

FCC SAR Test Report

Report No. : SA110826C12E

Applicant : Netgear Incorporated

Address : 350 East Plumeria Drive, San Jose, CA 95134, USA

Product : G54/N150 WiFi USB Micro Adapter

FCC ID : PY314300282

Brand : NETGEAR

Model No. : WNA1000Mv2

Standards : FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093) / IEEE C95.1:1992 / IEEE 1528:2003

IEEE 1528a-2005 / KDB 865664 D01 v01r03 / KDB 248227 D01 v01r02

KDB 447498 D01 v05r02 / KDB 447498 D02 v02

Sample Received Date : Jul. 01, 2014

Date of Testing : Aug. 02, 2014

CERTIFICATION: The above equipment have been tested by **Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch – Lin Kou Laboratories**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's SAR characteristics under the conditions specified in this report. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by TAF or any government agencies.

Prepared By : Evenne Liu / Specialist

Evonne Liu / Specialist

Approved By :

Roy Wu / Manager



This report is for your exclusive use. Any copying or replication of this report to or for any other person or entity, or use of our name or trademark, is permitted only with our prior written permission. This report sets forth our findings solely with respect to the test samples identified herein. The results set forth in this report are not indicative or representative of the quality or characteristics of the lot from which a test sample was taken or any similar or identical product unless specifically and expressly noted. Our report includes all of the tests requested by you and the results thereof based upon the information that you provided to us. You have 60 days from date of issuance of this report to notify us of any material error or omission caused by our negligence, provided, however, that such notice shall be in writing and shall specifically address the issue you wish to raise. A failure to raise such issue within the prescribed time shall constitute your unqualified acceptance of the completeness of this report, the tests conducted and the correctness of the report contents. Unless specific mention, the uncertainty of measurement has been explicitly taken into account to declare the compliance or non-compliance to the specification.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 1 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



Page No.

: 2 of 23

Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014

Table of Contents

1. Summary of Maximum SAR Value	Rel	ease C	Control Record	3
2. Description of Equipment Under Test 9. 3. SAR Measurement System 6. 3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) 6. 3.2 SPEAG DASY System 6. 3.2.1 Robot. 7. 3.2.2 Probes. 8. 3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 8. 3.2.4 Phantoms 9. 3.2.5 Device Holder 11. 3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles 10. 3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids 11. 3.3 SAR System Verification 11. 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure 15. 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 16. 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 11. 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16. 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16. 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 11. 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 11. 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 11. 4.2 EUT Testing Position 11. 4.3 Tissue Verification 11. 4.4 System Verification 11. 4.5 System Verification 11. 4.5 Washing the Verification of the Very System Verification of Very System Ve		Sumr	nary of Maximum SAR Value	4
3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) 6 3.2 SPEAG DASY System 6 3.2.1 Robot 7 3.2.2 Probes 8 3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 8 3.2.4 Phantoms 9 3.2.5 Device Holder 11 3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles 11 3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids 11 3.3 SAR System Verification 11 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure 15 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 15 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 15 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 11 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 11 4.2 EUT Testing Position 11 4.3 Tissue Verification 11 4.4 System Validation 11 4.5 System Verification 11 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 15 4.7 SAR Testing Results 15 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variabili	2.			
3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) 6 3.2 SPEAG DASY System 6 3.2.1 Robot 1 3.2.2 Probes 8 3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 8 3.2.4 Phantoms 9 3.2.5 Device Holder 10 3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles 11 3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids 11 3.3 SAR System Verification 14 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure 15 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 15 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 15 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.4 Space Age Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4.5 SAR Measurement Evaluation 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 11 4.2 EUT Testing Position 11 4.3 Tissue Verifi				
3.2 SPEAG DASY System 6 3.2.1 Robot. 7 3.2.2 Probes 8 3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 8 3.2.4 Phantoms 9 3.2.5 Device Holder 10 3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles 11 3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids 11 3.3 SAR System Verification 14 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure 15 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 15 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 16 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 11 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 16 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 11 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 11 4.4 System Validation 11 4.5 System Verification 16 4.6 Maximum Output Power 16 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 15 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 15 4.7 SAR Testing Results 15 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Var				
3.2.1 Robot.		3.2		
3.2.2 Probes 3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 5.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 5.3.2.4 Phantoms 5.3.2.5 Device Holder 5.3.2.5 Device Holder 5.3.2.5 Device Holder 5.3.2.5 Device Holder 5.3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles 5.3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids 5.3.3 SAR System Verification 5.3.3 SAR System Verification 5.3.3 SAR System Verification 5.3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure 5.3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 5.3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 5.3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 5.3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 5.3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 5.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 5.4.5 SAR Measurement Evaluation 5.4.5 System Verification 5.4.5 System				
3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 5. 3.2.4 Phantoms 5. 3.2.4 Phantoms 5. 3.2.5 Device Holder 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5				
3.2.4 Phantoms 9.3.2.5 Device Holder 11 3.2.5 Device Holder 11 3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles 10 3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids 11 3.3 SAR System Verification 14 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure 15 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 16 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 16 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 16 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 11 4.6 Maximum Output Power 16 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 18 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20				
3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles				
3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles			3.2.5 Device Holder	10
3.3 SAR System Verification 14 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure 15 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 15 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 15 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 19 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7.1 SAR Testing Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20				
3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure 15 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 15 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 15 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 19 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7.1 SAR Testing Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20			3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids	11
3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure 15 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 15 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20		3.3		
3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure 15 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring 16 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20		3.4		
3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring. 16 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation. 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods. 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation. 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting. 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position. 17 4.3 Tissue Verification. 17 4.4 System Validation. 18 4.5 System Verification. 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power. 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7.1 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability. 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment. 20				
3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 16 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20				
3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods 16 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20				
4. SAR Measurement Evaluation 17 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20				
4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting 17 4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20				
4.2 EUT Testing Position 17 4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power Result 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20	4.			
4.3 Tissue Verification 17 4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 2				
4.4 System Validation 18 4.5 System Verification 18 4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 2				
4.5 System Verification				
4.6 Maximum Output Power 18 4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 20			•	
4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power 18 4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result 19 4.7 SAR Testing Results 19 4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap) 19 4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability 20 5. Calibration of Test Equipment 21				
4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result		4.6		
4.7 SAR Testing Results			4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power	18
4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap)		4 7	4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result	19
4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability		4.7	SAR lesting Results	19
5. Calibration of Test Equipment21			4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap)	19
	_	Calib		
6. Measurement Uncertainty 22				
7. Information on the Testing Laboratories.	υ. 7		•	

Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement

Appendix C. Calibration Certificate for Probe and Dipole

Appendix D. Photographs of EUT and Setup



Release Control Record

Report No.	Reason for Change	Date Issued
SA110826C12E	Initial release	Sep. 24, 2014

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 3 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



1. Summary of Maximum SAR Value

Equipment Class	Mode	Highest Reported Body SAR _{1q} (0.5 cm Gap) (W/kg)
DTS	2.4G WLAN	0.50

Note:

1. The SAR limit (**Head & Body: SAR**_{1g} **1.6 W/kg**) for general population / uncontrolled exposure is specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 4 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



2. <u>Description of Equipment Under Test</u>

EUT Type	G54/N150 WiFi USB Micro Adapter
FCC ID	PY314300282
Brand Name	NETGEAR
Model Name	WNA1000Mv2
Tx Frequency Bands (Unit: MHz)	2412 ~ 2462
Uplink Modulations	802.11b : DSSS 802.11g/n : OFDM
Maximum Tune-up Conducted Power (Unit: dBm)	22.0
Antenna Type	Fixed Internal Antenna
EUT Stage	Identical Prototype

Note:

1. The above EUT information is declared by manufacturer and for more detailed features description please refers to the manufacturer's specifications or User's Manual.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 5 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



3. SAR Measurement System

3.1 <u>Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)</u>

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (p). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

3.2 SPEAG DASY System

DASY system consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY4/5 software defined. The DASY software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 6 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014







Fig-3.1 DASY System Setup

3.2.1 Robot

The DASY system uses the high precision robots from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (DASY4: CS7MB; DASY5: CS8c) from Stäubli is used. The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability ±0.035 mm)
- · High reliability (industrial design)
- · Jerk-free straight movements
- · Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)



Report Format Version 5.0.0 Report No. : SA110826C12E Reference No.: 140701D04 Page No. : 7 of 23 Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



3.2.2 Probes

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency.

