DCP)}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}
$$

whereby

Conditions of Calibration

Please note:

a lower input impedance of the amplifier will result in different sensitivity factors Norm, and DCP

larger bias currents will cause higher offset

Probe Response to Frequency

The E-field sensors have inherently a very flat frequency response. They are calibrated with a number of frequencies resulting in a common calibration factor, with the frequency behavior documented in the calibration certificate (See also below).

Figure 5-3 E-Field Probe Frequency Response

H-field sensors have a frequency dependent sensitivity which is evaluated for a series of frequencies also visible in the probe calibration certificate. The calibration factors result from a fitting algorithm. The proper conversion is calculated by the DASY4 software depending on the frequency setting in the procedure. See below for H-field frequency response:

Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2) **Figure 5-4** H-Field Probe Frequency Response

Conversion to Peak

Peak is defined as Peak Envelope Power. All raw measurements from the HAC measurement system are RMS values. The DASY4 system incorporates the crest factor of the signal in the computation of the RMS values (See Equation 1). Although the software also has capability to estimate the peak field by applying a square root of crest factor value to the readings, the probe modulation factor was applied manually instead per C63.19 in the measurement tables in this report. The equation to convert the raw measurements in the data tables are:

Peak Field = $20 \cdot \log(Raw \cdot PMF)$

Where:

Peak Field = Peak field (in dBV/m or dBA/m) Raw = Raw field measurement from the measurement system (in V/m or A/m). PMF = Probe Modulation Factor (in linear units).

SPEAG Robotic System

E-field and H-field measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium 4 computer, nearfield probe, probe alignment sensor, and the HAC phantom. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF).

Figure 5-5 SPEAG Robotic System

System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Gateway Pentium 4 2.53 GHz computer with Windows XP system and RF Measurement Software DASY4 v4.5 (with HAC Extension), A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.

System Electronics

The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.

SPEAG Robotic System Diagram

DASY4 Instrumentation Chain

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$
V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}
$$

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$
E - field probes: \qquad E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}
$$
\n
$$
H - field probes: \qquad H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}
$$
\nwith V_i = compensated signal of channel i $(i = x, y, z)$
\n
$$
Norm_i
$$
 = sensor sensitivity of channel i $(i = x, y, z)$
\n
$$
\frac{\mu V}{V(V/m)^2}
$$
 for E-field Probes
\n
$$
ConvF
$$
 = sensitivity enhancement in solution
\n
$$
a_{ij}
$$
 = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
\n
$$
f
$$
 = carrier frequency [GHz]
\n
$$
E_i
$$
 = electric field strength of channel i in V/m
\n
$$
H_i
$$
 = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$
E_{tot}=\sqrt{E_x^2+E_y^2+E_z^2}
$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

The measurement/integration time per point, as specified by the system manufacturer is >500 ms.

The signal response time is evaluated as the time required by the system to reach 90% of the expected final value after an on/off switch of the power source with an integration time of 500 ms and a probe response time of <5 ms. In the current implementation, DASY4 waits longer than 100 ms after having reached the grid point before starting a measurement, i.e., the response time uncertainty is negligible.

If the device under test does not emit a CW signal, the integration time applied to measure the electric field at a specific point may introduce additional uncertainties due to the discretization. The tolerances for the different systems had the worst-case of 2.6%.

6. TEST PROCEDURE

I. RF EMISSIONS

Figure 6-1 RF Emissions Flow Chart

Test Setup

Figure 6-2 E/H-Field Emissions Test Setup Diagram (See Test Photographs for actual WD scan grid overlay)

RF Emissions Test Procedure:

The following illustrate a typical RF emissions test scan over a wireless communications device:

- 1. Proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, other instrumentation, and the positioning system was confirmed.
- 2. WD is positioned in its intended test position, acoustic output point of the device perpendicular to the field probe.
- 3. The WD operation for maximum rated RF output power was configured and confirmed with the base station simulator, at the test channel and other normal operating parameters as intended for the test. The battery was ensured to be fully charged before each test.
- 4. The center sub-grid was centered over the center of the acoustic output (also audio band magnetic output, if applicable). The WD audio output was positioned tangent (as physically possible) to the measurement plane.
- 5. A surface calibration was performed before each setup change to ensure repeatable spacing and proper maintenance of the measurement plane using the HAC Phantom.
- 6. The measurement system measured the field strength at the reference location.
- 7. Measurements at 2mm increments in the 5 x 5 cm region were performed at a distance 1 cm from the probe elements to the WD. A 360 $^{\circ}$ rotation about the azimuth axis at the maximum interpolated position was measured. For the worst-case condition, the peak reading from this rotation was used in re-evaluating the HAC category.
- 8. The system performed a drift evaluation by measuring the field at the reference location.
- 9. Steps 1-8 were done for both the E and H-Field measurements.

7 . S Y S T E M C H E C K

I. System Check Parameters

The input signal was an un-modulated continuous wave. The following points were taken into consideration in performing this check:

- Average Input Power $P = 100$ mW RMS (20dBm RMS) after adjustment for return loss
- The test fixture must meet the 2 wavelength separation criterion
- The proper measurement of the 1 cm probe to dipole separation, which is measured from top surface of the dipole to the calibration reference point of the sensor, defined by the probe manufacturer is shown in the following diagram:

Separation Distance from Dipole to Field Probe

RF power was recorded using both an average reading meter and a peak reading meter. Readings of the probe are provided by the measurement system.

To assure proper operation of the near-field measurement probe the input power to the dipole shall be commensurate with the full rated output power of the wireless device (e.g. - for a cellular phone wireless device the average peak antenna input power will be on the order of 100mW (i.e. - 20dBm) RMS after adjustment for any mismatch.

II. Validation Procedure

A dipole antenna meeting the requirements given in C63.19 was placed in the position normally occupied by the WD.

The length of the dipole was scanned with both E-field and H-field probes and the maximum values for each were recorded.

Measurement of CW

Using the near-field measurement system, scan the antenna over the radiating dipole and record the greatest field reading observed. Due to the nature of E-fields about free-space dipoles, the two E-field peaks measured over the dipole are averaged to compensate for non-parallelity of the setup (

see manufacturer method on dipole calibration certificates, page 2). Field strength measurements shall be made only when the probe is stationary.

RF power was recorded using both an average and a peak power reading meter.

Using this setup configuration, the signal generator was adjusted for the desired output power (100mW) at a specified frequency. The reference power from the coupled port of the directional coupler is recorded. Next, the output cable is connected to the reference dipole, as shown in Figure 7-3.

The input signal level was adjusted until the reference power from the coupled port of the directional coupler was the same as previously recorded, to compensate for the impedance mismatch between the output cable and the reference dipole. To assure proper operation of the near-field measurement probe the input power to the reference dipole was verified to the full rated output power of the wireless device. The dipole was secured in a holder in a manner to meet the 20 dB reflection. The near-field measurement probe was positioned over the dipole. The antenna was scanned over the appropriate sized area to cover the dipole from end to end. SPEAG uses 2D interpolation algorithms between the measured points. Please see below two dimensional plots showing that the interpolated values interpolate smoothly between 5mm steps for a free-space RF dipole:

2-D Raw Data from scan along dipole axis

2-D Interpolated points from scan along dipole axis

Figure 7-6

2-D Raw Data from scan along transverse axis 2-D Interpolated points from scan along transverse axis

FCC ID: PY7A3252022	PCTEST	HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT		Sany Ericsson	Reviewed by: Quality Manager	
HAC Filename:	Test Dates:		EUT Type:			
0708210902.PY7	August 21 - 23, 2007		850/1900 GSM/WCDMA Phone with Bluetooth	Page 16 of 74		
© 2007 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.	REV 6.1U					

III. System Check Results

Validation Results

8. MODULATION FACTOR

A calibration was made of the modulation response of the probe and its instrumentation chain. This calibration was performed with the field probe, attached to its instrumentation. The response of the probe system to a CW field at the frequency of interest is compared to its response to a modulated signal with equal peak amplitude to that of a CW signal. The field level of the test signals are ensured to be more than 10 dB above the ambient level and the noise floor of the instrumentation being used. The ratio of the CW reading to that taken with a modulated reading was applied to the DUT measurements.

