

Report No.	: SA120912E07E
Applicant	: Qualcomm Atheros, Inc.
Address	<sup>:</sup> 1700 Technology Drive, San Jose, CA 95110
Product	<sup>:</sup> 1X1 802.11b/g/n - BT Combo PCIe minicard
FCC ID	<sup>:</sup> PPD-AR5B225
Brand	<sup>:</sup> Atheros
Model No.	<sup>:</sup> AR5B225
Standards	: FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093) / IEEE C95.1:1991 / IEEE 1528:2003 FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01) KDB 248227 D01 v01r02 / KDB 447498 D01 v05
Date of Testing	<sup>:</sup> Dec. 29, 2012 ~ Jan. 10, 2013

**CERTIFICATION:** The above equipment have been tested by **Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch - Taiwan HwaYa Lab**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's SAR characteristics under the conditions specified in this report. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by TAF or any government agencies.

Prepared By :

Vera Huang Vera Huang / Specialist

Approved By :

Roy Wu / Manager



This report is for your exclusive use. Any copying or replication of this report to or for any other person or entity, or use of our name or trademark, is permitted only with our prior written permission. This report sets forth our findings solely with respect to the test samples identified herein. The results set forth in this report are not indicative or representative of the quality or characteristics of the lot from which a test sample was taken or any similar or identical product unless specifically and expressly noted. Our report includes all of the tests requested by you and the results thereof based upon the information that you provided to us. You have 60 days from date of issuance of this report to notify us of any material error or omission caused by our negligence, provided, however, that such notice shall be in writing and shall specifically address the issue you wish to raise. A failure to raise such issue within the prescribed time shall constitute your unqualified acceptance of the completeness of this report, the tests conducted and the correctness of the report contents. Unless specific mention, the uncertainty of measurement has been explicitly taken into account to declare the compliance to the specification.