Model	EX3DV4	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE).	
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	10 μW/g to 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μW/g)	
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm	

Model	ES3DV3	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Interleaved sensors. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE).	
Frequency	10 MHz to 4 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	M
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.3 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	5 μW/g to 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 3.9 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.0 mm	

3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

Model	DAE3. DAE4	-
Construction	Signal amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter and control logic. Serial optical link for communication with DASY embedded system (fully remote controlled). Two step probe touch detector for mechanical surface detection and emergency robot stop.	
Measurement Range	-100 to +300 mV (16 bit resolution and two range settings: 4mV, 400mV)	الطارية
Input Offset Voltage	< 5µV (with auto zero)	
Input Bias Current	< 50 fA	
Dimensions	60 x 60 x 68 mm	

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 8 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



3.2.4 Phantoms

Model	Twin SAM	
Construction	The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.	
Material Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)		
Shell Thickness $2 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ (6 ± 0.2 mm at ear point)		
Dimensions	Length: 1000 mm Width: 500 mm Height: adjustable feet	
Filling Volume approx. 25 liters		



Model	ELI
Construction	Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles.
Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)
Shell Thickness	2.0 ± 0.2 mm (bottom plate)
Dimensions	Major axis: 600 mm Minor axis: 400 mm
Filling Volume	approx. 30 liters



Report Format Version 5.0.0 Report No. : SA110826C12E Reference No.: 140701D04 Page No. : 9 of 23
Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



3.2.5 Device Holder

Model	Mounting Device	
Construction	In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom or ELI4, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter device in spherical coordinates. Rotation point is the ear opening point. Transmitter devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked for positioning at different phantom sections (left head, right head, flat).	
Material	POM	

Model	Laptop Extensions Kit	
Construction	Simple but effective and easy-to-use extension for Mounting Device that facilitates the testing of larger devices according to IEC 62209-2 (e.g., laptops, cameras, etc.). It is lightweight and fits easily on the upper part of the Mounting Device in place of the phone positioner.	
Material	POM, Acrylic glass, Foam	

3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles


Model	D-Serial	
Construction	Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun. Enables measurement of feed point impedance with NWA. Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with tissue simulating solutions.	
Frequency	750 MHz to 5800 MHz	
Return Loss	> 20 dB	
Power Capability	> 100 W (f < 1GHz), > 40 W (f > 1GHz)	

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 10 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in Table-3.1.



The dielectric properties of the head tissue simulating liquids are defined in IEEE 1528, and KDB 865664 D01 Appendix A. For the body tissue simulating liquids, the dielectric properties are defined in KDB 865664 D01 Appendix A. The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 11 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



Table-3.1 Targets of Tissue Simulating Liquid

F		argets of Tissue Silliu		D
Frequency (MHz)	Target Permittivity	Range of ±5%	Target Conductivity	Range of ±5%
(IVIFIZ)	remittivity		Conductivity	±3 /6
750	144.0	For Head	0.00	0.05 0.00
750	41.9	39.8 ~ 44.0	0.89	0.85 ~ 0.93
835	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6	0.90	0.86 ~ 0.95
900	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02
1450	40.5	38.5 ~ 42.5	1.20	1.14 ~ 1.26
1640	40.3	38.3 ~ 42.3	1.29	1.23 ~ 1.35
1750	40.1	38.1 ~ 42.1	1.37	1.30 ~ 1.44
1800	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
1900	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
2000	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
2300	39.5	37.5 ~ 41.5	1.67	1.59 ~ 1.75
2450	39.2	37.2 ~ 41.2	1.80	1.71 ~ 1.89
2600	39.0	37.1 ~ 41.0	1.96	1.86 ~ 2.06
3500	37.9	36.0 ~ 39.8	2.91	2.76 ~ 3.06
5200	36.0	34.2 ~ 37.8	4.66	4.43 ~ 4.89
5300	35.9	34.1 ~ 37.7	4.76	4.52 ~ 5.00
5500	35.6	33.8 ~ 37.4	4.96	4.71 ~ 5.21
5600	35.5	33.7 ~ 37.3	5.07	4.82 ~ 5.32
5800	35.3	33.5 ~ 37.1	5.27	5.01 ~ 5.53
		For Body		
750	55.5	52.7 ~ 58.3	0.96	0.91 ~ 1.01
835	55.2	52.4 ~ 58.0	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02
900	55.0	52.3 ~ 57.8	1.05	1.00 ~ 1.10
1450	54.0	51.3 ~ 56.7	1.30	1.24 ~ 1.37
1640	53.8	51.1 ~ 56.5	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47
1750	53.4	50.7 ~ 56.1	1.49	1.42 ~ 1.56
1800	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
1900	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
2000	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60
2300	52.9	50.3 ~ 55.5	1.81	1.72 ~ 1.90
2450	52.7	50.1 ~ 55.3	1.95	1.85 ~ 2.05
2600	52.5	49.9 ~ 55.1	2.16	2.05 ~ 2.27
3500	51.3	48.7 ~ 53.9	3.31	3.14 ~ 3.48
5200	49.0	46.6 ~ 51.5	5.30	5.04 ~ 5.57
5300	48.9	46.5 ~ 51.3	5.42	5.15 ~ 5.69
5500	48.6	46.2 ~ 51.0	5.65	5.37 ~ 5.93
5600	48.5	46.1 ~ 50.9	5.77	5.48 ~ 6.06
5800	48.2	45.8 ~ 50.6	6.00	5.70 ~ 6.30
	-			

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 12 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014





The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids.

