

SAR Compliance Test Report

Test report no.:	Salo_SAR0427_02	Date of report:	2004-06-29
Template version:	2	Number of pages:	28
Testing laboratory:	TCC Salo, P.O. Box 86 Joensuunkatu 7E FIN-24101 SALO, FINLAND Tel. +358 (0) 7180 08000 Fax. +358 (0) 7180 45220	Client:	Nokia Germany/Ulm Lise Meitner Strasse 10 89081 Ulm GERMANY Tel. +49-731-1754-0 Fax +49-731-1754-6800
Responsible test engineer:	Virpi Tuominen	Product contact person:	Jukka Pekkala
Measurements made by:	Virpi Tuominen		
Tested device:	RM-17		
FCC ID:	PPIRM-17	IC:	661U-RM17
Supplement reports:	-		
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	<p>47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices</p> <p>FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01) Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields</p> <p>RSS-102 Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with Respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields</p> <p>IEEE 1528 - 2003 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques</p>		
Documentation:	The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at TCC Salo.		
Test results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.		

Date and signatures: 2004-06-29
For the contents:



Virpi Tuominen
Senior Design Engineer

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT..... 3

 1.1 TEST DETAILS..... 3

 1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS..... 3

 1.2.1 Head Configuration..... 3

 1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration 3

 1.2.3 Maximum Drift 3

 1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty..... 3

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST..... 4

 2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA..... 4

3. TEST CONDITIONS 4

 3.1 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY 4

 3.2 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES, AND OUTPUT POWER 5

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT 5

 4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND COMPONENTS 5

 4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe, SN: 1396..... 6

 4.2 PHANTOMS 6

 4.3 SIMULATING LIQUIDS 7

 4.3.1 Liquid Recipes..... 7

 4.3.2 Verification of the System..... 7

 4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements..... 8

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE 9

 5.1 DEVICE HOLDER..... 9

 5.2 TEST POSITIONS..... 9

 5.2.1 Against Phantom Head..... 9

 5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration 10

 5.3 SCAN PROCEDURES..... 10

 5.4 SAR AVERAGING METHODS..... 10

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 12

7. RESULTS 13

APPENDIX A: VALIDATION SCANS 14

APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS..... 15

APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT 24

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S) 27

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Period of test	2004-06-28
SN, HW and SW numbers of tested device	SN: 004400/41/165223/1, HW: 5071, SW: 3.06.1, DUT: 07255
Batteries used in testing	BL-5B, DUT #'s: 07223, 07224
Headsets used in testing	HDS-3, DUT: 07069
Other accessories used in testing	-
State of sample	Prototype unit
Notes	-

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum measured SAR values for Head configuration and Body Worn configuration are given in section 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 respectively. The device conforms to the requirements of the standard(s) when the maximum measured SAR value is less than or equal to the limit.

1.2.1 Head Configuration

Mode	Ch / f(MHz)	EIRP	Position	SAR limit (1g avg)	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Result
GSM1900	810 / 1909.8	29.2 dBm	Left Cheek	1.6 W/kg	0.80 W/kg	PASSED

1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

Mode	Ch / f(MHz)	EIRP	Separation distance	SAR limit (1g avg)	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Result
GPRS1900 (2-slot TX)	661 / 1880.0	28.6 dBm	2.2 cm	1.6 W/kg	0.77 W/kg	PASSED

1.2.3 Maximum Drift

Maximum drift during measurements	-0.11 dB
-----------------------------------	----------

1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty

Extended Uncertainty (k=2) 95%	± 29.1 %
--------------------------------	----------

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device category	Portable		
Exposure environment	General population/uncontrolled		
Modes and Bands of Operation	GSM1900	GPRS (GSM)	EGPRS(EDGE)
Modulation Mode	GMSK	GMSK	8PSK
Duty Cycle	1/8	1/8 or 2/8	1/8 or 2/8
Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz)	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 - 1909.8

Outside of USA and Canada, the transmitter of the device is capable of operating also in GSM900 and in GSM1800, which are not part of this filing.

EGPRS mode was not measured, because maximum averaged output power is 3 dB lower in EGPRS mode than in GPRS mode.

