

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE SAR EVALUATION

**Test Lab:**

**CELLTECH RESEARCH INC.**  
Testing and Engineering Lab  
1955 Moss Court  
Kelowna, B.C.  
Canada V1Y 9L3  
Phone: 250 - 860-3130  
Fax: 250 - 860-3110  
Toll Free: 1-877-545-6287  
e-mail: info@celltechlabs.com.  
web site: www.celltechlabs.com

**Applicant Information:**


**AIRPRIME INC.**  
5201 Great America Parkway # 432  
Santa Clara, CA 95054  
Contact: Steve Burrington

<b>FCC ID:</b>	<b>PNF-SB3000P</b>
<b>Model No.:</b>	<b>SB3000P</b>
<b>Equipment Type:</b>	<b>PCS CDMA Voice/Data Modem Module for HandSpring Visor, Visor Deluxe, Visor Prism, Visor Edge, &amp; Visor Platinum Handheld PDAs</b>
<b>Equipment Class:</b>	<b>Part 24 Licensed Portable Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)</b>
<b>Modulation(s):</b>	<b>PCS CDMA</b>
<b>Tx Frequency Range:</b>	<b>1851.25 - 1908.75 MHz</b>
<b>Rx Frequency Range:</b>	<b>1931.25 - 1988.75 MHz</b>
<b>Max. RF Output Power:</b>	<b>0.571 Watts EIRP (SB3000P with Handspring Visor Prism) 0.557 Watts EIRP (SB3000P with Handspring Visor Edge) 0.513 Watts EIRP (SB3000P with Handspring Visor Platinum)</b>
<b>FCC Rule Part(s):</b>	<b>2.1093; ET Docket 96.326</b>

This wireless portable device has been shown to be compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.3-1999.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Celltech Research Inc. certifies that no party to this application has been denied FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 853(a).



**Shawn McMillen**  
General Manager  
Celltech Research Inc.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	1
2.0	<b>DESCRIPTION OF EUT.....</b>	1
3.0	<b>SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM .....</b>	2
4.0	<b>MEASUREMENT SUMMARY.....</b>	3-8
5.0	<b>DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION.....</b>	9
6.0	<b>EVALUATION PROCEDURES.....</b>	10
7.0	<b>SAR LIMITS.....</b>	11
8.0	<b>SYSTEM VALIDATION.....</b>	11
9.0	<b>SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES.....</b>	12
10.0	<b>TISSUE PARAMETERS.....</b>	12
11.0	<b>SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS.....</b>	13
12.0	<b>TEST EQUIPMENT LIST.....</b>	14
13.0	<b>MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES.....</b>	15
14.0	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	16
	<b>APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA.....</b>	17
	<b>APPENDIX B - DIPOLE VALIDATION.....</b>	18
	<b>APPENDIX C - PROBE CALIBRATION.....</b>	19
	<b>APPENDIX D - SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS.....</b>	20

**1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This measurement report shows that the AIRPRIME INC. PCS CDMA Voice/Data Modem Module FCC ID: PNF-SB3000P for Handspring Visor Handheld PDAs is in compliance with Part 2.1093, ET Docket 96-326 of the FCC Rules for mobile and portable devices. The test procedures, as described in American National Standards Institute C95.1-1992 (1), FCC OET Bulletin 65-1997 were employed. A description of the product and operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, and the various provisions of the rules are included within this test report.

**2.0 DESCRIPTION of Equipment Under Test (EUT)**

<b>EUT Type</b>	Voice/Data Modem Module for Handspring Visor PDAs	<b>Equipment Class</b>	Part 24 Licensed Portable Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)
<b>FCC ID</b>	PNF-SB3000P	<b>Model No.</b>	SB3000P
<b>Tx Frequency Range</b>	1851.25 - 1908.75 MHz	<b>S/N No.</b>	Pre-production
<b>Rx Frequency Range</b>	1931.25 - 1988.75 MHz	<b>Max. RF Output Power</b>	0.571W EIRP (with Prism) 0.557W EIRP (with Edge) 0.513W EIRP (with Platinum)
<b>Antenna Type</b>	Fixed Stubby	<b>Modulation</b>	PCS CDMA
<b>Antenna Length</b>	27 mm	<b>Power Supply</b>	3.8V Lithium Ion Rechargeable Battery

### **3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM**

Celltech Research SAR measurement facility utilizes the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY system is comprised of the robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing brain or muscle equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card. The DAE3 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



DASY3 SAR Measurement System

#### 4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

The measurement results were obtained with the EUT tested in the conditions described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT are reported in Appendix A.