Table-3.2 Recipes of Tissue Simulating Liquid


Tissue Type	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	Triton X-100	Water	Diethylene Glycol Mono- hexylether
H750	0.2	-	0.2	1.5	56.0	-	42.1	-
H835	0.2	-	0.2	1.5	57.0	-	41.1	-
H900	0.2	-	0.2	1.4	58.0	-	40.2	-
H1450	-	43.3	-	0.6	-	-	56.1	-
H1640	-	45.8	-	0.5	-	-	53.7	-
H1750	-	47.0	-	0.4	-	-	52.6	-
H1800	-	44.5	-	0.3	-	-	55.2	-
H1900	-	44.5	-	0.2	-	-	55.3	-
H2000	-	44.5	-	0.1	-	-	55.4	-
H2300	-	44.9	-	0.1	-	-	55.0	-
H2450	-	45.0	-	0.1	-	-	54.9	-
H2600	-	45.1	-	0.1	-	-	54.8	-
H3500	-	8.0	-	0.2	-	20.0	71.8	-
H5G	-		-	-	-	17.2	65.5	17.3
B750	0.2	-	0.2	0.8	48.8	-	50.0	-
B835	0.2	-	0.2	0.9	48.5	-	50.2	-
B900	0.2	-	0.2	0.9	48.2	-	50.5	-
B1450	-	34.0	-	0.3	-	-	65.7	-
B1640	-	32.5	-	0.3	-	-	67.2	-
B1750	-	31.0	-	0.2	-	-	68.8	-
B1800	-	29.5	-	0.4	-	-	70.1	-
B1900	-	29.5	-	0.3	-	-	70.2	-
B2000	-	30.0	-	0.2	-	-	69.8	-
B2300	-	31.0	-	0.1	-	-	68.9	-
B2450	-	31.4	-	0.1	-	-	68.5	-
B2600	-	31.8	-	0.1	-	-	68.1	-
B3500	-	28.8	-	0.1	-	-	71.1	-
B5G	-	-	-	-	-	10.7	78.6	10.7

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 13 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



3.3 SAR System Verification

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below.



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz, 100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to 6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 14 of 23

 Report No. : SA110826C12E
 Issued Date
 : Sep. 24, 2014



3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure

According to the SAR test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- (a) Power reference measurement
- (b) Area scan
- (c) Zoom scan
- (d) Power drift measurement

The SAR measurement procedures for each of test conditions are as follows:

- (a) Make EUT to transmit maximum output power
- (b) Measure conducted output power through RF cable
- (c) Place the EUT in the specific position of phantom
- (d) Perform SAR testing steps on the DASY system
- (e) Record the SAR value

3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. According to KDB 865664 D01, the resolution for Area and Zoom scan is specified in the table below.

Items	<= 2 GHz	2-3 GHz	3-4 GHz	4-5 GHz	5-6 GHz
Area Scan (Δx, Δy)	<= 15 mm	<= 12 mm	<= 12 mm	<= 10 mm	<= 10 mm
Zoom Scan (Δx, Δy)	<= 8 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 4 mm	<= 4 mm
Zoom Scan (Δz)	<= 5 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 4 mm	<= 3 mm	<= 2 mm
Zoom Scan Volume	>= 30 mm	>= 30 mm	>= 28 mm	>= 25 mm	>= 22 mm

Note:

When zoom scan is required and report SAR is <= 1.4 W/kg, the zoom scan resolution of $\Delta x / \Delta y$ (2-3GHz: <= 8 mm, 3-4GHz: <= 7 mm, 4-6GHz: <= 5 mm) may be applied.

3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD postprocessor can combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 15 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASY measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drift more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- (a) Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- (b) Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- (c) Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- (d) Interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- (e) Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- (f) Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g

3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods

In DASY, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 16 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



4. SAR Measurement Evaluation

4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting

For WLAN SAR testing, the EUT has installed WLAN engineering testing software which can provide continuous transmitting RF signal. According to KDB 248227 D01, WLAN SAR should tested at the lowest data rate, and testing at higher data rate is not required when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than those measured at the lowest data rate. Since the WLAN power at lowest data rate has highest output power, WLAN SAR for this device was performed at the lowest data rate.

