

# SAR TEST REPORT

**REPORT NO.:** SA951107L13A

MODEL NO.: Xda Flame

**RECEIVED:** Nov. 28, 2006

**TESTED:** Nov. 29 ~ Dec. 04, 2006

**ISSUED:** Dec. 07, 2006

**APPLICANT:** Arima Communication Corp.

ADDRESS: 6F., No. 866, Jhongjheng Rd., Jhonghe City, Taipei

County 235, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

**ISSUED BY:** Advance Data Technology Corporation

LAB ADDRESS: No. 47, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Tsuen, Lin Kou Hsiang

244, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

TEST LOCATION: No. 19, Hwa Ya 2<sup>nd</sup> Rd., Wen Hwa Tsuen, Kwei

Shan Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C.

This test report consists of 59 pages in total except Appendix. It may be duplicated completely for legal use with the approval of the applicant. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product endorsement by CNLA, A2LA or any government agencies. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.







No.: 2177-01

Report No.: SA951107L13A Reference No.: 951128L09



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	CERTIFICATION	3
2.	GENERAL INFORMATION	4
2.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT	4
2.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS	6
2.3	GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM	6
2.4	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION	9
3.	DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS	. 13
4.	DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS	. 14
4.1	DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION	. 14
4.1.1	TOUCH/CHEEK TEST POSITION	. 15
4.1.2	TILT TEST POSITION	. 16
4.1.3	BODY-WORN CONFIGURATION	. 16
4.2	DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE	. 17
4.3	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	. 19
5.	TEST RESULTS	. 22
5.1	TEST PROCEDURES	. 22
5.2	MEASURED SAR RESULTS	. 24
5.3	SAR LIMITS	. 39
5.4	RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	.40
5.5	TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY	. 46
6.	SYSTEM VALIDATION	.47
6.1	TEST EQUIPMENT	.47
6.2	TEST PROCEDURE	. 48
6.3	VALIDATION RESULTS	
6.4	SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES	. 51
7.	MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES	
7.1	PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY	. 52
7.2	ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY	. 53
7.3	BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY	
7.4	PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY	
7.5	READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY	
7.6	RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY	
7.7	INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY	
7.8	PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE	
7.9	PROBE POSITIONING	
7.10	PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY	
7.11	DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET	
8.	INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES	. 59
	ENDIX A: TEST DATA (WITH CCD FUNCTION)	
	ENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
	ENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION	
	ENDIX D: SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIBRATION ENDIX E: TEST CONFIGURATIONS	
AFFE	INDIA L. ILUI GUNFIGURATIONO	



## 1. CERTIFICATION

**PRODUCT:** 3G Pocket PC phone

(GSM/DCS/PCS/WCDMA/Bluetooth/WLAN)

MODEL: Xda Flame

BRAND: 02

**APPLICANT:** Arima Communication Corp.

**TESTED:** Nov. 29 ~ Dec. 04, 2006

TEST SAMPLE: ENGINEERING SAMPLE

**STANDARDS:** FCC Part 2 (Section 2.1093)

FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01)

**RSS-102** 

IEEE 1528-2003

The above equipment have been tested by **Advance Data Technology Corporation**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's EMC characteristics under the conditions specified in this report.

PREPARED BY : \_\_\_\_\_\_ , DATE: \_\_\_ Dec. 07, 2006

Jessie Wang

TECHNICAL

ACCEPTANCE

Responsible for RF

Stanely Hsu

, DATE

Dec. 07, 2006

APPROVED BY

Gary Chang / Supervisor

, DATE:

Dec. 07, 2006



## 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

## 2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT

PRODUCT	3G Pocket PC phone			
MODEL NO	(GSM/DCS/PCS/WCDMA/Bluetooth/WLAN)  Xda Flame			
MODEL NO. FCC ID				
FCC ID	PJO3600			
POWER SUPPLY	3.70Vdc from rechargeable lithium battery 5.00Vdc from power adapter			
	5.00Vdc from host equipment			
CLASSIFICATION	Portable device, production unit			
MODULATION TYPE	Mobile phone: GMSK, 8PSK for GSM, GPRS, E-GPRS Wireless LAN: CCK, DQPSK, DBPSK for DSSS 64QAM, 16QAM, QPSK, BPSK for OFDM Bluetooth: GFSK, $\pi$ /4-DQPSK, 8DPSK for FHSS			
FREQUENCY RANGE	Tx Frequency: 1850.2MHz ~ 1909.8MHz (PCS band) 2400.0MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz (WLAN & Bluetooth) Rx Frequency: 1930.2MHz ~ 1989.8MHz (PCS band) 2400.0MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz (WLAN & Bluetooth)			
	PCS band: 0.851Watts / 1850.2MHz for channel 512 0.871Watts / 1880.0MHz for channel 661 0.933Watts / 1909.8MHz for channel 810			
CHANNEL FREQUENCIES UNDER TEST AND ITS	WLAN-DSSS (802.11b): 28.314mW / 2412.0MHz for channel 1 28.642mW / 2437.0MHz for channel 6 28.576mW / 2462.0MHz for channel 11			
POWER	WLAN-OFDM (802.11g): 28.510mW / 2412.0MHz for channel 1 28.576mW / 2437.0MHz for channel 6 28.642mW / 2462.0MHz for channel 11			
	Bluetooth: 1.242mW / 2402.0MHz for channel 0 1.183mW / 2441.0MHz for channel 39 1.028mW / 2480.0MHz for channel 78			
MAX. AVERAGE SAR (1g)	Head: 0.461W/kg (PCS band) 0.048W/kg (WLAN- 802.11b) 0.026W/kg (WLAN- 802.11g) 0.00845W/kg (Bluetooth)			



MAX. AVERAGE SAR (1g)	Body: 0.339W/kg (PCS band) 0.036W/kg (WLAN- 802.11b) 0.018W/kg (WLAN- 802.11g) 0.00780W/kg (Bluetooth)
ANTENNA TYPE	PIFA antenna with -4.0dBi gain for PCS1900 Monopole antenna with -6.0dBi gain for WLAN Monopole antenna with -3.0dBi gain for Bluetooth
DATA CABLE	<ul><li>1.2m USB shielded cable without core</li><li>1.0m A/V non-shielded cable without core</li></ul>
I/O PORTS	Refer to user's manual
ASSOCIATED DEVICES	USB CABLE, TV OUT CABLE, HAND FREE

#### NOTE:

- 1. The EUT is a PCS1900 3G Pocket PC phone (GSM/DCS/PCS/WCDMA/Bluetooth/WLAN) with wireless LAN and bluetooth functions.
- 2. The EUT have lithium battery listed as below:

BRAND:	Foxlink
MODEL:	XP-08
RATING:	3.7Vdc, 1620mAh

3. The EUT was operated with following power adapter:

BRAND:	PHIHONG
MODEL:	PSC05R-050
INPUT:	100-240Vac, 50-60Hz, 0.2A,
OUTPUT:	5.0Vdc, 1A MAX
POWER LINE:	DC 1.8m non-shielded cable with one core

- 4. IMEI code: 353095010000506, 353095010000514, 353095010000522, 353095010000530, 353095010000548, 353095010000555, 353095010000563.
- 5. Hardware version: P3C for EP2 stage.
- 6. Software version: 3600\_R1E\_00.
- 7. The EUT may use four frequency bands for mobile function, but because USA only can use the PCS band, therefore only records this frequency band in the report.

Frequency Bands	Tx	Rx		
GSM	880 ~ 915 MHz	925 ~ 960 MHz		
DCS	1710 ~ 1785 MHz	1805 ~ 1880 MHz		
PCS	1850 ~ 1910 MHz	1930 ~ 1990 MHz		
WCDMA	1920 ~ 1980 MHz	2110 ~ 2170 MHz		

8. The above EUT information was declared by manufacturer and for more detailed features description, please refers to the manufacturer's specifications or User's Manual.



### 2.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS

According to the specifications of the manufacturer, this product must comply with the requirements of the following standards:

FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)

FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01)

**RSS-102** 

IEEE 1528-2003

All test items have been performed and recorded as per the above standards.

