

**EMC Technologies Pty Ltd** 

ABN 82 057 105 549 176 Harrick Road Keilor Park Victoria Australia 3042

Ph: + 613 9365 1000 Fax: + 613 9331 7455 email: melb@emctech.com.au

# **SAR Test Report**

Report Number: M091027\_CERT\_AR5B91\_SAR\_2.4

Test Sample: Portable NOTEBOOK Computer Radio Modules: WLAN AR5B91 & Bluetooth BSMAN3

Host PC Model Number: UH900 / U900

WLAN FCC ID: PPD-AR5B91-F WLAN IC: 4104A-AR5B91F

Date of Issue: 23rd November 2009

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd reports apply only to the specific samples tested under stated test conditions. It is the manufacturer's responsibility to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical electrical and mechanical components. EMC Technologies Pty Ltd shall have no liability for any deductions, inferences or generalisations drawn by the client or others from EMC Technologies Pty Ltd issued reports. This report shall not be used to claim, constitute or imply product endorsement by EMC Technologies Pty Ltd.





## **CONTENTS**

1.0	GENERAL INFORMATION	3
2.0	INTRODUCTION	4
3.0	TEST SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION	4
	3.1 EUT (WLAN) Details	
	3.2 EUT (Bluetooth) Details	
	3.3 EUT (Notebook PC) Details	
	3.4 Test sample Accessories	
4.0	TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER	
4.0	4.1 Battery Status	
5.0	DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY	
5.0	5.1 Location	
	5.2 Accreditations	
	5.3 Environmental Factors	8
6.0	DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	9
	6.1 Probe Positioning System	9
	6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance	
	6.4 Validation	9
	6.4.1 Validation Results @ 2450MHz	9
	6.4.3 Liquid Depth 15cm	
	6.5 Phantom Properties	
	6.6 Tissue Material Properties	
	6.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity	
	6.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test	
	6.8 Device Holder for Laptops and P 10.1 Phantom	
7.0	SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4	
8.0	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
9.0	EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS	
10.0	OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD	16
	10.1 Positions	
	10.1.1 "Notebook" Position Definition (0mm spacing)	
	10.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)	16
	10.4 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Un-controlled/Non–occupational	
11 0	SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
11.0	11.1 2450MHz SAR Results	
12 0	COMPLIANCE STATEMENT	
	MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS	
	PENDIX A1 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	
	ENDIX AT TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	
	PENDIX A3 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	
	PENDIX A4 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	
	ENDIX B PLOTS OF THE SAR MEASUREMENTS	
<b>APP</b>	ENDIX C CALIBRATION DOCUMENTS	35





### **SAR TEST REPORT**

Report Number: M091027\_CERT\_AR5B91\_SAR\_2.4 WLAN FCC ID: PPD-AR5B91-F WLAN IC: 4104A-AR5B91F

1.	0	<b>GENE</b>	FRΔI	INFO	RM <sub>4</sub>	TION
	·U	OLIVE	-11/7/	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{G}$	I / IVI/	1 I O I A

Test Sample: Portable NOTEBOOK Computer

Model Name: UH900 / U900

Radio Modules: WLAN AR5B91 & Bluetooth BSMAN3

Interface Type:Half Mini-PCI ModuleDevice Category:Portable TransmitterTest Device:Pre-Production UnitWLAN FCC ID:PPD-AR5B91-FWLAN IC:4104A-AR5B91F

RF exposure Category: General Population/Uncontrolled

Manufacturer: Fujitsu Limited

**Test Standards:**1. Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines For Human Exposure to

Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields

Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01)

2. Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication

Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) RSS-102 Issue 2 November 2005

Statement Of Compliance: The Fujitsu NOTEBOOK Computer UH900 / U900 with Wireless LAN

model AR5B91 and Bluetooth module BSMAN3 complied with the FCC General public/uncontrolled RF exposure limits of 1.6mW/g per requirements of 47CFR2.1093(d). It also complied with IC RSS-102

requirements.

**Test Date:** 23<sup>rd</sup> Oct. 2009

Collecte

Peter Jakubiec

Authorised Signature:

**Test Officer:** 

Peter Jakubiec

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. The results of tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing and calibration reports.