All voice modes for this device have been investigated in this section of the report. According to the FCC 3G Measurement Procedures, May 2006 for RF Emissions, variations in peak field and power readings.

This was done using the following procedure:

- 1. The probe was illuminated with a CW signal at the intended measurement frequency and wireless device power.
- 2. The probe was positioned at the field maxima over the dipole antenna (determined after an area scan over the dipole) illuminated with the CW signal.
- 3. The reading of the probe measurement system of the CW signal at the maximum point was recorded.
- 4. Using a Spectrum Analyzer, the modulated signal adjusted with the same peak level of the CW signal was determined.
- 5. The probe measurement system reading was recorded with the modulated signal. The appropriate system crest factors for the modulation type were configured in the software to the system measurements.
- 6. The ratio of the CW reading to modulated signal reading is the probe modulation factor (PMF) for the modulation and field probe combination. This was repeated for 80% AM.
- 7. Steps 1-6 were repeated at all frequency bands and for both E and H field probes.

The modulation factors obtained were applied to readings taken of the actual wireless device, in order to obtain an accurate peak field reading using the formula:

$$
Peak = 20 \cdot log(Raw \cdot PMF)
$$

Modulation Factors:

Figure 8-2 GSM850 CW Signal **Figure 8-3** GSM850 80% AM Signal

Figure 8-6 PCS GSM Signal **Figure 8-7** PCS 80% AM Signal

9. FCC 3G MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES – MAY/JUNE 2006

Power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

I. Procedures Used to Establish RF Signal for HAC Testing

The handset was placed into a simulated call using a base station simulator in a shielded chamber. Such test signals offer a consistent means for testing HAC and are recommended for evaluating HAC. Measurements were taken with a fully charged battery. In order to verify that the device was tested and maintained at full power, this was configured with the base station simulator. The HAC measurement software calculates a reference point at the start and end of the test to check for power drifts. If conducted power deviations of more than 5% occurred, the tests were repeated.

II. HAC Measurement Conditions for UMTS

Output Power Verification

Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels according to the general descriptions in section 5.2 of 3GPP TS 34.121, using the appropriate RMC or AMR with TPC (transmit power control) set to all "1s".

HAC Measurements

HAC is measured using the 12.2 kbps RMC with TPC bits configured to all "1s". HAC in AMR configurations is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel for 12.2 kbps AMR is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in 12.2 kbps RMC. Otherwise, HAC is measured on the maximum output channel in 12.2 AMR with a 3.4 kbps SRB (signaling radio bearer) using the configuration that results in the highest HAC for that RF channel in 12.2 RMC.

			HSDPA Inactive	HSDPA Active		
UMTS	Channel	12.2 kbps RMC [dBm]	12.2 kbps AMR [dBm]	12.2 kbps RMC [dBm]	12.2 kbps AMR [dBm]	
	4132	23.07	22.96	23.01	22.95	
	4183	23.07	22.97	23.00	22.93	
	4233	22.99	22.89	22.92	22.86	
PCS						
	9262	22.42	22.33	22.41	22.31	
	9400	22.32	22.30	22.30	22.27	
	9538	22.49	22.47	22.48	22.45	

Figure 9-1 Conducted Power Measurements for z750i

10. OVERALL MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

I. E-FIELD EMISSIONS:

Figure 10-1 Sample E-field Scan Overlay (See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay)

II. H-FIELD EMISSIONS:

Table 10-2 HAC Data Summary for H-field

Mode	Channel	Backlight	Scan Center	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Time Avg. Field (A/m)	Peak Field (dBA/m)	FCC Limit (dBA/m)	FCC MARGIN (dB)	RESULT	Excl Blocks per 4,3,1,2,2
H-field Emissions										
GSM850	128	off	Acoustic	32.00	0.1322	-11.8	-1.9	-9.88	M4	none
GSM850	190	off	Acoustic	31.90	0.1355	-11.6	-1.9	-9.67	M4	none
GSM850	251	off	Acoustic	31.90	0.1343	-11.6	-1.9	-9.75	M4	none
GSM1900	512	off	Acoustic	29.80	0.0833	-14.3	-11.9	-2.38	M ₃	none
GSM1900	661	off	Acoustic	29.80	0.0736	-15.4	-11.9	-3.46	M ₃	none
GSM1900	810	off	Acoustic	29.80	0.0920	-13.4	-11.9	-1.52	M ₃	none