### 2.3 GENERAL INOFRMATION OF THE SAR SYSTEM

DASY4 (software 4.7 Build 44) consists of high precision robot, probe alignment sensor, phantom, robot controller, controlled measurement server and near-field probe. The robot includes six axes that can move to the precision position of the DASY4 software defined. The DASY4 software can define the area that is detected by the probe. The robot is connected to controlled box. Controlled measurement server is connected to the controlled robot box. The DAE includes amplifier, signal multiplexing, AD converter, offset measurement and surface detection. It is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (ECO). The ECO performs the conversion form the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC.

### **ET3DV6 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE**

**CONSTRUCTION** Symmetrical design with triangular core.

Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system.

Built-in shielding against static charges.

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents,

e.g., glycolether).

**FREQUENCY** 10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

**DYNAMIC RANGE** 5  $\mu$ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity:  $\pm$  0.2 dB

OPTICAL SURFACE

**DETECTION** 

± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse

reflecting surfaces

**DIMENSIONS** Overall length: 330 mm (Tip Length: 16 mm)

Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body diameter: 12 mm)
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm



#### **APPLICATION**

General dosimetric measurements up to 3 GHz Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms (ET3DV6)

#### NOTE:

- 1. The Probe parameters have been calibrated by the SPEAG. Please reference "APPENDIX D" for the Calibration Certification Report.
- 2. For frequencies above 800 MHz, calibration in a rectangular wave-guide is used, because wave-guide size is manageable.
- 3. For frequencies below 800 MHz, temperature transfer calibration is used because the wave-guide size becomes relatively large.

### **TWIN SAM V4.0**

CONSTRUCTION

The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-2003, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.

SHELL THICKNESS 2 ± 0.2 mm

FILLING VOLUME Approx. 25 liters

**DIMENSIONS** Height: 810 mm; Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm

Report No.: SA951107L13A Reference No.: 951128L09



### **SYSTEM VALIDATION KITS:**

Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun

Enables measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA CONSTRUCTION Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating

solutions

Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor

Calibrated SAR value for specified position and input power at the **CALIBRATION** 

flat phantom in brain simulating solutions

**FREQUENCY** 900. 1800. 1900. 2450 MHz

> 20 dB at specified validation position RETURN LOSS

**POWER** CAPABILITY

> 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

Dipoles for other frequencies or solutions and other calibration **OPTIONS** 

conditions upon request

## **DEVICE HOLDER FOR SAM TWIN PHANTOM**

The device holder for the GSM900/DCS1800/PCS1900 GSM/GPRS/CDMA Mobile Phone device is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing

CONSTRUCTION

the angles. The holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\varepsilon$  =3 and loss tangent  $\delta$  =0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered. The device holder for the portable device makes up of the polyethylene foam. The dielectric parameters of material close to the dielectric parameters of the air.



## **DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS**

CONSTRUCTION

sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplex, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe is mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly

#### 2.4 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION

The DASY4 post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the micro-volt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity Norm<sub>i</sub>, a<sub>i0</sub>, a<sub>i1</sub>, a<sub>i2</sub>

 $\hbox{- Conversion factor} \qquad \qquad \hbox{ConvF}_i$ 

- Diode compression point dcpi

Device parameters: - Frequency F

- Crest factor Cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity  $\sigma$ 

- Density  $\rho$ 

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:



$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \bullet \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

 $V_i$  =compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)  $U_i$  =input signal of channel I (i = x, y, z)

Cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter) dcp<sub>i</sub> = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-fieldprobes: 
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_1}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H-fieldprobes: 
$$H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

 $V_i$  =compensated signal of channel I (i = x, y, z)

Norm<sub>i</sub> = sensor sensitivity of channel i  $\mu V/(V/m)2$  for (i = x, y, z)

E-field Probes

ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

a<sub>ii</sub> = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

F = carrier frequency [GHz]

E<sub>i</sub> = electric field strength of channel i in V/mH<sub>i</sub> = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$



SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 $E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m

 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

 $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm3

Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid. The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the Post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1 g and 10 g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- 1. The extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- 2. The calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- 3. The generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- 4. The interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- 5. The extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- 6. The calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1 g and 10 g.

The probe is calibrated at the center of the dipole sensors that is located 1 to 2.7mm away from the probe tip. During measurements, the probe stops shortly above the phantom surface, depending on the probe and the surface detecting system. Both distances are included as parameters in the probe configuration file. The software always knows exactly how far away the measured point is from the surface. As the probe cannot directly measure at the surface, the values between the deepest measured point and the surface must be extrapolated. The angle between the probe axis and the surface normal line is less than 30 degree.

In the Area Scan, the gradient of the interpolation function is evaluated to find all the extreme of the SAR distribution. The uncertainty on the locations of the extreme is less than 1/20 of the grid size. Only local maximum within -2 dB of the global maximum are searched and passed for the Cube Scan measurement. In the Cube Scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the lowest measurement points to the inner phantom surface (the extrapolation distance). The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than



5mm.

The maximum search is automatically performed after each area scan measurement. It is based on splines in two or three dimensions. The procedure can find the maximum for most SAR distributions even with relatively large grid spacing. After the area scanning measurement, the probe is automatically moved to a position at the interpolated maximum. The following scan can directly use this position for reference, e.g., for a finer resolution grid or the cube evaluations. The 1g and 10g peak evaluations are only available for the predefined cube 7 x 7 x 7 scans. The routines are verified and optimized for the grid dimensions used in these cube measurements. The measured volume of 30 x 30 x 30mm contains about 30g of tissue. The first procedure is an extrapolation (incl. boundary correction) to get the points between the lowest measured plane and the surface. The next step uses 3D interpolation to get all points within the measured volume in a 1mm grid (42875 points). In the last step, a 1g cube is placed numerically into the volume and its averaged SAR is calculated. This cube is the moved around until the highest averaged SAR is found. If the highest SAR is found at the edge of the measured volume, the system will issue a warning: higher SAR values might be found outside of the measured volume. In that case the cube measurement can be repeated, using the new interpolated maximum as the center.



## 3. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS

The EUT has been tested as an independent unit together with other necessary accessories or support units. The following support units or accessories were used to form a representative test configuration during the tests.

NO.	PRODUCT	BRAND	MODEL NO.	SERIAL NO.	CALIBRATED UNTIL
1	Universal Radio Communication Tester	R&S	CMU200	104958	Apr. 11, 2007

NO.	SIGNAL CABLE DESCRIPTION OF THE ABOVE SUPPORT UNITS
1	NA

**NOTE:** All power cords of the above support units are non shielded (1.8m).



## 4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS

## 4.1 DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION





FIGURE 3.1




Report No.: SA951107L13A Reference No.: 951128L09




## 4.1.1 TOUCH/CHEEK TEST POSITION

The head position in Figure 3.1, the ear reference points ERP are 15mm above entrance to ear canal along the B-M line. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the B-M (Back Mouth) line. The handset device in Figure 3.1a and 3.1b, The vertical centerline pass through two points on the front side of handset: the midpoint of the width wt of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A) and the midpoint of the width Wb of the bottom of the handset (point B). The vertical centerline is perpendicular to the horizontal line and pass through the center of the acoustic output. The point A touches the ERP and the vertical centerline of the handset is parallel to the B-M line. While maintaining the point A contact with the ear(ERP), rotate the handset about the line NF until any point on handset is in contact with the cheek of the phantom








**TOUCH/CHEEK POSITION FIGURE** 




### 4.1.2 TILT TEST POSITION

Adjust the device in the cheek position. While maintaining a point of the handset contact in the ear, move the bottom of the handset away from the mouth by an angle of 15 degrees.







**TILT POSITION FIGURE** 

### 4.1.3 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATION

The handset device attached the belt clip or the holster. The keypad face of the handset is against with the bottom of the flat phantom face and the bottom of the keypad face contact to the bottom of the flat phantom.

When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.