## SAR TEST REPORT Portable NOTEBOOK Computer Model: UH900 / U900

Report Number: M091027\_CERT\_AR5B91 \_SAR\_2.4

### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

Testing was performed on the Fujitsu NOTEBOOK PC, Model: UH900 / U900 with Atheros Half Mini-Card Wireless LAN Module (HB91 802.11 b/g/n), Model: AR5B91 & CSR Bluetooth Module, Model: BSMAN3. The HB91 module is an OEM product. The Mini-PCI Wireless LAN (WLAN) was tested in the dedicated host – LIFEBOOK U SERIES, Model UH900 / U900.

### 3.0 TEST SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION

(Information supplied by the client)

### 3.1 EUT (WLAN) Details

Transmitter: Half Mini-Card Wireless LAN Module

 FCC ID:
 PPD-AR5B91-F

 IC:
 4104A-AR5B91F

 Wireless Module:
 HB91 (11b/g/n) 1x2

Model Number: AR5B91

Manufacturer: Atheros Communication Inc.

Modulation Type: DSSS for 802.11b

OFDM for 802.11g OFDM for 802.11n

5GHz (802.11a/n)

2.4GHz (802.11b/g/n) CCK, DQPSK, DBPSK, BPSK, QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM

**Maximum Data Rate:** 802.11b = 11 Mbps, 802.11g = 54 Mbps

802.11n = 300 Mbps

Frequency Range: 2.412–2.462 GHz for 11b/g/n

Number of Channels: 11 channels for 11b/g/n with 20MHz Bandwidth

7 channels for 11n with 40MHz Bandwidth

Antenna Types: Nissei Electric PIFA (1st and 2nd Antenna)

Model: Tx Antenna (1): CP313621, Rx Antenna (2): CP313620

Location: Right and Left edge of LCD screen

**Power Supply:** 3.3 VDC from PCI Express bus





**Channels and Output power setting:** 

Mode	Channel	Frequency, MHz	Data Rate, Mbps	Tx BW, MHz	Power Control PCDAC Tx A	Average Output Power dBm
802.11b	1	2412			21	17
2.4 GHz	6	2437	1	] -	24	19.5
2.4 0112	11	2462			12	15.1
				•		
000 44 ~	1	2412			31	15.4
802.11g 2.4 GHz	6	2437	6	] -	41	19.5
2.4 0112	11	2462			23	13.4
	1	2412			27	13.76
	6	2437		20	40	19
000.44m	11	2462			23	13.6
802.11n 2.4 GHz		•			•	
2.4 GHZ	3	2422		40	25	12.6
	6	2437		40 Wide	41	19.1
	9	2452		vvide	23	12.8

## 3.2 EUT (Bluetooth) Details

Transmitter: Bluetooth
FCC ID: PIWBSMAN
IC: 5255A-BSMAN
Model Number: BSMAN3
Manufacturer: CSR

**Network Standard:** Bluetooth<sup>™</sup> RF Test Specification

Modulation Type: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS)

Frequency Range: 2402 MHz to 2480 MHz

Number of Channels: 79 Carrier Spacing: 1.0 MHz

Antenna Types: Monopole Antenna included in module

Module location: Under Lower Left corner of the Keyboard

Max. Output Power: 4 dBm

Reference Oscillator: 16 MHz (Built-in)
Power Supply: 3.3 VDC from host.

## Frequency allocation:

Channel Number	Frequency (MHz)	Bluetooth Utility power setting
1*	2402	
2	2403	
3	2404	
39	2440	
40*	2441	Power (Ext, Int) = 0, 56
41	2442	1
77	2478	
78	2479	
79*	2480	

<sup>\*</sup>Channels tested





#### 3.3 **EUT (Notebook PC) Details**

Host notebook: LifeBook U series Model Name: UH900 / U900

**Serial Number:** Pre-production Sample FUJITSU LIMITED Manufacturer:

**CPU Type and Speed:** Intel(R) Atom(TM) processor Z530 (1.60GHz)

LCD 5.6"WXGA

Wired LAN: BCM57790: LAN 100BASE-TX

Modem: None **Port Replicator Model:** None

40W: SEB55N2-19.0 **AC Adapter Model:** 

Voltage: 19V **Current Specs:** 2.1A Watts: 40W

### 3.4 Test sample Accessories

#### 3.4.1 **Battery Types**

One type of Fujitsu Lithium Ion Battery is used to power the Portable NOTEBOOK Computer UH900 / U900.

