

SAR Compliance Test Report

Test report no.:	FCC_RM-800_01	Date of report:	2011-10-10
Template version:	18.0	Number of pages:	48
Testing laboratory:	TCC Nokia Ulm Laboratory Lise Meitner Strasse 10 89081 ULM GERMANY Tel. +49 731 1754 0 Fax. +49 731 1754 6800	Client:	Nokia Corporation Lise Meitner Strasse 10 89081 ULM GERMANY Tel. +49 731 1754 0 Fax. +49 731 1754 6800
Responsible test engineer:	Leif Klysner	Product contact person:	Tobias Cremer
Measurements made by:	Daniel Albrecht		
Tested device:	RM-800		
FCC ID:	PPIRM-800	IC:	661U-RM800
Supplement reports:	SAR_Photo_RM-800_02		
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01) Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields RSS-102 Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with Respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields IEEE 1528 - 2003 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Technique		
Documentation:	The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at TCC Nokia.		
Test results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.		

Date and signatures:

For the contents:

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT.....	3
1.1 TEST DETAILS.....	3
1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS.....	3
1.2.1 Head Configuration.....	3
1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration	3
1.2.3 Maximum Drift	3
1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty.....	4
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST	4
2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA.....	4
3. TEST CONDITIONS.....	5
3.1 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY.....	5
3.2 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES AND OUTPUT POWER.....	5
3.3 TEST CASES AND TEST MINIMISATION	6
4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT	8
4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND COMPONENTS	8
4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe Type ET3DV6	9
4.2 PHANTOMS	10
4.3 TISSUE SIMULANTS.....	10
4.3.1 Tissue Simulant Recipes.....	10
4.3.2 System Checking	11
4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements.....	12
5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE.....	13
5.1 DEVICE HOLDER.....	13
5.2 TEST POSITIONS.....	13
5.2.1 Against Phantom Head.....	13
5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration	14
5.3 SCAN PROCEDURES.....	14
5.4 SAR AVERAGING METHODS.....	14
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	15
7. RESULTS	16
APPENDIX A: SYSTEM CHECKING SCANS	19
APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS	24
APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S).....	47
APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)	48

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Period of test	2011-10-04 to 2011-10-07
SN, HW and SW numbers of tested device	SN: 004402/13/677123/9, HW: 0821, SW: Vp Ins10.19, DUT: 70046
Batteries used in testing	BL-5J LG, DUT: 70014, 70015, 70017
Headsets used in testing	WH-102, DUT: 70000
Other accessories used in testing	
State of sample	Prototype unit
Notes	-

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum measured SAR values for Head configuration and Body Worn configuration are given in section 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 respectively. The device conforms to the requirements of the standard(s) when the maximum measured SAR value is less than or equal to the limit.

1.2.1 Head Configuration

Mode	Ch / f (MHz)	Conducted power	Position	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Scaled* SAR value (1g avg)	SAR limit (1g avg)	Result
GSM850	251 / 848.8	32.5 dBm	Right, Cheek	0.900 W/kg	1.01 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	PASSED
GSM1900	661 / 1880.0	30.5 dBm	Left, Cheek	1.14 W/kg	1.28 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	PASSED

1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

Mode	Ch / f (MHz)	Conducted power	Separation distance	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Scaled* SAR value (1g avg)	SAR limit (1g avg)	Result
GSM850	190 / 836.6	32.5 dBm	1.5 cm	0.819 W/kg	0.92 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	PASSED
GSM1900	661 / 1880.0	30.5 dBm	1.5 cm	0.508 W/kg	0.57 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	PASSED

* SAR values are scaled up by 12% to cover measurement drift. As a consequence of this upwards correction of the SAR values, the contribution of measurement drift to the overall measurement uncertainty (Section 6) is reduced to zero.

1.2.3 Maximum Drift

Maximum drift covered by 12% scaling up of the SAR values	Maximum drift during measurements
0.5dB	0.37 dB

1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty

Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95%	± 25.8%
--------------------------------	---------

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device category	Portable
Exposure environment	General population / uncontrolled

Modes of Operation	Bands	Modulation Mode	Duty Cycle	Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz)
GSM	850 1900	GMSK	1/8	824 – 849 1850 – 1910
GPRS	850 1900	GMSK	1/8 to 4/8	824 – 849 1850 – 1910
BT	2450	GFSK	1	2402 – 2480

This is a BT Class 1 device; as its power tuning target is 7.5 dBm (5.6 mW), SAR testing was deemed unnecessary.