## 4.2 DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODE

TEST MODE	COMMUNICATION MODE	MODULATION TECHNOLOGY	ASSESSMENT POSTITION	TESTED CHANNEL	REMARK
1		GMSK	A / Cheek	L, M, H	-
2		GMSK	A / Tilt	L, M, H	-
3	PCS 1900	GMSK	B / Cheek	L, M, H	-
4	PCS 1900	GMSK	B / Tilt	L, M, H	-
5		GPRS	C / Bottom	L, M, H	-
6		GPRS	C / Front	Н	-
7		DBPSK	A / Cheek	L, M, H	-
8		DBPSK	A / Tilt	L, M, H	-
9	M/I ANI 902 41h	DBPSK	B / Cheek	L, M, H	-
10	WLAN 802.11b	DBPSK	B / Tilt	L, M, H	-
11		DBPSK	C / Bottom	L, M, H	-
12		DBPSK	C / Front	М	-
13		BPSK	A / Cheek	L, M, H	-
14		BPSK	A / Tilt	L, M, H	-
15	WLAN 802.11g	BPSK	B / Cheek	L, M, H	-
16	WLAIN 602.11g	BPSK	B / Tilt	L, M, H	-
17		BPSK	C / Bottom	L, M, H	-
18		BPSK	C / Front	Н	-
19		FHSS (GFSK)	A / Cheek	L, M, H	-
20		FHSS (GFSK)	A / Tilt	L, M, H	-
21		FHSS (GFSK)	B / Cheek	L, M, H	-
22	Bluetooth	FHSS (GFSK)	B / Tilt	L, M, H	-
23		FHSS (8DPSK)	A / Cheek	L	-
24		FHSS (GFSK)	C / Bottom	L, M, H	-
25		FHSS (GFSK)	C / Front	L	



TEST MODE	COMMUNICATION MODE	MODULATION TECHNOLOGY	ASSESSMENT POSTITION	TESTED CHANNEL	REMARK
26	PCS1900+802.11b+Bluetooth	NOTE 1	A / Cheek	NOTE 1	Co-located
27	GPRS 1900+802.11b+Bluetooth	NOTE 1	C / Bottom	NOTE 1	Co-located

**NOTE:** 1. The combination is from the worst situation of each communication mode.



## 4.3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

## **HEAD POSITION**

PART OF ASSESSMENT	HEAD POSITION						
COMMUNICATION MODE		PCS 1900					
	RIG	НТ	LE	FT			
CHANNEL	CHEEK	CHEEK TILT		TILT			
LOW	0.401	0.302	0.374	0.259			
MIDDLE	0.449 0.334		0.380	0.279			
HIGH	0.461	0.357	0.428	0.304			

**NOTE:** The worst value has been marked by boldface.

PART OF ASSESSMENT				HEAD P	OSITION			
COMMUNICATION MODE	WLAN_802.11b				WLAN_802.11g			
			MEASU	RED VALUE	OF 1g SAR	( W/kg)		
	RIG	RIGHT		LEFT		RIGHT		FT
CHANNEL	CHEEK	TILT	CHEEK	TILT	CHEEK	TILT	CHEEK	TILT
LOW	0.042	0.019	0.039	0.017	0.022	0.011	0.019	0.010
MIDDLE	0.048	0.021	0.041	0.020	0.024	0.011	0.020	0.010
HIGH	0.046	0.020	0.040	0.018	0.026	0.013	0.023	0.012

**NOTE:** The worst value of each communication has been marked by boldface.



PART OF ASSESSMENT		HEAD POSITION						
COMMUNICATION MODE		BLUET	гоотн					
MODULATION		BPSK						
		MEASURED VALUE OF 1g SAR ( W/kg)						
	RIG	ЭНТ	LEFT					
CHANNEL	CHEEK	TILT	CHEEK	TILT				
LOW	0.00845	0.00563	0.00614	0.00484				
MIDDLE	0.00796	0.00488	0.00472	0.00393				
HIGH	0.00741	0.00411	0.00372	0.00336				

**NOTE:** The worst value has been marked by boldface.

PART OF ASSESSMENT		HEAD P	OSITION			
COMMUNICATION MODE		BLUET	гоотн			
MODULATION		8DF	PSK			
		MEASURED VALUE	OF 1g SAR ( W/kg)			
	RIG	ЭНТ	LEFT			
CHANNEL	CHEEK	TILT	CHEEK	TILT		
LOW	0.00548	-	-	-		
MIDDLE	-	-	-	-		
HIGH	-	-	-	-		

**NOTE:** The worst value has been marked by boldface.



## **BODY POSITION**

PART OF ASSESSMENT	BODY POSITION						
COMMUNICATION MODE	PCS 1900						
	MEASURED VALUE OF 1g SAR ( W/kg)						
CHANNEL	BOTTOM FRONT						
LOW	0.299	-					
MIDDLE	0.329	-					
HIGH	0.339	0.187					

**NOTE:** The worst value of each communication has been marked by boldface.

PART OF ASSESSMENT		BODY POSITION								
COMMUNICATION MODE	WLAN_	WLAN_802.11b WLAN_802.11g BLUEOOTH								
		MEASURED VALUE OF 1g SAR ( W/kg)								
CHANNEL	воттом	FRONT	воттом	FRONT	воттом	FRONT				
LOW	0.032	-	0.011	-	0.00780	0.00202				
MIDDLE	0.036	0.012	0.015	-	0.00702	-				
HIGH	0.033	-	0.018	0.00518	0.00503	-				

**NOTE:** The worst value of each communication has been marked by boldface.

## TEST RESULT OF MULTI-BANDS CO-LOCATED ASSESSMENT:

The worst situations has been chosen from the above table, and make up four combinations for the test of co-location listed as below.

TEST MODE	DESCRIPTION	MEASURED VALUE OF 1g SAR ( W/kg)
26	PCS1900 high channel + 802.11b middle channel + Bluetooth low channel	0.461
27	GPRS1900 high channel + 802.11b middle channel + Bluetooth low channel	0.339



### 5. TEST RESULTS

#### 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES

### **FOR GSM:**

The EUT (3G Pocket PC phone (GSM/DCS/PCS/WCDMA/Bluetooth/WLAN)) makes a phone call to the GSM base station. Establish the simulation communication configuration rather the actual communication. Then the EUT could continuous the transmission mode. Adjust the PCL of the base station could controlled the EUT to transmitted the maximum output power. The base station also could control the transmission channel. The SAR value was calculated via the 3D spline interpolation algorithm that has been implemented in the software of DASY4 SAR measurement system manufactured and calibrated by SPEAG. According to the IEEE 1528, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

#### FOR WLAN & BLUETOOTH:

The EUT (3G Pocket PC phone (GSM/DCS/PCS/WCDMA/Bluetooth/WLAN)) use the software to control the EUT channel and transmission power. Then record the conducted power before the testing. Place the EUT to the specific test location. After the testing, must writing down the conducted power of the EUT into the report. The SAR value was calculated via the 3D spline interpolation algorithm that has been implemented in the software of DASY4 SAR measurement system manufactured and calibrated by SPEAG. According to the IEEE 1528 / EN 50361 standards, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- Power reference measurement
- Verification of the power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power reference measurement

The area scan with 15mm x 15mm grid was performed for the highest spatial SAR location. Consist of 11 x 13 points while the scan size is the 150mm x 180mm. The zoom scan with 30mm x 30mm x 30mm volume was performed for SAR value averaged over 1g and 10g spatial volumes.



In the zoom scan, the distance between the measurement point at the probe sensor location (geometric center behind the probe tip) and the phantom surface is 4.0 mm and maintained at a constant distance of  $\pm 1.0$  mm during a zoom scan to determine peak SAR locations. The distance is 4mm between the first measurement point and the bottom surface of the phantom. The secondary measurement point to the bottom surface of the phantom is with 9mm separation distance. The cube size is 7 x 7 x 7 points consist of 343 points and the grid space is 5mm.

The measurement time is 0.5 s at each point of the zoom scan. The probe boundary effect compensation shall be applied during the SAR test. Because of the tip of the probe to the Phantom surface separated distances are longer than half a tip probe diameter.

In the area scan, the separation distance is 4mm between the each measurement point and the phantom surface. The scan size shall be included the transmission portion of the EUT. The measurement time is the same as the zoom scan. At last the reference power drift shall be less than  $\pm 5\%$ .



