

Philips Medical Systems



To: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554  
Attention: Ms. Diane Poole  
Date: October 18, 2004  
From: Barry Wyshogrod, Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA  
Subject: **Reply to Correspondence Reference #27731  
731 Application #EA936855**

Dear Ms. Poole and FCC Reviewers,

On 1-Oct-04, you e-mailed me the following observations/requests:

1. Please resubmit the confidentiality request letter. Note that the Part 95 Test Report exhibit cannot be held confidential.
2. You have requested the Internal Device Photographs to be confidential. Please explain how you prevent the public from seeing the inside of the equipment (any special tool to open the device), and give a special justification why we have to keep it confidential.
3. Please submit a formal special 45 day confidentiality request letter. Note that the Artwork for Product Labels and the External Device Photographs exhibits cannot be held confidential (the External Device Photographs and the ID label are identical; we can not give the Label Exhibit confidential status).

Philips Medical Systems' response to Item #1 above:

With appreciation to you, Diane, for helping me over the phone last week, I hereby attach a new Request for Confidentiality. This request consists of two parts; a request for Indefinite Confidentiality for certain deliverables, and second, a request for 45-day Limited Confidentiality for other submitted items. I understand that the Part 95 Test Report cannot be granted either type of confidentiality, and it no longer appears as part of the Request for Confidentiality. This Request for Confidentiality supercedes my initial requests (Cover Letter, and Cover Letter-Request for Confidentiality) dated 25-Aug-04.

Philips Medical Systems' response to Item #2 above:

Philips is no longer requesting Indefinite Confidentiality for the Internal Device Photograph; only 45-day Limited Confidentiality, as listed in the attached, new Request for Confidentiality. Limited Confidentiality is important for Philips Medical Systems from a commercial perspective. It enables the company to complete its development and regulatory efforts prior to introducing the product to the marketplace. Releasing information on the product via the FCC website prior to formal market introduction can be confusing to our customers and to the medical community, and places the company at a competitive disadvantage.

Philips Medical Systems' response to Item #3 above:

I hereby attach the revised Request for Confidentiality, which includes the appropriate section on 45-day Limited Confidentiality. As agreed with you, Diane, Philips Medical Systems will request the 45-day protection for the Internal and External Photographs, and for the Instructions for Use, but not for the FCC ID Label.

Therefore, I hereby attach two new attachments to our EA936855 submission:

1. Revised Request for Confidentiality.
2. Revised FCC ID label artwork showing relevant FCC labeling and placement. It is understood that this will not be held confidential. Please delete the previous attachment submitted for the FCC ID Label.

Diane, thank you in advance for all your help and patience; it is much appreciated. For any further issues, I can be reached at **(978) 659-7383** or by e-mail to: [barry.wyshogrod@philips.com](mailto:barry.wyshogrod@philips.com). If I am not available, please contact Ms. Denise Haley at **(978) 659-4358** or by e-mail to: [denise.haley@philips.com](mailto:denise.haley@philips.com).

Sincerely yours,

Barry Wyshogrod, Regulatory Engineer  
*Signature already on-file at the FCC*