## **Table of Contents**

<ol> <li>Summary of Maximum SAR Value</li> <li>Description of Equipment Under Test</li> <li>SAR Measurement System</li> <li>1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)</li> <li>SPEAG DASY System</li> <li>3.21 Robot.</li> <li>3.2.2 Probes.</li> <li>3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)</li> <li>3.2.4 Phantoms.</li> <li>3.2.5 Device Holder.</li> <li>3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles.</li> <li>3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids.</li> <li>3.3 SAR System Verification</li> <li>3.4 A Area &amp; Zoom Scan Procedure</li> <li>3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring.</li> <li>3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation</li> <li>3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods.</li> <li>SAR Measurement Evaluation</li> <li>4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting.</li> <li>4.2 EUT Testing Position</li> <li>4.3 Tissue Verification</li> <li>4.4 System Verification</li> <li>4.5 Conducted Power Result.</li> <li>4.5 Measure Conducted Power Result.</li> </ol>	Rel		Control Record	
<ul> <li>3. SAR Measurement System</li> <li>3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)</li> <li>3.2 SPEAG DASY System</li> <li>3.2.1 Robot</li> <li>3.2.2 Probes</li> <li>3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)</li> <li>3.2.4 Phantoms</li> <li>3.2.5 Device Holder</li> <li>3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles</li> <li>3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids</li> <li>3.3 SAR System Verification</li> <li>3.4 A Reasurement Procedure</li> <li>3.4.1 Area &amp; Zoom Scan Procedure</li> <li>3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure</li> <li>3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring</li> <li>3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation</li> <li>3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods</li> <li>4.5 SAR Measurement Evaluation</li> <li>4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting</li> <li>4.2 IEUT Testing Position</li> <li>4.3 Tissue Verification</li> <li>4.4 System Verification</li> <li>4.5 Conducted Power Results</li> <li>4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power Result</li> </ul>	1.	Sumn	nary of Maximum SAR Value	4
3.1       Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)         3.2       SPEAG DASY System         3.2.1       Robot         3.2.2       Probes         3.2.3       Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)         3.2.4       Phantoms         3.2.5       Device Holder         3.2.6       System Validation Dipoles         3.2.7       Tissue Simulating Liquids         3.3       SAR System Verification         3.4       SAR Measurement Procedure         3.4.1       Area & Zoom Scan Procedure         3.4.2       Volume Scan Procedure         3.4.3       Power Drift Monitoring         3.4.4       Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation         3.4.5       SAR Averaged Methods         4.       System Verification         4.1       EUT Configuration and Setting         4.2       EUT Testing Position         4.3       Tissue Verification         4.4       System Verification         4.5       Conducted Power Results         4.5       Monaure Genducted Power         4.5       Measurement Evaluation	2.	Descr	ription of Equipment Under Test	5
3.2       SPEAG DASY System         3.2.1       Robot         3.2.2       Probes         3.2.3       Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)         3.2.4       Phantoms         3.2.5       Device Holder         3.2.6       System Validation Dipoles         3.2.7       Tissue Simulating Liquids         3.3       SAR System Verification         3.4       SAR Measurement Procedure         3.4.1       Area & Zoom Scan Procedure         3.4.2       Volume Scan Procedure         3.4.3       Power Drift Monitoring         3.4.4       Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation         3.4.5       SAR Measurement Evaluation         3.4.5       SAR Averaged Methods         4.1       EUT Configuration and Setting         4.2       EUT Testing Position         4.3       Tissue Verification         4.4       System Verification         4.5       Conducted Power Results         4.5       Monau Conducted Power.         4.5.2       Measured Conducted Power Result	3.	SAR I	Neasurement System	6
3.2.1 Robot		3.1	Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)	6
3.2.2 Probes		3.2	SPEAG DASY System	6
<ul> <li>3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)</li> <li>3.2.4 Phantoms</li> <li>3.2.5 Device Holder.</li> <li>3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles</li> <li>3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids.</li> <li>3.3 SAR System Verification</li> <li>3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure</li> <li>3.4.1 Area &amp; Zoom Scan Procedure.</li> <li>3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure.</li> <li>3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring.</li> <li>3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation</li> <li>3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods.</li> </ul> 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting. <ul> <li>4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting.</li> <li>4.2 EUT Testing Position</li> <li>4.3 Tissue Verification.</li> <li>4.4 System Verification.</li> <li>4.5 Conducted Power Results.</li> <li>4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power Result.</li> </ul>			3.2.1 Robot	7
<ul> <li>3.2.4 Phantoms</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>3.2.4 Phantoms</li></ul>			3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	8
3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles			3.2.4 Phantoms	9
3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids				
<ul> <li>3.3 SAR System Verification</li> <li>3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure</li> <li>3.4.1 Area &amp; Zoom Scan Procedure</li> <li>3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure</li> <li>3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring</li> <li>3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation</li> <li>3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods</li> </ul> 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting <ul> <li>4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting</li> <li>4.2 EUT Testing Position</li> <li>4.3 Tissue Verification</li> <li>4.4 System Verification</li> <li>4.5 Conducted Power Results</li> <li>4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power Result</li> </ul>			3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles	. 10
<ul> <li>3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure</li></ul>				
3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure         3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure         3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring         3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation         3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods         4. SAR Measurement Evaluation         4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting         4.2 EUT Testing Position         4.3 Tissue Verification         4.4 System Verification         4.5 Conducted Power Results         4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power Result		3.3		
3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure		3.4		
<ul> <li>3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring</li></ul>				
3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation         3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods         4. SAR Measurement Evaluation         4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting         4.2 EUT Testing Position         4.3 Tissue Verification         4.4 System Verification         4.5 Conducted Power Results         4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power Result				
3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods         4. SAR Measurement Evaluation         4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting         4.2 EUT Testing Position         4.3 Tissue Verification         4.4 System Verification         4.5 Conducted Power Results         4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power Result         4.5.2 Measured Conducted Power Result				
<ul> <li>4. SAR Measurement Evaluation</li> <li>4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting</li> <li>4.2 EUT Testing Position</li> <li>4.3 Tissue Verification</li> <li>4.4 System Verification</li> <li>4.5 Conducted Power Results</li> <li>4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power</li> <li>4.5.2 Measured Conducted Power Result</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting</li></ul>			3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods	.14
<ul> <li>4.2 EUT Testing Position</li></ul>	4.	SAR I		
<ul> <li>4.3 Tissue Verification</li></ul>			EUT Configuration and Setting	. 15
<ul> <li>4.4 System Verification</li></ul>		4.2	0	
<ul> <li>4.5 Conducted Power Results</li></ul>				-
4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power 4.5.2 Measured Conducted Power Result				
4.5.2 Measured Conducted Power Result		4.5		
			4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power	. 16
4.6 SAR Testing Results				
		4.6	SAR Testing Results	
4.6.1 SAR Results for Body				
4.6.2 SAR Measurement Variability				
4.6.3 Simultaneous Multi-band Transmission Evaluation			4.6.3 Simultaneous Multi-band Transmission Evaluation	. 18
5. Calibration of Test Equipment	-			
6. Measurement Uncertainty				
7. Information on the Testing Laboratories	7.	Inform	nation on the Testing Laboratories	.21

Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement Appendix C. Calibration Certificate for Probe and Dipole

Appendix D. Photographs of EUT and Setup



## **Release Control Record**

Issue No.	Reason for Change	Date Issued
R01	Initial release	Jan. 18, 2013



## 1. Summary of Maximum SAR Value

Equipment Class	Mode	Highest Reported Body SAR <sub>1g</sub> (0 cm Gap) (W/kg)
DTS	2.4G WLAN	1.09
DSS	Bluetooth	N/A

Note:

1. The SAR limit (1.6 W/kg) for general population/uncontrolled exposure is specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1991.



## 2. Description of Equipment Under Test

ЕИТ Туре	1X1 802.11b/g/n - BT Combo PCIe minicard
FCC ID	PPD-AR5B225
Brand Name	Atheros
Model Name	AR5B225
Tx Frequency Bands	WLAN : 2400 ~ 2483.5
(Unit: MHz)	Bluetooth : 2400 ~ 2483.5
Uplink Modulations	802.11b : DSSS 802.11g/n : OFDM Bluetooth : GFSK
Maximum AVG Conducted Power (Unit: dBm)	802.11b : 15.90 802.11g : 15.70 802.11n HT20 : 15.60 802.11n HT40 : 13.20
Antenna Type	Dipole Antenna
EUT Stage	Identical Prototype

Note:

#### Host PC:

	Product	MENTOR TOUCH
Tablet	Brand Name	MAC
	Model Name	566409

<sup>1.</sup> The above EUT information is declared by manufacturer and for more detailed features description please refers to the manufacturer's specifications or User's Manual.



## 3. SAR Measurement System

## 3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (p). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \Big( \frac{dW}{dm} \Big) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \Big)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by


$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2}{\rho}$$

Where:  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

## 3.2 SPEAG DASY System

DASY system consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY4/5 software defined. The DASY software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.





