

Here is the response from the laboratory:-

Thanks. We re-check the test data that was correct. Please kindly find the comments as below.

1. Specifically, the test results on pages 28 and 29 for PPQ-CHS888 show similar waveforms for the low and mid channels as PPQ-CHK001 (test report pages 28-29), even though the power for this device is much higher (21.5 dBm versus 2.89 dBm).

ANS: Although the waveforms are similar with these two projects, but we can see the peak level are different, for example, the test results on page 28 for PPQ-CHS888 show the peak level 17.22 dBm for the low CH, and the test results on page 28 for PPQ-CHK001 show the peak level -0.28 dBm for the low CH.

2. Additionally, the waveforms in PPQ-CHS888 are different for the low channel when compared to the mid and high channels. For PPQ-CHK001, the waveform for the mid channel when compared to the low and high channels, are different.

ANS: For the waveforms in PPQ-CHS888, the waveform for the low channel which includes the marker table but there are no marker tables on the waveforms for the mid, high channels, so that's why they're look like some different. It is the same situation for the PPQ-CHK001.

3. Furthermore, PPQ-CHK001 shows an unusually high side-lobe given the small power level of the device.

ANS: For the issue, I think that we used the attenuator for the high power device in the test that let the waveform similar with these two projects. For the test item on page 28, the limit is 20 dBc from the peak level, so whatever how much the power level, if the device has the high side-lobe, the level will close the limit.