## 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULTS

## **PCS 1900 BAND RIGHT HEAD POSITION**

	RONMENTA DITION	\L		Air Temperature:22.6°C, Liquid Temperature:21.7°C Humidity:60%RH								
TEST	TESTED BY			Onn		DAT	E	Nov. 29,	2006			
CHAN	FREQ. (MHz)		ODE	CONDUCTE	POWER (W)	POWER	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED			
CHAN.	. FREQ. (MHz)	IVI	ODE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER	POSITION MODE	1g SAR (W/kg)			
512	1850.20 (Low)	G	MSK	0.851	0.845	-0.71	Standard Battery	1	0.401			
661	1880.00 (Mid.)	GMSK		0.871	0.863	-0.92	Standard Battery	1	0.449			
810	1909.80 (High)	G	MSK	0.933	0.923	-1.07	Standard Battery	1	0.461			
512	1850.20 (Low)	G	MSK	0.851	0.838	-1.53	Standard Battery	2	0.302			
661	1880.00 (Mid.)	G	MSK	0.871	0.854	-1.95	Standard Battery	2	0.334			
810	1909.80 (High)	G	MSK	0.933	0.914	-2.04	Standard Battery	2	0.357			

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over  ${f 1g}$ ,  ${f 1.6W/kg}$ , is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



## **PCS 1900 BAND LEFT HEAD POSITION**

	RONMENTA DITION	\L		Air Temperature:22.6°C, Liquid Temperature:21.7°C Humidity:60%RH								
TEST	TESTED BY			)nn		DATE	<b>E</b>	Nov. 29,	2006			
СПУИ	EDEO (MH-)	M	ODE	CONDUCTED	POWER (W)	POWER	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED			
CHAN.	I. FREQ. (MHz)	IVI	ODE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER	POSITION MODE	1g SAR (W/kg)			
512	1850.20 (Low)	G	MSK	0.851	0.841	-1.18	Standard Battery	3	0.374			
661	1880.00 (Mid.)	G	MSK	0.871	0.858	-1.49	Standard Battery	3	0.380			
810	1909.80 (High)	G	MSK	0.933	0.919	-1.50	Standard Battery	3	0.428			
512	1850.20 (Low)	G	MSK	0.851	0.832	-2.23	Standard Battery	4	0.259			
661	1880.00 (Mid.)	G	MSK	0.871	0.849	-2.53	Standard Battery	4	0.279			
810	1909.80 (High)	G	MSK	0.933	0.907	-2.79	Standard Battery	4	0.304			

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



## **PCS 1900 BAND BODY POSITION**

	RONMENTA DITION	L	Air Temperature:22.1°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:63%RH								
TEST	ED BY		Sam C	)nn			DATI	<b>=</b>	Nov. 29,	2006	
CHAN.	FREQ. (MHz)	М	ODE	CONDUCTED	POWER (W)	POWER DRIFT (%)		DEVICE USE POWER	DEVICE TEST POSITION	MEASURED 1g SAR	
				BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST		` '		MODE	(W/kg)	
512	1850.20 (Low)	G	MSK	0.832	0.826	-0.7	72	Standard Battery	5	0.299	
661	1880.00 (Mid.)	G	MSK	0.871	0.864	-0.8	80	Standard Battery	5	0.329	
810	1909.80 (High)	G	MSK	0.891	0.881	-1.1	12	Standard Battery	5	0.339	
810	1909.80 (High)	G	MSK	0.891	0.877	-1.5	57	Standard Battery	6	0.187	

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



## WLAN band (802.11b) RIGHT head position

	RONMEN'	TAL		Air Temperature:22.5°C, Liquid Temperature:21.4°C Humidity:61%RH								
TESTED BY			Sam C	)nn		DATE	Ē	Nov. 30,	2006			
CHAN.	FREQ.	MODUI	LATION		ED POWER nW)	POWER	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST POSITION MODE	MEASURED 1g SAR			
CHAN.	(MHz)	TY	PE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER		(W/kg)			
1	2412.00 (Low)	DB	PSK	28.314	28.024	-1.02	Standard Battery	7	0.042			
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	DBPSK		28.642	28.268	-1.31	Standard Battery	7	0.048			
11	2462.00 (High)	DB	PSK	28.576	28.107	-1.64	Standard Battery	7	0.046			
1	2412.00 (Low)	DB	PSK	28.314	27.965	-1.23	Standard Battery	8	0.019			
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	DBPSK		28.642	28.142	-1.75	Standard Battery	8	0.021			
11	2462.00 (High)	DB	PSK	28.576	28.029	-1.91	Standard Battery	8	0.020			

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- ${\it 4. The \ variation \ of the EUT \ conducted \ power \ measured \ before \ and \ after \ SAR \ testing \ should \ not \ over \ 5\%.}$



## WLAN BAND (802.11b) LEFT HEAD POSITION

	RONMEN' DITION	TAL		Air Temperature:22.5°C, Liquid Temperature:21.4°C Humidity:61%RH								
TEST	ED BY		Sam C	)nn		DATE	≣	Nov. 30,	2006			
CHAN.	FREQ.	MODUI	_ATION		ED POWER NW)	POWER	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST POSITION MODE	MEASURED			
CHAN.	(MHz)	TY	PE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER		1g SAR (W/kg)			
1	2412.00 (Low)	DBI	PSK	28.314	27.965	-1.23	Standard Battery	9	0.039			
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	DBPSK		28.642	28.214	-1.49	Standard Battery	9	0.041			
11	2462.00 (High)	DBI	PSK	28.576	28.035	-1.89	Standard Battery	9	0.040			
1	2412.00 (Low)	DBI	PSK	28.314	27.863	-1.59	Standard Battery	10	0.017			
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	DBPSK		28.642	28.086	-1.94	Standard Battery	10	0.020			
11	2462.00 (High)	DBI	PSK	28.576	27.986	-2.06	Standard Battery	10	0.018			

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- ${\it 4. The \ variation \ of the EUT \ conducted \ power \ measured \ before \ and \ after \ SAR \ testing \ should \ not \ over \ 5\%.}$



## WLAN BAND (802.11b) BAND BODY POSITION

	RONMEN DITION	TAL	Air Temperature:22.1°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:62%RH								
TESTI	ED BY		Sam C	)nn			DATI	<b>=</b>	Dec. 04,	2006	
CHAN.	AN. FREQ. M		LATION		ED POWER IW)	POW		DEVICE USE	_	MEASURED 1g SAR	
			PE .	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)		POWER	POSITION MODE	(W/kg)	
1	2412.00 (Low)	DB	PSK	28.314	28.054	-0.9	92	Standard Battery	11	0.032	
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	DB	PSK	28.642	28.276	-1.2	28	Standard Battery	11	0.036	
11	2462.00 (High)	DB	PSK	28.576	28.162	-1.4	45	Standard Battery	11	0.033	
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	DB	PSK	28.642	28.035	-2.	12	Standard Battery	12	0.012	

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



## WLAN BAND (802.11g) RIGHT HEAD POSITION

	RONMEN DITION	TAL		Air Temperature:22.5°C, Liquid Temperature:21.4°C Humidity:61%RH								
TEST	ED BY		Sam C	)nn		DATE	≣	Nov. 30,	Nov. 30, 2006			
CHAN.	FREQ.		_ATION		ED POWER nW)	-	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED 1g SAR			
	(MHz)	TY	PE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER	POSITION MODE	(W/kg)			
1	2412.00 (Low)	ВР	SK	28.510	28.148	-1.27	Standard Battery	13	0.022			
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	ВР	SK	28.576	28.123	-1.59	Standard Battery	13	0.024			
11	2462.00 (High)	ВР	esk	28.642	28.167	-1.66	Standard Battery	13	0.026			
1	2412.00 (Low)	ВР	SK	28.510	28.067	-1.55	Standard Battery	14	0.011			
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	врѕк		28.576	28.088	-1.71	Standard Battery	14	0.011			
11	2462.00 (High)	ВР	SK	28.642	28.104	-1.88	Standard Battery	14	0.013			

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- ${\it 4. The \ variation \ of the EUT \ conducted \ power \ measured \ before \ and \ after \ SAR \ testing \ should \ not \ over \ 5\%.}$