#### Fig-3.1 DASY System Setup

#### 3.2.1 Robot

The DASY system uses the high precision robots from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (DASY4: CS7MB; DASY5: CS8c) from Stäubli is used. The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability ±0.035 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- · Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)



Report Format Version 5.0.0 Report No. : SA120912E07E, Reference No.:121204E01 Revision : R01

Page No. : 7 of 21 Issued Date : Jan. 18, 2013



#### 3.2.2 Probes

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency.

Model	EX3DV4	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE).	/
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	10 μW/g to 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μW/g)	
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm	

Model	ES3DV3	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core. Interleaved sensors. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE).	A.
Frequency	10 MHz to 4 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	ß
Directivity	$\pm$ 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm$ 0.3 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	$5 \mu$ W/g to 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 3.9 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.0 mm	

#### 3.2.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

Model	DAE3, DAE4	
Construction	Signal amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter and control logic. Serial optical link for communication with DASY4/5 embedded system (fully remote controlled). Two step probe touch detector for mechanical surface detection and emergency robot stop.	
Measurement	-100 to +300 mV (16 bit resolution and two range settings: 4mV,	
Range	400mV)	The second second
Input Offset	< 5µV (with auto zero)	And a state of the
Voltage		
Input Bias Current	< 50 fA	
Dimensions	60 x 60 x 68 mm	



#### 3.2.4 Phantoms

Model	Twin SAM	
Construction	The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.	
Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)	
Shell Thickness	$2 \pm 0.2$ mm (6 ± 0.2 mm at ear point)	
Dimensions	Length: 1000 mm Width: 500 mm Height: adjustable feet	
Filling Volume	approx. 25 liters	

Model	ELI	
Construction	Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles.	
Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)	
Shell Thickness	2.0 ± 0.2 mm (bottom plate)	
Dimensions	Major axis: 600 mm Minor axis: 400 mm	
Filling Volume	approx. 30 liters	



#### 3.2.5 Device Holder

Model	Mounting Device	-
Construction	In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom or ELI4, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter device in spherical coordinates. Rotation point is the ear opening point. Transmitter devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked for positioning at different phantom sections (left head, right head, flat).	
Material	POM	

Model	Laptop Extensions Kit	
Construction	Simple but effective and easy-to-use extension for Mounting Device that facilitates the testing of larger devices according to IEC 62209-2 (e.g., laptops, cameras, etc.). It is lightweight and fits easily on the upper part of the Mounting Device in place of the phone positioner.	
Material	POM, Acrylic glass, Foam	

### 3.2.6 System Validation Dipoles

Model	D-Serial	
Construction	Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun. Enables measurement of feed point impedance with NWA. Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with tissue simulating solutions.	
Frequency	750 MHz to 5800 MHz	
Return Loss	> 20 dB	
Power Capability	> 100 W (f < 1GHz), > 40 W (f > 1GHz)	

#### 3.2.7 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in Table-3.1.

Photo of Liquid Height for Head Position	Photo of Liquid Height for Body Position

The dielectric properties of the head tissue simulating liquids are defined in IEEE 1528 and FCC OET 65 Supplement C Appendix C. For the body tissue simulating liquids, the dielectric properties are defined in FCC OET 65 Supplement C Appendix C. The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.

Table-3.1	Targets of	Tissue	Simulating	Liquid
-----------	------------	--------	------------	--------

		J		
Frequency (MHz)	Target Permittivity	Range of ±5%	Target Conductivity	Range of ±5%
		For Body		
2450	52.7	50.1 ~ 55.3	1.95	1.85 ~ 2.05


The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids.

Tissue Type	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	Triton X-100	Water	Diethylene Glycol Mono- hexylether
B2450	-	31.4	-	0.1	-	-	68.5	-



## 3.3 SAR System Verification

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below.



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz, 100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to 6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.



## 3.4 SAR Measurement Procedure

According to the SAR test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- (a) Power reference measurement
- (b) Area scan
- (c) Zoom scan
- (d) Power drift measurement

The SAR measurement procedures for each of test conditions are as follows:

- (a) Make EUT to transmit maximum output power
- (b) Measure conducted output power through RF cable
- (c) Place the EUT in the specific position of phantom
- (d) Perform SAR testing steps on the DASY system
- (e) Record the SAR value

#### 3.4.1 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. According to KDB 865664D01v01, the resolution for Area and Zoom scan is specified in the table below.

Items	<= 2 GHz	2-3 GHz	3-4 GHz	4-5 GHz	5-6 GHz
Area Scan (Δx, Δy)	<= 15 mm	<= 12 mm	<= 12 mm	<= 10 mm	<= 10 mm
Zoom Scan (Δx, Δy)	<= 8 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 4 mm	<= 4 mm
Zoom Scan (Δz)	<= 5 mm	<= 5 mm	<= 4 mm	<= 3 mm	<= 2 mm
Zoom Scan Volume	>= 30 mm	>= 30 mm	>= 28 mm	>= 25 mm	>= 22 mm

#### 3.4.2 Volume Scan Procedure

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD postprocessor can combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.



#### 3.4.3 Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASY measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drift more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

#### 3.4.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- (a) Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- (b) Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- (c) Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- (d) Interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- (e) Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- (f) Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g

#### 3.4.5 SAR Averaged Methods

In DASY, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.



