

Mark Briggs

Subject: FW: Qualcomm Atheros, Inc., //PPD-AR5B125 //AN11T0689
Attachments: pic27536.gif

From: natacha.chen
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 6:26 PM
To: Joe Hsieh
Subject: RE: Qualcomm Atheros, Inc., //PPD-AR5B125 //AN11T0689

Dear Joe,
Can you please refer to below responses in blue color?
Pls kindly let me know if you have further questions.

Best Regards,
Thanks.

1. About the output power, please confirm that you adjusted power during testing to get the power as close as possible to the rated power but the shipping product will have the same maximum power as originally granted "(0.269W and 0.174 Watts for 40MHz mode)". The form 731 should match the original grant powers and we need those updated to match the 0.269W and 0.174W show on the original grant.

RE: The power was set as close to the original application for testing purposes, there is no change in the rated power of the product. Can you please kindly help to update the power for the E-731 form?
Or what shall we do to update your 731 form? (It seemed that we cannot modify anymore once uploaded.)

2. Please confirm the digital device changes are considered Class 1 changes for the JBP filing for the module or Class 2. (If it's Class 2, please provide the test report for the JBP digital device testing. If it's Class 1, we will ignore the JBP portion).

RE: For JPB filling, it consider as Class 1 change.

3. Please explain that SAR testing was not repeated as the SAR testing was done with the separation distances based on the antenna-phantom separation and these have not changed, the antenna is not located by the module and so changes to the module would not affect those SAR results.

RE: The antenna is not located by the module so changes to the module would not affect those SAR results.

Best Regards,
Natacha