## WLAN BAND (802.11g) LEFT HEAD POSITION

	RONMEN	TAL	Air Temperature:22.5°C, Liquid Temperature:21.4°C Humidity:61%RH								
TEST	ED BY		Sam C	)nn		DATE	≣	Nov. 30, 2006			
CHAN.	FREQ.	MODUI	_ATION		ED POWER NW)	POWER	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED		
CHAN.	(MHz)	TY	PE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER	POSITION MODE	1g SAR (W/kg)		
1	2412.00 (Low)	BPSK		28.510	28.077	-1.52	Standard Battery	15	0.019		
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	BPSK		28.576	28.096	-1.68	Standard Battery	15	0.020		
11	2462.00 (High)	BPSK		28.642	28.115	-1.84	Standard Battery	15	0.023		
1	2412.00 (Low)	BPSK		28.510	27.975	-1.88	Standard Battery	16	0.010		
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	BPSK		28.576	28.013	-1.97	Standard Battery	16	0.010		
11	2462.00 (High)	ВР	sĸ	28.642	28.041	-2.10	Standard Battery	16	0.012		

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- ${\it 4. The \ variation \ of the EUT \ conducted \ power \ measured \ before \ and \ after \ SAR \ testing \ should \ not \ over \ 5\%.}$



## WLAN BAND (802.11g) BODY POSITION

	RONMEN DITION	TAL	Air Temperature:22.1°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:62%RH									
TEST	ED BY		Sam C	DATE			Dec. 04, 2006					
CHAN.	FREQ.		_ATION	CONDUCTED POWER (mW)		POWE		DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED 1g SAR		
	(MHz)	TY	PE	E BEGIN TEST AFTER TEST		POWER	POSITION MODE	(W/kg)				
1	2412.00 (Low)	врѕк		BPSK		28.510	27.991	-1.82		Standard Battery	17	0.011
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	врѕк		28.576	27.987	-2.06		Standard Battery	17	0.015		
11	2462.00 (High)	BPSK		28.642	28.016	-2.19		Standard Battery	17	0.018		
11	2462.00 (High)	ВР	SK	28.642	27.952	-2.41		Standard Battery	18	0.00518		

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A for the data.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



## **BLUETOOTH BAND RIGHT HEAD POSITION**

	RONMENTA DITION	L	Air Temperature:22.7°C, Liquid Temperature:21.7°C Humidity:58%RH									
TESTED BY			Sam C	)nn		DATE	Ē	Dec. 01, 2006				
CHAN	FREQ. (MHz)	М	ODE		ED POWER nW)	_	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED 1g SAR (W/kg)			
OHAN.	1 N.E. (11112)		ODL	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER	POSITION MODE				
0	2402.00 (Low)	F	HSS	1.242	1.234	-0.64	Standard Battery	19	0.00845			
39	2441.00 (Mid.)	F	HSS	1.183	1.174	-0.76	-0.76 Standard Battery		0.00796			
78	2480.00 (High)	F	HSS	1.028	1.021	-0.68	Standard Battery	19	0.00741			
0	2402.00 (Low)	F	HSS	1.242	1.231	-0.89	Standard Battery	20	0.00563			
39	2441.00 (Mid.)	F	HSS	1.183	1.170	-1.10	Standard Battery	20	0.00488			
78	2480.00 (High)	F	HSS	1.028	1.017	-1.07	Standard Battery	20	0.00411			

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



## **BLUETOOTH BAND LEFT HEAD POSITION**

	RONMENTA DITION	L	Air Temperature:22.7°C, Liquid Temperature:21.7°C Humidity:58%RH								
TESTED BY			Sam C	)nn		DATE	≣	Dec. 01, 2006			
CHAN	FREQ. (MHz)	M	ODE	CONDUCTED POWER (mW)		POWER	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED		
CHAN.	FREQ. (WINZ)	IVI	ODE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER	POSITION MODE	1g SAR (W/kg)		
0	2402.00 (Low)	F	HSS	1.242	1.237	-0.40	Standard Battery	21	0.00614		
39	2441.00 (Mid.)	FHSS		1.183	1.179	-0.34	Standard Battery	21	0.00472		
78	2480.00 (High)	F	HSS	1.028	1.023	-0.49	Standard Battery	21	0.00372		
0	2402.00 (Low)	F	HSS	1.242	1.233	-0.72	Standard Battery	22	0.00484		
39	2441.00 (Mid.)	F	HSS	1.183	1.174	-0.76	Standard Battery	22	0.00393		
78	2480.00 (High)	F	HSS	1.028	1.020	-0.78	Standard Battery	22	0.00336		

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



## **BLUETOOTH BAND RIGHT HEAD POSITION**

			Air Temperature:22.7°C, Liquid Temperature:21.7°C Humidity:58%RH								
TESTED BY			Sam Onn				DATE	<b>E</b>	Dec. (	Dec. 01, 2006	
CHAN	EDEO (MU-)		IODE		CONDUCTED POWER (mW)		VER	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED	
CHAN.	FREQ. (MHz)	HZ) IV	ODE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST		T (%)	POWER	POSITIO MODE	1g SAR (W/kg)	
0	2402.00 (Low)	F	HSS	1.081	1.073	-0.	.74	Standard Battery	23	0.00548	

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over  ${\bf 1g}$ ,  ${\bf 1.6W/kg}$ , is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



## **BLUETOOTH BAND BODY POSITION**

	RONMENTA DITION	L	Air Temperature:22.1°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:62%RH									
TEST	ED BY		Sam C	)nn		DATE				Dec. 04, 2006		
CHAN	FREQ. (MHz)	м	ODE	CONDUCTED POWER (mW)		POV		DEVICE USE		DEVICE TEST	MEASURED 1g SAR	
	()		002	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)		POWER	POSITION MODE		(W/kg)	
0	2402.00 (Low)	FHSS		1.242	1.230	-0.	.97	Standard Battery		24	0.00780	
39	2441.00 (Mid.)	FHSS		1.183	1.173	-0.	.85	Standard Battery		24	0.00702	
78	2480.00 (High)	FHSS		1.028	1.018	-0.	.97	Standard Battery		24	0.00503	
0	2402.00 (Low)	F	HSS	1.242	1.227	-1.	.21	Standard Battery		25	0.00202	

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- ${\it 4. The \ variation \ of the EUT \ conducted \ power \ measured \ before \ and \ after \ SAR \ testing \ should \ not \ over \ 5\%.}$



# PCS 1900 + WLAN (802.11b) + BLUETOOTH BAND RIGHT HEAD (CHEEK) POSITION

	RONMENTA DITION	۱L	Air Temperature:22.6°C, Liquid Temperature:21.7°C Humidity:58%RH							
TESTI	ED BY		Sam C	)nn		DATE	<b>E</b>	Nov. 29	9, 2006	
СПУИ			ODE	CONDUCT	ED POWER	POWER	DEVICE USE	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED 1g SAR	
CHAN.	CHAN. FREQ. (MHz)	IVI	ODE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (%)	POWER	POSITION MODE	(W/kg)	
810	1909.80 (High)	G	MSK	0.933 W	0.923 W	-1.07				
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	DI	BPSK	28.642 mW	28.268 mW	-1.31	Standard Battery	26	0.461	
0	2402.00 (Low)	FHSS		1.242 mw	1.234 mW	-0.64				

### NOTE:

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, 1.6W/kg, is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- ${\it 4. The \ variation \ of the EUT \ conducted \ power \ measured \ before \ and \ after \ SAR \ testing \ should \ not \ over \ 5\%.}$



# GPRS 1900 + WLAN (802.11b) + BLUETOOTH BAND BODY POSITION

	RONMENTA DITION	\L		Air Temperature:22.1°C, Liquid Temperature:21.0°C Humidity:62%RH							
TESTI	ED BY		Sam C	)nn		D.	ATE			Nov. 29, 2006	
CHAN			ODE	CONDUCT	ED POWER	POWE	:R	DEVICE USE	_	DEVICE TEST	MEASURED
CHAN.	CHAN. FREQ. (MHz)	MODE	ODE	BEGIN TEST	AFTER TEST	DRIFT (	(%)	POWER		OSITION MODE	1g SAR (W/kg)
810	1909.80 (High)	G	MSK	0.891 w	0.881 W	-1.12	2	Standard Battery			0.339
6	2437.00 (Mid.)	DE	BPSK	28.642 mw	28.276 mW	-1.28	3			27	
0	2402.00 (Low)	F	HSS	1.242 mw	1.230 mW	-0.97	7				

#### NOTE:

- 1. Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.
- 2. In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over  ${\bf 1g}$ ,  ${\bf 1.6W/kg}$ , is applied.
- 3. Please see the Appendix A-1 for the data, and Appendix E for the photo of the test configuration.
- 4. The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%.