2.1 Description of the Antenna

The device has an internal antenna for cellular use. The cellular antenna is located at the bottom underneath the back cover.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Temperature and Humidity

Ambient temperature (°C):	20.5 – 22.5
Ambient humidity (RH %):	35 - 55

3.2 Test Signal, Frequencies and Output Power

The device was put into operation by using a call tester. Communication between the device and the call tester was established by air link.

The device output power was set to maximum power level for all tests; a fully charged battery was used for every test sequence.

In all operating bands the measurements were performed on lowest, middle and highest channels.

The radiated output power of the device was measured by a separate test laboratory on the same unit(s) as used for SAR testing. The results are given in the EMC report supporting this application.

3.3 Test Cases and Test Minimisation

The tested device examined in this report may not incorporate all of the features described in the text that follows, but its SAR evaluation will have been subjected to the same considerations and test logic described below.

Whilst it's possible to identify the maximum SAR test cases from inspection of the conducted power levels given in the Results tables (Section 7), different modes in the same band and multi-slot transmit GSM/GPRS modes can create some difficulties. Therefore the sequence of the SAR tests made in evaluating this device has used test logic that is based on measured SAR values. Comparison of measured SAR values in this way, can also allow some test minimization (i.e. test elimination) to be made.

For example, when SAR testing multi-slot GSM/GPRS/EGPRS modes, it is an inefficient use of test resources to fully SAR test every test configuration in each of the different modes as these modes have a fixed power relationship between them that is the same, irrespective of the test configuration. In the case of multi-slot GSM/GPRS modes, a single comparative SAR test - using the same test channel and test configuration - is made in each of the n-slot modes; the mode with the highest measured SAR value is then subjected to full SAR testing in all test configurations. These comparative SAR tests (same frequency, same test configuration) are regarded as extremely accurate as they are relative tests in which the tested device changes neither its frequency nor its position between tests. For different modes that operate in the same band and use the same antenna e.g. GSM/GPRS850 and WCDMA850, full SAR testing is carried out in the GSM/GPRS850 mode but WCDMA850 testing is limited to 3 channel testing in the maximum SAR test configuration for GSM/GPRS850.

Multi-slot SAR testing against the Head is always performed whenever such a device offers Push to Talk over cellular with the internal earpiece active, Dual Transfer Mode (i.e. the ability to transmit voice and data simultaneously using the same transmitter) or has WLAN (which enables a Voice over IP call to take place whilst the device can simultaneously transmit data on a cellular band). Whenever a device has an intended multi-slot use against the head, it is also Head SAR tested in EGPRS mode. It should be noted that EGPRS transmit modes can have either GMSK or 8PSK modulation but, when tested, only 8PSK EGPRS will appear explicitly in the results tables, as GMSK EGPRS mode has identical time-averaged power to the reported GPRS mode.

Devices that have flips or slides are fully SAR tested in all device configurations consistent with their intended usage. For example, flip phones that can receive a call in closed mode are SAR tested against the head in both open and closed configurations. Similarly, slide phones are fully SAR tested in all slide configurations in which calls are intended to be made or received.

In the results tables in Section 7, the maximum SAR value for the 'basic' tests (i.e. left cheek, left tilt, right cheek and right tilt in Head SAR testing; with and without headset with the back &/or

display side facing the flat phantom in Body SAR testing) is bolded for each band. In some cases, after full testing of the basic SAR test configurations has been completed, additional checking SAR tests are made. These checking tests are always based on the bolded result from the 'basic' testing. When the SAR value of a checking test exceeds the maximum value from the basic tests, it is also bolded and used as the basis for any further checking tests that might be needed.

Checking tests are largely voluntary and can cover optional batteries, different camera slide positions, optional covers, etc. In the case of optional batteries, if the construction of the optional battery is significantly different to the battery used in the full testing e.g. if the outer can is floating electrically rather than grounded, then the maximum SAR test configuration in each band is tested with the optional battery in 3 channels. For camera slides, if the slide material is metal, then checking tests in 3 channels are again run for the maximum SAR test configuration in each band. For plastic camera slides, SAR checking is only carried out in the channel that provided the maximum SAR value for the original. Optional front and back covers are tested if their shape differs significantly from the original or if their metallic content varies by more than 15% from the original; in the former case, the testing depends on the extent of the physical differences, whereas in the latter case, 3 channel SAR testing is performed in every band in the max SAR test configuration.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

4.1 Measurement System and Components

The measurements were performed using an automated near-field scanning system, DASY4, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Switzerland. The SAR extrapolation algorithm used in all measurements was the 'advanced extrapolation' algorithm.