### **Standard Battery**

#1 #2

Model Uno Battery B-UNIT Sample Model Uno Battery B-UNIT Sample

2UPF336489M-FT (Sanyo)

2UPF336489M-FT (Sanyo) 01A-W090828000040WI

Serial No.

01A-W090828000136WI







### 4.0 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER

ATHEROS's CRTU test tool was used to configure the WLAN for testing. The Portable Notebook Computer Wireless LAN had a total of 11 channels (USA model) within the 2412 to 2462 MHz frequency band. In the frequency range 2412 MHz to 2462 MHz the device operates in 2 modes, OFDM and DSSS. For the SAR measurements the device was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu.

The Bluetooth module operates over 79 channels within the frequency range 2402 to 2480 MHz. It is possible for the Bluetooth module to operate simultaneously with the WLAN module (co-transmission). Due to low Bluetooth RF output power (~2.5mW < 24mW), and the Bluetooth module (with built-in antenna) being located > 5cm away from the WLAN antenna at normal Notebook position, no standalone SAR measurement was required. The Bluetooth interface utilizes dedicated antenna, for the purpose of this report labelled antenna "B".

The WLAN modules can be configured in a number of different data rates. It was found that the highest source based time averaged power was measured when using the lowest data rates available in each mode. This lowest data rate corresponds to 6Mbps in OFDM mode and 1Mbps in DSSS mode.

The frequency span of the 2450 MHz range band was more than 10MHz consequently; the SAR levels of the test sample were measured for lowest, centre and highest channels in the applicable modes. There were no wires or other connections to the Portable NOTEBOOK Computer during the SAR measurements.

At the beginning and at the completion of the SAR tests, the conducted power of the device was measured after temporary modification of antenna connector inside the device's TX RX compartment. Measurements were performed with a calibrated Power Meter. The Transmitter power was set to be equal or higher than power specified by the manufacturer.

### 4.1 Battery Status

The device battery was fully charged prior to commencement of measurement. Each SAR test was completed within 30 minutes. The battery condition was monitored by measuring the RF field at a defined position inside the phantom before the commencement of each test and again after the completion of the test. It was not possible to perform conducted power measurements at the output of the device, at the beginning and end of each scan due to lack of a suitable antenna port. The uncertainty associated with the power drift was less than 12% and was assessed in the uncertainty budget.





### 5.0 DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY

#### 5.1 Location

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd 176 Harrick Road Keilor Park, (Melbourne) Victoria Australia 3042

Telephone: +61 3 9365 1000 Facsimile: +61 3 9331 7455 email: melb@emctech.com.au website: www.emctech.com.au

#### 5.2 Accreditations

EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd. is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA). **NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 5292** 

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd is NATA accredited for the following standards:

AS/NZS 2772.1: RF and microwave radiation hazard measurement

ACMA: Radio communications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard 2003

FCC: Guidelines for Human Exposure to RF Electromagnetic Field OET65C 01/01

EN 50360: 2001 Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic

restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz - 3 GHz)

**EN 62209-1:2006** Human Exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless

communication devices - Human models instrumentation and procedures.

Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices

used in close proximity to the ear (300 MHz to 3 GHz)

IEEE 1528: 2003 Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption

Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement

Techniques.

Refer to NATA website www.nata.asn.au for the full scope of accreditation.

### 5.3 Environmental Factors

The measurements were performed in a shielded room with no background RF signals. The temperature in the laboratory was controlled to within  $20\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the humidity was in the range 52%. The liquid parameters are measured daily prior to the commencement of each test. Tests were performed to check that reflections within the environment did not influence the SAR measurements. The noise floor of the DASY4 SAR measurement system using the SN1380 probe was less than  $5\mu\text{V}$  in both air and liquid mediums.





### 6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Applicable Head Configurations	: None
Applicable Body Configurations	: Notebook Position

### 6.1 Probe Positioning System

The measurements were performed with the state-of-the-art automated near-field scanning system **DASY4 V4.7 Build 53** from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The DASY4 fully complies with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN62209-1 SAR measurement requirements.

### 6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance

The SAR measurements were conducted with SPEAG dosimetric probe ET3DV6 Serial: 1380 (2.45 GHz). Please refer to appendix C for detailed information.

#### 6.4 Validation

#### 6.4.1 Validation Results @ 2450MHz

The following tables lists the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR validation. The results of the validation are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR validation was adjusted to 250 mW.