#### HEAD SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Handspring Visor PDA Model	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	Mode	Conducted Power (dBm)	Phantom Position	Antenna Position	SAR (w/kg)
Prism	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Left Ear	Fixed	0.735
Prism	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Left Ear	Fixed	0.744
Prism	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Left Ear	Fixed	0.682
Prism	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Right Ear	Fixed	0.621
Edge	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Left Ear	Fixed	0.801
Edge	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Left Ear	Fixed	0.718
Edge	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Left Ear	Fixed	0.716
Edge	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Right Ear	Fixed	0.749
Platinum	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Left Ear	Fixed	1.08
Platinum	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Left Ear	Fixed	0.960
Platinum	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Left Ear	Fixed	0.961
Platinum	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Right Ear	Fixed	0.973
<b>Mixture Type: BRAIN                      Dielectric Constant: 40.5                      Conductivity: 1.35</b>			<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT                      Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population                      HEAD: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)</b>				

Notes:

1. The SAR values found were below the maximum limit of 1.6 w/kg.
2. The highest head SAR value found was 1.08 w/kg.



EUT with Visor Prism



EUT with Visor Edge



EUT with Visor Platinum

**MEASUREMENT SUMMARY (CONT.)**

**HAND SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - Front of EUT**

Handspring Visor PDA Model	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	Mode	Conducted Power (dBm)	Phantom Position	Separation Distance (cm)	SAR (w/kg)
Prism	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	0.445
Prism	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	0.0	0.434
Prism	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	0.343
Edge	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	0.550
Edge	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	0.0	0.548
Edge	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	0.393
Platinum	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	0.719
Platinum	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	0.0	0.778
Platinum	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	0.626
<b>Mixture Type: Muscle                      Dielectric Constant: 54.6                      Conductivity: 1.39</b>			<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT                      Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population                      HAND: 4.0 W/kg (averaged over 10 grams)</b>				

Notes:

1. The SAR values found were below the maximum limit of 4.0 w/kg.
2. The highest hand SAR value found was 0.778 w/kg.
3. The EUT was tested for hand SAR with the front of the EUT touching the outer surface of the planar phantom.



EUT with Visor Prism  
 Front touching phantom surface



EUT with Visor Edge  
 Front touching phantom surface



EUT with Visor Platinum  
 Front touching phantom surface

**MEASUREMENT SUMMARY (CONT.)**

**HAND SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - Back of EUT**

Handspring Visor PDA Model	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	Mode	Conducted Power (dBm)	Phantom Position	Separation Distance (cm)	SAR (w/kg)
Prism	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	2.68
Prism	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	0.0	2.62
Prism	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	1.89
Edge	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	2.85
Edge	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	0.0	2.43
Edge	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	1.98
Platinum	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	2.93
Platinum	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	0.0	2.74
Platinum	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	0.0	1.90
<b>Mixture Type: Muscle                      Dielectric Constant: 54.6                      Conductivity: 1.39</b>			<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT                      Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population                      HAND: 4.0 W/kg (averaged over 10 grams)</b>				

Notes:

1. The SAR values found were below the maximum limit of 4.0 w/kg.
2. The highest hand SAR value found was 2.93 w/kg.
3. The EUT was tested for hand SAR with the back of the EUT touching the outer surface of the planar phantom.



EUT with Visor Prism  
 Back touching phantom surface



EUT with Visor Edge  
 Back touching phantom surface



EUT with Visor Platinum  
 Back touching phantom surface

**MEASUREMENT SUMMARY (CONT.)**

**BODY-WORN SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - Back of EUT with 2.5cm Separation Distance**

Handspring Visor PDA Model	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	Mode	Conducted Power (dBm)	Phantom Position	Separation Distance (cm)	SAR (w/kg)
Prism	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.381
Prism	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	2.5	0.446
Prism	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.349
Edge	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.283
Edge	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	2.5	0.321
Edge	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.256
Platinum	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.369
Platinum	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	2.5	0.394
Platinum	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.325
<b>Mixture Type: Muscle                      Dielectric Constant: 54.6                      Conductivity: 1.39</b>			<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT                      Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population                      BODY: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)</b>				

Notes:

1. The SAR values found were below the maximum limit of 1.6 w/kg.
2. The highest body SAR value found was 0.446 w/kg.
3. The EUT was tested for body SAR with a separation distance of 2.5cm between the back of the EUT and the outer surface of the planar phantom.