4.2 EUT Testing Position

This EUT was tested in four different USB configurations. They are "direct laptop plug-in for configuration 2 and 4", "USB cable plug-in for configuration 1 and 3", and "direct laptop plug-in for EUT Tip Mode" shown as below. Both direct laptop plug-in and USB cable plug-in test configurations are tested with 5 mm separation between the particular dongle orientation and the flat phantom.




Fig-4.1 Illustration for USB Connector Orientations

4.3 Tissue Verification

The measuring results for tissue simulating liquid are shown as below.

Test Date	Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Temp. (℃)	Measured Conductivity (σ)	Measured Permittivity (ε _r)	Target Conductivity (σ)	Target Permittivity (ϵ_r)	Conductivity Deviation (%)	Permittivity Deviation (%)
Aug. 02, 2014	Body	2450	21.4	1.970	51.396	1.95	52.7	1.03	-2.47

Note:

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid must be measured within 24 hours before the SAR testing and within $\pm 5\%$ of the target values. Liquid temperature during the SAR testing must be within $\pm 2\%$.

 Report Format Version 5.0.0
 Page No.
 : 17 of 23

 Report No. : SA110826C12E
 Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



4.4 System Validation

The SAR measurement system was validated according to procedures in KDB 865664 D01. The validation status in tabulated summary is as below.

Total Bushin			Measured	Measured	Validation for CW			Validation for Modulation			
Test Date	Probe S/N	Calibrati	on Point	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ε _r)	Sensitivity Range	Probe Linearity	Probe Isotropy	Modulation Type	Duty Factor	PAR
Aug. 02, 2014	3820	Body	2450	1.970	51.396	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM	N/A	Pass

4.5 System Verification

The measuring result for system verification is tabulated as below.

Test Date	Mode	Frequency (MHz)	1W Target SAR-1g (W/kg)	Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	Normalized to 1W SAR-1g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	DAE S/N
Aug. 02, 2014	Body	2450	49.60	12.90	51.60	4.03	737	3820	861

Note:

Comparing to the reference SAR value provided by SPEAG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %. The result indicates the system check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix A of this report.

4.6 Maximum Output Power

4.6.1 Maximum Conducted Power

The maximum conducted average power (Unit: dBm) including tune-up tolerance is shown as below.

Mode	2.4G WLAN
802.11b	19.0
802.11g	22.0
802.11n HT20	21.5
802.11n HT40	21.0

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 18 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



4.6.2 Measured Conducted Power Result

The measuring conducted average power (Unit: dBm) is shown as below.

Mode		802.11b	
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)
Average Power (Ant-0)	18.81	18.57	18.44
Average Power (Ant-1)	18.47	18.23	18.12
Mode		802.11g	
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)
Average Power (Ant-0)	20.47	21.87	20.84
Average Power (Ant-1)	20.11	21.39	20.12
Mode		802.11n (HT20)	
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	1 (2412)	6 (2437)	11 (2462)
Average Power (Ant-0)	20.90	21.23	16.66
Average Power (Ant-1)	20.11	20.76	16.33
Mode		802.11n (HT40)	
Channel / Frequency (MHz)	3 (2422)	6 (2437)	9 (2452)
Average Power (Ant-0)	20.86	20.51	15.48
Average Power (Ant-1)	20.24	20.20	15.06

4.7 SAR Testing Results

4.7.1 SAR Results for Body (Separation Distance is 0.5 cm Gap)

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Ch.	Tx Antenna	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Measured Conducted Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR-1g (W/kg)
	802.11b	-	Horizontal Up	1	0	19.0	18.81	1.04	0.17	0.084	0.09
	802.11b	=	Horizontal Down	1	0	19.0	18.81	1.04	-0.13	0.292	0.31
	802.11b	-	Vertical Front	1	0	19.0	18.81	1.04	0.16	0.099	0.10
	802.11b	-	Vertical Back	1	0	19.0	18.81	1.04	0.11	0.15	0.16
	802.11b	-	Tip Mode	1	0	19.0	18.81	1.04	0.01	0.205	0.21
	802.11b	-	Horizontal Up	1	1	19.0	18.47	1.13	0.08	0.187	0.21
	802.11b	-	Horizontal Down	1	1	19.0	18.47	1.13	-0.19	0.311	0.35
	802.11b	-	Vertical Front	1	1	19.0	18.47	1.13	0.12	0.185	0.21
	802.11b	-	Vertical Back	1	1	19.0	18.47	1.13	0.19	0.16	0.18
	802.11b	-	Tip Mode	1	1	19.0	18.47	1.13	-0.02	0.195	0.22
01	802.11g	=	Horizontal Down	6	1	22.0	21.39	1.15	-0.18	0.437	<mark>0.50</mark>
	802.11n	HT20	Horizontal Down	6	1	21.5	20.76	1.19	-0.16	0.419	0.50
	802.11n	HT40	Horizontal Down	3	1	21.0	20.24	1.19	-0.12	0.305	0.36