Figure 10-2 Sample H-field Scan Overlay (See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay)

III. Worst-case Configuration Evaluation

Worst-Case Probe Rotation about Azimuth axis

* Note: Location of probe rotation is shown in Figure 10-1 or Figure 10-2

11. EQUIPMENT LIST

Table 11-1 Equipment List

*Calibration traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

12. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 12-1 Uncertainty Estimation Table

Notes:

- 1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297. All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.
- 2. * Uncertainty specifications from Schmidt & Partner Engineering AG (not site specific)

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid immunity tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

13. TEST DATA

See following Attached Pages for Test Data.

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: CD835V3 - SN1082

Type: CD835V3 Serial: 1082

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: ER3DV6 SN2332; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

835MHz, 100mW/20dBm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1):

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Reference Value = 114.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.058 dB Maximum value of Total (interpolated) = 169.2 V/m

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: CD1880V3 - SN1064

Type: CD1880V3 Serial: 1064

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: ER3DV6 SN2332; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

1880MHz, 100mW/20dBm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1):

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Reference Value = 133.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.041 dB Maximum value of Total (interpolated) = 135.0 V/m

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: CD835V3 - SN1082

Type: CD835V3 Serial: 1082

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: H3DV6 SN6170; Calibrated: 10/13/2006
- · Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

835MHz, 100mW/20dBm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1):

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Reference Value = 0.427 A/m; Power Drift = -0.032 dB Maximum value of Total (interpolated) = 0.430 A/m

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: CD1880V3 - SN1064

Type: CD1880V3 Serial: 1064

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: H3DV6 SN6170; Calibrated: 10/13/2006
- · Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

1880MHz, 100mW/20dBm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1):

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Reference Value = 0.460 A/m; Power Drift = -0.052 dB Maximum value of Total (interpolated) = 0.433 A/m

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: 2750i

Type: 850/1900 GSM Phone w/ BT Serial: BD30949FFU Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: ER3DV6 SN2332; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

Mid.ch/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1):

Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm
Maximum value of peak Total field = 210.6 V/m Probe Modulation Factor = 2.83 Reference Value = 73.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.030 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M3 (AWF -5 dB)

 $0 \text{ dB} = 210.6 \text{V/m}$

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: 2750i

Type: 850/1900 GSM Phone w/ BT Serial: BD30949FFU Backlight off Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: ER3DV6 SN2332; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

Mid.ch/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1):

Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 73.5 V/m Probe Modulation Factor = 2.79 Reference Value = 17.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.138 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M3 (AWF -5 dB)

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: 2750i

Type: 850/1900 GSM Phone w/ BT Serial: BD30949FFU **Backlight off** Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: H3DV6 SN6170; Calibrated: 10/13/2006
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

Mid.ch/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1):

Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 0.264 A/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.95 Reference Value = 0.071 A/m; Power Drift = 0.014 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF -5 dB)

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: 2750i

Type: 850/1900 GSM Phone w/ BT Serial: BD30949FFU **Backlight off** Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: H3DV6 SN6170; Calibrated: 10/13/2006
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

High.ch/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1):

Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 0.213 A/m Probe Modulation Factor = 2.32 Reference Value = 0.056 A/m; Power Drift = 0.016 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M3 (AWF -5 dB)

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: 2750i

Type: 850/1900 GSM Phone w/ BT Serial: BD30949FFU **Backlight off** Duty Cycle: 1:1

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 826.4 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: ER3DV6 SN2332; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

Low.ch/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1):

Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 80.7 V/m Probe Modulation Factor = 0.978 Reference Value = 79.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.067 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF 0 dB)

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: 2750i

Type: 850/1900 GSM Phone w/ BT Serial: BD30949FFU **Backlight off** Duty Cycle: 1:1