The following table lists calibration dates of SPEAG components:

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
DAE3	504	12 months	2012-04
E-field Probe ET3DV6	1676	12 months	2012-07
Dipole Validation Kit, D835V2	474	24 months	2013-06
Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2	5d007	24 months	2013-06
DASY4 software	Version 4.7	-	-

Additional test equipment used in testing:

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
Signal Generator	SMIQ03B	100391	36 months	2012-10
Amplifier	ZHL-42W	D050802-1	-	-
Power Meter	E4417A	GB40320113	24 months	2012-03
Power Sensor	E9325A	US40420308	12 months	2012-03
Power Sensor	E9325A	US40420291	12 months	2012-03
Call Tester	CMU200	117027	-	-
Vector Network Analyzer	8714B	GB36050238	12 months	2012-03
Dielectric Probe Kit	HP85070B	US01440027	-	-

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe Type ET3DV6

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., butyl diglycol)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix C
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Optical Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both system checks and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SPEAG. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEEE 1528 - 2003.

System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

The SPEAG device holder (see Section 5.1) was used to position the device in all tests whilst a tripod was used to position the validation dipoles against the flat section of phantom.

4.3 Tissue Simulants

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants are given in IEEE 1528 - 2003 and FCC Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. All tests were carried out using simulants whose dielectric parameters were within $\pm 5\%$ of the recommended values. All tests were carried out within 24 hours of measuring the dielectric parameters.

The depth of the tissue simulant was at least 15.0 cm measured from the ear reference point during system checking and device measurements.

4.3.1 Tissue Simulant Recipes

The following recipe(s) were used for Head and Body tissue simulant(s):

800MHz band

Ingredient	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
Deionised Water	39.74	55.97
HEC	0.25	1.21
Sugar	58.31	41.76
Preservative	0.15	0.27
Salt	1.55	0.79

1900MHz band

Ingredient	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
Deionised Water	54.50	70.25
Tween 20	45.23	29.41
Salt	0.27	0.34

4.3.2 System Checking

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyser. A system check measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the simulant, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW was supplied to the dipole antenna, which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The system checking results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the table below.

System checking, head tissue simulant

<i>f</i> [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
835	Reference result	2.33	40.8	0.89	
	± 10% window	2.10 – 2.56			
	2011-10-07	2.43	40.4	0.87	20.6
1900	Reference result	9.95	39.0	1.40	
	± 10% window	8.95 – 10.95			
	2011-10-07	9.87	38.4	1.40	20.6

System checking, body tissue simulant

<i>f</i> [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
835	Reference result	2.46	53.1	0.99	
	± 10% window	2.21 - 2.71			
	2011-10-04	2.47	55.1	0.95	20.5
1900	Reference result	10.1	52.3	1.53	
	± 10% window	9.1 – 11.1			
	2011-10-05	9.12	52.9	1.47	20.5

Plots of the system checking scans are given in Appendix A.

4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements

Head tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
836	Recommended value	41.5	0.90	20.6
	$\pm 5\%$ window	39.4 – 43.6	0.86 – 0.95	
	2011-10-07	40.4	0.87	
1880	Recommended value	40.0	1.40	20.6
	$\pm 5\%$ window	38.0 – 42.0	1.33 – 1.47	
	2011-10-07	38.4	1.38	

Body tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
836	Recommended value	55.2	0.97	20.5
	$\pm 5\%$ window	52.4 – 58.0	0.92 – 1.02	
	2011-10-04	55.1	0.95	
1880	Recommended value	53.3	1.52	20.5
	$\pm 5\%$ window	50.6 – 56.0	1.44 – 1.60	
	2011-10-05	52.9	1.45	

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the Dasy system.



Device holder supplied by SPEAG

A Nokia designed spacer (illustrated below) was used to position the device within the SPEAG holder. The spacer positions the device so that the holder has minimal effect on the test results but still holds the device securely. The spacer was removed before the tests.



Nokia spacer

5.2 Test Positions

5.2.1 Against Phantom Head

Measurements were made in “cheek” and “tilt” positions on both the left hand and right hand sides of the phantom.

The positions used in the measurements were according to IEEE 1528 - 2003 "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques".