Table: Validation Results (Dipole: SPEAG D2450V2 SN: 724)

1. Validation	2. ∈r	3. σ (mho/m)	4. Measured	5. Measured
Date	(measured)	(measured)	SAR 1g (mW/g)	SAR 10g (mW/g)
23 <sup>rd</sup> Oct. 2009	38.5	1.86	13.3	6.14

#### 6.4.2 Deviation from reference validation values

The reference SAR values are derived using a reference dipole and flat section of the SAM phantom suitable for a centre frequency of 2450MHz. These reference SAR values are obtained from the IEEE Std 1528-2003 and are normalized to 1W.

The SPEAG calibration reference SAR value is the SAR validation result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole (D2450V2) during calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in table below (2450MHz) below.

Table: Deviation from reference validation values @ 2450MHz

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	SPEAG Calibration reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From SPEAG Reference 1g (%)	IEEE Std 1528 reference SAR value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From IEEE 1g (%)
2450MHz	13.3	53.20	52	2.31	52.4	1.53

NOTE: All reference validation values are referenced to 1W input power.





#### 6.4.3 **Liquid Depth 15cm**

During the SAR measurement process the liquid level was maintained to a level of 15cm with a tolerance of 0.5cm.



Photo of liquid Depth in Flat Phantom

#### 6.5 **Phantom Properties**

The phantoms used during the testing comply with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN62209-1 SAR measurement requirements.

### **Tissue Material Properties**

The dielectric parameters of the brain simulating liquid were measured prior to SAR assessment using the HP85070A dielectric probe kit and HP8753ES Network Analyser. The actual dielectric parameters are shown in the following table.

**Table: Measured Brain Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for Validations** 

Frequency Band	∈r (measured range)	∈r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ <b>kg/m</b> ³
2450 MHz Brain	38.5	39.2 ±5% (37.2 to 41.2)	1.86	1.80 ±5% (1.71 to 1.89)	1000

NOTE: The brain liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of  $\pm 5\%$ .





**Table: Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values** 

Frequency Band	∈r (measured range)	∈r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	ਰ (target)	ρ <b>kg/m</b> ³
2412 MHz Muscle	51.8	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	1.94	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
2437 MHz Muscle	51.7	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	1.98	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
2462 MHz Muscle	51.6	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	2.01	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000

NOTE: The brain and muscle liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±5%.

### 6.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity

The humidity and dielectric/ambient temperatures were recorded during the assessment of the tissue material dielectric parameters. The difference between the ambient temperature of the liquid during the dielectric measurement and the temperature during tests was less than |2|°C.

Table: Temperature and Humidity recorded for each day

Date	Ambient Temperature (°C)	Liquid Temperature (°C)	Humidity (%)
23 <sup>rd</sup> Oct. 2009	19.6	19.5	52

### 6.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test

The tissue simulating liquids are created prior to the SAR evaluation and often require slight modification each day to obtain the correct dielectric parameters.

Table: Tissue Type: Brain @ 2450MHz

Volume of Liquid: 30 Litres

Table: Tissue Type: Muscle @ 2450MHz

Volume of Liquid: 60 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	62.7
Salt	0.5
Triton X-100	36.8

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	73.2
Salt	0.04
DGBE	26.7

### 6.8 Device Holder for Laptops and P 10.1 Phantom

A low loss clamp was used to position the NOTEBOOK underneath the phantom surface. Refer to Appendix A for photographs of device positioning





<sup>\*</sup>Refer "OET Bulletin 65 97/01 P38"

#### 7.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4

The SAR evaluation was performed with the SPEAG DASY4 system. A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 3.9 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. The actual Area Scan has dimensions of 105mm x 120mm surrounding the test device. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation.
- c) Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:
  - (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
  - (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
  - (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.





### 8.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty analysis is based on the template listed in the IEEE Std 1528-2003 for both device SAR tests and Validation uncertainty. The measurement uncertainty of a specific device is evaluated independently and the total uncertainty for both evaluations (95% confidence level) must be less than 30%.