Communication System: WCDMA1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: ER3DV6 SN2332; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

Mid.ch/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1):

Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 34.6 V/m Probe Modulation Factor = 0.986 Reference Value = 20.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.098 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF 0 dB)

Date/Time: 8/23/2007

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: 2750i

Type: 850/1900 GSM Phone w/ BT Serial: BD30949FFU **Backlight off** Duty Cycle: 1:1

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: H3DV6 SN6170; Calibrated: 10/13/2006
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

Mid.ch/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1):

Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 0.144 A/m Probe Modulation Factor = 0.948 Reference Value = 0.085 A/m; Power Drift = 0.024 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF 0 dB)

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: 2750i

Type: 850/1900 GSM Phone w/ BT Serial: BD30949FFU **Backlight off** Duty Cycle: 1:1

Communication System: WCDMA1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: H3DV6 SN6170; Calibrated: 10/13/2006
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/23/2007
- · Phantom: HAC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- · Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 53;

Mid.ch/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1):

Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 0.075 A/m Probe Modulation Factor = 0.919 Reference Value = 0.063 A/m; Power Drift = -0.044 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M4 (AWF 0 dB)

14. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

The following pages include the probe calibration used to evaluate HAC for the DUT.

FCC ID: PY7A3252022 **HAC (RF EMISSIONS) TEST REPORT CONSILIATED ACCESSIONS CUALITY Manager HAC Filename: Test Dates: EUT Type:** 0708210902.PY7 August 21 - 23, 2007

2007 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. 650/1900 GSMWCDMA Phone with Bluetooth Page 39 of 74

2007 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. © 2007 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client

 \mathbf{s} Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

 $\mathbf C$

 \mathbf{s}

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

PC Test *PC Test*

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 1 of 9

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

 6 MIS α s $\mathbf c$ S .
BRP

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

a) IEEE Std 1309-1996, "IEEE Standard for calibration of electromagnetic field sensors and probes, excluding antennas, from 9 kHz to 40 GHz", 1996.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx, y, z: Assessed for E-field polarization $9 = 0$ for XY sensors and $9 = 90$ for Z sensor $(f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide).
- NORM(f)x, y, z = NORMx, y, z * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart).
- DCPx.v.z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of \bullet power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a locally homogeneous field realized \bullet using an open waveguide setup.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center \bullet from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the \bullet NORMx (no uncertainty required).

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 2 of 9

Probe ER3DV6

SN:2332

Manufactured: Last calibrated: Recalibrated:

September 9, 2003 March 22, 2006 January 23, 2007

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 3 of 9

ER3DV6 SN:2332

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ER3DV6 SN:2332

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

A numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 4 of 9

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide R22)

Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 5 of 9

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^{\circ}$

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), θ = 90°

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 6 of 9

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^{\circ}$

Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), θ = 90°

Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 7 of 9

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 8 of 9

Deviation from Isotropy in Air
Error (ϕ, ϑ) , f = 900 MHz

Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan07

Page 9 of 9

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

S Schwetzerischer Kalibrierdienst S
Service autsse d'étalonnage
C Servizio avizzaro di taratura Servizio avizzero di taratura Servizio avizzaro di Madrid
S Swiss Calibration Service

CASTING CASTING PRODUCT

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Motrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration cartificates

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

 $M.S$

⁄∂Rt

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S

- Service suisse d'étalonnage $\mathbf C$
- Servizio svizzero di taratura S **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

a) IEEE Std 1309-1996, "IEEE Standard for calibration of electromagnetic field sensors and probes, excluding antennas, from 9 kHz to 40 GHz", 1996.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- X, Y, Z_a0a1a2: Assessed for E-field polarization $9 = 90$ for XY sensors and $9 = 0$ for Z sensor (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide).
- X, Y, Z(f)_a0a1a2= X, Y, Z_a0a1a2* frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). \bullet
- DCPx, v.z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of \bullet power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a locally homogeneous field realized using an open wavequide setup.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center \bullet from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the X a0a1a2 (no uncertainty required).