2.1 Description of the Antenna

The device has an internal antenna.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Temperature and Humidity

Period of measurement:	2004-06-28
Ambient temperature (°C):	22.2 to 22.4
Ambient humidity (RH %):	49

3.2 Test Signal, Frequencies, and Output Power

The device was put into operation by using a call tester. Communication between the device and the call tester was established by air link.

The device output power was set to maximum power level for all tests; a fully charged battery was used for every test sequence.

In all operating bands the measurements were performed on lowest, middle and highest channels.

The power output was measured by a separate test laboratory on the same unit as used for SAR testing.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

4.1 Measurement System and Components

The measurements were performed using an automated near-field scanning system, DASY 4 software version 4.2, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Switzerland. The SAR extrapolation algorithm used in all measurements on the device was the 'worst-case extrapolation' algorithm.

The following table lists calibration dates of SPEAG components:

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
DASY3 DAE V1	372	12 months	08/2004
E-field Probe ET3DV6	1396	12 months	01/2005
Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2	5d013	24 months	07/2004

Additional test equipment used in testing:

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
Signal Generator	SML03	101265	12 months	06/2004
Amplifier	ZHL-42 (SMA)	N072095-5	-	-
Power Meter	NRVS	849305/028	12 months	07/2004
Power Sensor	NRV-Z32	839176/020	12 months	07/2004
Call Tester	CMU 200	101111	12 months	07/2004
Vector Network Analyzer	8753E	US38432928	12 months	10/2004
Dielectric Probe Kit	85070B	US33020420	-	-

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe, SN: 1396

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., butyl diglycol)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix C
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Optical Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Application	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both validation testing and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SPEAG. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEEE 1528 - 2003.

Validation tests were performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

The SPEAG device holder (see Section 5.1) was used to position the device in all tests whilst a tripod was used to position the validation dipoles against the flat section of phantom.

4.3 Simulating Liquids

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids are given in IEEE 1528 - 2003 and FCC Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. All tests were carried out using liquids whose dielectric parameters were within $\pm 5\%$ of the recommended values. All tests were carried out within 24 hours of measuring the dielectric parameters.

The depth of the liquid was 15.0 ± 0.5 cm measured from the ear reference point during validation and device measurements.

4.3.1 Liquid Recipes

The following recipes were used for Head and Body liquids:

1900MHz band		
Ingredient	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
Deionised Water	54.88	69.02
Butyl Diglycol	44.91	30.76
Salt	0.21	0.22

4.3.2 Verification of the System

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyser. A SAR measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the liquids, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW was supplied to the dipole antenna, which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The validation results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the table below.

System verification, head tissue simulant

f [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1900	Reference result	11.0	39.8	1.46	N/A
	± 10% window	9.90 to 12.1			
	2004-06-28	11.5	38.0	1.45	20.4

Plots of the Verification scans are given in Appendix A.

4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements

Head tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1880.0	Recommended value	40.0	1.40	N/A
	± 5% window	38.0 – 42.0	1.33 – 1.47	
	2004-06-28	38.1	1.43	21.0

Body tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1880.0	Recommended value	53.3	1.52	N/A
	± 5% window	50.6 – 56.0	1.44 – 1.60	
	2004-06-28	50.6	1.57	21.0

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the Dasy system.



Device holder supplied by SPEAG

A Nokia designed spacer (illustrated below) was used to position the device within the SPEAG holder. The spacer positions the device so that the holder has minimal effect on the test results but still holds the device securely. The spacer was removed before the tests.



Nokia spacer

5.2 Test Positions

5.2.1 Against Phantom Head

Measurements were made in “cheek” and “tilt” positions on both the left hand and right hand sides of the phantom.

The positions used in the measurements were according to IEEE 1528 - 2003 "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques".



Photo of the device in "cheek" position



Photo of the device in "tilt" position

5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

The device was placed in the SPEAG holder using the Nokia spacer and placed below the flat section of the phantom. The distance between the device and the phantom was kept at the separation distance indicated in the photo below using a separate flat spacer that was removed before the start of the measurements. The device was oriented with its antenna facing the phantom since this orientation gave higher results.



Photo of the device positioned for Body SAR measurement.
The spacer was removed for the tests.

5.3 Scan Procedures

First coarse scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next a cube scan, 5x5x7 points covering a volume of 30x30x30 mm was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the coarse scan and again at the end of the cube scan.