# 5.3 SAR LIMITS

	SAR (	(W/kg)
HUMAN EXPOSURE	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average ( whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over 1 g)	1.6	8.0
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0

### NOTE:

- 1. This limits accord to 47 CFR 2.1093 Safety Limit.
- 2. The EUT property been complied with the partial body exposure limit under the general population environment.



### 5.4 RECIPES FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom, the phantom must be filled with 25 litters of tissue simulation liquid.

The following ingredients are used:

• WATER- Deionized water (pure H20), resistivity \_16 M - as basis for the liquid

• SUGAR- Refined sugar in crystals, as available in food shops - to reduce relative

permittivity

• **SALT-** Pure NaCl - to increase conductivity

• CELLULOSE- Hydroxyethyl-cellulose, medium viscosity (75-125 mPa.s, 2% in water,

20\_C),

CAS # 54290 - to increase viscosity and to keep sugar in solution

• PRESERVATIVE- Preventol D-7 Bayer AG, D-51368 Leverkusen, CAS # 55965-84-9 - to

prevent the spread of bacteria and molds

• **DGMBE-** Diethylenglycol-monobuthyl ether (DGMBE), Fluka Chemie GmbH,

CAS # 112-34-5 - to reduce relative permittivity

#### THE RECIPES FOR 1900MHz SIMULATING LIQUID TABLE

INGREDIENT	HEAD SIMULATING LIQUID 1900MHz (HSL-1900)	MUSCLE SIMULATING LIQUID 1900MHz (MSL-1900)
Water	55.24%	70.16%
DGMBE	44.45%	29.44%
Salt	0.306%	00.39%
Dielectric Parameters at 22°C	f= 1900MHz ε= 40.0 ± 5% $\sigma$ = 1.40 ± 5% S/m	f= 1900MHz ε= 53.3 ± 5% $\sigma$ = 1.52 ± 5% S/m



# THE RECIPES FOR 2450MHz SIMULATING LIQUID TABLE

INGREDIENT	HEAD SIMULATING LIQUID 2450MHz (HSL-2450)	MUSCLE SIMULATING LIQUID 2450MHz (MSL-2450)
Water	45%	69.83%
DGMBE	55%	30.17%
Salt	NA	NA
Dielectric Parameters at 22°C	f= 2450MHz ε= 39.2 ± 5% $\sigma$ = 1.80 ± 5% S/m	f= 2450MHz ε= 52.7 ± 5% σ= 1.95 ± 5% S/m



Testing the liquids using the Agilent Network Analyzer E8358A and Agilent Dielectric Probe Kit 85070D. The testing procedure is following as

- 1. Turn Network Analyzer on and allow at least 30 min. warm up.
- 2. Mount dielectric probe kit so that interconnecting cable to Network Analyzer will not be moved during measurements or calibration.
- 3. Pour de-ionized water and measure water temperature (±1°).
- 4. Set water temperature in Agilent-Software (Calibration Setup).
- 5. Perform calibration.
- 6. Validate calibration with dielectric material of known properties (e.g. polished ceramic slab with >8mm thickness  $\epsilon$ '=10.0,  $\epsilon$ "=0.0). If measured parameters do not fit within tolerance, repeat calibration (±0.2 for  $\epsilon$ ': ±0.1 for  $\epsilon$ ").
- 7. Conductivity can be calculated from  $\varepsilon$ " by  $\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon$ " = $\varepsilon$ " f [GHz] / 18.
- 8. Measure liquid shortly after calibration. Repeat calibration every hour.
- 9. Stir the liquid to be measured. Take a sample (~50ml) with a syringe from the center of the liquid container.
- 10. Pour the liquid into a small glass flask. Hold the syringe at the bottom of the flask to avoid air bubbles.
- 11. Put the dielectric probe in the glass flask. Check that there are no air bubbles in front of the opening in the dielectric probe kit.
- 12. Perform measurements.
- 13. Adjust medium parameters in DASY4 for the frequencies necessary for the measurements ('Setup Config', select medium (e.g. Brain 900 MHz) and press 'Option'-button.

Select the current medium for the frequency of the validation (e.g. Setup Medium Brain 900 MHz).



# FOR PCS BAND SIMULATING LIQUID

LIQUID T	YPE	HSL-	-1900	MSL	-1900
SIMULAT	ING LIQUID	2	1.7	2	1.0
TESTED I	DATE	Nov. 2	9, 2006	Nov. 2	9, 2006
TESTED I	ВҮ	Sam	Onn	Sam	Onn
FREQ. (MHz)	LIQUID PARAMETER	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE
1850.2		40.00	39.00	53.30	52.00
1880.0	Permitivity	40.00	38.90	53.30	52.00
1900.0	(ε)	40.00	38.80	53.30	51.90
1909.8		40.00	38.80	53.30	51.90
1850.2	Conductivity	1.400	1.36	1.520	1.46
1880.0	Conductivity $(\sigma)$	1.400	1.39	1.520	1.49
1900.0	S/m	1.400	1.41	1.520	1.52
1909.8	5/111	1.400	1.42	1.520	1.53
	ic Parameters ired at 22℃	ε= 40.	00MHz 0 ± 5% ± 5% S/m	ε= 53.	00MHz 3 ± 5% ± 5% S/m



# FOR WLAN BAND SIMULATING LIQUID

LIQUID T	YPE	HSL-	-2450	MSL	-2450
SIMULAT	ING LIQUID	2	1.4	2	1.0
TEST DAT	ΓΕ	Nov. 3	0, 2006	Dec. 0	4, 2006
TESTED I	ВҮ	Sam	Onn	Sam	Onn
FREQ. (MHz)	LIQUID PARAMETER	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE
2412.0		39.268	39.00	52.75	50.50
2437.0	Permitivity	39.223	39.00	52.72	50.40
2450.0	(ε)	39.20	38.90	52.70	50.40
2462.0		39.185	38.90	52.68	50.30
2412.0	Conductivity	1.766	1.80	1.914	1.94
2437.0	Conductivity $(\sigma)$	1.788	1.82	1.938	1.97
2450.0	S/m	1.800	1.84	1.950	1.99
2462.0	0,111	1.813	1.85	1.967	2.01
	ic Parameters ired at 22℃	ε= 39.	50MHz 2 ± 5% ± 5% S/m	ε= 52.	50MHz 7 ± 5% ± 5% S/m

Report No.: SA951107L13A Reference No.: 951128L09



# FOR BLUETOOTH BAND SIMULATING LIQUID

LIQUID T	YPE	HSL-	-2450	MSL	-2450
SIMULAT	ING LIQUID	21	1.7	2	1.0
TEST DAT	ΓΕ	Dec. 0	1, 2006	Dec. 0	4, 2006
TESTED I	ВҮ	Sam	Onn	Sam	ı Onn
FREQ. (MHz)	LIQUID PARAMETER	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE	STANDARD VALUE	MEASUREMENT VALUE
2402.0		39.28	38.60	52.76	50.50
2441.0	Permitivity	39.21	38.40	52.71	50.40
2450.0	(ε)	39.20	38.40	52.70	50.40
2480.0		39.16	38.30	52.66	50.30
2402.0	Conductivity	1.750	1.79	1.904	1.93
2441.0	Conductivity $(\sigma)$	1.790	1.83	1.941	1.98
2450.0	S/m	1.800	1.84	1.950	1.99
2480.0	0,	1.830	1.88	1.993	2.04
	ic Parameters ired at 22℃	ε= 39.	50MHz 2 ± 5% ± 5% S/m	ε= 52.	50MHz 7 ± 5% ± 5% S/m

Report No.: SA951107L13A Reference No.: 951128L09



# 5.5 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY

ITEM	NAME	BAND	TYPE	SERIES NO.	CALIBRATED UNTIL
1	Network Analyzer	Agilent	E8358A	US41480538	Nov. 06, 2007
2	Dielectric Probe	Agilent	85070D	US01440176	NA

#### NOTE:

- 1. Before testing the measurement, all test equipment shall have 30 min warm up.
- 2. The tolerance (k=1) specified by Agilent for general dielectric measurements, deriving from inaccuracies in the calibration data, analyzer drift, and random errors, are usually ±2.5% and ±5% for measured permittivity and conductivity, respectively. However, the tolerances for the conductivity is smaller for material with large loss tangents, i.e., less than ±2.5% (k=1). It can be substantially smaller if more accurate methods are applied.