EUT with Visor Prism & 2.5cm separation distance



EUT with Visor Edge & 2.5cm separation distance



EUT with Visor Platinum & 2.5cm separation distance



**MEASUREMENT SUMMARY (CONT.)**

**BODY-WORN SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - Leather Holster with 2.5cm Belt-Clip**

Handspring Visor PDA Model	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	Mode	Conducted Power (dBm)	Phantom Position	Belt-Clip Separation Distance (cm)	SAR (w/kg)
Prism	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.282
Prism	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	2.5	0.269
Prism	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.253
Edge	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.435
Edge	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	2.5	0.426
Edge	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.403
Platinum	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.307
Platinum	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	2.5	0.278
Platinum	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	2.5	0.239
<b>Mixture Type: Muscle                      Dielectric Constant: 54.6                      Conductivity: 1.39</b>			<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT                      Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population                      BODY: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)</b>				

Notes:

1. The SAR values found were below the maximum limit of 1.6 w/kg.
2. The highest body SAR value found was 0.435 w/kg.
3. The EUT was tested for body SAR using the leather holster with belt-clip providing a separation distance of 2.5cm between the front of the EUT and the outer surface of the planar phantom.



EUT with Visor Prism & Leather Holster with Belt-Clip



EUT with Visor Edge & Leather Holster with Belt-Clip



EUT with Visor Platinum & Leather Holster with Belt-Clip

***MEASUREMENT SUMMARY (CONT.)***

**BODY-WORN SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - Plastic Holster with 1.3cm Belt-Clip**

**(EUT with Handspring Visor Platinum PDA only)**

Handspring Visor PDA Model	Freq. (MHz)	Channel	Mode	Conducted Power (dBm)	Phantom Position	Belt-Clip Separation Distance (cm)	SAR (w/kg)
Platinum	1851.25	0025	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	1.3	0.428
Platinum	1880.00	0600	PCS CDMA	24.55	Flat	1.3	0.400
Platinum	1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.80	Flat	1.3	0.357
<b>Mixture Type: Muscle                      Dielectric Constant: 54.6                      Conductivity: 1.39</b>			<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT                      Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population                      BODY: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)</b>				

Notes:

1. The SAR values found were below the maximum limit of 1.6 w/kg.
2. The highest body SAR value found was 0.428 w/kg.
3. The EUT was tested for body SAR using the plastic holster with belt-clip providing a separation distance of 1.3cm between the front of the EUT and the outer surface of the planar phantom.
4. The plastic holster with belt-clip is designed exclusively for use with the Handspring Visor, Visor Deluxe, and Visor Platinum PDA models.



EUT with Visor Platinum & Plastic Holster with Belt-Clip

## **5.0 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION**

The AIRPRIME INC. PCS CDMA Voice/Data Modem Module FCC ID: PNF-SB3000P for Handspring Visor Handheld PDAs was found to be compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) based on the following test provisions and conditions:

- 1) The EUT was tested in a ear-held configuration placed in the normal operating position with the center of the ear-piece aligned with the ear canal on the phantom.
- 2) The EUT was tested in a hand-held configuration with the front of the EUT placed parallel to, and touching, the outer surface of the planar phantom.
- 3) The EUT was tested in a hand-held configuration with the back of the EUT placed parallel to, and touching, the outer surface of the planar phantom.
- 4) The EUT was tested in a body-worn configuration with the back of the EUT placed parallel to the outer surface of the planar phantom, and with a 2.5cm separation distance.
- 5) The EUT was tested in a body-worn configuration using the leather holster with belt-clip. The front of the EUT was placed parallel to the outer surface of the planar phantom with the belt-clip providing a 2.5cm separation distance from the outer surface of the planar phantom.
- 6) The EUT was tested in all of the above configurations with the Handspring Visor Prism, Visor Edge, and Visor Platinum handheld PDAs.
- 7) The EUT was tested in a body-worn configuration with the Handspring Visor Platinum PDA and plastic holster with belt-clip. The front of the EUT was placed parallel to the outer surface of the planar phantom with the belt-clip providing a 1.3cm separation distance from the outer surface of the planar phantom.
- 8) SAR measurements were evaluated at maximum power and the unit was operated for an appropriate period prior to the evaluation in order to minimize drift. The conducted power levels were checked before and after each test.
- 9) The device was keyed to operate continuously in the transmit mode for the duration of the test.
- 10) The location of the maximum spatial SAR distribution (Hot Spot) was determined relative to the device and its antenna.
- 11) The EUT was tested with a fully charged battery.