5.4 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy4 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighbouring points by a least-square method. For the cube scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the cube scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 6.1 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation

Uncertainty Component	Section in IEEE 1528	Tol. (%)	Prob Dist	Div	C_i	$C_i \cdot U_i$ (%)	V_i
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	±4.8	N	1	1	±4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	±4.7	R	√3	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	±1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	±9.6	R	√3	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	±3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	±8.3	R	√3	1	±4.8	∞
Linearity	E2.4	±4.7	R	√3	1	±2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	±1.0	N	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response Time	E2.7	±0.8	R	√3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	±2.6	R	√3	1	±1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	±0.4	R	√3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	±2.9	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5.2	±3.9	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2.1	±6.0	N	1	1	±6.0	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1.1	±5.0	N	1	1	±5.0	7
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.3	±10.0	R	√3	1	±5.8	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	±4.0	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.64	±1.8	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±5.5	N	1	0.64	±3.5	5
Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.6	±1.7	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±2.9	N	1	0.6	±1.7	5
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			±14.5	187
Coverage Factor for 95%			k=2				
Expanded Standard Uncertainty						±29.1	

7. RESULTS

The measured Head SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

GSM1900, Head SAR results

Position		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
		Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
Power level		30.0 dBm	29.7 dBm	29.2 dBm
Left	Cheek	0.794	0.795	0.800
	Tilt	-	0.581	-
Right	Cheek	-	0.728	-
	Tilt	-	0.598	-

The measured Body SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

GPRS1900 (2-slot TX), Body SAR results

Body-worn location setup	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
	Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
Power level	29.4 dBm	28.6 dBm	28.2 dBm
Without headset	0.685	0.774	0.712
Headset HDS-3	0.635	0.755	0.764

GSM1900, Body SAR results

Body-worn location setup	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
	Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
Power level	30.0 dBm	29.7 dBm	29.2 dBm
Without headset	0.507	0.595	0.550

Plots of the Measurement scans are given in Appendix B.

APPENDIX A: VALIDATION SCANS

Date: 2004-06-28
Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN: 5d013
Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.45$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF(5.37, 5.37, 5.37); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


d=10mm, 250mW/Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

d=10mm, 250mW/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7): Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 93.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.009 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.2 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 25.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 11.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.75 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS

RM-17, Left Cheek, GSM1900 / High ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: $f = 1909.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.43$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=20.2^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF(5.37, 5.37, 5.37); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 17 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.863 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.52 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.800 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.420 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 17 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.545 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.486 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.272 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



RM-17, Left Tilt, GSM1900 / Mid ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.43$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=20.4^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF(5.37, 5.37, 5.37); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm


Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7): Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 20.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.612 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.07 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.581 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.344 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)

Warning: Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.



RM-17, Right Cheek, GSM1900 / Mid ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.43$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=20.3^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF(5.37, 5.37, 5.37); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 19.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.789 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.32 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.728 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.409 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 19.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.654 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.14 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.608 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.345 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



RM-17, Right Tilt, GSM1900 / Mid ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.43$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=20.3^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF(5.37, 5.37, 5.37); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7): Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 20.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.620 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.2 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.598 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.356 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



RM-17, without headset, Body 2.2cm, GPRS1900 (2-slot TX) / Mid ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GPRS1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.57$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 50.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=21.3^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF (4.79, 4.79, 4.79); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7): Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 17.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.815 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.51 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.774 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.456 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



RM-17, with HDS-3, Body 2.2cm, GPRS1900 (2-slot TX) / High ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GPRS1900; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: $f = 1909.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.57$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 50.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=20.9^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF(4.79, 4.79, 4.79); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7): Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 18.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.797 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.49 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.764 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.451 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



RM-17, without headset, Body 2.2cm, GSM1900 / Mid ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.57$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 50.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=21.4^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF(4.79, 4.79, 4.79); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7): Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 15.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.627 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.16 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.595 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.351 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



Z-plot of

RM-17, Left Cheek, GSM1900 / High ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: $f = 1909.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.43$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=20.2^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF(5.37, 5.37, 5.37); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 17 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.863 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.52 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.800 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.420 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



Z-plot of

RM-17, without headset, Body 2.2cm, GPRS1900 (2-slot TX) / Mid ch

Date: 2004-06-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Salo

Communication System: GPRS1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.57$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 50.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³, $t(\text{liq.})=21.3^\circ\text{C}$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1396; ConvF (4.79, 4.79, 4.79); Calibrated: 21.01.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 26.08.2003
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112