Certificate No: H3-6170_Oct06

Page 2 of 8

07/20/07

 $\ddot{}$

Probe H3DV6

SN:6170

Manufactured: Last calibrated: Recalibrated:

May 19, 2005 August 8, 2005 October 13, 2006

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

Certificate No: H3-6170_Oct06

Page 3 of 8

H3DV6 SN:6170

October 13, 2006

DASY - Parameters of Probe: H3DV6 SN:6170

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

¹ numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required

Certificate No: H3-6170_Oct06

Page 4 of 8

Frequency Response of H-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22) 1.4 1.3 Frequency response (normalized)
0.8
0.8 Ø Ł 0.7 0.6 3000 2000 2500 500 1000 1500 \circ $f[MHz]$ $-2 - R22$ $-$ TEM $-xy$: \rightarrow -TEM $- + R22$ - z:

Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Certificate No: H3-6170_Oct06

Page 5 of 8

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), θ = 90°

Certificate No: H3-6170_Oct06

Page 6 of 8

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), θ = 90°

Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^{\circ}$

Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Certificate No: H3-6170_Oct06

Page 7 of 8

Certificate No: H3-6170_Oct06

Page 8 of 8

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

GWISS RABRAT C S

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura

Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

PC Test Client

Certificate No: CD835V3-1082_Jul06

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner **Engineering AG** Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S Service suisse d'étalonnage

 $\mathbf c$ Servizio svizzero di taratura

 \mathbf{s} **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

References

- ANSI-PC63.19-2001 (Draft 3.x. 2005) $[1]$
	- American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Coordinate System: y-axis is in the direction of the dipole arms. z-axis is from the basis of the antenna (mounted on the table) towards its feed point between the two dipole arms. x-axis is normal to the other axes. In coincidence with standard [1], the measurement planes (probe sensor center) are selected to be at a distance of 10 mm above the top edge of the dipole arms.
- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the hardcopies at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated. The forward power to the dipole connector is set with a calibrated power meter connected and monitored with an auxiliary power meter connected to a directional coupler. While the dipole under test is connected, the forward power is adjusted to the same level.
- Antenna Positioning: The dipole is mounted on a HAC Test Arch phantom using the matching dipole positioner with the arms horizontal and the feeding cable coming from the floor. The measurements are performed in a shielded room with absorbers around the setup to reduce the reflections. It is verified before the mounting of the dipole under the Test Arch phantom, that its arms are perfectly in a line. It is installed on the HAC dipole positioner with its arms parallel below the dielectric reference wire and able to move elastically in vertical direction without changing its relative position to the top center of the Test Arch phantom. The vertical distance to the probe is adjusted after dipole mounting with a DASY4 Surface Check job. Before the measurement, the distance between phantom surface and probe tip is verified. The proper measurement distance is selected by choosing the matching section of the HAC Test Arch phantom with the proper device reference point (upper surface of the dipole) and the matching grid reference point (tip of the probe) considering the probe sensor offset. The vertical distance to the probe is essential for the accuracy.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured using a HP 8753E Vector Network Analyzer. The impedance is specified at the SMA connector of the dipole. The influence of reflections was eliminating by applying the averaging function while moving the dipole in the air, at least 70cm away from any obstacles.
- E- field distribution: E field is measured in the x-y-plane with an isotropic ER3D-field probe with 100 mW forward power to the antenna feed point. In accordance with [1], the scan area is 20mm wide, its length exceeds the dipole arm length (180 or 90mm). The sensor center is 10 mm (in z) above the top of the dipole arms. Two 3D maxima are available near the end of the dipole arms. Assuming the dipole arms are perfectly in one line, the average of these two maxima (in subgrid 2 and subgrid 8) is determined to compensate for any non-parallelity to the measurement plane as well as the sensor displacement. The E-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated 3D-E-field, 10mm above the dipole surface.
- H-field distribution: H-field is measured with an isotropic H-field probe with 100mW forward power to the antenna feed point, in the x-y-plane. The scan area and sensor distance is equivalent to the E-field scan. The maximum of the field is available at the center (subgrid 5) above the feed point. The H-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated H-field, 10mm above the dipole surface at the feed point.