5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

The device was placed in the SPEAG holder using the Nokia spacer and placed below the flat section of the phantom. The distance between the device and the phantom was kept at the separation distance indicated in Section 1.2.2 using a separate flat spacer that was removed before the start of the measurements. The device was oriented with both sides facing the phantom to find the highest results.

Nokia body-worn accessories are commonly available for the separation distance used in this testing.

5.3 Scan Procedures

First, area scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next, a zoom scan, a minimum of 5x5x7 points covering a volume of at least 30x30x30mm, was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the area scan and again at the end of the zoom scan.

5.4 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy4 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighbouring points by a least-square method. For the zoom scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the zoom scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 6.1 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation

Uncertainty Component	Section in IEEE 1528	Tol. (%)	Prob Dist	Div	C_i	$C_i \cdot U_i$ (%)	V_i
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	±5.9	N	1	1	±5.9	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	±4.7	R	√3	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	±1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	±9.6	R	√3	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	±3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Linearity	E2.4	±4.7	R	√3	1	±2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	±1.0	N	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response Time	E2.7	±0.8	R	√3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	±2.6	R	√3	1	±1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	±0.4	R	√3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	±2.9	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5	±3.9	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2	±6.0	N	1	1	±6.0	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1	±5.0	N	1	1	±5.0	7
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.3	±0.0	R	√3	1	±0.0	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	±4.0	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.64	±1.8	∞
Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±5.5	N	1	0.64	±3.5	5
Permittivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.6	±1.7	∞
Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±2.9	N	1	0.6	±1.7	5
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			±12.9	116
Coverage Factor for 95%			k=2				
Expanded Uncertainty						±25.8	

7. RESULTS

The measured Head SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

850MHz Head SAR results

Mode	Test configuration		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 128 824.2 MHz	Ch 190 836.6 MHz	Ch 251 848.8 MHz
GSM	Conducted Power		32.5 dBm	32.5 dBm	32.5 dBm
	Left	Cheek	-	0.779	-
		Tilt	-	0.444	-
	Right	Cheek	0.670	0.815	0.900
		Tilt	-	0.412	-

1900MHz Head SAR results

Mode	Test configuration		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
GSM	Conducted Power		30.5 dBm	30.5 dBm	30.5 dBm
	Left	Cheek	1.11	1.14	1.11
		Tilt	-	0.499	-
	Right	Cheek	0.767	0.893	0.947
		Tilt	-	0.488	-

The measured Body SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

850MHz Body SAR results

Mode	Device orientation	Test configuration	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 128 824.2 MHz	Ch 190 836.6 MHz	Ch 251 848.8 MHz
GSM		Conducted Power	32.5 dBm	32.5 dBm	32.5 dBm
	Display facing phantom	Without headset	-	0.673	-
		Headset WH-102	-	0.542	-
	Back facing phantom	Without headset	0.703	0.819	0.814
		Headset WH-102	-	0.610	-
2-slot GPRS		Conducted Power	-	29.5 dBm	-
	Display facing phantom	Without headset	-	0.655	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
	Back facing phantom	Without headset	-	-	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
3-slot GPRS		Conducted Power	-	27.7 dBm	-
	Display facing phantom	Without headset	-	0.640	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
	Back facing phantom	Without headset	-	-	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
4-slot GPRS		Conducted Power	-	26.5 dBm	-
	Display facing phantom	Without headset	-	0.648	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
	Back facing phantom	Without headset	-	-	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-

1900MHz Body SAR results

Mode	Device orientation	Test configuration	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
GSM		Conducted Power	30.5 dBm	30.5 dBm	30.5 dBm
	Display facing phantom	Without headset	-	0.495	-
		Headset WH-102	-	0.412	-
	Back facing phantom	Without headset	0.471	0.508	0.477
		Headset WH-102	-	0.497	-
2-slot GPRS		Conducted Power	-	27.5 dBm	-
	Display facing phantom	Without headset	-	0.208	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
	Back facing phantom	Without headset	-	-	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
3-slot GPRS		Conducted Power	-	25.7 dBm	-
	Display facing phantom	Without headset	-	0.312	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
	Back facing phantom	Without headset	-	-	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
4-slot GPRS		Conducted Power	-	24.5 dBm	-
	Display facing phantom	Without headset	-	0.410	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-
	Back facing phantom	Without headset	-	-	-
		Headset WH-102	-	-	-

Plots of the Measurement scans are given in Appendix B.

APPENDIX A: SYSTEM CHECKING SCANS

See the following pages.