Table: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 V4.7 Build 53 - EUT SAR test 2450MHz

Uncertainty Component	Tol. (6%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C <sub>i</sub> (1g)	C <sub>i</sub> (10g)	1g u <sub>i</sub> (6%)	10g u <sub>i</sub> (6%)	Vi
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	5.5	N	1	1	1	5.5	5.5	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effects	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
Response Time	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Noise	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
Probe Positioner	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	$\infty$
Probe Positioning	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Max. SAR Eval.	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related								
Test Sample Positioning	1.61	N	1	1	1	1.6	1.6	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	7
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	1.30	R	1.73	1	1	0.7	0.7	∞
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	5
Combined standard Uncertainty		RSS				10.0	9.8	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)		k=2				19.9	19.51	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was  $\pm 10.0\%$ . The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be  $\pm 19.9\%$  based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.





Table: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 V4.7 Build 53 - Validation 2450MHz

Uncertainty Component	Tol. (6%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C <sub>i</sub> (1g)	C <sub>i</sub> (10g)	1g u <sub>i</sub> (6%)	10g u <sub>i</sub> (6%)	Vi
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	5.5	N	1	1	1	5.5	5.5	$\infty$
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	$\infty$
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	1.73	0	0	0.0	0.0	$\infty$
Boundary Effects	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
Linearity	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	8
System Detection Limits	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	8
Response Time	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	$\infty$
Integration Time	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	$\infty$
RF Ambient Noise	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
RF Ambient Reflections	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
Probe Positioner	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	$\infty$
Probe Positioning	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
Max. SAR Eval.	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Dipole								
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2	N	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	11
Input Power and SAR drift meas.	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Phantom and Tissue Param.								
Phantom Uncertainty	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	8
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	8
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	8
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	5
Combined standard Uncertainty		RSS				9.0	8.7	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)		k=2				17.9	17.34	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was  $\pm 9.0\%$ . The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be  $\pm 17.9\%$  based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the Validation measurement result.





## 9.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS

Table: SPEAG DASY4 V4.7 Build 53

Table: SPEAG DASY4 V4.7 Build 53										
Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due	Used For this Test?					
Robot - Six Axes	Staubli	RX90BL	N/A	Not applicable	✓					
Robot Remote Control	SPEAG	CS7MB	RX90B	Not applicable	✓					
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1260	Not applicable	✓					
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1060	Not applicable						
Flat Phantom	AndreT	10.1	P 10.1	Not Applicable	✓					
Flat Phantom	AndreT	9.1	P 9.1	Not Applicable						
Flat Phantom	SPEAG	PO1A 6mm	1003	Not Applicable						
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	359	08-July-2010						
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	442	10-Dec-2009	✓					
Probe E-Field - Dummy	SPEAG	DP1	N/A	Not applicable						
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1380	18-Dec-2009	✓					
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1377	14-July-2010						
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ES3DV6	3029	Not Used						
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3563	16-July-2010						
Antenna Dipole 300 MHz	SPEAG	D300V2	1005	14-Dec-2009						
Antenna Dipole 450 MHz	SPEAG	D450V2	1009	17-Dec-2010						
Antenna Dipole 900 MHz	SPEAG	D900V2	047	7-July-2010						
Antenna Dipole 1640 MHz	SPEAG	D1640V2	314	16-July-2010						
Antenna Dipole 1800 MHz	SPEAG	D1800V2	242	8-July-2010						
Antenna Dipole 1950 MHz	SPEAG	D1950V3	1113	12-Dec -2010						
Antenna Dipole 3500 MHz	SPEAG	D3500V2	1002	17-July-2010						
Antenna Dipole 2450 MHz	SPEAG	D2450V2	724	10-Dec-2010	✓					
Antenna Dipole 5600 MHz	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1008	07-Dec-2009						
RF Amplifier	EIN	603L	N/A	*In test						
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42	N/A	*In test	✓					
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	N/A	*In test						
Synthesized signal generator	Hewlett Packard	ESG-D3000A	GB37420238	*In test	✓					
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	437B	3125012786	29-June- 2010	✓					
RF Power Sensor 0.01 - 18 GHz	Hewlett Packard	8481H	1545A01634	01-July-2010	✓					
RF Power Meter Dual	Gigatronics	8542B	1830125	26-Mar-2010						
RF Power Sensor	Gigatronics	80301A	1828805	26-Mar-2010						
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	435A	1733A05847	*In test	✓					
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8482A	2349A10114	*In test	✓					
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8714B	GB3510035	18-Sept-2009						
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8753ES	JP39240130	11-Nov-2009	✓					
Dual Directional Coupler	Hewlett Packard	778D	1144 04700	*In test						
Dual Directional Coupler	NARDA	3022	75453	*In test	✓					

<sup>\*</sup> Calibrated during the test for the relevant parameters.