## 4. SAR Measurement Evaluation

## 4.1 EUT Configuration and Setting

For WLAN SAR testing, the EUT has installed WLAN engineering testing software which can provide continuous transmitting RF signal. According to KDB 248227 D01, WLAN SAR is tested at the lowest data rate, and testing at higher data rate is not required when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than those measured at the lowest data rate. Since the WLAN power at lowest data rate has highest output power, WLAN SAR for this device was performed at the lowest data rate as set in 1 Mbps for 802.11b. This RF signal utilized in SAR measurement has almost 100% duty cycle for 802.11b. The duty factor is 1 for WLAN SAR testing.

## 4.2 EUT Testing Position

According to KDB 616217 D04v01, SAR evaluation is required for back surface and edges of the devices. The back surface and edges of the tablet are tested with the tablet touching the phantom. Exposures from antennas through the front surface of the display section of a tablet are generally limited to the user's hands. Exposures to hands for typical consumer transmitters used in tablets are not expected to exceed the extremity SAR limit; therefore, SAR evaluation for the front surface of tablet display screens are generally not necessary. When voice mode is supported on a tablet and it is limited to speaker mode or headset operations only, additional SAR testing for this type of voice use is not required.

According to KDB 447498 D01v05, the SAR test exclusion condition is based on source-based time-averaged maximum conducted output power, adjusted for tune-up tolerance, and the minimum test separation distance required for the exposure conditions. The SAR exclusion threshold is determined by the following formula.

1. For the test separation distance <= 50 mm

 $\frac{\text{Max. Tune up Power}_{(mW)}}{\text{Min. Test Separation Distance}_{(mm)}} \times \sqrt{f_{(GHz)}} \leq 3.0$ 

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

2. For the test separation distance > 50 mm, and the frequency at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz

 $\left[ (\text{Threshold at 50 mm in Step 1}) + (\text{Test Separation Distance} - 50 \text{ mm}) \times \left( \frac{f_{(MHz)}}{150} \right) \right]_{(mW)}$ 

3. For the test separation distance > 50 mm, and the frequency at > 1500 MHz to 6 GHz [(Threshold at 50 mm in Step 1) + (Test Separation Distance -50 mm) × 10]<sub>(mW)</sub>

	Max.	Max.		Rear Face Primary Portrait		Secondary Portrait			Primary Landscape			Secondary Landscape					
Mode	Tune-up Power (dBm)	Tune-up Power (mW)	Ant. to Surface (mm)	Exclusion Threshold (mW)	SAR Tested?												
WLAN 2.4G	16.5	45	5	10	Yes	69	286	No	200	1596	No	200	1596	No	5	10	Yes
BT	4	3	5	10	No	69	285	No	200	1595	No	200	1595	No	5	10	No



## 4.3 Tissue Verification

The measuring results for tissue simulating liquid are shown as below.

Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Temp. (℃)	Measured Conductivity (σ)	Measured Permittivity (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Target Conductivity (σ)	Target Permittivity (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Conductivity Deviation (%)	Permittivity Deviation (%)	Test Date
B2450	2450	20.1	1.952	50.922	1.95	52.7	0.10	-3.37	Dec. 29, 2012
B2450	2450	21.4	1.958	50.929	1.95	52.7	0.41	-3.36	Jan. 10, 2013

#### Note:

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid must be measured within 24 hours before the SAR testing and within  $\pm 5\%$  of the target values. Liquid temperature during the SAR testing must be within  $\pm 2$  °C.

### 4.4 System Verification

The measuring results for system check are shown as below.

Test Date	Frequency (MHz)	1W Target SAR-1g (W/kg)	Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	Normalized to 1W SAR-1g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	DAE S/N
Dec. 29, 2012	2450	50.00	12.4	49.60	-0.80	737	3801	861
Jan. 10, 2013	2450	50.00	12.5	50.00	0.00	737	3590	687

#### Note:

Comparing to the reference SAR value provided by SPEAG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %. The result indicates the system check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix A of this report.

#### 4.5 Conducted Power Results

#### 4.5.1 Nominal Conducted Power

The nominal conducted power (Unit: dBm) including tune-up tolerance is shown as below.

Mode	2.4G WLAN
802.11b	16.5
802.11g	16.5
802.11n HT20	16.0
802.11n HT40	13.5

Mode	Bluetooth
All	4



#### 4.5.2 Measured Conducted Power Result

The measuring conducted power (Unit: dBm) are shown as below.

Band	802.11b			802.11g		
Channel	1	6	11	1	6	11
Frequency (MHz)	2412	2437	2462	2412	2437	2462
Average Power	15.90	15.70	15.80	14.30	15.70	12.80

Band	802.11n (HT20)			802.11n (HT40)		
Channel	1	6	11	3	6	9
Frequency (MHz)	2412	2437	2462	2422	2437	2452
Average Power	13.40	15.60	12.00	10.90	13.20	9.80

Band	Bluetooth					
Channel	0 39 78					
Frequency (MHz)	2402	2441	2480			
Average Power	10.30	11.10	10.90			

## 4.6 SAR Testing Results

#### 4.6.1 SAR Results for Body

Plot No.	Band	Test Position	Separation Distance (cm)	Ch.	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Measured Conducted Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Power Drift (dB)	Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR-1g (W/kg)
101	802.11b	Rear Face	0	1	16.5	15.90	1.15	-0.02	0.00939	0.01
102	802.11b	Secondary Landscape	0	1	16.5	15.90	1.15	-0.03	0.871	1.00
103	802.11b	Secondary Landscape	0	6	16.5	15.70	1.20	-0.12	0.45	0.54
104	802.11b	Secondary Landscape	0	11	16.5	15.80	1.17	-0.07	0.309	0.36
105	802.11b	Secondary Landscape	0	1	16.5	15.90	1.15	0.10	0.944	1.09

#### Note:

1. According to KDB 248227 D01, when the extrapolated maximum peak SAR for the maximum output power channel is <= 1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <= 0.8 W/kg, WLAN SAR testing for other channels is not required.

