
Appendix for the Report

Dosimetric Assessment of the Siemens M46 (FCC ID: PWX-M46) According to the FCC Requirements

SAR Distribution Plots

April 19, 2002
IMST GmbH
Carl-Friedrich-Gauß-Str. 2
D-47475 Kamp-Lintfort

Customer
Siemens Information and Communication Mobile LLC
16745 West Bernardo Drive Suite 400
San Diego CA 92127

The test results only relate to the items tested.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written
approval of the testing laboratory.

Table of Contents

1 SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS, PCS 1900 3

2 SAR Z-AXIS SCAN..... 9

1 SAR Distribution Plots, PCS 1900




Fig. 1: SAR distribution, PCS 1900, channel 661, cheek position, left head side, Cube 2: 0.531 W/kg.




Fig. 2: SAR distribution, PCS 1900, channel 661, tilted position, left head side

Siemens M46

SAM PCS 1900; Right Hand

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1669; ConvF(5.20,5.20,5.20); Crest factor: 8.0; Brain 1900MHz: $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 38.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 1.21 mW/g; SAR (1g): 0.686 mW/g; SAR (10g): 0.337 mW/g; (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 9.4 (9.0, 10.2) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.02 dB




Fig. 3: SAR distribution, PCS 1900, channel 661, cheek position, right head side, Cube 2: 0.578 W/kg.

Siemens M46

SAM PCS 1900, Right Hand

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1669; ConvF(5.20,5.20); Crest factor: 8.0; Brain 1900MHz: $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 38.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 1.32 mW/g; SAR (1g): 0.711 mW/g; SAR (10g): 0.376 mW/g (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 8.6 (8.0, 9.7) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.06 dB




Fig. 4: Worst case SAR distribution, PCS 1900, channel 512, tilted position, right head side

Siemens M46

SAM PCS 1900; Flat

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1669; ConvF(480,480,480); Crest factor: 8.0; body: 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.33$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 51.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 0.470 mW/g SAR (1g): 0.275 mW/g SAR (10g): 0.163 mW/g (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 9.7 (9.1, 10.7) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.04 dB




Fig 5: Worst case SAR distribution, PCS 1900, channel 512, body worn configuration, belt clip with headset

Siemens M46

SAM PCS 1900, Flat

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1669; ConvF(4.80,4.80,4.80); Crest factor: 8.0; body 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 51.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 0.436 mW/g SAR (1g): 0.246 mW/g SAR (10g): 0.143 mW/g (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 9.7 (8.7, 11.2) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.00 dB




Fig. 6: Worst case SAR distribution, PCS 1900, channel 512, body worn configuration, belt clip with MP3 player, Cube 2: 0.158 W/kg.

2 SAR z-axis scan

The following pictures shown the plot of SAR versus liquid depth for the worst case values.




Fig. 7: SAR versus liquid depth at the location with the highest value: PCS 1900, channel 512, tilted position, right head side.

Siemens M46
 SAM PCS1900,
 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1669; ConvF(480,480,480); Crest factor: 8.0; body 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.53 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 51.1$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$
 Penetration depth: 10.3 (9.0, 12.1) [mm]




Fig. 8: SAR versus liquid depth at the location with the highest value: PCS 1900, channel 512, body worn configuration, belt clip with headset