### 10.0 OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD

Notebooks should be evaluated in normal use positions, typical for lap-held bottom-face only. However the number of positions will depend on the number of configurations the laptop can be operated in. The "LIFEBOOK U SERIES" can be used only in a conventional laptop position (see Appendix A1). The WLAN Transmit antenna in the "LIFEBOOK U SERIES" is located at the Right Side edge of the LCD screen.

### 10.1 Positions

### 10.1.1 "Notebook" Position Definition (0mm spacing)

The device was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom P 10.1 for the "Normal" position. The transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the device was touching the phantom. This device orientation simulates the PC's normal use – being held on the lap of the user. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case position.

### 10.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)

The device has a fixed WLAN Transmit antenna. Depending on the measured SAR level up to three test channels with the test sample operating at maximum power were recorded. The following table represents the matrix used to determine what testing was required. All relevant provisions of KDB 447498 (v03r02 Dec 2008) are applied for SAR measurements of the host system. Due to the screen size <12 inches, KDB 616217 was not used in the SAR evaluation.

**Table: Testing configurations** 

Phantom	*Device Mode	Antenna	Test Configurations			
Configuration			Channel (Low)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)	
Notebook Position	OFDM 2.4GHz	Α		X		
	DSSS 2.4GHz	A		X		

Legend X	Testing Required in this configuration
	Testing required in this configuration only if SAR of middle channel is more than 3dB below the SAR limit or it is the worst case.

NOTE: Throughout this report, Antenna A refers to Tx1 in the host respectively.

### 10.3 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Occupational/ Controlled Exposure

Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:		
Partial-Body:	8.0 mW/g (averaged over any	1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	20.0 mW/g (averaged over 10	g cube of tissue)

### 10.4 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Un-controlled/Non-occupational

10.4 100 Ki Exposure Ellillite for Gr	· controlled/item cocapational
Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	1.6 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	4.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)





### 11.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SAR values averaged over 1g tissue masses were determined for the sample device for all test configurations listed in section 10.2.

### 11.1 2450MHz SAR Results

There are two modes of operation within the 2450MHz band, they include OFDM and DSSS modulations. Refer to section 10.2 for selection of all device test configurations. Table below displays the SAR results.

Table: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - DSSS Mode

Test Position	Plot No.	Ant	Bit rate Mode (Mbps)	Channel Bandwidth (MHz)	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Notebook	1				01	2412	0.169	-0.056
Position	2	Α	1	-	06	2437	0.368	-0.047
1 OSILIOI1	3	]			11	2462	0.179	-0.044

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 19.9% for 2.45GHz was not added to the result.

Table: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - OFDM Mode

Test Position	Plot No.	Ant A	Bit rate Mode (Mbps)	Channel Bandwidth (MHz)	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Notebook Position	4	Α	6	-	06	2437	0.334	0.028

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 19.9% for 2.45GHz was not added to the result.

The highest SAR level recorded in the 2450MHz band was 0.368 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in Notebook position in DSSS mode, utilizing channel 06 (2437 MHz) and antenna A.





### 12.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The Fujitsu NOTEBOOK PC, Model: UH900 / U900 with ATHEROS Mini-PCI Wireless LAN Module (HB91 802.11 b/g/n), Model: AR5B91 & CSR Bluetooth Module, Model: BSMAN3 was found to comply with the FCC and RSS-102 SAR requirements.

The highest SAR level recorded was 0.368 mW/g for a 1g cube. This value was measured at 2437 MHz (channel 06) in the "Notebook" position in DSSS modulation mode at the antenna A. This was below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for uncontrolled exposure, even taking into account the measurement uncertainty of 19.9 %.

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. The results of tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing and calibration reports.





### 13.0 MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS

According to the SAR standards, when the sum of SAR results simultaneously transmitting antennas (WLAN and Bluetooth) is > 1.6mW/g and the distance between the antennas is 5cm or less, or the ratio of above sum to the distance between peak SAR locations > 0.3, simultaneous transmission SAR evaluation is required.

Above did not apply due to very low Bluetooth RF output power (2.5mW), and more than 5cm spacing between the Bluetooth and WLAN transmitting antenna while in Notebook configuration. The SAR measurements for Bluetooth were not conducted.