Report No.: SA951107L13A Reference No.: 951128L09



# 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION

The system validation was performed in the flat phantom with equipment listed in the following table. Since the SAR value is calculated from the measured electric field, dielectric constant and conductivity of the body tissue and the SAR is proportional to the square of the electric field. So, the SAR value will be also proportional to the RF power input to the system validation dipole under the same test environment. In our system validation test, 250mW RF input power was used.

### **6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT**

ITEM	NAME	BAND	TYPE	SERIES NO.	CALIBRATED UNTIL
1	SAM Phantom	S&P	QD000 P40 CA	PT-1150	NA
2	Signal Generator	Agilent	E8257C	MY43320668	Dec. 07, 2006
3	E-Field Probe	S&P	ET3DV4	3578	Mar. 19, 2007
4	DAE	S&P	DAE3 V1	579	Mar. 14, 2007
6	Robot Positioner	Staubli Unimation	NA	NA	NA
7	Validation Dipole	S&P	D1900V2	5d036	Apr. 27, 2007
,	validation Dipole	S&P	D2450V2	737	Apr. 26, 2007

**NOTE:** Before starting the measurement, all test equipment shall be warmed up for 30min.



#### 6.2 TEST PROCEDURE

Before you start the system performance check, need only to tell the system with which components (probe, medium, and device) are performing the system performance check; the system will take care of all parameters. The dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM Twin Phantom with the correct distance holder in place. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking (little cross) and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. Accurate positioning is not necessary, since the system will search for the peak SAR location, except that the dipole arms should be parallel to the surface. The device holder for Tri-Band GSM900/DCS1800/PCS1900 GSM/GPRS/CDMA Mobile Phones can be left in place but should be rotated away from the dipole.

- 1.The "Power Reference Measurement" and "Power Drift Measurement" jobs are located at the beginning and end of the batch process. They measure the field drift at one single point in the liquid over the complete procedure. The indicated drift is mainly the variation of the amplifier output power. If it is too high (above  $\pm 0.1$  dB), the system performance check should be repeated; some amplifiers have very high drift during warm-up. A stable amplifier gives drift results in the DASY system below  $\pm 0.02$  dB.
- 2.The "Surface Check" job tests the optical surface detection system of the DASY system by repeatedly detecting the surface with the optical and mechanical surface detector and comparing the results. The output gives the detecting heights of both systems, the difference between the two systems and the standard deviation of the detection repeatability. Air bubbles or refraction in the liquid due to separation of the sugar-water mixture gives poor repeatability (above  $\pm 0.1$ mm). In that case it is better to abort the system performance check and stir the liquid. The difference between the optical surface detection and the actual surface depends on the probe and is specified with each probe. (It does not depend on the surface reflectivity or the probe angle to the surface within  $\pm 30^{\circ}$ .) However, varying breaking indices of different liquid compositions might also influence the distance. If the indicated difference varies from the actual setting, the probe parameter "optical surface



- 3. The "Area Scan" job measures the SAR above the dipole on a plane parallel to the surface. It is used to locate the approximate location of the peak SAR. The proposed scan uses large grid spacing for faster measurement; due to the symmetric field, the peak detection is reliable. If a finer graphic is desired, the grid spacing can be reduced. Grid spacing and orientation have no influence on the SAR result.
- 4. The "Zoom Scan" job measures the field in a volume around the peak SAR value assessed in the previous "Area Scan" job (for more information see the application note on SAR evaluation).

About the validation dipole positioning uncertainty, the constant and low loss dielectric spacer is used to establish the correct distance between the top surface of the dipole and the bottom surface of the phantom, the error component introduced by the uncertainty of the distance between the liquid (i.e., phantom shell) and the validation dipole in the DASY4 system is less than ±0.1mm.

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = 100 \times (\frac{(a+d)^2}{a^2} - 1)$$

As the closest distance is 10mm, the resulting tolerance SAR<sub>tolerance</sub>[%] is <2%.



# **6.3 VALIDATION RESULTS**

	SYSTEM VALIDATION TEST OF SIMULATING LIQUID							
FREQUENCY (MHz)	REQUIRED SAR (mW/g)	MEASURED SAR (mW/g)	DEVIATION (%)	SEPARATION DISTANCE	TESTED DATE			
HSL 1900	9.61 (1g)	9.82	2.19	10mm	Nov. 29, 2006			
MSL 1900	9.96 (1g)	10.00	0.40	10mm	Nov. 29, 2006			
HSL 2450 (WLAN)	13.30 (1g)	13.60	2.26	10mm	Nov. 30, 2006			
HSL 2450 (Bluetooth)	13.30 (1g)	13.50	1.50	10mm	Dec. 01, 2006			
MSL 2450 (WLAN & Bluetooth)	13.90 (1g)	14.20	2.16	10mm	Dec. 04, 2006			
TESTED BY	Sam Onn							

**NOTE:** Please sees Appendix for the photo of system validation test.



# 6.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION UNCERTAINTIES

In the table below, the system validation uncertainty with respect to the analytically assessed SAR value of a dipole source as given in the IEEE 1528 standard is given. This uncertainty is smaller than the expected uncertainty for mobile phone measurements due to the simplified setup and the symmetric field distribution.

Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(0	C <sub>i</sub> )	Uncer	dard tainty %)	(v <sub>i</sub> )
	( 12)			(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)	
	Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	4.8	Normal	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	$\infty$
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0	0	8
Boundary effect	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	$\infty$
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	8
Response Time	0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0	0	8
Integration Time	0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0	0	$\infty$
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	8
Probe Positioner	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	8
Probe positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	8
Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
		Dipol	е					
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2	$\infty$
Input power and SAR drift measurement	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	$\infty$
	ļ	Phantom and Tiss	ue Parame	ters				
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	8
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	8
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	8
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	8
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	2.5	Normal	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	$\infty$
	Combined S	Standard Uncertair	nty			8.4	8.1	8
	Coverag	e Factor for 95%					kp=2	
	Expanded	Uncertainty (K=2)				16.8	16.2	

**NOTE:** About the system validation uncertainty assessment, please reference the section 7.



# 7. MEASUREMENT SAR PROCEDURE UNCERTAINTIES

The assessment of spatial peak SAR of the hand handheld devices is according to IEEE 1528. All testing situation shall be met below these requirements.

- The system is used by an experienced engineer who follows the manual and the guidelines taught during the training provided by SPEAG.
- The probe has been calibrated within the requested period and the stated uncertainty for the relevant frequency bands does not exceed 4.8% (k=1).
- The validation dipole has been calibrated within the requested period and the system performance check has been successful.
- The DAE unit has been calibrated within the within the requested period.
- The minimum distance between the probe sensor and inner phantom shell is selected to be between 4 and 5mm.
- The operational mode of the DUT is CW, CDMA, FDMA or TDMA (GSM, DCS, PCS, IS136 and PDC) and the measurement/integration time per point is >500 ms.
- The dielectric parameters of the liquid have been assessed using Agilent 85070D dielectric probe kit or a more accurate method.
- The dielectric parameters are within 5% of the target values.
- The DUT has been positioned as described in section 3.

### 7.1 PROBE CALIBRATION UNCERTAINTY

SPEAG conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE 1528, EN 50361, IEC 62209, etc.) under ISO17025. The uncertainties are stated on the calibration certificate. For the most relevant frequency bands, these values do not exceed 4.8% (k=1). If evaluations of other bands are performed for which the uncertainty exceeds these values, the uncertainty tables given in the summary have to be revised accordingly.



#### 7.2 ISOTROPY UNCERTAINTY

The axial isotropy tolerance accounts for probe rotation around its axis while the hemispherical isotropy error includes all probe orientations and field polarizations. These parameters are assessed by SPEAG during initial calibration. In 2001, SPEAG further tightened its quality controls and warrants that the maximal deviation from axial isotropy is  $\pm 0.20$  dB, while the maximum deviation of hemispherical isotropy is  $\pm 0.40$  dB, corresponding to  $\pm 4.7\%$  and  $\pm 9.6\%$ , respectively. A weighting factor of cp equal to 0.5 can be applied, since the axis of the probe deviates less than 30 degrees from the normal surface orientation.