Certificate No: CD835V3-1082_Jul06

Page 2 of 6

07/20/07

1 Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

2 Maximum Field values

Uncertainty for H-field measurement: 8.2% (k=2)

Uncertainty for E-field measurement: 12.8% (k=2)

3 Appendix

3.1 Antenna Parameters

3.2 Antenna Design and Handling

The calibration dipole has a symmetric geometry with a built-in two stub matching network, which leads to the enhanced bandwidth.

The dipole is built of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The internal matching line is open ended. The antenna is therefore open for DC signals.

Do not apply force to dipole arms, as they are liable to bend. The soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged. After excessive mechanical stress or overheating, check the impedance characteristics to ensure that the internal matching network is not affected.

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Certificate No: CD835V3-1082_Jul06

Page 3 of 6

3.3 Measurement Sheets

3.3.1 Return Loss and Smith Chart

Certificate No: CD835V3-1082_Jul06

Page 4 of 6

3.3.2 DASY4 H-field result

Date/Time: 7/17/2006 2:56:42 PM

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland File Name: H CD835 1082 060717.da4

DUT: HAC-Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V3; Serial: 1082 **Program Name: HAC H Dipole**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ mho/m, $e_r = 1$; $\rho = 1$ kg/m³ Phantom section: H Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: H3DV6 SN6065; ; Calibrated: 12/20/2005
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn660; Calibrated: 3/1/2006
-
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch 4.6; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

H Scan - Sensor Center 10mm above CD835 Dipole/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1): Measurement grid: $dx = 5$ mm, $dy = 5$ mm

Maximum value of peak Total field = 0.454 A/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 0.482 A/m; Power Drift = -0.014 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

Certificate No: CD835V3-1082_Jul06

Page 5 of 6

3.3.3 DASY4 E-Field result

Date/Time: 7/17/2006 11:50:47 AM

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland
File Name: E_CD835_1082_060717.da4

DUT: HAC-Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V3; Serial: 1082 Program Name: HAC E Dipole

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: E Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ER3DV6 SN2336; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 12/20/2005
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn660; Calibrated: 3/1/2006
-
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch 4.6; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1002
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch 4.6; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

E Sean - Sensor Center 10mm above CD835 Dipole/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm

Maximum value of peak Total field = 172.3 V/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 122.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.030 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

Certificate No: CD835V3-1082_Jul06

Page 6 of 6

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

GWISS PLARA c

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura

S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

PC Test Client

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1064_Jul06

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1064_Jul06

Page 1 of 6

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S

Service suisse d'étalonnage $\mathbf c$

Servizio svizzero di taratura S

Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

References

ANSI-PC63.19-2001 (Draft 3.x, 2005) $[1]$

American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Coordinate System: y-axis is in the direction of the dipole arms. z-axis is from the basis of the antenna (mounted on the table) towards its feed point between the two dipole arms. x-axis is normal to the other axes. In coincidence with standard [1], the measurement planes (probe sensor center) are selected to be at a distance of 10 mm above the top edge of the dipole arms.
- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the hardcopies at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated. The forward power to the dipole connector is set with a calibrated power meter connected and monitored with an auxiliary power meter connected to a directional coupler. While the dipole under test is connected, the forward power is adjusted to the same level.
- Antenna Positioning: The dipole is mounted on a HAC Test Arch phantom using the matching dipole positioner with the arms horizontal and the feeding cable coming from the floor. The measurements are performed in a shielded room with absorbers around the setup to reduce the reflections. It is verified before the mounting of the dipole under the Test Arch phantom, that its arms are perfectly in a line. It is installed on the HAC dipole positioner with its arms parallel below the dielectric reference wire and able to move elastically in vertical direction without changing its relative position to the top center of the Test Arch phantom. The vertical distance to the probe is adjusted after dipole mounting with a DASY4 Surface Check job. Before the measurement, the distance between phantom surface and probe tip is verified. The proper measurement distance is selected by choosing the matching section of the HAC Test Arch phantom with the proper device reference point (upper surface of the dipole) and the matching grid reference point (tip of the probe) considering the probe sensor offset. The vertical distance to the probe is essential for the accuracy.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured using a HP 8753E Vector Network Analyzer. The impedance is specified at the SMA connector of the dipole. The influence of reflections was eliminating by applying the averaging function while moving the dipole in the air, at least 70cm away from any obstacles.
- E- field distribution: E field is measured in the x-y-plane with an isotropic ER3D-field probe with 100 mW forward power to the antenna feed point. In accordance with [1], the scan area is 20mm wide, its length exceeds the dipole arm length (180 or 90mm). The sensor center is 10 mm (in z) above the top of the dipole arms. Two 3D maxima are available near the end of the dipole arms. Assuming the dipole arms are perfectly in one line, the average of these two maxima (in subgrid 2 and subgrid 8) is determined to compensate for any non-parallelity to the measurement plane as well as the sensor displacement. The E-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated 3D-E-field, 10mm above the dipole surface.
- H-field distribution: H-field is measured with an isotropic H-field probe with 100mW forward power to the antenna feed point, in the x-y-plane. The scan area and sensor distance is equivalent to the E-field scan. The maximum of the field is available at the center (subgrid 5) above the feed point. The H-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated H-field, 10mm above the dipole surface at the feed point.