- 2. SAR testing for 802.11g/n is not required because its maximum power is less than 1/4 dB higher than 802.11b.
- 3. The SAR testing for plot 105 is for SAR measurement variability.



#### 4.6.2 SAR Measurement Variability

According to KDB 865664 D01v01, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media are required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures should be applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. Alternatively, if the highest measured SAR values, i.e., largest divided by smallest value, is  $\leq$  1.10, the highest SAR configuration for either head or body tissue-equivalent medium may be used to perform the repeated measurement. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

SAR repeated measurement procedure:

- 1. When the highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg, repeated measurement is not required.
- 2. When the highest measured SAR is  $\geq 0.80$  W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3. If the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20, or when the original or repeated measurement is >= 1.45 W/kg, perform a second repeated measurement.
- 4. If the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20, and the original, first or second repeated measurement is >= 1.5 W/kg, perform a third repeated measurement.

Band	Test Position	Separation Distance (cm)	Ch.	Original Measured SAR-1g (W/kg)	1st Repeated SAR-1g (W/kg)	L/S Ratio	2nd Repeated SAR-1g (W/kg)	L/S Ratio	3rd Repeated SAR-1g (W/kg)	L/S Ratio
802.11b	Secondary Landscape	0	1	1.00	1.09	1.09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Test Engineer : <u>Xavier Yueh</u> and <u>Sam Onn</u>

#### 4.6.3 Simultaneous Multi-band Transmission Evaluation

Since the WLAN and Bluetooth share the same antenna and they cannot transmit simultaneously, there is no simultaneous transmission issue.

## 5. Calibration of Test Equipment

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	SN	Cal. Date	Cal. Interval
System Validation Kit	SPEAG	D2450V2	737	Jan. 24, 2012	Annual
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3801	Jun. 22, 2012	Annual
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3590	Feb. 23, 2012	Annual
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE4	861	Aug. 23, 2012	Annual
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE4	687	Aug. 23, 2012	Annual
ELI Phantom	SPEAG	QDOVA001B	TP-1043	N/A	N/A
ELI Phantom	SPEAG	QDOVA001B	TP-1039	N/A	N/A
ENA Series Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	MY46214281	May 14, 2012	Annual
MXG Analog Signal Generator	Agilent	N5181A	MY50143868	May 06, 2012	Annual
Power Meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1218009	May 07, 2012	Annual
Power Sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	1207252	May 07, 2012	Annual
EXA Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9010A	MY52100136	Apr. 23, 2012	Annual
Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent	85070D	E2-020018	May 14, 2012	Annual
Thermometer	YFE	YF-160A	110600361	Feb. 21, 2012	Annual
Directional Coupler	Woken	0110A05602O-10	11122702	Apr. 19, 2012	Annual
Power Amplifier	AR	5S1G4	0339656	Apr. 23, 2012	Annual
Attenuator	Woken	00800A1G01L-03	N/A	Apr. 19, 2012	Annual



## 6. Measurement Uncertainty

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Vi
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration	6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	$\infty$
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 1.9 %	$\infty$
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 3.9 %	$\infty$
Boundary Effects	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %	$\infty$
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %	$\infty$
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %	$\infty$
Readout Electronics	0.6	Normal	1	1	± 0.6 %	$\infty$
Response Time	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.0 %	$\infty$
Integration Time	1.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.0 %	$\infty$
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
Probe Positioner	0.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.3 %	$\infty$
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
Max. SAR Eval.	2.3	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.3 %	$\infty$
Test Sample Related	•	•	•	•		
Device Positioning	3.9	Normal	1	1	± 3.9 %	31
Device Holder	2.7	Normal	1	1	± 2.7 %	19
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9 %	$\infty$
Phantom and Setup		•			· · ·	
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8 %	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity (Meas.)	5.0	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.2 %	29
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
Liquid Permittivity (Meas.)	5.0	Normal	1	0.6	± 3.0 %	29
Combined Standard Uncertai	nty				± 11.7 %	
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)					± 23.4 %	

Uncertainty budget for frequency range 300 MHz to 3 GHz



## 7. Information on the Testing Laboratories

We, Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch, were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved according to ISO/IEC 17025.

If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

#### Taiwan HwaYa EMC/RF/Safety/Telecom Lab:

Add: No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd, Wen Hwa Vil., Kwei Shan Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C. Tel: 886-3-318-3232 Fax: 886-3-327-0892

#### Taiwan LinKo EMC/RF Lab:

Add: No. 47, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Vil., Linkou Dist., New Taipei City 244, Taiwan, R.O.C. Tel: 886-2-2605-2180 Fax: 886-2-2605-1924

#### Taiwan HsinChu EMC/RF Lab:

Add: No. 81-1, Lu Liao Keng, 9<sup>th</sup> Ling, Wu Lung Vil., Chiung Lin Township, Hsinchu County 307, Taiwan, R.O.C. Tel: 886-3-593-5343 Fax: 886-3-593-5342

Email: <u>service.adt@tw.bureauveritas.com</u> Web Site: <u>www.adt.com.tw</u>

The road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.