Area Scan (41x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7): Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 17.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.815 mW/g, Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.51 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.774 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.456 mW/g (Worst case extrapolation)



APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client **Nokia TCC Salo**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE																																			
Object(s)	ET3DV6 - SN:1396																																		
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v2 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes																																		
Calibration date:	January 21, 2004																																		
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)																																		
<p>This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.</p> <p>All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.</p> <p>Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Model Type</th> <th>ID #</th> <th>Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)</th> <th>Scheduled Calibration</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Power meter EPM E4419B</td> <td>GB41293874</td> <td>2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)</td> <td>Apr-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Power sensor E4412A</td> <td>MY41495277</td> <td>2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)</td> <td>Apr-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reference 20 dB Attenuator</td> <td>SN: 5086 (20b)</td> <td>3-Apr-03 (METAS, No. 251-0340)</td> <td>Apr-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702</td> <td>SN: 6295903</td> <td>8-Sep-03 (Sintrel SCS No. E-030020)</td> <td>Sep-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Power sensor HP 8481A</td> <td>MY41092180</td> <td>18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)</td> <td>In house check: Oct 05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RF generator HP 8684C</td> <td>US3642U01700</td> <td>4-Aug-98 (SPEAG, in house check Aug-02)</td> <td>In house check: Aug-05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Network Analyzer HP 8753E</td> <td>US37390585</td> <td>18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)</td> <td>In house check: Oct 05</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration	Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)	Apr-04	Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)	Apr-04	Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20b)	3-Apr-03 (METAS, No. 251-0340)	Apr-04	Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295903	8-Sep-03 (Sintrel SCS No. E-030020)	Sep-04	Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05	RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-98 (SPEAG, in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05	Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05
Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration																																
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)	Apr-04																																
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)	Apr-04																																
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20b)	3-Apr-03 (METAS, No. 251-0340)	Apr-04																																
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295903	8-Sep-03 (Sintrel SCS No. E-030020)	Sep-04																																
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05																																
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-98 (SPEAG, in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05																																
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05																																
Calibrated by:	Name Nico Vetterli	Function Technician	Signature 																																
Approved by:	Name Kajsa Pokovic	Function Laboratory Director	Signature 																																
Date issued: January 22, 2004																																			
<p>This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.</p>																																			

ET3DV6 SN:1396

January 21, 2004

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1396

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	1.78 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	1.75 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	1.88 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

Diode Compression^A

DCP X	93	mV
DCP Y	93	mV
DCP Z	93	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 7.

Boundary Effect

Head 920 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{iso} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	7.7	4.2
SAR _{iso} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.2

Head 1800 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor to Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{iso} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	12.3	8.8
SAR _{iso} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.3


Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
Optical Surface Detection	in tolerance	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A numerical linearization parameter, uncertainty not required

Conversion Factor Assessment



f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^a	Tissue	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF	Uncertainty
835	750-950	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	0.52	1.88	6.98 ± 11.9% (k=2)	
1880	1800-2000	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.48	2.69	5.37 ± 11.3% (k=2)	
835	750-950	Body	55.2 ± 5%	0.87 ± 5%	0.44	2.09	6.80 ± 11.9% (k=2)	
1880	1800-2000	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.55	2.88	4.79 ± 11.3% (k=2)	

^a The total standard uncertainty is calculated as root-sum-square of standard uncertainty of the Conversion Factor at calibration frequency and the standard uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)

1900 MHz DIPOLE; HEAD CALIBRATION:

**Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

DASY3

Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D1900V2

Serial: 5d013

Manufactured: April 30, 2002

Calibrated: July 1, 2002

07/01/02

Validation Dipole D1900V2 SN5d013, d = 10 mm

Frequency: 1900 MHz, Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]
 SAR Position: Flat Section, Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0
 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1307, CoreF5 20.5 20.5 20.5 at 1900 MHz, IEEE1528 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.46 \text{ mho/m}$, $\epsilon = 39.8$, $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$
 Cubes (2): Peak: $20.5 \text{ mW/g} \pm 0.63 \text{ dB}$, SAR (1g): $11.0 \text{ mW/g} \pm 0.02 \text{ dB}$, SAR (10g): $5.70 \text{ mW/g} \pm 0.01 \text{ dB}$, (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: $8.1 (7.8, 8.9) \text{ [mm]}$
 Powerdrift: 0.02 dB