Note:

1. According to KDB 248227, when the extrapolated maximum peak SAR for the maximum output power channel is <= 1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <= 0.8 W/kg, WLAN SAR testing for other channels is not required.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 19 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014

FCC SAR Test Report



4.7.2 SAR Measurement Variability

According to KDB 865664 D01, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media are required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures should be applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. Alternatively, if the highest measured SAR for both head and body tissue-equivalent media are ≤ 1.45 W/kg and the ratio of these highest SAR values, i.e., largest divided by smallest value, is ≤ 1.10 , the highest SAR configuration for either head or body tissue-equivalent medium may be used to perform the repeated measurement. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

Since all the measured SAR are less than 0.8 W/kg, the repeated measurement is not required.

Test Engineer : Enzo Chang

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 20 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



5. Calibration of Test Equipment

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	SN	Cal. Date	Cal. Interval
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	737	Jan. 21, 2013	2 Years
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3820	May. 15, 2014	1 Year
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE4	861	Apr. 23, 2014	1 Year
ENA Series Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	MY46214281	Jun. 13, 2014	1 Year
EXA Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	MY53470455	Feb. 22, 2014	1 Year
MXG Analong Signal Generator	Agilent	N5181A	MY50143868	Jun. 26, 2014	1 Year
Power Meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1218009	Jun. 26, 2014	1 Year
Power Sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	1207252	Jun. 26, 2014	1 Year
Thermometer	YFE	YF-160A	110600361	Feb. 27, 2014	1 Year

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 21 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



6. Measurement Uncertainty

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Vi
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration	6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 3.9 %	∞
Boundary Effects	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %	∞
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %	∞
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %	∞
Readout Electronics	0.6	Normal	1	1	± 0.6 %	∞
Response Time	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.0 %	∞
Integration Time	1.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.0 %	∞
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe Positioner	0.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.3 %	∞
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	∞
Max. SAR Eval.	2.3	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.3 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	3.9	Normal	1	1	± 3.9 %	31
Device Holder	2.7	Normal	1	1	± 2.7 %	19
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9 %	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %	∞
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8 %	∞
Liquid Conductivity (Meas.)	5.0	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.2 %	29
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7 %	∞
Liquid Permittivity (Meas.)	5.0	Normal	1	0.6	± 3.0 %	29
Combined Standard Uncertain	inty				± 11.7 %	
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)		± 23.4 %				

Uncertainty budget for frequency range 300 MHz to 3 GHz

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 22 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



7. Information on the Testing Laboratories

We, Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch, were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved according to ISO/IEC 17025.

If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

Taiwan HwaYa EMC/RF/Safety/Telecom Lab:

Add: No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd, Wen Hwa Vil., Kwei Shan Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-3-318-3232 Fax: 886-3-327-0892

Taiwan LinKo EMC/RF Lab:

Add: No. 47, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Vil., Linkou Dist., New Taipei City 244, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-2-2605-2180 Fax: 886-2-2605-1924

Taiwan HsinChu EMC/RF Lab:

Add: No. 81-1, Lu Liao Keng, 9th Ling, Wu Lung Vil., Chiung Lin Township, Hsinchu County 307, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: 886-3-593-5343 Fax: 886-3-593-5342

Email: service.adt@tw.bureauveritas.com

Web Site: www.adt.com.tw

The road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.

---END---

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Page No. : 23 of 23
Report No.: SA110826C12E Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014



Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

The plots for system verification with largest deviation for each SAR system combination are shown as follows.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014

Report No. : SA110826C12E Reference No.: 140701D04

System Check_B2450_140802

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; SN: 737

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: B24T25N1_0802 Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 1.97$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 51.396$; $\rho = 1.97$ Medium: B24T25N1_0802 Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 1.97$ S/m; $\sigma = 1$

Date: 2014/08/02

 1000 kg/m^3

Ambient Temperature : 22.3°C; Liquid Temperature : 21.4°C

DASY5 Configuration:


- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(6.87, 6.87, 6.87); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2014/04/23
- Phantom: SAM Phantom Right; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1653
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Pin=250mW/Area Scan (81x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 19.9 W/kg

Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 101.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.9 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.95 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.9 W/kg







Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement

The SAR plots for highest measured SAR in each exposure configuration, wireless mode and frequency band combination, and measured SAR > 1.5 W/kg are shown as follows.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014

Report No. : SA110826C12E Reference No.: 140701D04

P01 802.11g_Horizontal Down_0.5cm_Ch6_Ant1

DUT: 140701D04

Communication System: WLAN 2.4G; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.09

Medium: B24T25N1_0802 Medium parameters used: f = 2437 MHz; $\sigma = 1.949$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 51.402$; $\rho =$


Date: 2014/08/02

 1000 kg/m^3

Ambient Temperature: 22.3°C; Liquid Temperature: 21.4°C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3820; ConvF(6.87, 6.87, 6.87); Calibrated: 2014/05/15;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2014/04/23
- Phantom: SAM Phantom Right; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP 1653
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)
- Area Scan (31x41x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.680 W/kg
- Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 15.04 V/m; Power Drift = -0.18 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.835 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.437 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.206 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.636 W/kg







Appendix C. Calibration Certificate for Probe and Dipole

The SPEAG calibration certificates are shown as follows.

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014

Report No. : SA110826C12E Reference No.: 140701D04

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S

C

S

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

B.V. ADT (Auden)

Certificate No: D2450V2-737_Jan13

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object

D2450V2 - SN: 737

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-05.v9

Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date:

January 21, 2013

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID#	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	01-Nov-12 (No. 217-01640)	Oct-13
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	01-Nov-12 (No. 217-01640)	Oct-13
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01530)	Apr-13
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.3 / 06327	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01533)	Apr-13
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	28-Dec-12 (No. ES3-3205_Dec12)	Dec-13
DAE4	SN: 601	27-Jun-12 (No. DAE4-601_Jun12)	Jun-13
Secondary Standards	ID#	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-13
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-13
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-12)	In house check: Oct-13
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Leif Klysner	Laboratory Technician	Sallyn
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	Deputy Technical Manager	F. Breakell

Issued: January 21, 2013

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: D2450V2-737_Jan13

Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL

tissue simulating liquid

ConvF

sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z

N/A

not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

Additional Documentation:

d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: D2450V2-737_Jan13 Page 2 of 8

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.5
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy , $dz = 5 mm$	
Frequency	2450 MHz ± 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	37.9 ± 6 %	1.85 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C		2222

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.4 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	52.5 W/kg ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.17 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.4 W/kg ± 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parametersThe following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	50.5 ± 6 %	2.01 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	-	

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	12.7 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	49.6 W/kg ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.86 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.1 W/kg ± 16.5 % (k=2)

Certificate No: D2450V2-737_Jan13 Page 3 of 8

Appendix

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$53.4 \Omega + 3.7 j\Omega$	
Return Loss	- 26.3 dB	

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.1 Ω + 5.3 jΩ
Return Loss	- 25.5 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.161 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	August 26, 2003

Certificate No: D2450V2-737_Jan13 Page 4 of 8

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 21.01.2013

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 737

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 1.85 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 37.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.52, 4.52, 4.52); Calibrated: 28.12.2012;

• Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 27.06.2012

Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001

• DASY52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 99.892 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.4 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.17 W/kg


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.2 W/kg



0 dB = 17.2 W/kg = 12.36 dBW/kg

Certificate No: D2450V2-737_Jan13

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 18.01.2013

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 737

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 2.01 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 50.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.42, 4.42, 4.42); Calibrated: 28.12.2012;

• Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 27.06.2012

Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002

DASY52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 94.076 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.7 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.86 W/kg


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 16.8 W/kg



0 dB = 16.8 W/kg = 12.25 dBW/kg

Certificate No: D2450V2-737_Jan13 Page 7 of 8

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL







Annual Confirmation of SAR Reference Dipole


Model: D2450V2 **S/N**: 737 **Measured Date**: Jan. 20, 2014


Frequency (MHz)	Туре	Item	Previous Measurement	Annual Check	Deviation	Accepted Tolerance	Note
		Return Loss	-26.268	-26.418	0.6 %	±20 %	PASS
2450	Head TSL	Real Impedance	53.387	52.787	-0.6	±5 Ω	PASS
		Imaginary Impedance	3.7109	4.0722	0.3613	±5 Ω	PASS
		Return Loss	-25.479	-24.458	-4.0 %	±20 %	PASS
2450	Body TSL	Real Impedance	50.123	49.055	-1.068	±5 Ω	PASS
		Imaginary Impedance	5.334	5.8881	0.5541	±5 Ω	PASS