---END----



## Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

The plots for system verification are shown as follows.

## System Check\_B2450\_121229

#### DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; SN: 737

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: B2450\_1229 Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.952$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.922$ ;  $\rho =$  $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 


Ambient Temperature : 21.5°C; Liquid Temperature : 20.1°C

**DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3801; ConvF(6.59, 6.59, 6.59); Calibrated: 2012/06/22;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2012/08/23
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: TP:1043
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.7 (6848)

Pin=250mW/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 19.2 W/kg

Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 101.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.12 dBPeak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.1 W/kgSAR(1 g) = 12.4 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.73 W/kgMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.2 W/kg



## System Check\_B2450\_130110

#### DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; SN: 737

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: B2450\_0110 Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.958$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.929$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>


Ambient Temperature : 22.3°C; Liquid Temperature : 21.4 °C

**DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3590; ConvF(7.8, 7.8, 7.8); Calibrated: 2012/02/23;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn687; Calibrated: 2012/08/23
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: SN:1039
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.7 (6848)

**Pin=250mW/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 19.4 W/kg

Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 100.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.7 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 12.5 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.71 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.5 W/kg





## Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement

The plots for SAR measurement are shown as follows.

## P101 802.11b\_Rear Face\_0cm\_Ch1\_Ant 0

### DUT: 121204E01

Communication System: WLAN\_2.4G; Frequency: 2412 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: B2450\_1229 Medium parameters used: f = 2412 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.886$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 51.104$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Ambient Temperature : 21.5°C; Liquid Temperature : 20.1°C


DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3801; ConvF(6.59, 6.59, 6.59); Calibrated: 2012/06/22;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2012/08/23
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: TP:1043
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.7 (6848)

**Ch1/Area Scan (241x301x1):** Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0158 W/kg

Ch1/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 0.739 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0170 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.00939 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.00456 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0137 W/kg

Ch1/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 0.739 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0300 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.00611 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.00257 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.00911 W/kg



## P102 802.11b\_Secondary Landscape\_0cm\_Ch1\_Ant 0

### DUT: 121204E01

Communication System: WLAN\_2.4G; Frequency: 2412 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: B2450\_1229 Medium parameters used: f = 2412 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.886$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 51.104$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>


Ambient Temperature : 21.5°C; Liquid Temperature : 20.1°C

#### **DASY5** Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3801; ConvF(6.59, 6.59, 6.59); Calibrated: 2012/06/22;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2012/08/23
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: TP:1043
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.7 (6848)

**Ch1/Area Scan (101x301x1):** Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.52 W/kg

Ch1/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mmReference Value = 0.433 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.80 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.871 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.390 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.33 W/kg



## P103 802.11b\_Secondary Landscape\_0cm\_Ch6\_Ant 0

### DUT: 121204E01

Communication System: WLAN\_2.4G; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: B2450\_1229 Medium parameters used: f = 2437 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.93$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.931$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>


Ambient Temperature : 21.5°C; Liquid Temperature : 20.1°C

#### DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3801; ConvF(6.59, 6.59, 6.59); Calibrated: 2012/06/22;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2012/08/23
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: TP:1043
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.7 (6848)

**Ch6/Area Scan (101x301x1):** Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.780 W/kg

Ch6/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mmReference Value = 0.142 V/m; Power Drift = -0.12 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.929 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.450 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.202 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.684 W/kg



## P104 802.11b\_Secondary Landscape\_0cm\_Ch11\_Ant 0

### DUT: 121204E01

Communication System: WLAN\_2.4G; Frequency: 2462 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: B2450\_1229 Medium parameters used: f = 2462 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.968$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 50.939$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>


Ambient Temperature : 21.5°C; Liquid Temperature : 20.1°C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3801; ConvF(6.59, 6.59, 6.59); Calibrated: 2012/06/22;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn861; Calibrated: 2012/08/23
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: TP:1043
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.7 (6848)

**Ch11/Area Scan (101x301x1):** Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.610 W/kg

Ch11/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 0.329 V/m; Power Drift = -0.07 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.642 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.309 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.138 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.470 W/kg



## P105 802.11b\_Secondary Landscape\_0cm\_Ch1\_Ant 0

#### DUT: 121204E01

Communication System: WLAN\_2.4G; Frequency: 2412 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: B2450\_0110 Medium parameters used: f = 2412 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.892$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.116$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>


Ambient Temperature : 22.3°C; Liquid Temperature : 21.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3590; ConvF(7.8, 7.8, 7.8); Calibrated: 2012/02/23;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn687; Calibrated: 2012/08/23
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: SN:1039
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.7 (6848)

**Ch1/Area Scan (101x301x1):** Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.63 W/kg

Ch1/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mmReference Value = 0.140 V/m; Power Drift = 0.10 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.96 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.944 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.417 W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.44 W/kg





## Appendix C. Calibration Certificate for Probe and Dipole

The SPEAG calibration certificates are shown as follows.

#### Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
 Service suisse d'étalonnage
 Servizio svizzero di taratura
 S Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client B.V.ADT (Auden)

Certificate No: D2450V2-737\_Jan12

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	D2450V2 - SN: 7	37	
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-05.v8 Calibration proce	dure for dipole validation kits abo	ve 700 MHz
Calibration date:	January 24, 2012		
	그는 말 것 같은 것 같아. 것 같아. 안 가지 않아요? 것 같아.	onal standards, which realize the physical uni robability are given on the following pages an	
All calibrations have been conduc	ted in the closed laborator	y facility: environment temperature (22 $\pm$ 3)°C	C and humidity < 70%.
Calibration Equipment used (M&T	E critical for calibration)		
Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	05-Oct-11 (No. 217-01451)	Oct-12
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	05-Oct-11 (No. 217-01451)	Oct-12
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01368)	Apr-12
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01371)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-11 (No. ES3-3205_Dec11)	Dec-12
DAE4	SN: 601	04-Jul-11 (No. DAE4-601_Jul11)	Jul-12
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-13
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-13
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-12
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Israe El-Naouq	Laboratory Technician	Jeraa Etraoug
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	Alt.
		full without written approval of the laboratory	Issued: January 24, 2012

## Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

- C Service suisse d'étalonnage
- Servizio svizzero di taratura
- Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

## Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

## Additional Documentation:

d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

## Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

#### **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz ± 1 MHz	

#### **Head TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	39.2 ± 6 %	1.85 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C		

### SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.4 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	52.9 mW /g ± 17.0 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm $^3$ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL SAR measured	condition 250 mW input power	6.18 mW / g

#### **Body TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	50.6 ± 6 %	2.01 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C		

### SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	12.8 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	50.0 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.91 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.3 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

## Appendix

#### Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	54.3 Ω + 4.3 jΩ
Return Loss	- 24.7 dB

#### Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.6 Ω + 5.3 jΩ
Return Loss	- 25.6 dB

#### General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.161 ns

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

### Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	August 26, 2003

### **DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date: 24.01.2012

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

#### DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 737


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$  = 1.85 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 39.2;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup> Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

#### DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(4.45, 4.45, 4.45); Calibrated: 30.12.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 04.07.2011
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.0(692); SEMCAD X 14.6.4(4989)


#### Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mmReference Value = 99.933 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.6400 SAR(1 g) = 13.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.18 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.183 mW/g



0 dB = 17.180 mW/g = 24.70 dB mW/g

#### Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



#### **DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL**

Date: 23.01.2012

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

#### DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 737


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$  = 2.01 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 50.6;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup> Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

#### DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(4.26, 4.26, 4.26); Calibrated: 30.12.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 04.07.2011
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.0(692); SEMCAD X 14.6.4(4989)


#### Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mmReference Value = 94.889 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.6520 **SAR(1 g) = 12.8 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.91 mW/g** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.026 mW/g



0 dB = 17.030 mW/g = 24.62 dB mW/g

## Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



#### Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



SWISS C. D. NO PRIBRATIO

S

С

S

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client Auden

Certificate No: EX3-3801\_Jun12

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	EX3DV4 - SN:3801
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v8, QA CAL-23.v4, QA CAL-25.v4 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	June 22, 2012
	uments the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). ncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.
All calibrations have been cor	inducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 $\pm$ 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	29-Mar-12 (No. 217-01508)	Apr-13
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	29-Mar-12 (No. 217-01508)	Apr-13
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01531)	Apr-13
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01529)	Apr-13
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	27-Mar-12 (No. 217-01532)	Apr-13
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	29-Dec-11 (No. ES3-3013_Dec11)	Dec-12
DAE4	SN: 660	10-Jan-12 (No. DAE4-660_Jan12)	Jan-13
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-11)	In house check: Apr-13
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-12

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Claudio Leubler	Laboratory Technician	Al
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	fly
This calibration certificate	shall not be reproduced except in full	without written approval of the laboratory	Issued: June 22, 2012

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Glassan





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

- Service suisse d'étalonnage
- Servizio svizzero di taratura
- Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

С

S

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary.	
TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization 9	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta$ = 0 is normal to probe axis

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx, y, z: Assessed for E-field polarization θ = 0 (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx, y, z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx, y, z does not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- *NORM(f)x,y,z* = *NORMx,y,z* \* *frequency\_response* (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- *DCPx,y,z*: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- *PAR:* PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- *Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z, VRx,y,z: A, B, C* are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. *VR* is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx, y, z \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe EX3DV4

## SN:3801

Manufactured: April 5, 2011 Calibrated:

June 22, 2012

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems (Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

#### **Basic Calibration Parameters**

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)^A$	0.55	0.60	0.54	± 10.1 %
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	98.6	101.4	102.0	

#### Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dB	C dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>⊢</sup> (k=2)
0	CW	0.00	Х	0.00	0.00	1.00	177.5	±3.8 %
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	184.0	
			Ζ	0.00	0.00	1.00	175.5	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6). <sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

- <sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

f (MHz) <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	9.09	9.09	9.09	0.80	0.61	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	8.71	8.71	8.71	0.42	0.82	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	8.68	8.68	8.68	0.63	0.73	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	7.70	7.70	7.70	0.35	1.02	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	7.42	7.42	7.42	0.35	1.01	± 12.0 %
2000	40.0	1.40	7.37	7.37	7.37	0.60	0.74	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	6.70	6.70	6.70	0.41	0.93	± 12.0 %

#### **Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media**

<sup>C</sup> Frequency validity of  $\pm$  100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to  $\pm$  50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. <sup>F</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to  $\pm$  10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to


<sup>r</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

f (MHz) <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	9.00	9.00	9.00	0.80	0.66	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	8.82	8.82	8.82	0.53	0.78	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	8.72	8.72	8.72	0.80	0.61	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.50	7.50	7.50	0.77	0.68	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.13	7.13	7.13	0.51	0.79	± 12.0 %
2000	53.3	1.52	7.13	7.13	7.13	0.70	0.68	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	6.59	6.59	6.59	0.80	0.59	± 12.0 %

#### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


<sup>C</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>F</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to  $\pm$  10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to  $\pm$  5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.



## Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)


Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)



## Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$


Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

June 22, 2012



## Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) (TEM cell , f = 900 MHz)

Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)



## **Conversion Factor Assessment**

#### **Other Probe Parameters**

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	124.4
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	2 mm

#### Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

S

С

S

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client B.V.ADT (Auden)

Certificate No: EX3-3590\_Feb12

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	EX3DV4 - SN:3590
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v8, QA CAL-14.v3, QA CAL-23.v4, QA CAL-25.v4 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	February 23, 2012
	uments the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). ncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration	
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12	
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12	
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01369)	Apr-12	
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12	
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01370)	Apr-12	
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	29-Dec-11 (No. ES3-3013_Dec11)	Dec-12	
DAE4	SN: 654	3-May-11 (No. DAE4-654_May11)	May-12	
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check	
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-11)	In house check: Apr-13	
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-12	

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	Fele
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	felly.
			Issued: February 23, 2012
This calibration certificate	e shall not be reproduced except in ful	I without written approval of the laborato	ory.

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S

- Service suisse d'étalonnage
- С Servizio svizzero di taratura
  - Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### **Glossary:** tissue simulating liquid TSL NORMx,y,z sensitivity in free space sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z ConvF DCP diode compression point crest factor (1/duty\_cycle) of the RF signal CF modulation dependent linearization parameters A, B, C o rotation around probe axis Polarization ω 9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), Polarization 9 i.e., 9 = 0 is normal to probe axis

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *NORMx*, *y*, *z*: Assessed for E-field polarization 9 = 0 (f  $\leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx, y, z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx, y, z does not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z \* frequency\_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx, y, z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z, VRx,y,z: A, B, C are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx, y, z \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe EX3DV4

## SN:3590

Calibrated:

Manufactured: March 23, 2009 Calibrated: February 23, 20 February 23, 2012

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems (Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

#### **Basic Calibration Parameters**

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)^A$	0.48	0.48	0.50	± 10.1 %
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	96.3	97.6	94.0	

#### **Modulation Calibration Parameters**

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dB	C dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>⊏</sup> (k=2)
10000	CW	0.00	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	106.2	±2.5 %
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	117.4	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	109.0	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

<sup>8</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	10.62	10.62	10.62	0.43	0.80	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	10.14	10.14	10.14	0.18	1.26	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	10.11	10.11	10.11	0.44	0.75	± 12.0 %
1450	40.5	1.20	9.39	9.39	9.39	0.24	1.23	± 12.0 %
1640	40.3	1.29	9.18	9.18	9.18	0.80	0.56	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	8.95	8.95	8.95	0.45	0.74	± 12.0 %
1810	40.0	1.40	8.79	8.79	8.79	0.66	0.61	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	8.83	8.83	8.83	0.40	0.80	± 12.0 %
2000	40.0	1.40	8.65	8.65	8.65	0.49	0.70	± 12.0 %
2300	39.5	1.67	8.27	8.27	8.27	0.39	0.74	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	7.88	7.88	7.88	0.35	0.83	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	7.72	7.72	7.72	0.25	1.07	± 12.0 %
3500	37.9	2.91	7.77	7.77	7.77	0.33	1.11	± 13.1 %
5200	36.0	4.66	5.64	5.64	5.64	0.30	1.80	± 13.1 %
5300	35.9	4.76	5.32	5.32	5.32	0.30	1.80	± 13.1 %
5500	35.6	4.96	5.13	5.13	5.13	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5600	35.5	5.07	4.89	4.89	4.89	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %
5800	35.3	5.27	4.79	4.79	4.79	0.42	1.80	± 13.1 %

#### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. <sup>F</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to


<sup>F</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittívity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	10.61	10.61	10.61	0.30	0.99	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	10.47	10.47	10.47	0.48	0.74	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	10.40	10.40	10.40	0.63	0.66	± 12.0 %
1450	54.0	1.30	9.45	9.45	9.45	0.17	1.66	± 12.0 %
1640	53.8	1.40	9.47	9.47	9.47	0.36	0.94	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	8.64	8.64	8.64	0.28	0.99	± 12.0 %
1810	53.3	1.52	8.27	8.27	8.27	0.31	0.94	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	8.07	8.07	8.07	0.33	0.94	± 12.0 %
2000	53.3	1.52	8.19	8.19	8.19	0.41	0.82	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	8.00	8.00	8.00	0.70	0.64	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.80	7.80	7.80	0.80	0.55	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	7.57	7.57	7.57	0.65	0.50	± 12.0 %
3500	51.3	3.31	7.18	7.18	7.18	0.49	0.87	± 13.1 %
5200	49.0	5.30	4.89	4.89	4.89	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5300	48.9	5.42	4.81	4.81	4.81	0.48	1.90	± 13.1 %
5500	48.6	5.65	4.35	4.35	4.35	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.92	3.92	3.92	0.65	1.90	± 13.1 %
5800	48.2	6.00	4.54	4.54	4.54	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %

#### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity of  $\pm$  100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to  $\pm$  50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. <sup>F</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to  $\pm$  10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to


<sup>+</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to  $\pm$  10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to  $\pm$  5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.



## Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)


Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

February 23, 2012




## Receiving Pattern (φ), θ = 0°

Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)



## Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) (TEM cell , f = 900 MHz)

Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)



## **Conversion Factor Assessment**

#### **Other Probe Parameters**

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	Not applicable
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	2 mm