## **6.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES**

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) evaluation was performed in the following manner:

- a. (i) The evaluation was performed in the appropriate area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices held to the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated at the center frequency of the band at maximum power. The ear position that produced the greatest SAR determined which side of the phantom would be used for the entire evaluation. FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C dictated the positioning of the ear-held device relative to the phantom.  
(ii) For face-held, hand-held, and body-worn devices, or devices which can be operated within 20cm of the body, the planar section of the phantom was used. The type of device being evaluated determined the distance of the EUT to the outer surface of the planar phantom.
- b. The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY3 software. Upon completion of a reference and optical surface check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 20mm x 20mm.
- c. For frequencies below 500MHz, a 4x4x7 matrix was performed around the greatest spatial SAR distribution found during the area scan of the applicable exposed region. For frequencies above 500MHz, a 5x5x7 matrix was performed. SAR values were then calculated using a 3-D spline interpolation algorithm and averaged over spatial volumes of 1 and 10 grams.
- d. If the EUT had any appreciable drift over the course of the evaluation, then the EUT was re-evaluated. Any unusual anomalies over the course of the test also warranted a re-evaluation.

**7.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS**

<b>EXPOSURE LIMITS</b> (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	<b>SAR</b> (W/Kg)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	1.60
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)	4.00

- Notes: 1. The FCC SAR safety limits specified in the table above apply to devices operated in the General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure environment.  
 2. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

**8.0 SYSTEM VALIDATION**

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified in the planar region of the phantom. For devices operating below 1GHz, an 835MHz or 900MHz dipole was used, depending on the operating frequency of the EUT. For devices operating above 1GHz, an 1800MHz dipole was used. A forward power of 250mW was applied to the dipole and system was verified to a tolerance of  $\pm 3\%$ . The applicable verification(s) is/are as follows (see Appendix B for validation test plot):

<b>Dipole Validation Kit</b>	<b>Target SAR 1g (w/kg)</b>	<b>Measured SAR 1g (w/kg)</b>		
D1800V2	9.32	9.61 (05/14/01)	9.63 (05/15/01)	9.62 (05/16/01)

### 9.0 SIMULATED TISSUES

The 1800MHz brain and muscle mixture consists of Glycol-monobutyl, water, and salt. The fluid was prepared in accordance with standardized procedures, and measured for dielectric parameters (permittivity and conductivity).

INGREDIENT	MIXTURE (%)	
	Brain 1800MHz	Muscle 1800MHz
Water	54.88	69.91
Glycol Monobutyl	44.91	29.96
Salt	0.21	0.13

1800MHz Brain & Muscle Mixture

INGREDIENT	MIXTURE (%)
	1800MHz Brain (Validation)
Water	45.0
Sugar	53.9
Salt	0.0
HEC	0.1
Bactericide	1.0

1800MHz Brain (Validation) Mixture

### 10.0 TISSUE PARAMETERS

The dielectric parameters of the fluids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters of the fluid are as follows:

Equivalent Tissue (1800MHz)	Dielectric Constant $\epsilon_r$	Conductivity $\sigma$ (mho/m)	$\rho$ (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Brain	40.5 ± 5%	1.65 ± 10%	1000
Muscle	54.6 ± 5%	1.39 ± 10%	1000
Brain (Validation)	41.2 ± 5%	1.68 ± 10%	1000

## ***11.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS***

### **Specifications**

**POSITIONER:** Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L  
**Repeatability:** 0.02 mm  
**No. of axis:** 6

### **Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System**

#### **Cell Controller**

**Processor:** Pentium III  
**Clock Speed:** 450 MHz  
**Operating System:** Windows NT  
**Data Card:** DASY3 PC-Board

#### **Data Converter**

**Features:** Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic  
**Software:** DASY3 software  
**Connecting Lines:** Optical downlink for data and status info.  
Optical uplink for commands and clock