### 7.3 BOUNDARY EFFECT UNCERTAINTY

The effect can be estimated according to the following error approximation formula

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] = SAR_{be}[\%] \times \frac{(d_{be} + d_{step})^{2}}{2d_{step}} \frac{e^{\frac{-d_{be}}{\delta/2}}}{\delta/2}$$

$$d_{be} + d_{step} < 10mm$$

The parameter  $d_{be}$  is the distance in mm between the surface and the closest measurement point used in the averaging process;  $d_{step}$  is the separation distance in mm between the first and second measurement points;  $\delta$  is the minimum penetration depth in mm within the head tissue equivalent liquids (i.e.,  $\delta$ = 13.95 mm at 3GHz); SAR<sub>be</sub> is the deviation between the measured SAR value at the distance  $d_{be}$  from the boundary and the wave-guide analytical value SAR<sub>ref</sub>.DASY4 applies a boundary effect compensation algorithm according to IEEE 1528, which is possible since the axis of the probe never deviates more than 30 degrees from the normal surface orientation. SAR<sub>be</sub>[%] is assessed during the calibration process and SPEAG warrants that the uncertainty at distances larger than 4mm is always less than 1%.In summary, the worst case boundary effect SAR tolerance[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is <  $\pm$  0.8%.



#### 7.4 PROBE LINEARITY UNCERTAINTY

Field probe linearity uncertainty includes errors from the assessment and compensation of the diode compression effects for CW and pulsed signals with known duty cycles. This error is assessed using the procedure described in IEEE 1528. For SPEAG field probes, the measured difference between CW and pulsed signals, with pulse frequencies between 10 Hz and 1 kHz and duty cycles between 1 and 100, is  $< \pm 0.20$  dB ( $< \pm 4.7\%$ ).

#### 7.5 READOUT ELECTRONICS UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties related to the probe readout electronics (DAE unit), including the gain and linearity of the instrumentation amplifier, its loading effect on the probe, and accuracy of the signal conversion algorithm, have been assessed accordingly to IEEE 1528. The combination (root-sum-square RSS method) of these components results in an overall maximum error of ±1.0%.

#### 7.6 RESPONSE TIME UNCERTAINTY

The time response of the field probes is assessed by exposing the probe to a well-controlled electric field producing SAR larger than 2.0 W/kg at the tissue medium surface. The signal response time is evaluated as the time required by the system to reach 90% of the expected final value after an on/of switch of the power source. Analytically, it can be expressed as:

$$SAR_{tolerance} [\%] = 100 \times (\frac{T_m}{T_m + \tau e^{-T_m/\tau} - \tau} - 1)$$

where Tm is 500 ms, i.e., the time between measurement samples, and  $_{\rm T}$  the time constant. The response time  $_{\rm T}$  of SPEAG's probes is <5 ms. In the current implementation, DASY4 waits longer than 100 ms after having reached the grid point before starting a measurement, i.e., the response time uncertainty is negligible.



### 7.7 INTEGRATION TIME UNCERTAINTY

If the device under test does not emit a CW signal, the integration time applied to measure the electric field at a specific point may introduce additional uncertainties due to the discretization and can be assessed as follows

$$SAR_{tolerance} [\%] = 100 \times \sum_{all sub-frames} \frac{t_{frame}}{t_{\text{integration}}} \frac{slot_{idle}}{slot_{total}}$$

The tolerances for the different systems are given in Table 7.1, whereby the worst-case  $SAR_{tolerance}$  is 2.6%.

System	SAR <sub>tolerance</sub> %		
CW	0		
CDMA*	0		
WCDMA*	0		
FDMA	0		
IS-136	2.6		
PDC	2.6		
GSM/DCS/PCS	1.7		
DECT	1.9		
Worst-Case	2.6		

**TABLE 7.1** 



### 7.8 PROBE POSITIONER MECHANICAL TOLERANCE

The mechanical tolerance of the field probe positioner can introduce probe positioning uncertainties. The resulting SAR uncertainty is assessed by comparing the SAR obtained according to the specifications of the probe positioner with respect to the actual position defined by the geometric enter of the probe sensors. The tolerance is determined as:

$$SAR_{tolerance}$$
 [%] =  $100 \times \frac{d_{ph}}{\delta/2}$ 

The specified repeatability of the RX robot family used in DASY4 systems is  $\pm 25 \,\mu\text{m}$ . The absolute accuracy for short distance movements is better than  $\pm 0.1 \,\text{mm}$ , i.e., the SAR<sub>tolerance</sub>[%] is better than 1.5% (rectangular).

#### 7.9 PROBE POSITIONING

The probe positioning procedures affect the tolerance of the separation distance between the probe tip and the phantom surface as:

$$SAR_{tolerance} [\%] = 100 \times \frac{d_{ph}}{\delta/2}$$

where  $d_{ph}$  is the maximum deviation of the distance between the probe tip and the phantom surface. The optical surface detection has a precision of better than 0.2 mm, resulting in an SAR<sub>tolerance</sub>[%] of <2.9% (rectangular distribution). Since the mechanical detection provides better accuracy, 2.9% is a worst-case figure for DASY4 system.



# 7.10 PHANTOM UNCERTAINTY

The SAR measurement uncertainty due to SPEAG phantom shell production tolerances has been evaluated using

$$SAR_{tolerance}[\%] \cong 100 \times \frac{2d}{a},$$
  $d << a$ 

For a maximum deviation d of the inner and outer shell of the phantom from that specified in the CAD file of  $\pm 0.2$  mm, and a 10mm spacing a between source and tissue liquid, the calculated phantom uncertainty is  $\pm 4.0\%$ .



# 7.11 DASY4 UNCERTAINTY BUDGET

Error Description	Tolerance (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(C <sub>i</sub> )		Standard Uncertainty (±%)		(v <sub>i</sub> )		
	` ,			(1g)	(10g)	(1g)	(10g)			
Measurement Equipment										
Probe Calibration	4.8	Normal	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	$\infty$		
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.9	1.9	$\infty$		
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9	$\infty$		
Boundary effect	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$		
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	$\infty$		
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$		
Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	$\infty$		
Response Time	0.8	Normal	1	1	1	0.8	8.0	8		
Integration Time	2.6	Normal	1	1	1	2.6	2.6	8		
Noise	0.0	Normal	1	0	0	0	0	$\infty$		
Mechanical Constraints										
Scanning System	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	$\infty$		
Phantom Shell	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	$\infty$		
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$		
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	875		
Physical Parameters										
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.5	2	1.4	∞		
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	4.3	Rectangular	√3	0.7	0.5	1.7	1.2	∞		
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	$\infty$		
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	4.3	Rectangular	√3	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.2	8		
Power Drift	5	Rectangular	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9	$\infty$		
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞		
Post-Processing										
Extrapolation and Integration	1	Rectangular	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$		
Combined Standard Uncertainty						9.9	9.7			
Coverage Factor for 95%						19.9	kp=2			
Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)							19.3			

#### **TABLE 7.2**

The table 7.2: Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY4 assessed according to IEEE 1528. The budget is valid for the frequency range  $300 MHz \sim 3 GHz$  and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerable smaller.



# 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES

We, ADT Corp., were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved by the following approval agencies according to ISO/IEC 17025.

USA FCC, UL, A2LA TUV Rheinland

JAPAN VCCI NORWAY NEMKO

CANADA INDUSTRY CANADA, CSA

R.O.C. CNLA, BSMI, NCC

**NETHERLANDS** Telefication

**SINGAPORE** PSB , GOST-ASIA (MOU)

RUSSIA CERTIS (MOU)

Copies of accreditation certificates of our laboratories obtained from approval agencies can be downloaded from our web site:

<u>www.adt.com.tw/index.5/phtml</u>. If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

Linko EMC/RF Lab: Hsin Chu EMC/RF Lab:

Tel: 886-2-26052180 Tel: 886-3-5935343 Fax: 886-2-26051924 Fax: 886-3-5935342

Hwa Ya EMC/RF/Safety/Telecom Lab:

Tel: 886-3-3183232 Fax: 886-3-3185050

Web Site: www.adt.com.tw

The address and road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.