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1064 Jul06

Page 2 of 6

07/20/07

1 Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

2 Maximum Field values

Uncertainty for E-field measurement: 12.8% (k=2)

3 Appendix

3.1 Antenna Parameters

3.2 Antenna Design and Handling

The calibration dipole has a symmetric geometry with a built-in two stub matching network, which leads to the enhanced bandwidth.

The dipole is built of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The internal matching line is open ended. The antenna is therefore open for DC signals.

Do not apply force to dipole arms, as they are liable to bend. The soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged. After excessive mechanical stress or overheating, check the impedance characteristics to ensure that the internal matching network is not affected.

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1064_Jul06

Page 3 of 6

3.3 Measurement Sheets

3.3.1 Return Loss and Smith Chart

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1064_Jul06

Page 4 of 6

3.3.2 DASY4 H-field result

Date/Time: 7/18/2006 10:16:29 AM

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: HAC Dipole 1880 MHz; Type: CD1880V3; Serial: 1064

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_{\rm f} = 1$; $\rho = 1$ kg/m³ Phantom section: H Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- · Probe: H3DV6 SN6065; Calibrated: 12/20/2005
- ٠ Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn660; Calibrated: 3/1/2006 ٠
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch 4.6; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1002 ٠
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171 ٠

H Scan - Sensor Center 10mm above CD1880V3 Dipole/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1):

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 0.451 A/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 0.476 A/m; Power Drift = -0.002 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1064_Jul06

Page 5 of 6

3.3.3 DASY4 E-Field result

Date/Time: 7/18/2006 11:51:17 AM

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: HAC Dipole 1880 MHz; Type: CD1880V3; Serial: 1064

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: E Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- \bullet Probe: ER3DV6 - SN2336; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 12/20/2005
- · Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- · Electronics: DAE4 Sn660; Calibrated: 3/1/2006
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch 4.6; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1002 ٠
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171 ٠

E Scan - Sensor Center 10mm above CD1880V3 Dipole/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1):

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm Maximum value of peak Total field = 137.9 V/m Probe Modulation Factor = 1.00 Reference Value = 132.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.013 dB Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

> Peak E-field in V/m Grid 1 Grid 2 Grid 3 137.9 134.7 131.1 Grid 4 Grid:5 Grid 6 86.8 90.4 88.7 Grid 7 Grid 8 Grid 9 128.1 131.3 127.7

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1064_Jul06

Page 6 of 6

15. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the M-rating for this equipment only represents the field interference possible against a hypothetical and typical hearing aid. The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

16. REFERENCES

- 1. ANSI C63.19-2006 v3.12, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, January 2006
- 2. FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, *Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard*, June 6, 2006
- 3. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997
- 4. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- 5. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, " IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- 6. Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 7. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- 8. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, " U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- 9. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- 10. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- 11. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- 12. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 13. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.
- 14. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.

- 15. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- 16. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- 17. Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 18. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.
- 19. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the $7th$ International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.
- 20. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 21. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 22. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I. , and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 23. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 24. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- 25. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 26. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 27. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