Date/Time: 2011-10-07 14:09:54

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: D835V2; Serial: 474

Communication System: CW835

Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Head 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.66, 6.66, 6.66); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1188
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/d=15mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (61x121x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.64 mW/g

Configuration/d=15mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 57.4 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.47 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.43 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 1.6 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.034 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.63 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-07 08:34:24

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia
Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d007

Communication System: CW1900

Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Head 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1421
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (71x71x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.3 mW/g

Configuration/d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 91.5 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.87 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 5.24 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.024 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.2 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-04 06:56:50

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: D835V2; Serial: 474

Communication System: CW835

Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Body 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.951$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/d=15mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (61x121x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.69 mW/g

Configuration/d=15mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 55.5 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.45 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.47 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 1.65 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.086 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.67 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-05 10:15:57

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia
Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d007

Communication System: CW1900

Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Body 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.47$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (71x71x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 10.5 mW/g

Configuration/d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 87.8 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.3 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.12 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 4.93 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.051 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.4 mW/g



APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS

See the following pages.

Date/Time: 2011-10-07 14:34:21

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Head 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.873$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.66, 6.66, 6.66); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1188
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Cheek - Middle/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.825 mW/g

Configuration/Cheek - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.8 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.959 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.779 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.577 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.034 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.829 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-07 14:56:56

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Head 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.873$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.66, 6.66, 6.66); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1188
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Tilt - Middle/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.474 mW/g

Configuration/Tilt - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.6 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.528 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.444 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.336 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.091 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.464 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-07 15:44:42

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM850

Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Head 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 849$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.886$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.66, 6.66, 6.66); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1188
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Cheek - High/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.937 mW/g

Configuration/Cheek - High/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.2 V/m



Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.09 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.900 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.670 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.117 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.957 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-07 16:00:39

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Head 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.873$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.66, 6.66, 6.66); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1188
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Tilt - Middle/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.440 mW/g

Configuration/Tilt - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 15.2 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.484 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.412 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.312 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.109 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.435 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-07 10:38:50

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Head 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1421
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Cheek - Middle/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.3 mW/g

Configuration/Cheek - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.7 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.74 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.14 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.703 mW/g

Power Drift = 0.031 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.21 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-07 10:51:47

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Head 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1421
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Tilt - Middle/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.604 mW/g

Configuration/Tilt - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.7 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.699 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.499 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.320 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.047 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.536 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-07 12:18:03

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM1900

Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Head 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1910$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.41$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1421
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Cheek - High/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.11 mW/g

Configuration/Cheek - High/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.4 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.3 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.947 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.624 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.089 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.01 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-07 11:45:49

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Head 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.6 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1421
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Tilt - Middle/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.552 mW/g

Configuration/Tilt - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 19.2 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.717 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.488 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.297 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.148 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.513 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-04 16:19:16

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Body 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.954$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.718 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.5 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.817 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.673 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.503 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.059 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.710 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-04 17:59:52

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Body 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.954$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - WH-102 - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid:
dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.575 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - WH-102 - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.4 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.662 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.542 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.406 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.066 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.573 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-04 18:50:18

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Body 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.954$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Back Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid:
dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.874 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Back Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.2 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.03 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.819 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.603 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.018 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.873 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-04 19:06:28

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Body 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.954$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - WH-102 - Back Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.652 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - WH-102 - Back Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

$dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 13.5 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.760 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.610 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.451 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.018 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.646 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-04 17:08:08

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: 2-slot GPRS850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: Body 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.954$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.678 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.3 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.49 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.655 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.480 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.058 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.677 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-04 17:24:33

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: 3-slot GPRS850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.8

Medium: Body 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.954$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.678 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.2 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.792 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.640 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.479 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.045 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.676 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-04 18:30:19

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: 4-slot GPRS850

Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.1

Medium: Body 835; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 837$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.954$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.670 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.2 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.44 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.648 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.476 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.027 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.663 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-05 10:43:02

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Body 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.557 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.767 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.495 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.312 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.00659 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.533 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-05 11:54:59

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Body 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - WH-102 - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid:
dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.461 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - WH-102 - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.7 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.633 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.412 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.261 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.043 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.443 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-05 13:46:19

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Body 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Back Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid:
dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.554 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Back Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.3 V/m



Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.792 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.508 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.315 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.00753 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.551 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-05 13:30:16

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: GSM1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: Body 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - WH-102 - Back Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.547 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - WH-102 - Back Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

$dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 9.58 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.775 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.497 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.307 mW/g

Power Drift = 0.040 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.538 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-05 11:00:24