2450 MHz, Head TSL





2450 MHz, Body TSL

Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

Auden

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

S

C

S

Certificate No: EX3-3820 May14

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object

EX3DV4 - SN:3820

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6

Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date:

May 15, 2014

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Certificate No: EX3-3820_May14

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911)	Apr-15
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911)	Apr-15
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01915)	Apr-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01919)	Apr-15
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01920)	Apr-15
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-13 (No. ES3-3013_Dec13)	Dec-14
DAE4	SN: 660	13-Dec-13 (No. DAE4-660_Dec13)	Dec-14
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-14

Calibrated by:

Claudio Leubler

Laboratory Technician

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic

Technical Manager

Issued: May 17, 2014

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner **Engineering AG** Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S Service suisse d'étalonnage C Servizio svizzero di taratura S Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL tissue simulating liquid NORMx,y,z sensitivity in free space ConvF sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z DCP diode compression point

CF crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal modulation dependent linearization parameters A, B, C, D

Polarization φ φ rotation around probe axis

Polarization 9 9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),

i.e., $\theta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Connector Angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement

Techniques", June 2013
b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx, y, z: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \le 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not affect the E2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- $NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response$ (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z; Dx,y,z; VRx,y,z: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f

 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx,y,z * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORMx (no uncertainty required).

Certificate No: EX3-3820_May14

Probe EX3DV4

SN:3820

Manufactured: September 2, 2011

Repaired: April 28, 2014 Calibrated: May 15, 2014

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3820

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)	
Norm $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)^A$	0.41	0.48	0.51	± 10.1 %	
DCP (mV) ^B	101.9	94.0	97.6		

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB√μV	С	D dB	VR mV	Unc [±] (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	144.8	±3.5 %
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		131.9	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		142.9	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3820

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	9.55	9.55	9.55	0.41	0.88	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	9.22	9.22	9.22	0.30	1.08	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	9.23	9.23	9.23	0.47	0.78	± 12.0 %
1450	40.5	1.20	8.49	8.49	8.49	0.27	1.21	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	8.26	8.26	8.26	0.80	0.59	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	7.73	7.73	7.73	0.58	0.68	± 12.0 %
2100	39.8	1.49	7.71	7.71	7.71	0.75	0.58	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	6.85	6.85	6.85	0.41	0.85	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	6.73	6.73	6.73	0.40	0.85	± 12.0 %
5200	36.0	4.66	4.94	4.94	4.94	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5300	35.9	4.76	4.66	4.66	4.66	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5500	35.6	4.96	4.70	4.70	4.70	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5600	35.5	5.07	4.47	4.47	4.47	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5800	35.3	5.27	4.29	4.29	4.29	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %

 $^{^{\}rm C}$ Frequency validity of \pm 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to \pm 50 MHz. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to \pm 110 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Certificate No: EX3-3820_May14 Page 5 of 11

F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to \pm 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to \pm 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

Galpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3820

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	9.12	9.12	9.12	0.42	0.92	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	9.01	9.01	9.01	0.37	0.97	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	8.83	8.83	8.83	0.59	0.73	± 12.0 %
1450	54.0	1.30	7.88	7.88	7.88	0.58	0.73	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.48	7.48	7.48	0.80	0.61	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.23	7.23	7.23	0.63	0.70	± 12.0 %
2100	53.2	1.62	7.54	7.54	7.54	0.53	0.75	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	6.87	6.87	6.87	0.80	0.58	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	6.63	6.63	6.63	0.80	0.50	± 12.0 %
5200	49.0	5.30	4.44	4.44	4.44	0.40	1.90	± 13.1 %
5300	48.9	5.42	4.25	4.25	4.25	0.40	1.90	± 13.1 %
5500	48.6	5.65	3.99	3.99	3.99	0.45	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.83	3.83	3.83	0.45	1.90	± 13.1 %
5800	48.2	6.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %


 $^{^{\}rm C}$ Frequency validity of \pm 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to \pm 50 MHz. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to \pm 110 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to \pm 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to \pm 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

Galpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.


Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$











Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head}) (TEM cell , f_{eval}= 1900 MHz)






Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)


Certificate No: EX3-3820_May14


May 15, 2014

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error (φ, θ), f = 900 MHz





DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3820

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	-56
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	2 mm

Certificate No: EX3-3820_May14 Page 11 of 11




Appendix D. Photographs of EUT and Setup

Report Format Version 5.0.0 Issued Date : Sep. 24, 2014

Report No. : SA110826C12E Reference No.: 140701D04



<Photographs of EUT>



<Antenna Location>