### **PC Interface Card**

**Function:** 24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing  
Link to DAE3  
16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system  
serial link to robot  
direct emergency stop output for robot

### **E-Field Probe**

**Model:** ET3DV6  
**Serial No.:** 1387  
**Construction:** Triangular core fiber optic detection system  
**Frequency:** 10 MHz to 6 GHz  
**Linearity:**  $\pm 0.2$  dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

### **Phantom**

**Phantom:** Generic Twin  
**Shell Material:** Fiberglass  
**Thickness:** 2.0  $\pm$  0.1 mm

**12.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST**

<b>SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM</b>		
<u><b>EQUIPMENT</b></u>	<u><b>SERIAL NO.</b></u>	<u><b>CALIBRATION DATE</b></u>
<b>DASY3 System</b> -Robot -ET3DV6 E-Field Probe -DAE -835MHz Validation Dipole -900MHz Validation Dipole -1800MHz Validation Dipole -Generic Twin Phantom V3.0	599396-01 1387 383 411 054 247 N/A	N/A Sept 1999 Sept 1999 Aug 1999 Aug 1999 Aug 1999 N/A
<b>85070C Dielectric Probe Kit</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter</b> -Power Sensor 80701A -Power Sensor 80701A	1835272 1833535 1833542	Oct 1999 Oct 1999 Oct 1999
<b>E4408B Spectrum Analyzer</b>	US39240170	Nov 1999
<b>8594E Spectrum Analyzer</b>	3543A02721	Mar 2000
<b>8753E Network Analyzer</b>	US38433013	Nov 1999
<b>8648D Signal Generator</b>	3847A00611	N/A
<b>5S1G4 Amplifier Research Power Amplifier</b>	26235	N/A



### 13.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

Uncertainty Description	Error	Distribution	Weight	Standard Deviation	Offset
<b>Probe Uncertainty</b>					
Axial isotropy	±0.2 dB	U-Shaped	0.5	±2.4 %	
Spherical isotropy	±0.4 dB	U-Shaped	0.5	±4.8 %	
Isotropy from gradient	±0.5 dB	U-Shaped	0	±	
Spatial resolution	±0.5 %	Normal	1	±0.5 %	
Linearity error	±0.2 dB	Rectangle	1	±2.7 %	
Calibration error	±3.3 %	Normal	1	±3.3 %	
<b>SAR Evaluation Uncertainty</b>					
Data acquisition error	±1 %	Rectangle	1	±0.6 %	
ELF and RF disturbances	±0.25 %	Normal	1	±0.25 %	
Conductivity assessment	±10 %	Rectangle	1	±5.8 %	
<b>Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation Uncertainty</b>					
Extrapolated boundary effect	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %	±5 %
Probe positioning error	±0.1 mm	Normal	1	±1 %	
Integrated and cube orientation	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %	
Cube Shape inaccuracies	±2 %	Rectangle	1	±1.2 %	
Device positioning	±6 %	Normal	1	±6 %	
<b>Combined Uncertainties</b>				±11.7 %	±5 %

Measurement uncertainties in SAR measurements are difficult to quantify due to several variables including biological, physiological, and environmental. However, the estimated measurement uncertainties in SAR are less than 15-25 %.

According to ANSI/IEEE C95.3, the overall uncertainties are difficult to assess and will vary with the type of meter and usage situation. However, accuracy's of ± 1 to 3 dB can be expected in practice, with greater uncertainties in near-field situations and at higher frequencies (shorter wavelengths), or areas where large reflecting objects are present. Under optimum measurement conditions, SAR measurement uncertainties of at least ± 2dB can be expected.

According to CENELEC, typical worst-case uncertainty of field measurements is ± 5 dB. For well-defined modulation characteristics the uncertainty can be reduced to ± 3 dB.

#### **14.0 REFERENCES**

- (1) ANSI, *ANSI/IEEE C95.1: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 Ghz*, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992;
- (2) Federal Communications Commission, “Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields”, OET Bulletin 65, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997;
- (3) Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Neils Kuster, “Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments”, *IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, Vol. 44, pp. 105 – 113, January, 1996.
- (4) Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, “Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision”, *IEICE Transactions of Communications*, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 – 652, May 1997.