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: 2-slot GPRS1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: Body 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.237 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.82 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.315 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.208 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.132 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.041 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.225 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-05 11:17:12

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: 3-slot GPRS1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.8

Medium: Body 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.353 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 9.51 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.482 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.312 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.197 mW/g

Power Drift = -0.036 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.337 mW/g



Date/Time: 2011-10-05 11:33:40

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RM-800; Serial: 004402/13/677123/9

Communication System: 4-slot GPRS1900

Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.1

Medium: Body 1900; Medium Notes: Liquid Temperature: 20.5 C

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1676; Probe Notes:
- ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 14.07.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn504; Calibrated: 08.04.2011
- Phantom: SAM 3; Type: Twin Phantom; Serial: TP-1420
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 61

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.457 mW/g

Configuration/Body - Middle - No Accessory - Display Facing Phantom/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11 V/m


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.627 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.410 mW/g

SAR(10 g) = 0.259 mW/g


Power Drift = -0.025 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.441 mW/g



APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)

See the following pages.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **Nokia GmbH (Ulm)**

Certificate No: **ET3-1676_Jul11**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6 - SN:1676**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v8, QA CAL-23.v4, QA CAL-25.v4
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **July 14, 2011**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01369)	Apr-12
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01370)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	29-Dec-10 (No. ES3-3013_Dec10)	Dec-11
DAE4	SN: 654	3-May-11 (No. DAE4-654_May11)	May-12
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Calibrated by:	Name Claudio Leubler	Function Laboratory Technician	Signature
Approved by:	Name Katja Pokovic	Function Technical Manager	Signature
			Issued: July 15, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 - SN:1676

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
900	41.5	0.97	6.21	6.21	6.21	0.68	1.91	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.31	5.31	5.31	0.56	2.33	± 12.0 %
1950	40.0	1.40	4.93	4.93	4.93	0.55	2.34	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.38	4.38	4.38	0.78	1.75	± 12.0 %

^C Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6- SN:1676

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^c	Relative Permittivity ^f	Conductivity (S/m) ^f	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
900	55.0	1.05	6.19	6.19	6.19	0.76	1.83	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	4.83	4.83	4.83	0.59	2.87	± 12.0 %
1950	53.3	1.52	4.70	4.70	4.70	0.62	2.54	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.09	4.09	4.09	0.99	1.22	± 12.0 %

^c Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

^f At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)

See the following pages.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **Nokia GmbH (Ulm)**

Certificate No: **D835V2-474_Jun11**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D835V2 - SN: 474**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v8**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date: **June 14, 2011**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01371)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	29-Apr-11 (No. ES3-3205_Apr11)	Apr-12
DAE4	SN: 601	8-Jun-11 (No. DAE4-601_Jun11)	Jun-12
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Dimce Iliev	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: June 14, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 14.06.2011

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 474

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium: HSL900V2

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.89 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.8$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 29.04.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 08.06.2011
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.6.2(482); SEMCAD X 14.4.5(3634)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 57.048 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.439 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.33 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.53 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.718 mW/g



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 14.06.2011

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 474

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium: MSL900V2

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 53.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.02, 6.02, 6.02); Calibrated: 29.04.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 08.06.2011
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.6.2(482); SEMCAD X 14.4.5(3634)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 55.624 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.578 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.46 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.61 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.859 mW/g



0 dB = 2.860mW/g



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **Nokia GmbH (Ulm)**

Certificate No: **D1900V2-5d007_Jun11**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D1900V2 - SN: 5d007**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v8**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date: **June 16, 2011**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01371)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	29-Apr-11 (No. ES3-3205_Apr11)	Apr-12
DAE4	SN: 601	8-Jun-11 (No. DAE4-601_Jun11)	Jun-12
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Calibrated by: **Dimce Iliev** **Dimce Iliev** **Laboratory Technician**

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** **Katja Pokovic** **Technical Manager**

Issued: June 16, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 15.06.2011

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN: 5d007

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz

Medium: HSL U12 BB

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(5.01, 5.01, 5.01); Calibrated: 29.04.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 08.06.2011
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.6.2(482); SEMCAD X 14.4.5(3634)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 97.068 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.126 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.95 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.19 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.246 mW/g



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 16.06.2011

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN: 5d007

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz

Medium: MSL U12 BB

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.62, 4.62, 4.62); Calibrated: 29.04.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 08.06.2011
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.6.2(482); SEMCAD X 14.4.5(3634)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 96.029 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.022 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.3 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.863 mW/g

